# BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of a General Investigation	)	
Regarding the Effect of Federal Income Tax	)	
Reform on the Revenue Requirements of	)	
Kansas Public Utilities and Request to Issue an	)	Docket No. 18-GIMX-248-GIV
Accounting Authority Order Requiring Certain	)	
Regulated Public Utilities to Defer Effects of	)	
Tax Reform to a Deferred Revenue Account.	)	

## TESTIMONY OF ROBERT J. AMDOR

IN SUPPORT OF THE

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT** 

ON BEHALF OF

BLACK HILLS/KANSAS GAS UTILITY COMPANY, LLC, d/b/a BLACK HILLS ENERGY

### 1 I. <u>Introduction</u>

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Robert J. Amdor, and my business address is 1102 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street, Papillion,
- 4 Nebraska 68046.
- 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
- 6 A. I am employed by Black Hills Utility Holdings ("BHUH"), a subsidiary of Black Hills
- 7 Corporation ("BHC") as Director of Regulatory.
- 8 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?
- 9 A. I am responsible for regulatory matters for four natural gas utilities Kansas, Nebraska and
- 10 Iowa, including the regulatory activities for Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC,
- d/b/a Black Hills Energy (hereinafter "Black Hills" or "Company"). In this role, my team
- handles regulatory compliance, internal support, external relations, application filings and
- planning.
- 14 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND BUSINESS
- 15 **EXPERIENCE.**
- 16 A. I am a graduate of Iowa State University with a bachelor's degree in Industrial
- Administration with a specialization in Finance, and from Creighton Law School with a
- Juris Doctorate. I worked as an attorney in private practice and as an investment advisor
- prior to joining UtiliCorp United, Inc. in the Regulatory Services department in 1995.
- 20 Since that time, I have held various positions in the Regulatory Department supporting
- 21 operations in Kansas, Iowa, Nebraska, Missouri and Colorado. I have held my present
- 22 position with BHC since 2008, when the Company acquired various properties formerly
- owned by Aquila, including the natural gas properties in Kansas.

#### Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 I am providing testimony in support of the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") between A. Black Hills, the Staff of the Kansas Corporation Commission ("Staff") and the Citizens' 3 4 Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB") (collectively, the "Parties"), which is being submitted to 5 the Commission for approval. I was personally involved in negotiating the terms of the 6 Agreement. The Agreement: (1) quantifies the economic impact of the new lower federal 7 corporate income tax rate under the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("TCJA"); (2) sets forth a plan to 8 refund the tax savings to Black Hills' Kansas customers; (3) requires Black Hills to establish 9 a regulatory liability to capture the tax savings relating to its excess accumulated deferred 10 income taxes ("ADIT"); and (4) defers any issues regarding the tax savings regarding the excess ADIT to Black Hills' next general rate case. I am providing background 12 information regarding this matter, summarizing the terms of the Agreement, and addressing 13 and applying the Commission standards for review of settlements to the Agreement in this 14 case.

#### 15 II. **BACKGROUND**

1

11

#### CAN YOU PROVIDE SOME BACKGROUND INFORMATION REGARDING 16 Q.

17 THIS MATTER?

18 Yes. On December 14, 2017, Staff filed a Motion to Open a General Investigation and Α. Issue Accounting Authority Order Regarding Federal Tax Reform ("Staff Motion"). Staff 19 20 attached a Report and Recommendation ("Staff's R&R") to the Staff Motion, which 21 recommended the Commission issue an Order addressing the following:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Staff Motion to Open General Investigation and Issue Accounting Authority Order Regarding Federal Tax Reform filed December 14, 2017, in Docket No. 18-GIMX-248-GIV ("248 Docket") ("Staff Motion").

	a.	Opening a general investigation for the purpose of examining the financia
impact	t of a	anticipated federal income tax reform on regulated public utilities operating is
Kansas	s: <sup>2</sup>	

b. Requiring, through the use of an Accounting Authority Order ("AAO"), certain regulated public utilities that are taxed at the corporate level, which included Black Hills, to track and accumulate in a deferred revenue account, with interest compounded monthly at the most current Commission-approved customer deposit interest rate, the reduction in their regulated cost of service that would occur in the event that a new lower federal income tax rate is signed into law. These deferrals should take effect at the same time as the new federal corporate tax rate change and the calculations should be performed using the cost of service data that was used to set the utilities' last Commission-approved revenue requirement (including any line-item surcharges that contain a provision for regulated income tax expense);<sup>3</sup> and

c. Confirming that the Commission's intention regarding the AAO is to preserve any potential tax benefits so that they may be evaluated in the context of a comprehensive evaluation of the reasonableness of the utilities' rates as well as notifying utilities that this portion of their rates should be considered interim subject to refund until the Commission has the opportunity to review the reasonableness of the utilities' rates on a comprehensive and case-by-case basis and confirming that the Commission intends to capture the reduction in Accumulated Deferred Income Tax ("ADIT") balances that will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Staff Motion, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Staff Motion, page 1.

occur in the event that a lower corporate federal income tax rate takes effect, over time, in a manner that comports with Internal Revenue Services ("IRS") Tax Normalization Rules.<sup>4</sup>

Staff's R&R referred to and provided a copy of the order issued by the Commission in Docket No. 155,094-U dated March 18, 1987, relating to the effects of the Federal Tax Reform Act of 1986 ("1987 Order") and suggested that its recommendation in the current docket was consistent with the 1987 Order.<sup>5</sup>

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act ("TCJA") was signed into law. Among other things, the TCJA reduced the federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% beginning on January 1, 2018.<sup>6</sup>

On December 22, 2017, CURB filed a Petition to Intervene and a Response in Support of Staff's Motion.<sup>7</sup>

On January 18, 2018, the Commission issued its *Order Opening General Investigation and Issuing Accounting Authority Order Regarding Federal Tax Reform* ("Order").<sup>8</sup> In that Order, the Commission made the following findings and conclusions:

a. The TCJA has the potential to significantly reduce the cost of service for many utilities operating in Kansas since tax expenses are recovered in rates.<sup>9</sup>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Staff Motion, page 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Staff's Motion, attached to Staff's R&R dated December 3, 2017, page 3, 1987 Order attached to Staff's R&R as Attachment A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Public Law No. 115-97; Statute 131 Stat. 2054 (December 22, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>CURB's Petition to Intervene and a Response in Support of Staff's Motion filed December 22, 2017, in the 248 Docket.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Order issued January 18, 2018, in the 248 Docket.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>*Id.* at page 5, ¶6.

1	b. A significant reduction to the corporate tax rate may also impact the ADIT
2	Liabilities and Assets on the regulated books of utilities. 10
3	c. An investigation into the impact of the TCJA on utility rates is warranted. 11
4	d. The 1987 Order issued by the Commission relating to the effects of the
5	Federal Tax Reform Act of 1986, is informative but not precedential. 12
6	e. The purpose of the investigation is to quantify the economic impacts of the
7	new lower tax rates on Kansas utilities, and where appropriate, direct that any cost savings
8	be passed on to Kansas utility customers. 13
9	f. All regulated public utilities that are taxable at the corporate level are
10	directed to accrue monthly, in a deferred revenue account, the portion of its revenues
11	representing the difference between (1) the cost of service approved by the Commission in
12	its most recent rate case; and (2) the cost of service that would have resulted had the
13	provision for federal income taxes been based upon the corporate income tax rate approved
14	in the TCJA. <sup>14</sup>
15	g. Taxable utilities operating in Kansas are notified that the portion of their
16	regulated revenue stream that reflects higher corporate tax rates should be considered
17	interim and subject to refund, with interest calculated at the rate being used for interest paid
18	on customer deposits, until the Commission can more fully evaluate on a case-by-case basis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>*Id.*, at page 5, ¶6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>*Id.*, at page 5, ¶7.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>Id.$ , at page 5, ¶6.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>Id.$ , at page 5, ¶7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>*Id.*, at page 5, ¶7.

the impact of the TCJA.<sup>15</sup>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

h. Upon the Commission completing its case-by-case evaluation, if it is determined that a rate decrease is proper and would have been proper as of the January 1, 2018, effective date of the TCJA, any excessive collections in the deferred revenue subaccount, or other appropriate tracking mechanism approved by the Commission, with appropriate adjustments, shall be refundable to customers with interest. Any balance remaining in the account shall be credited to the utility's operating revenue.<sup>16</sup>

- i. The Commission intends to capture excess ADIT for the benefit of customers using a methodology that is consistent with the tax normalization requirements specified in the tax legislation or IRS Tax Normalization Rules, as applicable.<sup>17</sup>
- j. Any affected utility that believes other components of their cost of service have more than offset the decrease in its income tax expenses will have the ability to file such information and supporting data with the Commission, to be considered on a case-by-case basis. The Commission's intention here is not to materially impact regulated utilities' profitability, but rather, ensure that the affected utilities are neither positively nor negatively impacted by the passage of federal income tax reform.<sup>18</sup>
- k. The Commission adopts the Staff's R&R and incorporates it into the Order.
   On January 31, 2018, Black Hills filed its entry of appearance in this docket.<sup>19</sup>

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>Id.$ , at page 5, ¶8.

 $<sup>^{16}</sup>Id.$ , at page 6, ¶8.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>Id.$ , at page 6, ¶8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>*Id.*, at page 7, ¶11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>*Id.*, Order, page 1, ¶11.

On February 21, 2018, Black Hills representatives met in Topeka with Staff and CURB to discuss and attempt to reach agreement to quantify the economic impacts of the new lower tax rates on Black Hills' Kansas operations based upon the instructions provided by the Commission in its Order and how cost savings related to the lower tax rates should be passed on to Black Hills' Kansas customers with interest. We also discussed how Black Hills should capture excess ADIT for the benefit of its customers using a method that is consistent with the tax normalization requirements specified in the tax legislation or IRS Normalization Rules, as applicable. As a result of that meeting and follow-up discussions among the parties, Black Hills, Staff and CURB were able to reach the Agreement, which is attached to the Joint Motion as Exhibit A, which was filed with the Commission for approval.<sup>20</sup>

#### 12 III. TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

### 13 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT?

- 14 A. Yes, I am. The terms of the Agreement address the following:
- 15 (1) REDUCTION IN FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE INCLUDED IN BLACK HILLS' COST OF
  16 SERVICE RESULTING FROM THE TCJA'S LOWERING OF THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX
  17 RATE FROM 35% TO 21%
- 18 (2) REFUND OF \$1,719,619 IN TAX SAVINGS INCLUDING INTEREST TO BLACK HILLS'

  19 CUSTOMERS
- 20 (3) REFUND OF AN ADDITIONAL \$154,331 IN TAX SAVINGS TO CUSTOMERS RELATING TO
  21 BLACK HILLS' GSRS SURCHARGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>See, Exhibit A to the Joint Motion, Settlement Agreement dated March 7, 2018 ("Agreement").

1 (4) TAX SAVINGS RELATING TO EXCESS A	DIT
--	-----

- 2 (5) GENERAL PROVISIONS
- 3 (6) EFFECTIVE DATE OF ORDER

# 4 Q. WOULD YOU EXPLAIN HOW EACH ONE OF THESE ITEMS WAS SETTLED

#### BY THE PARTIES?

6 A.

1. REDUCTION IN FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE INCLUDED IN BLACK HILLS' COST OF SERVICE RESULTING FROM THE TCJA'S LOWERING OF THE FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE FROM 35% TO 21%

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

7

8

As indicated in the background section of my testimony, the Commission stated that in order to quantify the economic impacts of the new lower tax rates on Kansas utilities the calculation should be based upon the difference between (1) the cost of service approved by the Commission in the utility's most recent rate case; and (2) the cost of service that would have resulted had the provision for federal income taxes been based upon the corporate income tax rate approved in the TCJA. In Appendix 1 to the Agreement, Black Hills has used the calculation method set forth by the Commission in its Order to determine the tax savings that results from the lowering of the federal income tax rate under the TCJA from 35% to 21%. The result of that calculation is an annual cost of service savings to customers in the amount of \$1,718,203, exclusive of interest. The Staff has verified and agreed with Black Hills' calculation. Black Hills, Staff and CURB have concurred that the annual cost of service savings to customers relating to the reduction in federal income tax expense included in Black Hills' cost of service resulting from the TCJA's lowering of the federal income tax rate from 35% to 21% is \$1,718,203. This amount is exclusive interest and exclusive of the cost savings relating to Black Hills' GSRS surcharge, which is calculated and accounted for separately in the Agreement, and exclusive of any cost savings relating to capturing excess ADIT for the benefit of customers consistent with tax normalization requirements, which is also addressed separately in the Agreement.

#### 2. REFUND OF \$1,718,203 IN TAX SAVINGS TO BLACK HILLS' CUSTOMERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

As also indicated in the background portion of my testimony, the Commission stated that the utility shall refund tax savings to customers with interest calculated at the rate being used for interest paid on customer deposits, which is currently 1.62%. Under the terms of the Agreement, Black Hills shall refund \$1,719,619, which includes interest on the amount of monthly collections in the deferred revenue account for the months of January, February and March 2018, by providing Black Hills' customers an annual one-time separate line bill credit in April 2018. The refund is intended to mirror the rate design approved by the Commission in the Company's last rate case in 2014. The refund will be comprised of a fixed bill credit equal to 53% of the tax savings and a volumetric credit returned to the customers through Black Hills' purchased gas adjustment ("PGA") for the remaining 47% of the tax savings. The 53% and 47% allocations of the tax savings between the fixed bill credit and the volumetric PGA credit roughly tie to the percentage allocations in Black Hills' last rate case for the amount of total non-gas or non-PGA costs recovered through the monthly customer charge (53%) and the amount of total costs recovered through the commodity charge (47%). The allocation of the tax savings between the bill credit and the PGA credit will reasonably assign the refund to customers within each class so that customers who use more gas and pay more of the revenue, and thus, more of the utility's tax expense, will receive an appropriate amount of the tax refund.

The tax savings is also allocated among Black Hills' customer classes based upon the

allocation to customer classes approved by the Commission in Black Hills' last rate case.

Appendix 2 of the Agreement shows how the refund is allocated between customer classes and then allocated to the annual one-time separate line bill credit and the volumetric credit to the PGA. Each Black Hills' residential customer will receive an annual one-time separate line bill credit on their bill in April 2018 (if the Agreement is approved by the Commission by March 27, 2018) of \$7.34. In addition to the \$7.34 annual one-time bill credit, residential customers on average will receive roughly \$4.23 as a result of the volumetric credit to the PGA, for a total annual refund of \$11.57.

Under the Agreement, the one-time bill credit and volumetric credit to the PGA will be provided to the Black Hills' customers in January of each succeeding year following 2018 until Black Hills' base rates are changed in its next rate case. At that point in time, the tax savings will be reflected in the new base rates for the benefit of the customers.

# 3. REFUND OF AN ADDITIONAL \$154,331 IN TAX SAVINGS TO CUSTOMERS RELATING TO BLACK HILLS' GSRS SURCHARGE

The Commission in its Order adopted Staff's R&R and incorporated it into its Order by reference. The Staff's R&R recommended tax savings also be calculated by the utilities for any line-item surcharges that contain a provision for regulated income tax expense. Pursuant to that recommendation, Black Hills calculated the tax savings associated with its Gas System Reliability Surcharge ("GSRS") to be \$154,331 ("GSRS Refund"). Staff has verified and agrees with Black Hills' GSRS tax savings calculation. Under the Agreement, Black Hills will refund an additional \$154,331 in tax savings to customers relating to Black Hills' GSRS surcharge. Appendix 3 to the Agreement contains the calculation of the GSRS surcharge tax savings agreed to by Black Hills, Staff and CURB.

Appendix 4 to the Agreement shows the allocation of the GSRS Refund to each Black Hills' customer class; the April 2018 one-time credit per customer by class for the January, February and March 2018 billing cycles to reflect the tax savings (with interest) relating to the GSRS for those three months; and the permanent reduction in the monthly GSRS surcharge to reflect the tax savings. Black Hills' residential customers will receive a one-time credit of \$0.24 per meter in their GSRS rate in April 2018, and their monthly GSRS surcharge will be reduced from the current \$0.69 to \$0.61, a savings of \$0.08 per month. The allocation and method of refunding the additional tax savings to customers relating to Black Hills' GSRS surcharge is set forth in Appendix 4 to the Agreement.

### 4. TAX SAVINGS RELATING TO EXCESS ADIT

The Commission in its Order stated its intent was also to capture tax savings relating to excess ADIT for the benefit of customers using a methodology that is consistent with the tax normalization requirements specified in the tax legislation or IRS Normalization Rules. Under the Agreement, Black Hills shall establish a regulatory liability to account for and capture tax savings relating to excess ADIT and is required to provide evidence of such to Staff and CURB. Black Hills, Staff and CURB have agreed to defer any issues regarding the amount of tax savings relating to excess ADIT and how those savings should be passed on to customers to Black Hills' next general rate case filing with the understanding and concurrence that Black Hills' customers are entitled to the benefits.

Black Hills has also agreed that its excess ADIT as of December 31, 2017, will not be amortized for ratemaking purposes until the excess ADIT is reflected in base rates approved by the Commission in Black Hills next general rate case filing. With respect to the timing of Black Hills' next general rate case filing, under the provisions of the GSRS statute (K.S.A.

66-2203), in order for Black Hills to retain its GSRS surcharge it is required to file a general rate case every five years unless it obtains approval from the Commission for an additional one year extension. Black Hills' last general rate case was decided by the Commission on December 16, 2014. Therefore, if Black Hills wishes to continue to retain its ability to use the GSRS surcharge, it will be required to file its next general rate case within the time restrictions set forth in the GSRS statute. In that next general rate case filing, Black Hills has agreed to reflect the tax savings relating to the excess ADIT in its cost of service, in accordance with IRS normalization procedures, so those savings can be passed through in the base rates approved by the Commission in that next general rate case.

### 5. GENERAL PROVISIONS

If approved by the Commission, the Agreement will apply only to Black Hills' tax refund plan and shall not be binding on Staff, CURB or the Commission in reviewing or approving any TCJA tax refund plan submitted by any other public utility in this docket or ordered by the Commission in this or any other docket.

Staff and CURB specifically reserved their respective rights to make all arguments and to take positions that are different than what they have agreed to in the Agreement relating to Black Hills' TCJA tax refund plan with respect to TCJA tax refund plans submitted by other public utilities for approval by the Commission.

Nothing in the Agreement is intended to impinge or restrict, in any manner, the exercise by the Commission of any statutory right, including the right of access to information, and any statutory obligation, including the obligation to ensure that Black Hills is providing efficient and sufficient service at just and reasonable rates.

The Agreement contains a provision whereby the parties represented to the

Commission that they have entered into a negotiated settlement that resolves the issues in this docket as it relates to Black Hills only. They further represented that the terms of the Agreement constitute a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed in this general investigation as they relate to Black Hills only. Except as specified in the Agreement, Black Hills, Staff and CURB shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the terms of the Agreement (a) in any future proceeding; (b) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (c) in this proceeding should the Commission decide not to approve the Agreement in the instant proceeding. If the Commission accepts the Agreement in its entirety and incorporates the same into a final order without material modification, Black Hills, Staff and CURB agreed to be bound by its terms and the Commission's order incorporating its terms as to all issues addressed herein and in accordance with the terms hereof, and will not appeal the Commission's order on these issues.

Finally, the Agreement includes a provision that the terms are interdependent. In the event the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of the Agreement in total, it shall be voidable and none of the signatories shall be bound, prejudiced, or in any way affected by any of the agreements or provisions thereof. Further, in such event, the Agreement shall be considered privileged and not admissible in evidence and shall be withdrawn from the record in this proceeding and not made a part of the record in any other proceeding.

#### 6. EFFECTIVE DATE OF ORDER

The calculations set forth in the appendices to the Agreement are based upon the Commission issuing an order approving the Agreement by no later than March 27, 2018, to

- allow Black Hills time to prepare April bills to show the credits relating to the tax savings.
- 2 Black Hills, Staff and CURB agreed that if the Commission approves the Agreement after
- March 27, 2018, then the interest on the amount in the deferred account will not be adjusted,
- because Black Hills has agreed to refund the entire amount of the tax savings as soon as
- 5 approved by the Commission, and not on a month to month basis for the remainder of 2018.
- This provision recognizes the fact Black Hills is refunding the revenues relating to the tax
- 7 expense change prior to receiving those revenues from its customers. The intent of the
- 8 parties in including this provision in the Agreement is to pass through the tax savings to
- 9 customers as soon as possible and to avoid having to re-file the Joint Motion and Agreement
- should the Commission be unable to rule on the Joint Motion in time to allow Black Hills to
- reflect the credits in its April billings to customers.
- 12 Q. IF THE COMMISSION IS UNABLE TO ISSUE AN ORDER BY MARCH 27, 2018,
- WHEN WILL THE CREDIT BE ISSUED TO BLACK HILLS' KANSAS
- 14 **CUSTOMERS?**
- 15 A. The credit would be issued in May 2018, instead of April 2018.
- 16 IV. <u>Commission Standards for Review of Agreement</u>
- 17 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE FACTORS THE COMMISSION CONSIDERS
- 18 WHEN REVIEWING A PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT?
- 19 A. Yes, I am. I understand there are five factors the Commission considers when reviewing a
- 20 proposed settlement agreement, and I have reviewed several of the Commission's rate case
- orders since the Commission adopted its five factor test in 2008.
- 22 Q. CAN YOU IDENTIFY THOSE FIVE FACTORS?
- A. The five factors the Commission considers when reviewing proposed settlement agreements

1	0440	~ ~	fal:	1 ~ * * * ~ *
1	are	as	101	lows:

- 2 (1) whether there was an opportunity for the opposing party to be heard on their reasons
- 3 for opposition to the Agreement;
- 4 (2) whether the Agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence;
- 5 (3) whether the Agreement conforms with applicable law;
- 6 (4) whether the Agreement results in just and reasonable rates; and
- 7 (5) whether the results of the Agreement are in the public interest.

#### 8 Q. WHO ARE THE PARTIES TO THIS DOCKET?

- 9 A. The parties to this general investigation docket included all of the investor owned utilities,
- 10 CURB and Staff. However, because the Agreement only pertains to Black Hills' tax
- savings refund plan and only impacts Black Hills' customers, the relevant parties are all
- signatories to the Agreement.
- 13 Q. ARE THERE ANY ISSUES NOT ADDRESSED IN THE AGREEMENT THAT ANY
- 14 **OF THE PARTIES WISH TO LITIGATE?**
- 15 A. No. The Agreement addresses all issues between Black Hills, Staff and CURB.
- 16 Q. WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS IN THIS
- 17 **DOCKET?**
- 18 A. Representatives from Black Hills, Staff, and CURB participated in the settlement
- 19 negotiations as it related to Black Hills tax savings refund plan. Black Hills originally met
- with the parties at the Commission on February 21, 2018, to collectively discuss the issues in
- 21 this docket and the possibility for settlement of some or all of the issues. The parties
- continued discussions through March 7, 2018. A settlement of all issues was reached by
- Black Hills, Staff and CURB resulting in the Agreement filed with the Commission on

- 1 March 8, 2018.
- 2 Q. DID ALL PARTIES WHO WILL BE IMPACTED BY THE PROPOSED TAX
- 3 SAVINGS REFUND PLAN HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD WITH
- 4 RESPECT TO THE TERMS OF THE AGREEMENT?
- 5 A. Yes. All parties participated in the settlement discussions.
- 6 Q. IS THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AMONG THE PARTIES IN
- 7 CONFORMITY WITH THE FACTORS THE COMMISSION USES TO REVIEW
- 8 **SETTLEMENTS?**
- 9 A. Yes. Although the factors established by the Commission have a legal application, it is my
- understanding all the parties agree that the established standards have been met. A legal
- analysis in the Joint Motion addresses these points. The final settlement agreement
- 12 conforms to the instructions included by the Commission in its Order, is consistent with the
- intent set forth by the Commission in its Order, and will result in a TCJA tax savings refund
- plan that is fair and reasonable.
- 15 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 16 A. Yes.

## **VERIFICATION OF ROBERT J. AMDOR**

STATE OF NEBRASKA	
	JSS
COUNTY OF SARPY	)

I, Robert J. Amdor, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and state that I am the witness identified in the foregoing Testimony of Robert J. Amdor in Support of the Settlement Agreement; that I have read the testimony and am familiar with its contents; and that the facts set forth therein are true and correct.

Robert J. Amdor

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

day of March, 2018.

Notary Public

Appointment/Commission Expires:

State of Nebraska - General Notary CHRISTINA L. KOCH My Commission Expires July 4, 2018

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the above and foregoing Testimony of Robert J. Amdor in Support of the Settlement Agreement was sent via electronic mail, this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018, addressed to:

Monte Price

mwprice@anginc.net

**Barry Considine** 

barry@americanenergies.com

Dawn Graff

dawn.graff@anadarko.com

Shelly M. Bass

shelly.bass@atmosenergy.com

Kevin C. Frank

kevin.frank@atmosenergy.com

Jennifer G. Ries

jennifer.ries@atmosenergy.com

James Lloyd

bartonrwd@ruraltel.net

Robert J. Amdor

robert.amdor@blackhillscorp.com

Ann L. Stichler

ann.stichler@blackhillscorp.com

Glenda Cafer

glenda@caferlaw.com

Terri Pemberton terri@caferlaw.com

Thomas J. Connors

tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov

Todd E. Love

t.love@curb.kansas.gov

David W. Nickel

d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov

Shonda Rabb

s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov

Della Smith

d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

Brent Cunningham brent@ctctelephony.tv

Trent Boaldin

tdboaldin@epictouch.com

John R. Idoux

john.idoux@centurylink.com

Angela M. Cloven

acloven@empiredistrict.com

Christopher D. Krygier

Chris.Krygier@LibertyUtilities.com

Charlotte North

Charlotte.North@LibertyUtilities.com

Mark Doty

doty.mark@gmail.com

Thomas E. Gleason gleason@sunflower.com

Michael J. Murphy

tmurphy@gorhamtel.com

Tonya M. Murphy

tmurphy@gorhamtel.com

Robert A. Koch

robkoch@hbcomm.net

Richard Baldwin

rbaldwin@homecomminc.com

Mark Wade

mark@havilandtelco.com

Colleen R. Jamison

colleen@caplinger.net

Robert J. Hack rob.hack@kcpl.com

Ronald A. Klote

ronald.klote@kcpl.com

Tim Rush

tim.rush@kcpl.com

Roger W. Steiner

roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Anthony Westenkirchner anthony.westenkirchner@kcpl.com

Samuel Feather s.feather@kcc.ks.gov

Michael R. Neeley m.neeley@kcc.ks.gov

Janet Buchanan janet.buchanan@onegas.com

Judy Y. Jenkins judy.jenkins@onegas.com

Harry Lee <a href="mailto:harry.lee@laharpetel.com">harry.lee@laharpetel.com</a>

Diantha Stutesman mtn.diantha@gmail.com

Mark E. Caplinger mark@caplingerlaw.net

Kathy Billinger kathy@peoplestelecom.net

Janet Bathurst jbathurst@satelephone.com

Kendall S. Mikesell kendall.mikesell@sktcompanies.com

Lindsay Campbell lcampbell@pioneerelectric.coop

Randy Magnison rmagnison@pioneerelectric.coop

Chantry Scott <a href="mailto:cscott@pioneerelectric.coop">cscott@pioneerelectric.coop</a>

Mike Breuer mike@suburbanwaterinc.com

Mike McEvers mike@tkogas.com

Mark M. Gailey <a href="mailey@totelcsi.com">mmgailey@totelcsi.com</a>

Benjamin Foster ben.foster@tvtinc.net

Jeff Wick <a href="mailto:jwick@wtcks.com">jwick@wtcks.com</a>

Cathryn J. Dinges <a href="mailto:cathy.dinges@westarenergy.com">cathy.dinges@westarenergy.com</a>

Jeffrey L. Martin jeff.martin@westarenergy.com

Larry Wilkus larry.wilkus@westarenergy.com

Gregory Reed greg.reed@ensignal.com

Brian Boisvert boisvert@wilsoncom.us

Scott Grauer scott@wilsoncommunications.co

Kathy Price kprice@zendatelephone.com

James G. Flaherty