BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Joint Application of)	
Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC,)	
Prairie Land Electric Cooperative, Inc.,		
Southern Pioneer Electric Company,) Docket No. 16-MKEE	
The Victory Electric Cooperative Association, Inc., and)	
Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc., for		
Approval of Individual 34.5kV Formula-Based Rates.)	

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

STEPHEN J. EPPERSON

ON BEHALF OF
SOUTHERN PIONEER ELECTRIC COMPANY

July 15, 2015

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
2	Q:	Please state your name and business address.
3	A:	My name is Stephen J. Epperson. My business address for legal service is
4		1850 W. Oklahoma, Ulysses, Kansas 67880 and for mail receipt is PO Box
5		430, Ulysses, Kansas 67880-0430.
6	Q:	What is your profession?
7	A:	I am the President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Southern Pioneer
8		Electric Company ("Southern Pioneer") pursuant to a certain Services
9		Agreement by and between Pioneer Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("Pioneer")
10		and Southern Pioneer, dated July 7, 2006. Our corporate office is located in
11		Ulysses, Kansas, with distribution and customer service offices located in
12		Liberal and Medicine Lodge, Kansas.
13	Q:	Please describe your responsibilities with Southern Pioneer.
14	A:	As the CEO, I work directly for the Board of Directors and am responsible
15		for assisting in establishing policy, implementing strategic programs,
16		establishing rates, and overseeing the overall operation of Pioneer and
17		Southern Pioneer to ensure reliable service at a competitive cost using
18		generally acceptable industry business practices. I also serve on the Board
19		of Directors of Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC ("Mid-Kansas") and
20		Sunflower Electric Power Corporation ("Sunflower), the wholesale
21		generation and transmission suppliers for Southern Pioneer and Pioneer,
22		respectively.

1	Q:	What is your educational background?
2	A:	I graduated in 1991 from Ozark Christian College, a cooperative program
3		with Missouri Southern State University, with a Bachelor's Degree, which
4		included double majors in Bible and Psychology. In 2002, I successfully
5		completed the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association's
6		Management Internship Program through the University of Nebraska at
7		Lincoln, Nebraska. In 2010, I received my Masters of Business
8		Administration from Bradley University.
9	Q:	What is your professional background?
10	A:	I was appointed Southern Pioneer's CEO effective January 1, 2011. Prior to
11		that date, I served as the President and CEO at McDonough Power
12		Cooperative located in Macomb, Illinois, from July 2006 to December 31,
13		2010. From August 2001 to July 2006, I served as the Senior Vice
14		President of Northeast Rural Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Northeast
15		Oklahoma Electric Cooperative. I have also served in other capacities in
16		both utilities and the private not-for-profit sectors: as a business supervisor,
17		consultant, business development director, and counselor.
18	Q:	Have you previously presented testimony before the Kansas
19		Corporation Commission ("Commission")?
20	A:	Yes. I have provided testimony in Commission Docket Nos. 12-MKEE-380-
21		RTS, 13-MKEE-447-MIS, 13-MKEE-452-MIS, 13-MKEE-699-RTS and 14-
22		SPEE-507-RTS.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A:

1 Q: What is the purpose of your testimony in this matter?

- 2 Α. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Joint Application of Southern 3 Pioneer requesting approval of a 34.5kV FBR to recover costs associated 4 with its 34.5kV sub-transmission facilities. Specifically, my testimony will (i) 5 provide a high level overview of Southern Pioneer and its proposed 34.5kV 6 FBR, including the differences in Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR 7 as compared to the 34.5kV FBRs of the other distribution cooperatives who 8 are Joint Applicants in this proceeding; and (ii) discuss Southern Pioneer's 9 experiences with formula-based rate-making methodologies.
- 10 Q: In addition to your testimony, is Southern Pioneer offering other11 testimony in support of this Joint Application?
 - Yes. Mr. Richard J. Macke, rate consultant with Power System Engineering, Inc. ("PSE"), will provide supporting testimony (i) describing in detail Southern Pioneer's requested 34.5kV FBR, including an overview of Southern Pioneer's proposed cost of service methodology, financial coverage ratio, protocols and formula template; and (ii) explaining the benefits of a formula-based rate mechanism for Southern Pioneer's 34.5kV facilities. Additionally, Mr. H. Davis Rooney, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC ("Mid-Kansas") will provide testimony explaining the reasons for Mid-Kansas' involvement in this Joint Application and the policy justification for instituting a 34.5kV FBR.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 II. SOUTHERN PIONEER AND ITS PROPOSED 34.5kV FBR

2 Q: Please provide a brief overview of Southern Pioneer.

A: Southern Pioneer is a not-for-profit, taxable Kansas corporation with its principal place of business located in Ulysses, Kansas, with distribution and customer service offices in Liberal and Medicine Lodge, Kansas. Southern Pioneer serves approximately 17,300 total retail customers, as well as provides local access delivery service ("LADS") to wholesale 34.5kV subtransmission users in ten southcentral and southwest Kansas counties. Southern Pioneer is a certificated electric public utility regulated by the Commission and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Pioneer, a not-for-profit Kansas member-owned electric cooperative not subject to Commission regulation for retail ratemaking purposes pursuant to K.S.A. 66-104d. Southern Pioneer was granted public utility status on November 21, 2013, when the Commission approved the transfer of the retail certificated territory, customers, Rules and Regulations, and tariffs from Mid-Kansas to Southern Pioneer in Docket No. 13-MKEE-447-MIS. Q: Is Southern Pioneer regulated by the Commission differently than the other three distribution cooperatives who are Joint Applicants to this proceeding? A: Yes. Southern Pioneer does not qualify as an entity that is eligible for selfregulation of its retail rates under K.S.A. 66-104d. However, the other three distribution cooperatives that are Joint Applicants in this proceeding do

qualify, and have elected for self-regulation. While each of the Joint

Applicants remains subject to Commission jurisdiction for purposes of their 1 2 local access charge ("LAC") to provide local "transmission service" to 3 wholesale LADS customers, only Southern Pioneer is subject to 4 Commission jurisdiction for purposes of its retail rates. 5 Q: Please summarize the 34.5kV FBR requested by Southern Pioneer in the Joint Application. 6 7 A: I will defer to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Macke to thoroughly 8 explain Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR requested in the Joint 9 Application. However, generally, Southern Pioneer is requesting 10 Commission approval of a 34.5kV FBR that establishes a formula for the 11 annual and systematic recovery of its revenue requirement from wholesale 12 LADS **and** its retail customers associated with owning, operating, and 13 maintaining its 34.5kV sub-transmission facilities (now existing or 14 hereinafter constructed). The revenue requirement associated with the 15 34.5kV sub-transmission facilities is recovered on a load ratio share basis from wholesale LADS customers through Southern Pioneer's LAC under 16 17 Southern Pioneer's LADS tariff, and from retail customers through the 18 applicable retail tariff rates.² If this proposed formulaic methodology for 19 Southern Pioneer's 34.5kV sub-transmission facilities is approved by the

¹ The proposed 34.5kV FBR also allows Southern Pioneer to allocate the costs of lower voltage distribution plant that provides service to a wholesale LADS customer(s) through utilization of a distribution ratio as outlined in the proposed Protocols, provided that such plant is existent and the associated costs are not already accounted for in a separate rate-making mechanism. This is consistent with the LADS tariff, Applicability and Character of Service sections.

² Currently, the wholesale LADS customer's and Southern Pioneer retail customers' load ratio shares of the 34.5kV system costs is approximately 37% and 63%, respectively.

1		Commission, it will be applied by Southern Pioneer in future annual update
2		filings to update its LAC and applicable retail rate schedules.
3	Q:	But doesn't Southern Pioneer already have a formula-based rate
4		mechanism in place?
5	A:	Yes, Southern Pioneer has in place a Debt Service Coverage Formula
6		Based Rate five-year Pilot Plan ("DSC-FBR Pilot Plan"), approved by
7		Commission Order on September 26, 2013, in Docket No. 13-MKEE-452-
8		MIS ("13-452 Docket"). The DSC-FBR Pilot Plan provides for the annual
9		recovery through retail rates of Southern Pioneer's revenue requirement
10		associated with owning, operating, and maintaining its distribution system;
11		however, 34.5kV system costs are expressly excluded from the DSC-FBR
12		Pilot Plan. Therefore, the 34.5kV FBR requested in this Joint Application is
13		in complement to Southern Pioneer's DSC-FBR Pilot Plan.
14	Q:	Is Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR the same as the 34.5kV
15		FBRs of the other distribution cooperatives who are Joint Applicants
16		in this proceeding?
17	A:	While it is certainly similar, it is not entirely the same, partly due to the fact
18		that Southern Pioneer remains retail rate regulated by the Commission, and
19		as such has different considerations than the other Joint Applicants. For the
20		other distribution cooperatives who are Joint Applicants, the LAC rate
21		resultant from their respective 34.5kV FBRs and applicable directly to their
22		respective wholesale LADS customers is the only rate subject to
23		Commission approval. However, for Southern Pioneer, whose 34.5kV FBR

1 also calculates the LAC applicable to its wholesale LADS customers, an 2 additional step is needed to convert the LAC rate into the corresponding 3 retail rates, as both the resulting LAC rate and the corresponding retail 4 rates that together recover the total revenue requirement associated with 5 the 34.5kV facilities require Commission approval. For a more detailed 6 discussion regarding the technical mechanics of the 34.5kV FBR, please 7 see the testimony of Mr. Macke. 8 Q: Are there other components of Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV 9 FBR that are different from the other distribution cooperatives' 10 proposed 34 .5kV FBRs? 11 A: Yes. As previously discussed, Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR is 12 in complement to its retail distribution DSC-FBR Pilot Plan. Therefore, Southern Pioneer is requesting Commission approval of a 34.5kV FBR that 13 14 is slightly different than the other distribution cooperatives' proposed 34.5kV 15 FBRs, in order to provide for the same methodology that has already been approved in the DSC-FBR Pilot Plan, thereby ensuring equitable rates to all 16 17 affected customers. 18 Q: Please explain the specific differences in Southern Pioneer's 19 proposed 34.5kV FBR, as compared to the other Joint Applicant 20 Members' 34.5kV FBRs, necessary to align the 34.5kV FBR with 21 Southern Pioneer's DSC-FBR Pilot Plan. 22 First, Southern Pioneer is requesting a 1.75 Modified Debt Service A: 23 Coverage ("MDSC") ratio target as the determinant for its return

A:

requirement, calculated in accordance with Southern Pioneer's loan covenants with its lender. Southern Pioneer has a single primary lender, CoBank, ACB ("CoBank"), unlike the other Joint Applicants, who may be using National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation ("CFC") and/or Department of Agriculture's Rural Utilities Service ("RUS"). CoBank's primary financial compliance measurement is a Debt Service Coverage ratio ("DSC"). In addition, the MDSC formula CoBank applies to Southern Pioneer varies from a traditional MDSC calculation, i.e., it is specific to Southern Pioneer. The proposed 1.75 MDSC, calculated in accordance with this CoBank specific formula, is the same methodology instituted by Southern Pioneer in its Commission-approved DSC-FBR Pilot Plan.

Q: Please continue.

Second, Southern Pioneer is requesting in its 34.5kV FBR Protocols an Annual Update filing schedule and a procedure that is similar to its DSC-FBR Pilot Plan Protocols. The purpose of requesting a similar filing schedule and procedure is to provide for, among other things, symmetry between and ease of administration of both of the formula--based rates. Southern Pioneer's DSC-FBR Pilot Plan Protocols provide that within sixty (60) days of the date of the filing, Staff and Interveners may file testimony with the Commission. If deficiencies are alleged, Southern Pioneer will file rebuttal testimony within 75 days from the date of the filing. Within 90 days from the date of filing, the Commission issues an order either approving the filing based upon the paper record, or ordering an evidentiary hearing to be

held to address the issues raised by Staff and/or Interveners. The hearing, if necessary, is to proceed as expeditiously as possible, with the explicit goal of a Commission Order within 120 days from the Filing Date. This DSC-FBR Pilot Plan Annual Update filing schedule is quite similar to the schedule Southern Pioneer is requesting for its proposed 34.5kV FBR in the instant proceeding, with a few exceptions:

- (i) Southern Pioneer proposes an alternative to Staff and Interveners filing formal testimony within sixty (60) days of the date of the filing. If all parties are in agreement that Southern Pioneer's filing is in compliance with its 34.5kV FBR and will result in just and reasonable rates, then Staff may simply file a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") in support of Southern Pioneer's filing. This is not intended to deny Interveners the opportunity to file testimony in the event of a relevant objection relating to the filing, but rather, the purpose of the Staff R&R is to relieve Staff and Interveners of the obligation to file formal testimony in the event formal testimony is unnecessary due to the lack of relevant objection to the filing.
- (ii) Southern Pioneer proposes to provide more formality around the schedule for the technical conference and resolution of issues with the Annual Update filing. The one-day technical conference, if determined necessary by the parties, will be set sometime during days 40-45 from the date of the filing. The conference will be utilized as a forum to informally resolve any party's issues with the Annual

1		Update filing. If there are additional issues that arise within the
2		technical conference, the schedule would allow time for a party to
3		issue follow-up data requests to Southern Pioneer post-technical
4		conference. A telephone conference call would subsequently be held
5		between the parties sometime during days 50-55 to discuss the
6		status of any outstanding issues and if there are not any outstanding
7		issues, discuss Staff's filing of a Report and Recommendation
8		indicating that the Annual Update filing will result in just and
9		reasonable rates.
10	Q:	If Southern Pioneer is situated differently than the other Member Joint
11		Applicants, why did it elect to submit to the Commission the request
12		for a 34.5kV FBR as part of a joint filing, rather than an individual
13		filing?
14	A:	Southern Pioneer is submitting this Joint Application, as opposed to an
15		individual application, because the Commission's Staff requested that when
16		this filing was made, that the respective parties collaboratively present the
17		issue to the Commission, which we took to mean a joint application.
18		III. EXPERIENCE WITH FORMULA-BASED RATE PLANS
19	Q:	What are the reasons for Southern Pioneer's request to implement the
20		proposed 34.5kV FBR?
21	A:	In Southern Pioneer's opinion, and based on our recent experience in
22		implementing the DSC-FBR Pilot Plan, the FBR approach reduces the
23		costs and regulatory lag of a traditional rate case while ensuring the

A:

Commission and interested parties are afforded a comprehensive and systematic review of the resultant rates (which, by the inherent design of the proposed 34.5kV FBR, remain cost-based). The Prefiled Direct Testimony of Richard J. Macke further highlights the advantages that a formula-based rate approach offers to the Commission, affected utilities and their respective lenders and customers, as compared to a traditional rate proceeding.

Q: In your opinion, has Southern Pioneer experienced the advantages of the suggested policy arguments in favor of an FBR?

Yes. Southern Pioneer's first DSC-FBR Pilot Plan annual update was filed in May 2014. The costs of the first annual filing paled in comparison to the amount that would have been expended on a traditional rate case. Although the initial approval of the DSC-FBR Pilot Plan ("13-452 Docket") took considerable time and resources, the subsequent annual filings in place of a traditional rate case have so far resulted in far less regulatory and consulting costs due to the use of an agreed upon formula and procedural protocols providing for a consolidated review period for the Commission and any interested parties. While we are in the midst of our second annual filing, I believe that the process last year and the process to-date in the current annual filing have and are continuing to proceed smoothly.

1	Q:	Does Southern Pioneer have any additional experience with the FBR
2		approach?
3	A:	Yes. Southern Pioneer also has filed for and received Commission approva
4		of a Property Tax Surcharge rider, a formulaic mechanism that annually
5		recovers property taxes paid but not recovered by base retail rates.
6		Additionally, Southern Pioneer's Commission-approved Energy Cost
7		Adjustment ("ECA") is also representative of a formulaic mechanism with
8		automatic monthly and annual updates.
9		IV. MID-KANSAS AS A JOINT APPLICANT
10	Q:	If Southern Pioneer owns all of the 34.5kV facilities that are the
11		subject of Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR, why is there a
12		need for Mid-Kansas to be a Joint Applicant with Southern Pioneer in
13		this proceeding?
14	A:	Mid-Kansas is a Joint Applicant in this proceeding due to the fact that Mid-
15		Kansas previously agreed in prior Commission proceedings to be the
16		"single point of contact" and LAC billing agent for wholesale LADS over the
17		Members' 34.5kV facilities. ³ Currently, Mid-Kansas is responsible for
18		administering wholesale LADS over Southern Pioneer's 34.5kV facilities
19		pursuant to the terms of the Mid-Kansas Open Access Transmission Tariff.
20		If Southern Pioneer's proposed 34.5kV FBR is approved by the
21		Commission, Southern Pioneer's LAC under its LADS tariff will be updated
22		annually and Mid-Kansas will bill wholesale LADS customers the updated

³ See Docket Nos. 09-MKEE-969-RTS and 11-GIME-597-GIE.

- 1 LAC in accordance with the provisions for LADS under the Mid-Kansas
- 2 OATT. Please see the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Rooney for a more
- detailed explanation of Mid-Kansas' administration of wholesale LADS over
- 4 Southern Pioneer's 34.5kV sub-transmission facilities.
- 5 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A: Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION OF STEPHEN J. EPPERSON

STATE OF KANSAS)
) ss
COUNTY OF GRANT)

Stephen J. Epperson, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Stephen J. Epperson referred to in the foregoing document entitled "Direct Testimony of Stephen J. Epperson" before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas, that he is an officer of Southern Pioneer Electric Company, and that the statements therein were prepared by him or under his direction and are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Stephen J Epperson

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 14th day of July, 2015.

MELISSA MORALES Notary Public - State of Kansas My Appt. Expires 121.2010

My Appointment Expires: 12/2016