

Exhibit No.:  
Issue: Customer Savings and Necessary  
Regulatory Approvals  
Witness: Christopher D. Krygier  
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony  
Sponsoring Party: The Empire District  
Electric Company  
Case No:  
APSC Docket No. 17-061-U  
KCC Docket No. 18-EPDE-\_\_\_\_\_-PRE  
MPSC File No. EO-2018-0092  
OCC No. PUD 2017 \_\_\_\_\_  
Date Testimony Prepared: October 2017

**Direct Testimony**  
  
**of**  
  
**Christopher D. Krygier**



**Empire District™**  
A Liberty Utilities Company

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 3

II. RELIEF REQUESTED TO IMPLEMENT THE CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN..... 5

    a. Wind Projects Related Relief ..... 7

    b. Other Regulatory Relief ..... 8

III. CUSTOMER RATE IMPACTS ..... 10

IV. STATE SPECIFIC FILING REQUIREMENTS ..... 12

    a. Minimum Filing Requirements Under K.S.A. 66-1239..... 12

    b. Empire’s Request Under K.S.A. 66-1245 ..... 13

    c. Empire’s Request Under The Clean Energy Development Act..... 14

    d. Empire’s Request Under Missouri Chapter 22, Chapter 12 – Change in Preferred Plan .. 14

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is Christopher D. Krygier and my business address is 602 South Joplin  
4 Avenue, Joplin, Missouri, 64801.

5 **Q. WHO IS YOUR EMPLOYER AND WHAT POSITION DO YOU HOLD?**

6 A. My employer is Liberty Utilities Service Corp. and I serve as the Director of Rates  
7 and Regulatory Affairs for Liberty Utilities Central Region, which includes The  
8 Empire District Electric Company (“Empire” or “Company”).

9 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.**

10 A. In 2006, I completed my Bachelor of Science in Economics from the W.P. Carey  
11 School of Business at Arizona State University. In 2010, I completed my Master of  
12 Business Administration with an emphasis in Finance from Arizona State University.  
13 Finally, I am a Certified Management Accountant as designated by the Institute of  
14 Management Accountants.

15 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

16 A. I currently oversee the rates and regulatory affairs for Liberty Utilities Central Region  
17 which includes electric, natural gas, water and wastewater utilities located in  
18 Missouri, Kansas, Arkansas, Oklahoma, Iowa and Illinois. Prior to that, I worked for  
19 Liberty Utilities affiliates located in Jackson, Missouri and Avondale, Arizona and  
20 was responsible for rates and regulatory affairs. Before working for Liberty Utilities,  
21 I worked for several subsidiaries of American Water Works, Inc. for approximately  
22 six years in a variety of capacities, including Financial Planning and Analysis, Rates,  
23 Regulatory Compliance and Capital Programs.

1 **Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY STATE REGULATORY**  
2 **COMMISSIONS?**

3 A. Yes, I have provided testimony before the public utility commissions in Arizona,  
4 Arkansas, Hawaii, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri and Oklahoma.

5 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF YOUR TESTIMONY.**

6 A. My testimony describes the requested relief associated with the Company's Customer  
7 Savings Plan, the impact of the Customer Savings Plan on customer rates, and state  
8 specific filing requirements. It is important to note that Empire is not proposing any  
9 changes to customer rates as part of this filing, but rather is proposing a plan that will  
10 result in lower cost power in the future for its customers. Based on the Generation  
11 Fleet Savings Analysis outlined in Company witness McMahon's testimony, it is  
12 estimated that customers will experience up to \$325 million of savings on their  
13 overall bill over twenty years. As calculated by Company witness Macias in **Direct**  
14 **Attachment GEM-2**, based on the estimated \$325 million in savings, the monthly  
15 average lower cost of power for a residential customer using 1,000 kwh for the  
16 twenty year period is significant, Table 1 reflects the savings by state, but on average,  
17 Empire residential customers are saving \$9.62 per month for the twenty year period.

<b>Table 1</b>	
<b>State</b>	<b>Average Residential Savings Per Month</b>
Missouri	\$9.33
Arkansas	\$11.62
Kansas	\$10.02
Oklahoma	\$7.49
4 State Average	\$9.62

1

2 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE EMPIRE AND THE AREA IT SERVES.**

3 A. Empire was acquired by Liberty Utilities (Central) Co., an indirect subsidiary of  
 4 Algonquin Power & Utilities Corp., on January 1, 2017. Empire owns and operates  
 5 an electric utility system located in contiguous portions of Arkansas, Kansas,  
 6 Missouri and Oklahoma, which serves approximately 172,000 electric customers.  
 7 Approximately 153,000 of Empire’s customers are located in Missouri, 10,000 in  
 8 Kansas, 4,700 in Oklahoma and 4,500 in Arkansas.

9 **II. RELIEF REQUESTED TO IMPLEMENT THE CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN**

10 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY’S CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN.**

11 A. Through its Customer Savings Plan, Empire is proposing changes to its generation  
 12 fleet in order to take advantage of expiring federal production tax credits (“PTCs”) to  
 13 bring up to \$325 million in savings for its customers over the next twenty years.  
 14 Specifically, Empire proposes acquiring up to 800 MW of wind generation  
 15 strategically located in or near its service territory (the “Wind Projects”) in  
 16 conjunction with a tax equity partner (or partners, as the case may be). By partnering

1 with tax equity, Empire can acquire this generation for approximately 40 cents on the  
2 dollar. At the same time, Empire proposes to retire its Asbury coal plant, saving  
3 customers millions of dollars in annual operating expenses and avoiding tens of  
4 millions of dollars of capital investments needed by April 2019 to meet  
5 environmental regulations. Empire is requesting an order from each of its state  
6 commissions effective no later than June 30, 2018 so that it can take advantage of this  
7 limited window of opportunity to make these savings a reality for its customers.

8 **Q. IS EMPIRE REQUESTING THAT ANY OF ITS COMMISSIONS<sup>1</sup> GRANT**  
9 **ANY RATE INCREASES IN THIS FILING?**

10 A. No. Rate changes to reflect the savings and costs of the Customer Savings Plan will  
11 occur either in the next general rate case in each jurisdiction or in a subsequent filing  
12 as applicable in each jurisdiction in which Empire operates. While Empire will seek  
13 recovery for the costs it will incur to acquire, operate and maintain the wind projects  
14 that are part of the Customer Savings Plan in a subsequent proceeding(s), Empire  
15 seeks approval now of certain regulatory treatment of costs associated with the  
16 Customer Savings Plan given the magnitude of the contemplated investments.

17 **Q. WHAT RELIEF DOES EMPIRE SEEK FROM THE COMMISSION TO**  
18 **IMPLEMENT THE CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN?**

19 A. Empire seeks the following approvals in order to implement the Customer Savings  
20 Plan:

21 1. Authorization to record its investment in and the costs to operate and maintain

---

<sup>1</sup> The Company refers to each of the four state public utility commissions as “commissions,” not in specific reference to one commission.

1 any Wind Projects acquired as part of the Customer Savings Plan as described  
2 in Mr. Mooney's testimony, including a finding that Empire's investment  
3 related to the Customer Savings Plan should not be excluded from Empire's  
4 rate base on the ground that the decision to proceed with the Plan was not  
5 prudent;

- 6
- 7 2. Authorization to create a regulatory asset for the undepreciated balance of the  
8 Asbury facility, as described in Company witness Sager's testimony, so that it  
9 may be considered for rate base treatment in subsequent rate cases;
- 10
- 11 3. Approval of the arrangements between Empire and affiliates necessary to  
12 implement the Customer Savings Plan, to the extent necessary;
- 13
- 14 4. Approval of depreciation rates for the Wind Projects, as described in  
15 Company witness Watson's testimony, so that Empire can begin depreciating  
16 those assets as soon as they are placed in service; and
- 17
- 18 5. Issuance of an order that is effective by June 30, 2018 so that Empire can take  
19 advantage of a limited window of opportunity to bring these savings to  
20 customers.
- 21

22 I describe each portion of the requested relief below.

23 **a. Wind Projects Related Relief**

24 **Q. WHAT RELIEF IS EMPIRE SEEKING AS IT RELATES TO THOSE COSTS**  
25 **AND INVESTMENTS EMPIRE INCURS TO ACQUIRE AND OPERATE**  
26 **THE WIND PROJECTS?**

27 A. Empire requests that the Commission authorize it to treat its capital investment to  
28 acquire the Wind Projects as rate base investment, and to allow it to recover the  
29 operating expenses associated with the Wind Projects in the same manner it recovers  
30 the operating expense of its generation assets today. Company witness Mooney's  
31 testimony describes that capital investment in detail, as well as the related operating  
32 expenses.

33 **Q. WHY IS THIS PROPOSED RATEMAKING TREATMENT REASONABLE?**

1 A. The Wind Projects will be jointly owned by Empire and the tax equity partner(s)  
2 which is similar to Empire's joint ownership of its Iatan and Plum Point generation.  
3 In those cases, Empire's costs to acquire the generating units have been included in  
4 Empire's rate base, and their operating costs have been flowed through to customers.  
5 Empire's customers will be receiving the savings arising out of acquisition of the  
6 Wind Projects, and thus it is appropriate that they should pay the costs associated  
7 with them.

8 **b. Other Regulatory Relief**

9 **Q. WHAT RELIEF IS EMPIRE SEEKING RELATED TO ASBURY?**

10 A. Empire is requesting authority to record as a regulatory asset the remaining plant  
11 balances associated with the Asbury coal plant once retired. Company witness  
12 Sager's testimony discusses the accounting mechanics of this request.

13 **Q. HOW DOES THE CONTINUED RECOVERY OF EMPIRE'S ASBURY**  
14 **INVESTMENT BENEFIT EMPIRE'S CUSTOMERS?**

15 A. The \$172 - \$325 million in savings proposed by the Customer Savings Plan, and  
16 discussed in Company witness McMahon's testimony is premised on the retirement  
17 of Asbury and the establishment of a regulatory asset allowing for the return on and  
18 of the remaining net plant balances. As Company witness Swain explains, without  
19 adopting the Customer Savings Plan in its entirety, Empire would not be able to bring  
20 these savings to its customers.

21 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE REQUESTED RELIEF RELATED TO AFFILIATE**  
22 **TRANSACTIONS.**



1 A. As Company witnesses Mertens and Mooney explain, there will be a number of  
2 contracts between Empire or Liberty Utilities Service Corp. and the Wind Project Co.  
3 Because the Wind Project Co. will be a subsidiary of Empire's, it will be an affiliated  
4 company, and thus affiliate requirements may be triggered. Empire requests that the  
5 Commission authorize these affiliate transactions to the extent required in order to  
6 implement the Customer Savings Plan.

7 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S REQUESTED RELIEF RELATED TO**  
8 **DEPRECIATION RATES.**

9 A. Company witness Mooney explains that the Wind Projects will be placed in-service  
10 no later than December 31, 2020 to meet Internal Revenue Service guidelines for  
11 PTC eligibility. Because Empire does not have wind depreciation rates in place, it  
12 will need to have a depreciation rate in place for these assets effective as of the date  
13 that they are placed in-service. This rate would remain in effect until Empire's next  
14 rate case is completed and a full depreciation study can be completed for the Wind  
15 Projects. Company witness Watson's testimony recommends a depreciation rate for  
16 use until a full depreciation study is completed.

17 **Q. IS EMPIRE REQUESTING AN ORDER BY A PARTICULAR DATE?**

18 A. Yes. Empire requests that the Commission issue an order effective no later than June  
19 30, 2018 so that the Company can take the steps necessary to implement the  
20 Customer Savings Plan. As outlined by Company witnesses Swain and Mooney,  
21 Empire has a very limited period of time to acquire wind generation assets that  
22 qualify for the PTCs and to avoid spending millions of dollars on further  
23 environmental upgrades for Asbury. Without acting within the time frame requested,

1 Empire cannot bring up to \$325 million in savings to its customers. Thus, without  
2 such action, this opportunity will be lost for the Company's customers.

3 **III. CUSTOMER RATE IMPACTS**

4 **Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE CUSTOMER RATE IMPACTS OF THE**  
5 **CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN.**

6 A. The Customer Savings Plan is expected to result in a lower cost of power for the  
7 average residential customer monthly bill by \$7 to \$12 depending on whether you are  
8 a resident of Missouri, Arkansas, Kansas or Oklahoma. This monthly savings is  
9 estimated by looking at the revenue requirement impacts of both the wind generation  
10 asset and the retirement of Asbury, which is reflected on **Direct Attachment CDK-1**.

11 **Q. PLEASE DISCUSS EACH COST CATEGORY LISTED ON DIRECT**  
12 **ATTACHMENT CDK-1.**

13 A. **Direct Attachment CDK-1** lists five major categories: 1) Asbury fuel savings, 2)  
14 Asbury O&M savings, 3) Asbury regulatory asset return on and of, 4) wind  
15 generation asset revenue requirement, and 5) Wind Project energy savings.

16 The first component, Asbury fuel savings, refers to the cost savings resulting  
17 from avoided purchases of coal once Asbury is retired. The second component,  
18 Asbury O&M savings, similar to coal costs, reflects the reductions in O&M due to  
19 Asbury no longer operating. In this case, repairs, maintenance, labor and other  
20 charges will no longer be experienced after the plant closes. The third component,  
21 Asbury regulatory asset return on and of, calculates the annual amount of regulatory  
22 asset amortization and rate base return generated by the Asbury plant. This annual  
23 amortization was calculated by taking the remaining net plant balance and amortizing

1 it over 30 years. The rate base return multiplies the net plant balance by the weighted  
2 average cost of capital to net the annual return component grossed-up for income  
3 taxes. The fourth component, the Wind Projects revenue requirement, calculates the  
4 return on and of the Wind Projects. This component reflects the tax equity  
5 investment described in Mr. Mooney's testimony, a thirty year depreciation rate as  
6 recommended by Mr. Watson, and the weighted average cost of capital grossed-up  
7 for taxes as described by Company witness McMahon. The fifth component, Wind  
8 Projects energy savings, reflects the amount of energy output expected from the Wind  
9 Projects plus the incremental revenue that will be received from the Southwest Power  
10 Pool. These five components are summed and netted against each other resulting in  
11 an estimated revenue requirement reduction for the twenty year period contemplated  
12 by the Customer Savings Plan.

13 **Q. DID EMPIRE PERFORM A NEW RATE DESIGN STUDY TO ALLOCATE**  
14 **THESE SAVINGS FOR THIS PROJECT?**

15 A. No, Empire relied on the rate designs previously used in its rate cases in each  
16 jurisdiction. Company witness Macias provides a detailed breakdown of the revenue  
17 requirement components discussed above and allocated by customer class based upon  
18 rate designs frameworks utilized in the prior rate case for each Empire jurisdiction.

19 **Q. WHAT IS THE RESULT OF THIS RATE ALLOCATION?**

20 A. As reflected in Table 1 earlier and reflected in Direct Attachment GEM-2, the  
21 average monthly savings over the twenty years \$9.62 for Empire residential  
22 customers.

23 **Q. ARE THERE ANY OTHER POTENTIAL IMPACTS TO CONSIDER?**

1 A. Yes, one potential impact to consider is how the savings are captured on a yearly  
2 basis over the twenty or thirty year period contemplated by the Customer Savings  
3 Plan. Once the final Wind Projects are selected through the Request for Proposal  
4 process, the Company will re-calculate the savings on a year-by-year basis and  
5 determine if any levelization of the savings is necessary to ensure no net detriment to  
6 customers.

7 **IV. STATE SPECIFIC FILING REQUIREMENTS**

8 **a. Minimum Filing Requirements Under K.S.A. 66-1239**

9 **Q. DOES EMPIRE'S FILING MEET THE MINIMUM FILING**  
10 **REQUIREMENTS UNDER K.S.A. 66-1239?**

11 A. As I understand it, in order for an electric utility to seek a pre-determination of the  
12 ratemaking principles and treatment that will apply to the recovery in rates of the  
13 costs incurred in acquiring new electric generation facilities, the utility is required to  
14 provide information regarding the following:

- 15 (1) The description of proposed generation facilities and selection process.
- 16 (2) The utility's conservation measures and demand side management ("DSM")  
17 efforts.
- 18 (3) The utility's ten-year generation and load forecast.
- 19 (4) The power supply alternatives considered by Empire.

20  
21 The description of the proposed generation facilities and request for proposal ("RFP")  
22 process are described in Company witness Wilson's testimony. Empire's  
23 conservation measures and DSM efforts, its 10-year generation and load forecast, and  
24 the power supply alternatives considered by Empire are included as part of the  
25 Generation Fleet Savings Analysis and discussed in Company witness McMahon's  
26 testimony.

1                   **b. Empire’s Request Under K.S.A. 66-1245**

2   **Q.    IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING A DETERMINATION UNDER K.S.A. 66-**  
3   **1245?**

4   A.    Yes. To the extent the Company acquires a project in Kansas that meets the  
5   following criteria, the Company seeks a determination from the Kansas Corporation  
6   Commission (“KCC”) that it will be entitled to the adjustment in its rates as allowed  
7   under K.S.A. 66-1245. That statute states:

8           *(a) If an electric public utility constructs new or expanded electric generation*  
9           *capacity on or after January 1, 2004, in a county where the population has not*  
10          *increased more than 5% between the dates of the two most recent decennial censuses*  
11          *taken and published by the United States bureau of the census, the state corporation*  
12          *commission, in determining the utility's revenue requirements, shall make*  
13          *adjustments that allow the utility to retain benefits equivalent to 10% of the net*  
14          *revenues from sales of electricity generated by such new or expanded capacity to*  
15          *customers outside the state.*

16          *(b) The provisions of this section shall not apply to net revenues which are subject to*  
17          *the provisions of K.S.A. 66-1,184a, and amendments thereto.*

18  
19   **Q.    WHAT DOES THE STATUTE CONTEMPLATE?**

20   A.    While I am not attorney, I read the statute to create certain incentives if an electric  
21   utility builds electric generation in certain parts of the state.

22   **Q.    WHAT ARE THE CRITERIA TO QUALIFY FOR THE INCENTIVES**  
23   **UNDER THE STATUTE?**

24   A.    Again, while I am not an attorney, I see several: (1) the entity must be an electric  
25   public utility; (2) the generation constructed must be new or expanded; (3) the facility  
26   must be located in a Kansas county where the population has not increased more than  
27   5%, and; (4) the generation facility must serve customers inside and outside the state  
28   of Kansas.

1 **Q. DOES THE CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN MEET ALL OF THIS CRITERIA?**

2 A. Assuming that through the RFP process Empire acquires a Wind Project that is  
3 located within a qualifying Kansas county, I believe that these criteria will be met.  
4 Assuming that occurs, Empire will provide the KCC with specific information  
5 regarding the Wind Project and the application of the statute.

6 **c. Empire's Request Under The Clean Energy Development Act**

7 **Q. WILL THE COMPANY MAKE ANY SUBSEQUENT FILINGS UNDER THE**  
8 **CLEAN ENERGY DEVELOPMENT ACT?**

9 A. Yes. Assuming the Arkansas Public Service Commission approves of the Company's  
10 Customer Savings Plan, the Company would make a subsequent filing that includes a  
11 request for rider that includes the costs of the Wind Projects and a calculation of how  
12 they would be recovered in rates for Arkansas jurisdictional customers. The  
13 Company would work with Staff of the Arkansas Public Service Commission along  
14 with the Arkansas Attorney General and any other stakeholders to the docket to  
15 effectuate such a rider. An example of such a rider is included as **Direct Attachment**  
16 **CDK-2** to my testimony.

17 **d. Empire's Request Under Missouri Chapter 22, Chapter 12 – Change in**  
18 **Preferred Plan**

19 **Q. EMPIRE FILED ITS MOST RECENT INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN IN**  
20 **MISSOURI ON APRIL 1, 2016, IN FILE NO. EO-2016-0223. HOW DID THAT**  
21 **MATTER CONCLUDE?**

22 A. On April 6, 2017, effective May 6, 2017, the Commission issued its *Order Regarding*  
23 *2016 Integrated Resource Plan*, wherein, among other things, the Commission found

1 that Empire’s 2016 Triennial Compliance Filing was “in substantial compliance with  
2 the requirements of Chapter 22 and the utility’s resource acquisition strategy does  
3 meet the requirements stated in 4 CSR 240-22.”

4 **Q. WAS THE CUSTOMER SAVINGS PLAN DESCRIBED IN THIS FILING  
5 IDENTIFIED AS THE PREFERRED RESOURCE PLAN IN THE 2016  
6 TRIENNIAL COMPLIANCE FILING THE PREFERRED PLAN?**

7 A. It was not. As explained by Company witness Mertens, Empire undertook a review  
8 of its generation fleet to determine whether it could create any additional savings to  
9 customers over its 2016 Integrated Resource Plan. The Customer Savings Plan is a  
10 product of this work.

11 **Q. COMMISSION RULE 4 C.S.R. 240-22.080(12) REQUIRES CERTAIN  
12 NOTIFICATION WHEN A “UTILITY’S BUSINESS PLAN OR  
13 ACQUISITION STRATEGY BECOMES MATERIALLY INCONSISTENT  
14 WITH THE PREFERRED RESOURCE PLAN OR IF THE UTILITY  
15 DETERMINES THAT THE PREFERRED RESOURCE PLAN OR  
16 ACQUISITION STRATEGY IS NO LONGER APPROPRIATE . . . .” HAS  
17 EMPIRE PROVIDED ANY SUCH NOTICE?**

18 A. No.

19 **Q. WHY NOT?**

20 A. The Customer Savings Plan represents an alternative approach to the preferred plan  
21 that provides additional savings to customers, and thus presents a better option for  
22 customers, assuming that Empire obtains the relief requested in time to take  
23 advantage of expiring PTCs, and to avoid incurring the expense of further

1 environmental upgrades at Asbury. Without this relief, Empire's preferred plan will  
2 remain unchanged from that contained its 2016 Triennial Compliance Filing.

3 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes.



The Empire District Electric Company  
Twenty Year Revenue Requirement Savings  
(\$000)

Line No.		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
1											
2	Wind Revenue Requirement	0.00	2.40	132.62	130.15	126.60	124.96	124.72	108.60	119.91	116.69
3	Wind Benefits	0.00	(23.22)	(106.05)	(115.56)	(123.27)	(129.34)	(134.87)	(141.57)	(147.14)	(155.58)
4	<b>NET WIND</b>	0.00	(20.82)	26.57	14.58	3.33	(4.38)	(10.16)	(32.97)	(27.23)	(38.90)
5											
6	Asbury Revenue Requirement	(9.37)	(20.43)	(26.22)	(26.12)	(25.40)	(24.96)	(24.60)	(24.24)	(23.44)	(22.75)
7	Asbury Benefits	0.00	6.64	12.78	15.37	17.25	18.94	17.89	21.28	21.05	22.30
8	<b>NET ASBURY</b>	(9.37)	(13.79)	(13.44)	(10.75)	(8.15)	(6.03)	(6.72)	(2.97)	(2.39)	(0.45)
9											
10	Other	(0.18)	(0.72)	2.07	2.67	2.25	2.47	2.69	14.48	17.88	15.18
11	<b>TOTAL (Savings) / Increase</b>	<b>(9.55)</b>	<b>(35.34)</b>	<b>15.20</b>	<b>6.50</b>	<b>(2.57)</b>	<b>(7.93)</b>	<b>(14.18)</b>	<b>(21.46)</b>	<b>(11.74)</b>	<b>(24.17)</b>
12											
13											
14		2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
15	Wind Revenue Requirement	112.55	120.70	91.24	62.14	31.39	(3.50)	0.34	79.82	39.03	39.89
16	Wind Benefits	(161.65)	(146.18)	(152.65)	(124.73)	(128.83)	(135.24)	(142.45)	(149.30)	(155.82)	(160.53)
17	<b>NET WIND</b>	(49.10)	(25.47)	(61.42)	(62.59)	(97.44)	(138.74)	(142.11)	(69.47)	(116.79)	(120.64)
18											
19	Asbury Revenue Requirement	(22.11)	(14.41)	(23.07)	(19.68)	(26.77)	(19.00)	(16.34)	(23.83)	4.25	4.33
20	Asbury Benefits	24.31	23.59	25.22	26.70	26.48	29.24	30.83	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	<b>NET ASBURY</b>	2.20	9.17	2.15	7.01	(0.29)	10.24	14.49	(23.83)	4.25	4.33
22											
23	Other	15.24	(5.66)	(2.54)	12.80	8.04	52.76	44.05	19.41	(7.08)	(7.60)
24	<b>TOTAL (Savings) / Increase</b>	<b>(31.66)</b>	<b>(21.96)</b>	<b>(61.81)</b>	<b>(42.78)</b>	<b>(89.69)</b>	<b>(75.73)</b>	<b>(83.57)</b>	<b>(73.89)</b>	<b>(119.62)</b>	<b>(123.91)</b>

WAITING ON  
ATTACHMENT  
CDK-2

