

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners: Brian J. Moline, Chair
 Robert E. Krehbiel
 Michael C. Moffet

In the Matter of the Application of)	Docket No. 06-SWBT-1141-IAT
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. for Approval)	Telecom - LEC
of Interconnection Agreement Under the)	
Telecommunications Act of 1996 with)	
Ironhorse Services, LLC.)	

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

NOW, the above-captioned matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission). Having examined its files and records, and being duly advised in the premises, the Commission finds and concludes as follows:

1. On April 20, 2006, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas (SWBT) filed for approval of an interconnection agreement (MFN Agreement) between it and Ironhorse Services, LLC (Ironhorse). Ironhorse has chosen to adopt an MFN Agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement.

2. Both companies hold forth that there are no outstanding issues between them that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. The parties seek Commission authority as required by the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.

3. SWBT believes approval of the MFN Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

4. The Commission finds and concludes that the MFN Agreement between SWBT and Ironhorse should be granted. The implementation of the modification is consistent with the

public interest, convenience and necessity and does not, on its face, discriminate against any telecommunications provider not a party to the MFN Agreement.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COMMISSION ORDERED THAT:

A. The application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Kansas for approval of an interconnection agreement with Ironhorse Services, LLC is hereby approved.

B. The parties have fifteen days, plus three days if service of this order is by mail, from the date this order was served in which to petition the Commission for reconsideration of any issue or issues decided herein. K.S.A. 66-118; K.S.A. 2005 Supp. 77-529(a)(1).

C. The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for the purpose of entering such further order, or orders, as it may deem necessary.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Moline, Chr.; Krehbiel, Com.; Moffet, Com.

Dated: MAY 02 2006

ORDER MAILED

MAY 03 2006

 Executive
Director

Susan K. Duffy
Executive Director

BL: ks