

**THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

Before the Commissioners: Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair
 Jay Scott Emler
 Dwight D. Keen

In the Matter of the Complaint of)
Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE)
Gas, Inc., Against Westar Energy, Inc.,)
Regarding Westar’s Practice of Offering) Docket No. 19-KGSG-061-COM
Payments to Developers in Exchange for)
the Developers Designing All Electric)
Subdivisions.)

ORDER ADOPTING LEGAL MEMORANDUM

This matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (“Commission”). Having examined Litigation Staff’s Memorandum submitted in this matter and being duly advised in the premises, the Commission finds and concludes as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. On August 7, 2018, Kansas Gas Service, a division of ONE Gas, Inc., (KGS) filed a Formal Complaint against Westar Energy, Inc., (“Westar”) alleging Westar has violated its own Commission-approved “Policy for Residential Subdivisions” tariff through the implementation and operation of a program that offers payments to developers who agree to design residential subdivisions that only contain “Total Electric” housing.¹ The Formal Complaint attaches a sample contract, titled “2016 Total Electric Subdivision Heat Pump Program” to support the allegation.² Moreover, KGS asserts the operation of this program is contrary to public interest, unreasonable, unfair, unjust and in violation of Kansas law.³ The Formal Complaint is attached hereto as Attachment A.

¹See Formal Complaint at 1 and Exhibit A (Aug. 7, 2018).

² *Id.* at 8 (Exhibit A of the Formal Complaint).

³ *Id.* at 1-4.

2. On September 4, 2018, Litigation Staff for the Commission prepared a Memorandum analyzing the Complaint for compliance with Commission regulation.⁴ Litigation Staff notes the Formal Complaint satisfies the Commission's rules of practice and procedure K.A.R. 82-1-220.⁵ Litigation Staff's Legal Memorandum is attached hereto as Attachment B.

II. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

3. Upon review of Litigation Staff's Legal Memorandum, the Commission is satisfied that jurisdiction to conduct the requested investigation exists pursuant to K.S.A. 66-101 *et seq.* Specifically, the Commission is authorized to investigate formal complaints regarding rates, rules, regulations, or practices of gas and electric public utilities.⁶ In this instance, the Commission is authorized to conduct an investigation into the alleged tariff and statute violations detailed in the Formal Complaint.

4. The Commission agrees with Litigation Staff's analysis and recommendations and finds that Litigation Staff's Memorandum dated September 4, 2018, should be adopted and incorporated by reference. Specifically, the Commission finds the Formal Complaint complies with the procedural requirements of K.A.R. 82-1-220, and establishes a *prima facie* case for Commission action.

5. Accordingly, the Commission finds and concludes the Formal Complaint shall be served upon Westar for an Answer and an investigation into the Formal Complaint shall be undertaken.

⁴ Litigation Staff's Memorandum (Sep. 4, 2018).

⁵ *Id.* at 2.

⁶ *Id.* at 3.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COMMISSION ORDERED THAT:

(A) KGS's Formal Complaint complies with the procedural requirements of K.A.R. 82-1-220 and shall be accepted.

(B) The Formal Complaint shall be served upon Westar for an Answer.

(C) Any party may file and serve a petition for reconsideration pursuant to the requirements and time limits established by K.S.A. 77-529(a)(1).

(D) The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for the purpose of entering such further orders as it may deem necessary and proper.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Albrecht, Chair; Emler, Commissioner; Keen, Commissioner

Dated: 09/11/2018



Lynn M. Retz
Secretary of the Commission

PZA/CAB

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Complaint of Kansas Gas Service,)
a Division of ONE Gas, Inc., Against Westar Energy,)
Inc., Regarding Westar's Practice of Offering) Docket No. 19-KGSG- 061 -COM
Payments to Developers in Exchange for the)
Developers Designing All Electric Subdivisions.)

COMPLAINT OF KANSAS GAS SERVICE AGAINST WESTAR ENERGY, INC.

Kansas Gas Service, a division of ONE Gas, Inc. ("Kansas Gas Service" or "Company"), submits this complaint against Westar Energy, Inc. ("Westar") in accordance with K.A.R. 82-1-220 and as authorized by K.S.A. 66-101c, K.S.A. 66-101e and K.S.A. 66-101f (hereafter referred to as "Complaint").

1. This Complaint arises from Westar's unauthorized practice of offering payments to developers who agree to design their residential subdivisions to contain only all electric housing. As evidenced by the Westar form contract titled "2016 Total Electric Subdivision Heat Pump Program," (attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated herein by reference), Westar is actively offering (and paying) developers and contractors, who are engaged in building new housing subdivisions located within Kansas Gas Service's certificated territory, financial benefits in exchange for their agreement not to install or allow the installation of natural gas facilities during the development and building phases in their new subdivisions (the act is hereafter referred to as "Practice" or "the Practice"). Westar's Practice of tying payments to developers for their agreement not to install or allow the installation of natural gas facilities in their subdivisions, is not contained in the electric utility's Commission approved "Policy For Residential Subdivisions" tariff. That tariff sets forth the terms upon which Westar will extend its facilities to serve new residential subdivisions. A copy of the referenced tariff is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated herein by reference. Based upon

a review of Westar's Commission filings for the past 15 years, it does not appear Westar has ever sought or obtained Commission approval of the Practice. Because Westar has not obtained Commission approval of the Practice, which is a violation of K.S.A. 66-101c, Kansas Gas Service requests an order from the Commission finding Westar violated K.S.A. 66-101c by failing to obtain approval of the Practice from the Commission and requiring Westar to immediately cease the Practice. In addition to the complaint that Westar is operating a program that has not been approved by the Commission, Kansas Gas Service complains that Westar's Practice should cease because the results of such Practice are contrary to the public interest, unreasonable, unfair, unjust and in violation of K.S.A. 66-101e. Furthermore, Westar's act of promoting and issuing payments in exchange for all electric subdivisions, results in effectively barring those persons living in those subdivisions from the opportunity to obtain natural gas service and thus, they become captive customers to the electric utility. Kansas Gas Service asks the Commission to issue an order pursuant to K.S.A. 66-101f requiring Westar to cease the Practice on the basis that it is contrary to the public interest.

2. Westar's Practice goes well beyond offering incentives to encourage developers to install heat pumps in subdivisions. Instead, the Practice is anti competitive and actively damages rather than promotes the public interest. It creates economic waste by effectively prohibiting the installation of natural gas facilities in these new subdivisions, creating areas, (pockets, or islands within urban communities) where residents only have access to electricity for space, cooking and water heating purposes. Such Practice negatively impacts Kansas citizens, who will eventually reside within the subdivisions targeted by Westar, by eliminating their choice altogether as it relates to the type of energy available to them. This type of act or practice runs afoul of the Commission's rules as provided in K.S.A. 66-101b and should be prohibited and found to be unlawful and void under K.S.A. 66-101f:

The Commission recognizes that every unjust or unreasonably discriminatory or unduly preferential rule, regulation, classification, rate, charge or exaction is prohibited and is unlawful and void, and that the Commission has the power to require all electric public utilities to establish and maintain just and reasonable rates. K.S.A. 66-101b.

The Commission is mindful that if it finds any rate, rule and regulation, practice or act is found to be unjust, unreasonable, unfair, unjustly discriminatory or unduly preferential, or in violation of Kansas laws, the Commission has the power to establish, and order substituted therefor, such rates or rules and regulations as the Commission determines to be just, reasonable and necessary. K.S.A. 66-101f. (emphasis added).

3. The Commission is statutorily empowered to ensure that the business practices of utilities under its jurisdiction promote the public interest.¹ The Commission also has authority to require each utility to cease such business practices that it finds inconsistent with the public interest, and render any other sanctions or penalties it deems appropriate.² Therefore, it is without question that the Commission has the authority to fully investigate Westar's Practice and to determine whether the Practice should be prohibited due to it being contrary to promoting the public interest.

4. While Kansas Gas Service's primary complaint is that Westar's Practice is contrary to the public interest because it effectively creates areas where customers are unable to obtain natural gas service, the Commission should also explore how Westar reflects the costs of its Practice in its books and records and whether such costs have been built into the utility's base rates and are being paid for by Westar's non participating customers. Hypothetically, there are three potential scenarios surrounding how such costs could be accounted for on the utility's books and records:

a) the costs are considered similar to construction costs incurred to serve (and in this instance, build load) and thus were capitalized and incorporated into rate base;

¹See, K.S.A. 66-101b, 66-101d, 66-101e and 66-101g.

²K.S.A. 66-101f.

- b) the costs could be charged as an operating expense and depending upon the test period, included within a base rate case proceeding as an 'above the line' operating expense;
- or,
- c) the costs could be charged as a non operating expense, "below the line" and therefore, not incorporated into base rate proceedings.

Regardless of the manner in which the utility accounts for the costs, the impact or ultimate result of the Practice is still contrary to the public interest for the reasons mentioned above and should be prohibited. However, if such unauthorized Practice is also being funded by Westar's non participating customers through the inclusion of those costs in base rates, without specific knowledge and approval from the Commission, then the Commission should also take that into account as part of this Complaint.

5. Kansas Gas Service has not hastily reached its decision to submit this Complaint. On the contrary, over the past few years or so, the Company's representatives have reached out to Westar with various questions surrounding the Practice. Westar responded with very minimal information, none of which indicated any intention of terminating the Practice, nor did it indicate how such costs were reflected in their books and records. Therefore, Kansas Gas Service had no other recourse other than to bring this issue to the Commission's attention and request that it thoroughly investigate the issues raised within this Complaint and to provide appropriate findings and remedies, including (but not limited to) a requirement that Westar cease the Practice immediately.

6. As further support for this Complaint, Kansas Gas Service points to the fact that on June 21, 2016, the Commission opened Docket No. 16-GIME-576-GIE, to investigate Kansas City Power & Light Company's ("KCPL") all electric discounted rates. The Commission is very familiar with the history of the all electric discounts offered within KCPL's service territory and has expressed

concerns with the magnitude of previous rate increases for those customers who must rely upon electricity to heat their homes. As part of this Complaint, the Commission should investigate how all electric subdivisions came into being in the first place, i.e., whether they were the result of acts or practices of the utility similar to Westar's Practices complained of herein; whether such acts or practices have resulted in areas (pockets or islands located within urban communities), where residents in those areas now have no access to natural gas service and are captive customers of the electric utility; and whether that result or consequence of such acts or practices is contrary to the public interest and should therefore be prohibited.

7. Kansas Gas Service also submits that part of the solution to the challenges facing the Commission regarding all electric rate design is to declare that the anti competitive business practices of Westar designed to prevent the installation of natural gas distribution systems be prohibited. This action would then eliminate the growth in customers that do not have fuel choice with regards to space, cooking and water heating. Rates charged to all electric customers could then be based on their costs to serve without concern over potential rate impacts for customers without choice.

8. As part of the investigation of this Complaint, Kansas Gas Service asks the Commission to determine how long Westar's Practice has been in effect; the number of dwellings subject to the Program; the amount of payments made (by year since the program was initiated); and obtain a full accounting of such costs and if such costs have been included in base rates and paid by non participating customers.

WHEREFORE, pursuant to K.A.R. 82-1-220(b)(3), Kansas Gas Service requests that the Commission grant to it the following specified relief:

(1) that the Commission find that Westar failed to obtain approval from the Commission of its Practice of paying developers cash rebates in exchange for building all electric housing in


violation of K.S.A. 66-101c, that such Practice should cease and that Westar be required to pay sanctions and penalties for violating said statute;

(2) that the Commission find that Westar's Practice of paying developers cash rebates in exchange for building all electric housing is not in the public interest for the reasons set forth in this Complaint and that such Practice should cease;

(3) that the Commission after full investigation and hearing pursuant to K. S. A. 66-101e, make the determinations as requested in this Complaint as to Westar's Practice; and

(4) for such other relief that the Commission may find to be appropriate.

Respectfully Submitted,


James G. Flaherty, #11177
ANDERSON & BYRD, LLP
216 S. Hickory ~ P. O. Box 17
Ottawa, Kansas 66067
(785) 242-1234, telephone
(785) 242-1279, facsimile
jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com


Judy Y. Jenkins, KS #23300
7421 West 129th Street
Overland Park, Kansas 66213
(913) 319-8615
judy.jenkins@onegas.com

Attorneys for Kansas Gas Service, A Division of ONE
Gas, Inc.

VERIFICATION


STATE OF KANSAS, COUNTY OF FRANKLIN, ss:

James G. Flaherty, of lawful age, being first duly sworn on oath, states that he is the attorney for Kansas Gas Service, A Division of ONE Gas, Inc.; that he has read the forgoing Complaint Against Westar Energy, Inc., and the statements contained therein are true.



James G. Flaherty

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 7th day of August, 2018.





Notary Public

Appointment/Commission Expires:



EXHIBIT A

2016 Total Electric Subdivision Heat Pump Program

Person's Name
Company Name
Company Address
City, KS zip

LETTER OF INTENT: Total Electric Heat Pump Subdivision
Development Name, Development City, KS

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF BUILDINGS:

XX buildings. All buildings within the subdivision to be built Total Electric with a Full Heat pump split system as the primary heating source. All buildings to be served directly by Westar Energy.

REBATE AMOUNTS:

Westar Energy Agrees to provide a cash rebate for each building, once a heat pump system and permanent residential meter have been set. The cash rebate amounts are as follows:

Building Type	PER BUILDING PAYOUT		Notes
	14 SEER HP	16+ SEER HP	
Single Family	\$1,200.00	\$1,500.00	1 or more heat pump system per building
Duplexes	\$1,600.00	\$2,000.00	2 or more heat pump systems per building
Quads	\$2,400.00	\$3,000.00	4 or more heat pump systems per building
Greater than Quad	\$500.00 per HP unit, 14+ SEER		Maximum \$20,000.00 rebate per building

COMPLETION DATE:

This agreement is in effect for five years from the date of signature.

ACCEPTANCE:

Westar Energy agrees to the above agreement and accepts the above conditions.

Tiffe Greathouse
Westar Energy
Account Manager, Trade and Ally Services

Date

Company Name agrees to the above agreement and accepts the above conditions.

Person's name

Date

Title

EXHIBIT B

Index _____

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF KANSAS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC & KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, d.b.a. WESTAR ENERGY

(Name of Issuing Utility)

SCHEDULE Policy for Residential Subdivisions

Replacing Schedule Policy for Res. Sheet 1

WESTAR RATE AREA

(Territory to which schedule is applicable)

which was filed April 18, 2012

No supplement or separate understanding shall modify the tariff as shown hereon.

Sheet 1 of 4 Sheets

POLICY FOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS

AVAILABLE

Electric service will be extended to new residential subdivisions consisting of average lot sizes of five acres or less at points on the Company's existing distribution facilities.

APPLICABLE

This policy is applicable to developers, contractors, and/or promoters (Developer) of residential housing areas above and beyond the scope of the Company's line extension policy. This policy is not applicable to Mobile Home Courts, multi-dwelling construction of more than four units, and construction of fewer than five residential units.

PURPOSE

This policy will encourage a more orderly development and provide for better coordination between Company and developer of residential subdivisions. This policy is intended to assist developer's request for new service installations and limit the investment in utility plant required by Company prior to eventual residential consumer demand for electricity.


GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

Developer shall apply to Company for the design of the electric distribution for the entire subdivision or portion thereof to be built in a twelve-month period that Developer is contemplating building residential housing units upon. Company shall design the initial distribution system based upon the Developer's plan consisting of all contiguous building sites on both sides of the utility easements within the project area. Company will install, own and maintain the entire distribution system in the new residential subdivision.

Company installation costs shall be limited to the cost of a conventional overhead distribution system adequate to serve the anticipated load in the proposed residential subdivision. Developer shall pay a non-refundable contribution in advance for the entire cost in excess of a conventional overhead distribution system.

Issued _____
Month Day Year

Effective October 28 2015
Month Day Year

By 
Jeffrey L. Martin, Vice President

15-WSEE-115-RTS *ije*

Approved

Kansas Corporation Commission

October 28, 2015

/S/ Amy L. Green

Index _____

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF KANSAS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC & KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, d.b.a. WESTAR ENERGY
(Name of Issuing Utility)

SCHEDULE Policy for Residential Subdivisions

Replacing Schedule Policy for Res. Sheet 2

WESTAR RATE AREA

(Territory to which schedule is applicable)

which was filed April 18, 2012

No supplement or separate understanding shall modify the tariff as shown hereon.

Sheet 2 of 4 Sheets

POLICY FOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS

CALCULATION OF EXCESS COSTS


Company shall be solely responsible for the calculation of the differential between a conventional overhead distribution system which includes distribution lines, poles, and transformers, and the distribution system requested by Developer. Company may use the average cost per lot in calculating the differential between a conventional overhead and conventional underground electric distribution system. Developer shall be solely responsible and shall pay all costs of change orders requested by the Developer or required by city, county or other authority.

DEFINITIONS AND CONDITIONS

1. Developer shall supply all easement and rights-of-way required for the Company's facilities at no cost to the Company, on property owned and controlled by the Developer.
2. Developer shall clearly designate or have clearly designated utility easements suitable for electric facilities, right of ways, lot lines and location of other utility facilities placed in or to be placed in the utility easement. Easements shall be within six inches of final grade prior to installation of facilities.
3. Developer may upon prior approval of Company supply trenching, backfilling, transformer pads, and other items, thereby reducing the amount of special construction payment to Company. All such in-kind work shall be constructed or completed to the Company's construction specifications and in conjunction with Company's construction schedule. Company at its sole discretion shall require Developer's in-kind work to be redone if not constructed to Company's construction specifications.
4. Company will allow a \$40,000 allowance toward the conventional overhead distribution system per subdivision or portion thereof for each 12-month period. Developer shall deposit with Company all costs in excess of \$40,000. Developer may receive an additional \$40,000 allowance in a year as outlined in paragraph 5. The deposit for the conventional overhead electric distribution system in excess of the \$40,000 allowance will be refunded without interest to Developer on a per lot basis in the following manner:

Issued _____
Month Day Year

Effective October 28 2015
Month Day Year

By 
Jeffrey L. Martin, Vice President

15-WSEE-115-RTS

Approved lge

Kansas Corporation Commission

October 28, 2015

/S/ Amy L. Green

Index _____

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF KANSAS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC & KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, d.b.a. WESTAR ENERGY

(Name of Issuing Utility)

SCHEDULE Policy for Residential Subdivisions

Replacing Schedule Policy for Res. Sheet 3

WESTAR RATE AREA

(Territory to which schedule is applicable)

which was filed April 18, 2012

No supplement or separate understanding shall modify the tariff as shown hereon.

Sheet 3 of 4 Sheets

POLICY FOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS

- a. The cost of conventional overhead electric distribution system shall be determined for Developer's subdivision.
 - b. A per lot average of conventional overhead electric distribution system shall be calculated by dividing the cost by number of lots for Developer's subdivision.
 - c. The number of lots covering Company's investment shall be determined by dividing Company's investment by the per lot average of a conventional overhead distribution system for Developer's subdivision.
 - d. Developer shall be eligible for a refund on a per lot basis after construction and setting of permanent meters on at least the number of lots sufficient to cover Company's investment. Refunds shall not exceed the Developer's original deposit nor will refunds be made beyond a five year period beginning from the date the deposit is made by Developer and Company installs the distribution system
5. The Company's allowance limit of \$40,000 is applicable to one allowance per 12-month period. Company may, at its sole discretion, provide a second allowance provided the Developer meets certain requirements including but not limited to a) requesting the design of the entire subdivision at one time in lieu of design work on each phase, b) notifying the Company during initial request to install electric facilities for a phased installation of said facilities during the year, c) Company's ability to accommodate the installation schedule of the Developer, d) Ninety percent of the lots have permanent meters installed in previous subdivisions phases and e) the total allowances do not exceed the original amount contemplated in the subdivision design.
6. In addition to any deposit required pursuant to paragraph 4 above, Developer shall pay a non-refundable contribution for the entire cost of the work requested or required in excess of a conventional overhead distribution system.
7. Payment of any deposit pursuant to paragraph 4 and any contribution pursuant to paragraph 5 shall be paid by Developer prior to the start of work.

Issued _____
Month Day Year

Effective October 28 2015
Month Day Year

By Jeffrey L. Martin
Jeffrey L. Martin, Vice President

15-WSEE-115-RTS
Approved *lje*

Kansas Corporation Commission

October 28, 2015

/S/ Amy L. Green

Index _____

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF KANSAS

WESTAR ENERGY, INC & KANSAS GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY, d.b.a. WESTAR ENERGY

(Name of Issuing Utility)

SCHEDULE Policy for Residential Subdivisions

Replacing Schedule Policy for Res. Sheet 4

WESTAR RATE AREA

(Territory to which schedule is applicable)

which was filed April 18, 2012

No supplement or separate understanding shall modify the tariff as shown hereon.

Sheet 4 of 4 Sheets

POLICY FOR RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISIONS

- 8. Service under this rate schedule is subject to Company's General Terms and Conditions presently on file with the State Corporation Commission of Kansas and any modifications subsequently approved.
- 9. All provisions of this rate schedule are subject to changes made by order of the regulatory authority having jurisdiction.

Issued _____
Month Day Year

Effective October 28 2015
Month Day Year

By Jeffrey L. Martin
Jeffrey L. Martin, Vice President

15-WSEE-115-RTS
Approved *ije*

Kansas Corporation Commission
October 28, 2015
/S/ Amy L. Green

CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD
TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027



PHONE: 785-271-3100
FAX: 785-271-3354
<http://kcc.ks.gov/>

GOVERNOR JEFF COLYER, M.D.
SHARI FEIST ALBRECHT, CHAIR | JAY SCOTT EMLER, COMMISSIONER | DWIGHT D. KEEN, COMMISSIONER

**MEMORANDUM
LEGAL DIVISION**

TO: Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair
Commissioner Jay Scott Emler
Commissioner Dwight D. Keen

FROM: Phoenix Anshutz, Litigation Counsel
Cole Bailey, Litigation Counsel

DATE: September 4, 2018

SUBJECT: Docket No. 19-KGSG-061-COM
In the Matter of the Complaint of Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc., Against Westar Energy, Inc., Regarding Westar's Practice of Offering Payments to Developers in Exchange for the Developers Designing All Electric Subdivisions

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

On August 7, 2018, Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc., (KGS) filed a Formal Complaint against Westar Energy, Inc., ("Westar") pursuant to K.A.R. 82-1-220.¹ In its Formal Complaint, KGS alleges Westar's practice of offering payments to developers who agree to design their residential subdivisions containing all electric housing: (1) violates Westar's Commission-approved "Policy for Residential Subdivisions" tariff and (2) is contrary to the public interest, unreasonable, unfair, unjust, and in violation of Kansas law.² Additionally, KGS posits that Westar's practice is anti-competitive and actively damages rather than promotes the public interest.³ Legal Staff recommends the Commission accept KGS's Formal Complaint and serve it upon Westar for an answer.

BACKGROUND & ANALYSIS:

On August 7, 2018, KGS filed a Formal Complaint against Westar. KGS alleges Westar has been offering payments to developers who agree to design residential subdivisions to contain only all electric housing, in violation of K.S.A. 66-101(c). Additionally, KGS posits Westar is operating a program that is contrary to the public interest, unreasonable, unfair, unjust and in violation of K.S.A. 66-101(e). Lastly, KGS alleges Westar's act of promoting and issuing payments in exchange for all electric subdivisions effectively bars

¹ Complaint of Kansas Gas Service Against Westar Energy, Inc. (Aug. 7, 2018) (Formal Complaint)

² Id. pg. 1.

³ Id.

persons living in those subdivisions from the opportunity to obtain natural gas service and thus results in customers who are captive Westar.⁴

To support its Formal Complaint, KGS presents a Westar contract titled “2016 Total Electric Subdivision Heat Pump Program.”⁵ KGS alleges this contract demonstrates Westar is actively offering developers and contractors, who are engaged in building new housing subdivisions within KGS’s certificated territory, financial benefits in exchange for agreeing not to install (or allow the installation of) natural gas facilities during the development and building phases of the subdivisions.⁶ Additionally, KGS states that Westar’s practice is not contained in Westar’s Commission-approved “Policy for Residential Subdivisions” tariff.⁷ This tariff sets forth the terms upon which Westar extends its facilities to serve new residential customers, and based upon a review conducted by KGS, does not appear Westar has ever sought or obtained Commission approval of Westar’s current practice. Based on the preceding, KGS filed its Formal Complaint with the Commission requesting an order that requires Westar to immediately cease offering developers incentives to design residential subdivisions containing all electric housing.⁸

Upon the filing of a Formal Complaint, the Commission must determine whether the allegations, if true, would establish a *prima facie* case for action by the Commission and whether the Formal Complaint conforms to the Commission’s regulations.⁹

K.A.R. 82-1-220(b) requires Formal Complaints to satisfy three procedural requirements:

- (1) Fully and completely advise each Respondent and the Commission as to the provisions of law or the regulations or orders of the Commission that have been or are being violated by the acts or omissions complained of, or that will be violated by a continuance of acts or omissions;
- (2) set forth concisely and in plain language the facts claimed by the Complainant to constitute the violations; and
- (3) state the relief sought by the Complainant.

A review of the Formal Complaint indicates these procedural requirements have been met. KGS cites to provisions of law, tariff, regulation, or statute, specifically K.S.A. 66-101(b), (c), (e), and (f) and Westar’s own tariff. Accordingly, KGS’s Formal Complaint complies with procedural requirement (1).

KGS sets forth facts in plain language giving rise to its Formal Complaint. Accordingly, KGS’s Formal Complaint complies with procedural requirement (2).

⁴ Id.

⁵ Id. pg. 8 (Exhibit A).

⁶ Id. pg. 1.

⁷ Id. pg. 2.

⁸ Id. pg. 6.

⁹ K.A.R. 82-1-220(c).

KGS requests the Commission issue an order finding Westar violated K.S.A. 66-101c by failing to obtain Commission approval of the complained-of practice and requests the Commission require Westar to immediately cease the complained-of practice.¹⁰ KGS also requests the Commission investigate how Westar is recording costs related to the complained-of practice on its books and records.¹¹ KGS further requests the Commission find the complained-of practice is contrary to the public interest and therefore violates K.S.A. 66-101e.¹² Accordingly, KGS's Formal Complaint complies with procedural requirement (3).

The Commission has been given full power, authority and jurisdiction to supervise and control electric public utilities doing business in Kansas.¹³ The Commission is also charged with ensuring utilities provide efficient and sufficient service at just and reasonable rates and establish just and reasonable rules and regulations.¹⁴ Additionally, the Commission is granted authority over each electric public utility's equipment, manner of conduct, and management to protect public safety; and shall inquire into any neglect or violation of the laws of this state by any electric public utility.¹⁵

Legal Staff believes KGS has established a *prima facie* cause of action. No recommendation regarding the validity or truthfulness of KGS's claim(s) is made, nor should they in any way be assumed or concluded with the filing of this memorandum. The only recommendations made within this memorandum are the Commission should find: the Formal Complaint satisfies the procedural requirements of K.A.R. 82-1-220, a determination of *prima facie* has been established and KGS's Formal Complaint should be served upon Westar for an answer.

RECOMMENDATION:

Litigation Staff recommends the Commission find KGS's Formal Complaint satisfies the procedural requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, establishes a *prima facie* cause of action and the Commission serve the Formal Complaint upon Westar for an answer.

¹⁰ Formal Complaint pg. 2.

¹¹ Id. pg. 4.

¹² Id. pg. 2.

¹³ K.S.A. 66-101.

¹⁴ K.S.A. 66-101b.

¹⁵ K.S.A. 66-101h.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

19-KGSG-061-COM

I, the undersigned, certify that the true copy of the attached Order has been served to the following parties by means of first class mail/hand delivered on 09/12/2018.

PHOENIX ANSHUTZ, LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3354
p.anshutz@kcc.ks.gov

COLE BAILEY, LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3354
c.bailey@kcc.ks.gov

CATHRYN J. DINGES, CORPORATE COUNSEL
WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
818 S KANSAS AVE
PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
Fax: 785-575-8136
cathy.dinges@westarenergy.com

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY
ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P.
216 S HICKORY
PO BOX 17
OTTAWA, KS 66067
Fax: 785-242-1279
jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

JUDY JENKINS HITCHYE, MANAGING ATTORNEY
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC.
7421 W 129TH ST
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2713
Fax: 913-319-8622
judy.jenkins@onegas.com

ROBERT VINCENT, LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3354
r.vincent@kcc.ks.gov

/S/ DeeAnn Shupe

DeeAnn Shupe