#### 2015-12-11 14:59:52

## THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Kansas Corporation Commission /s/ Amy L. Green

Before Commissioners:	Shari Feist All Jay Scott Emlo Pat Apple		, Chair
In the matter of the failur McFadden ("Operator") to		)	Docket No. 16-CONS-056-CPEN
K.A.R. 82-3-400 injection a	* *	)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
K.A.R. 82-3-409 reporting	*	)	I' 0066
regarding injection that took p 2014 calendar year.	place during the	)	License No. 8866

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY

**OF** 

**RENE STUCKY** 

- 1 Q. What is your name and business address?
- 2 A. Rene Stucky, 266 North Main Suite 220, Wichita, Kansas 67202.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 4 A. I'm employed by the Conservation Division of the Kansas Corporation Commission, as
- 5 Supervisor of the Underground Injection Control ("UIC") Department and the Production
- 6 Department.
- 7 Q. How long have you been employed by the KCC?
- 8 A. About 10 years. Before becoming Supervisor, I was an Environmental Scientist in the
- 9 UIC Department, where I reviewed and processed injection applications.
- 10 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What does your position with the Conservation Division involve?
- 13 A. I supervise everyone in the Conservation Division's UIC and Production Departments.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with this KCC Docket, 16-CONS-056-CPEN?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How are you familiar with this Docket?
- 17 A. When a member of the UIC Department intends to make penalty recommendations, I
- review the recommendations to make sure they are appropriate before they are sent to the
- 19 Legal Department. So I reviewed the penalty recommendation that Sanita Dean
- submitted in this matter before the penalty was issued, and I reviewed this matter again in
- 21 more detail before preparing this testimony.
- 22 Q. Is the penalty recommendation that you reviewed the same penalty recommendation that
- is Exhibit A of the Commission's Penalty Order?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did you draw any conclusion from your review?
- 26 A. Yes. Staff's penalty recommendation was appropriate.
- 27 O. Why was the recommendation appropriate?
- 28 A. Under K.A.R. 82-3-400(a), injection is permitted only after an operator has filed an
- application for injection authority and the Conservation Division has issued a written
- permit granting the application. And under K.A.R. 82-3-409(b), each operator must
- 31 submit a report to the Conservation Division on a yearly basis, by March 1st of the

- following year, showing the maximum wellhead pressure and the amount of fluid injected into each well, among other data.
- On January 22, 2015, Operator submitted a report for the 2014 calendar year for the
- Winslow #J 10 (Exhibit A). Operator stated that the maximum injection pressure it used
- 5 was 400 pounds per square inch, but Operator was only permitted to pressurize at 125
- 6 pounds per square inch. Given this fact, Operator either violated the terms of its permit in
- 7 violation of K.A.R. 82-3-400(a), or submitted false data in violation of K.A.R. 82-3-
- 8 409(b).
- 9 Q. How do you know that Operator was only permitted to inject at the pressure you just described?
- 11 A. You can look in RBDMS, which is the Commission's electronic well database, because
- Staff keeps RBDMS updated to reflect the authorized injection pressure and rate for each
- injection well. You can also review the paper file, which contains the official injection
- permit documents. I have done both, and it is clear that Operator is only permitted to
- inject at that pressure.
- Q. K.A.R. 82-3-400(a) and K.A.R. 82-3-409(b) do not list a specific penalty amount. Why
- did Staff recommend a \$500 penalty?
- 18 A. In this situation, Operator indicated on its injection report that it injected outside the
- terms of its permit. K.A.R. 82-3-400(b) actually lists a \$1,000 penalty for unpermitted
- injection, with even higher penalties for each subsequent violation.
- In Staff's experience, though, sometimes when an operator reports injecting outside
- 22 the terms of its permit, it actually just misreported. Either way, a regulatory violation
- occurred, but misreporting is a less serious violation. So we gave Operator the benefit of
- 24 the doubt, and recommended a penalty of less than \$1,000.
- At the same time, K.A.R. 82-3-409(c) lists a \$100 penalty for failure to file an
- 26 injection report. It is more serious to file a false report than it is to not file a report at all,
- because Staff can easily confirm it does not have an injection report, but it is very
- difficult for Staff to confirm that data on the injection report is false. The penalty needed
- to reflect that, and \$500 seems appropriate.
- Q. In your opinion, should the Penalty Order in this docket be affirmed?

- 1 A. Yes. Operator violated either K.A.R. 82-3-400(a) or K.A.R. 82-3-409(b), as the Penalty
- Order stated. After the Penalty Order was issued, along with its request for hearing,
- 3 Operator filed an amended injection report at less than the permitted rate, indicating that
- 4 Operator believes it violated K.A.R. 82-3-409(b), not K.A.R. 82-3-400(a). Either way, it
- does not change my opinion that the \$500 penalty is appropriate.
- 6 Q. Does this conclude your testimony as of this date, December 11, 2015?
- 7 A. Yes.

### Kansas Corporation Commission Oil & Gas Conservation Division

Form U3C July 2014 Form must be Typed Form must be completed on a per well basis

# ANNUAL REPORT OF PRESSURE MONITORING, FLUID INJECTION AND ENHANCED RECOVERY

Complete all blanks - add pages if needed. Copy to be retained for five (5) years after filing date.

OPERATOR: License #	8866		Permit Number	(E) (D) - E-14856	21390		
Name: Mc Fadden Oil Co. Address 1: Box 394		Permit Number: (E) (D) - E-14856					
						Address 2:	
January 1, 20 14 to December 31, 20 14 NE - 1/4 - Sec. 34 Twp. 24 S. R. 20 VE W							
		+	(00000)				
Contact Person: Jack Mc Fadden			4230 feet from N / S Line of Section				
Phone: ( <u>620</u> ) 49					▼ E / W Line of Section		
Lease Name: Winslow Well Number: J 10			Legal Description of Lease or Unit: NE 1/4				
		County: ALLEN					
If new operator, list previ	ious operator:						
I. Injection Fluid:		All 100 - 10					
Type:	Fresh Water T	reated Brine	Intreated Brine	Water/Brine			
_		ther (Attach List)	mireated Billie				
	tal Dissolved Solids:	The same of the sa	ndhr	Additions	KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION		
(Attach water analysi		mg/i Specific dra	ivity.	Additives.			
(Allacii water arialys	is, ii availabie)				JAN 2 2 2015		
II. Well Data:					CONSERVATION DIVISION WICHITA, V.S.		
Type Completion:	Tubing & packer, packe	r setting depth:	feet: Packer	rless (tubing, but no packer);	Tubingless		
	000		13331	ection Zone:			
	ed Injection Rate: 150						
	hanced Recovery Injection Wel	•		nclude TA's)			
ш.	Total Volume Injec	led # D	ays of Injection	Maximum Injection	Average Pressure		
Month		MCF	0.4	Pressure	Tubing/Casing Annulus		
January	150		31 	400 400			
February	150 150		31	400			
March	150	_	30	400	-		
April			31	400	-		
May	<u>160</u>		30	400			
June	160		31	400			
July	160		31	400			
August	140		30	400			
September	160		31	400			
October	140	-	30	400			
November December	150		31	400			
TOTAL	1820	0					

Print and Mail to: KCC - Conservation Division, 266 N Main St, Ste 220, Wichita, Kansas 67202-1513

### THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:	Shari Feist Albre Jay Scott Emler Pat Apple	echt,	Chair				
In the matter of the failure McFadden ("Operator") to c K.A.R. 82-3-400 injection auth K.A.R. 82-3-409 reporting regarding injection that took place 2014 calendar year.	comply with nority and/or requirements	) ) ) )	Docket No. 16-CONS-056-CPEN  CONSERVATION DIVISION  License No. 8866				
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE							
I, Paula Murray, certify that on							
Jack W. McFadden dba McFadden Oil Co. P.O. Box 394 Iola, Kansas 66749							

Paula Murray
Legal Assistant
Kansas Corporation Commission