

**BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

GEORGE M. McCOLLISTER

**ON BEHALF OF
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
TO MODIFY ITS TARIFFS TO CONTINUE THE
IMPLEMENTATION OF ITS REGULATORY PLAN**

DOCKET NO. 10-KCPE-415-RTS

1 **Q: Please state your name and business address.**

2 A: My name is George M. McCollister, Ph.D. My business address is 1200 Main
3 Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64105.

4 **Q: By whom and in what capacity are you employed?**

5 A: I am the Manager of Market Assessment at Kansas City Power & Light Company
6 (“KCP&L” or the “Company”).

7 **Q: Please describe your education, experience and employment history.**

8 A: I earned three degrees from the University of California at San Diego. These
9 include a Bachelor of Arts degree in mathematics and chemistry, a Master of Arts
10 degree in mathematics, and a Ph.D. in economics. My specialties in the
11 economics program were microeconomics and econometrics.

12 I was previously employed at three electric and natural gas utilities. I was
13 employed as an Energy Economist at Pacific Gas and Electric Company where I

1 was responsible for developing end-use models of electric and natural gas sales
2 and for analyzing responses to energy-use surveys of our customers. I was
3 employed as a Senior Forecast Analyst at San Diego Gas and Electric Company
4 where I developed models of customer choice, energy sales and system reliability.
5 I was also employed by UtiliCorp United, Inc. as the Forecast Leader where I was
6 responsible for end-use forecasting in integrated resource plans, budget forecasts,
7 weather normalization, variance analysis and for statistical analysis. I have also
8 been employed by several consulting firms including Resource Management
9 International and Spectrum Economics, Inc. that specialized in regulated
10 industries. The majority of my consulting projects focused on energy forecasting
11 issues and modeling for electric and natural gas utilities.

12 **Q: Have you previously testified in a proceeding before the Kansas Corporation**
13 **Commission (“KCC” or “Commission”) or before any other utility**
14 **regulatory agency?**

15 A: Yes, I have testified before the KCC, the Missouri Public Service Commission
16 (“MPSC”), the Oklahoma Corporation Commission, and the Public Utilities
17 Commission in Colorado.

18 **Q: What is the purpose of your testimony?**

19 A: I am sponsoring the weather normalization of monthly Kilowatt-hour (“kWh”)
20 sales and peak loads in Schedules GMM2010-1 through GMM2010-3. I
21 recommend that the Commission adopt these results in the current case.

1 **Q: What is the purpose of making a weather adjustment?**

2 A: Abnormal weather can increase or decrease a utility company's revenues, fuel
3 costs and rate of return. Therefore, revenues and expenses are typically adjusted
4 to reflect normal weather to determine a company's future electric rates. These
5 adjustments are made by first adjusting kWh sales and hourly loads and then
6 using these results to adjust revenues and fuel costs.

7 During the test year, there were 2.2% fewer heating degree days and
8 15.9% fewer cooling degree days than normal at the Kansas City International
9 Airport. Thus, both heating and cooling loads were less than normal.

10 **Q: What method was used to weather-normalize kWh sales?**

11 A: Our method was based on load research ("LR") data, which was derived by
12 measuring hourly loads for a sample of KCP&L's customers representing the
13 Residential, Small General Service, Medium General Service, Large General
14 Service and Large Power Service tariff groups. The hourly loads were grossed up
15 by the ratio of the number of customers in each of these classes divided by the
16 number sampled.

17 In the first step, the hourly loads for the sample were calibrated to the
18 annual billed sales of all customers in each class. The ratio of the billed sales
19 divided by the sum of the hourly loads was multiplied by the load in each hour.

20 In the second step, the hourly loads were estimated for lighting tariffs, and
21 then the loads for all tariffs, including sales for resale, were grossed up for losses
22 and compared to Net System Input ("NSI"). The difference between this sum and

1 the NSI was then allocated back to the LR data in proportion to the hourly
2 precisions that were estimated for the load research data.

3 In the third step, regression analysis was used to model the hourly loads
4 for each tariff. These models included a piecewise linear temperature response
5 function of a two-day weighted mean temperature.

6 In the fourth step, this temperature response function was used to compute
7 daily weather adjustments as the difference between loads predicted with normal
8 weather and loads predicted with actual weather. Normal weather was derived
9 representing average weather conditions over the 1971-2000 time period.

10 In the fifth step, the daily weather adjustments were split into hourly
11 adjustments and these were added to NSI to weather-normalize that series.

12 In the sixth step, the daily weather adjustments were split into billing
13 months based on the percentage of sales in each billing cycle and the meter
14 reading schedule for the test year period. These weather adjustments were then
15 summed by billing month and added to billed kWh sales to weather-normalize
16 that data.

17 **Q: Why was 1971-2000 time period used to weather normalize electric sales?**

18 A: Most public utility commissions have traditionally used the period that is used by
19 the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (“NOAA”) to
20 compute normal weather statistics. NOAA computes normal weather statistics
21 using the last three decades, which is currently 1971-2000. NOAA recomputes
22 and publishes normal weather statistics every ten years at the end of a decade.

1 Scientists at NOAA have discussed alternatives to this 30-year period, but no
2 official decisions have been made to change this methodology.

3 **Q: What are the results of these normalizations?**

4 A: Schedule GMM2010-1 shows the adjustments for weather normalization on kWh
5 sales. Schedule GMM2010-2 shows weather-normalized peak loads by class and
6 Schedule GMM2010-3 shows weather-normalized loads by class at the time of
7 the monthly system peak load.

8 **Q: Why did you use data from the weather station at the Kansas City
9 International Airport (“KCI”)?**

10 A: To employ its weather normalization methodology, KCP&L needs 30 years of
11 daily minimum and maximum temperature data for a weather station located near
12 its service territory. KCI is a first order weather station, which means that the
13 data is collected by the national weather service using trained professionals and
14 this data is checked and edited to ensure accuracy. KCI is the nearest first order
15 weather station to our service area in Kansas.

16 **Q: Does that conclude your testimony?**

17 A: Yes, it does.

ADJUSTMENTS TO MONTHLY BILLED SALES

Tariff	Weather Adjustments to Monthly Billed Sales												Test Year
	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Jan-09	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep-09	
Residential	13,515	-2,593	-5,954	-1,105	10,481	4,692	-2,199	-1,867	-5,057	32,350	44,270	31,441	117,972
Small GS	705	-220	-311	-71	496	126	-92	-51	-270	1,473	2,164	1,562	5,513
Medium GS	1,504	-354	-354	-63	444	-117	-60	-77	-580	2,996	4,520	3,254	11,114
Large GS	3,473	-454	-929	-474	2,043	-23	-240	-40	-1,074	5,373	9,562	6,669	23,887
Large Power	61	-6	-121	7	240	-15	-2	-4	-38	152	179	140	594
Total	19,259	-3,628	-7,669	-1,705	13,705	4,662	-2,593	-2,039	-7,019	42,344	60,695	43,067	159,080

WEATHER NORMALIZED MONTHLY PEAK LOADS (MW)

WEATHER NORMALIZED MONTHLY PEAK LOADS (MW)													
Tariff	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Jan-09	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep08 -Aug09
Residential	863	455	563	732	668	613	504	489	668	905	1,005	1,012	1,012
Small GS	93	55	53	64	76	68	51	54	71	91	89	89	93
Medium GS	192	143	128	125	131	126	119	133	156	175	195	193	195
Large GS	459	369	348	394	395	384	346	358	423	437	461	463	463
Large Power	32	28	29	36	36	36	31	31	27	30	30	29	36
Street Lights	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Traffic Signals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Area Lights	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Off Peak Lighting	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Total Retail	1,470	885	992	1,251	1,243	1,199	982	895	1,162	1,534	1,706	1,707	1,707

Note: These numbers include losses.

WEATHER NORMALIZED MONTHLY COINCIDENT PEAK LOADS (MW)

WEATHER NORMALIZED MONTHLY COINCIDENT PEAK LOADS (MW)													
Tariff	Sep-08	Oct-08	Nov-08	Dec-08	Jan-09	Feb-09	Mar-09	Apr-09	May-09	Jun-09	Jul-09	Aug-09	Sep08 -Aug09
Residential	698	455	514	676	633	611	469	381	668	877	987	1,003	1,003
Small GS	93	37	39	50	63	59	48	42	45	65	72	66	93
Medium GS	192	100	94	113	114	112	97	104	125	157	173	171	192
Large GS	459	256	305	366	395	380	339	323	306	413	448	439	459
Large Power	28	16	26	33	36	36	29	28	17	22	25	27	36
Street Lights	0	4	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Traffic Signals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Area Lights	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Off Peak Lighting	0	8	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	1470	878.1	991.81	1251.5	1243	1198.6	982.37	877.74	1162.2	1,534	1,706	1,707	1,707

Note: These numbers include losses.