2012.04.27 15:10:14 Kansas Corporation Commission /S/ Patrice Petersen-Klein

BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

1

1

1

APR 27 2012

Received

on

by State Corporation Commission of Kansas

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC FOR APPROVAL TO MAKE CERTAIN CHANGES IN ITS CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC SERVICES IN THE GEOGRAPHIC SERVICE TERRITORY SERVED BY SOUTHERN PIONEER ELECTRIC COMPANY

KCC Docket No. 12-MKEE-380-RTS

CROSS-ANSWERING TESTIMONY OF

ANDREA C. CRANE

ON BEHALF OF

THE CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

April 27, 2012

The Columbia Group, Inc.

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Andrea C. Crane and my business address is 90 Grove Street, Suite 211,
3		Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877. (Mailing Address: PO Box 810, Georgetown, Connecticut
4	•	06829.)
5		
6	Q.	Did you previously file testimony in this proceeding?
7	A.	Yes, on April 20, 2012, I filed Direct Testimony on behalf of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer
8		Board ("CURB"). My Direct Testimony addressed CURB's recommended rate increase for
9		the Southern Pioneer Division of Mid-Kansas Electric Company ("MKEC" or "Company").
10	•	In that Direct Testimony, I recommended that the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC"
11		or "Commission") approve a rate increase for the Company of \$1,787,075, and that
12		\$1,598,135 of this increase be allocated to retail ratepayers.
13		
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your Cross-Answering Testimony?
15	A.	The purpose of my Cross-Answering Testimony is to address an issue raised in the Direct
16		Testimony of KCC Staff Witness Adam H. Gatewood. In his Direct Testimony filed on
17		April 20, 2012, Mr. Gatewood states that it is necessary to include all of the Company's debt
18		and interest expense in determining its revenue requirement. Mr. Gatewood states on page 2,
19		at lines 5-11 of his testimony that,
20		

2

The Columbia Group, Inc.

This is because there are no investors to absorb the interest expenses that are disallowed, as would be the case when expenses are excluded from an investor-owned utility's revenue requirement. In the case of an investor-owned utility disallowing an expense results in a lower profit margin for its equity investors (stockholders); however, with a cooperative structure (or in this instance a corporation owned by a cooperative), the ratepayers are providing the equity capital, thus that shift cannot occur.

I disagree with Mr. Gatewood and recommend that debt service on \$9,686,404 of Southern Pioneer's long-term debt be disallowed for ratemaking purposes. The reasons for my recommended disallowance are fully discussed in my Direct Testimony. Thus, I will limit my Cross-Answering Testimony to the conceptual disagreement with Mr. Gatewood regarding the need to include all debt service costs in utility rates regardless of whether those costs are justified or reasonable.

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

17 Q. What is the basis for your disagreement with Mr. Gatewood?

A. There are several reasons for my disagreement with Mr. Gatewood. My primary concern is that Mr. Gatewood's position would prevent regulators from ever disallowing debt service costs for a cooperative utility, no matter how unreasonable those costs were. Instead, the KCC would be forced to pass along all debt service costs, even if the underlying debt amounts or applicable interest rates were excessive. Mr. Gatewood's position would prevent Staff, CURB, or any other party from opposing any debt service costs in future cases.

24 Moreover, Mr. Gatewood's position could be extended to all of the expenses incurred 25 by cooperative utilities as well, since theoretically, there are no shareholders to absorb other

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

types of disallowances. Since Staff apparently believes that it is reasonable to disallow other types of costs, then it should be reasonable to disallow debt service costs as well.

Regulatory utilities, including regulated cooperatives, must be held accountable for the decisions made by their managements, especially those decisions that have a direct financial impact on ratepayers. Mr. Gatewood's recommendation would mean that cooperative utilities would no longer be held accountable for their financing decisions, a result that would significantly weaken the regulatory oversight process with negative consequences for ratepayers.

9

10 Q. How can cooperative utilities fund costs that have been disallowed by regulators?

11 A. It is not the job of regulators to determine how a cooperative utility should finance costs that are not appropriate to pass along to regulated ratepayers. However, I note that all parties in 12 this proceeding have recommended debt service coverage ratios that are higher than the 13 minimum ratios required by Southern Pioneer's lender. Thus, pursuant to the 14 15 recommendations being made in this case, the Company will have funds over and above those required to meet its debt service coverage requirements. These additional funds can be 16 17 used by the Company in whatever way it chooses, including to finance costs that have been disallowed by regulators. 18

- 19
- 20

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

21 A. Yes, it does.

4

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT) COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD) ss: Ridgefield

Andrea C. Crane, being duly sworn upon her oath, deposes and states that she is a consultant for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board, that she has read and is familiar with the foregoing testimony, and that the statements made herein are true to the best of her knowledge, information and belief

adrea C. Craxe

Subscribed and sworn before me this $\frac{15}{15}$ day of $\frac{15}{100}$, 2012. (

Nosell c Notary Public Landie

My Commission Expires:

SANDRA P. MOSIELLO NOTARY PUBLIC MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MAY 31, 2017

<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>

12-MKEE-380-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 27th day of April, 2012, to the following parties:

GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY CAFER LAW OFFICE, L.L.C. 3321 SW 6TH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66606

TERRI PEMBERTON, ATTORNEY CAFER LAW OFFICE, L.L.C. 3321 SW 6TH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66606

RAY BERGMEIER, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027

HOLLY FISHER, ATTORNEY KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027

MARK DOLJAC, DIR RATES AND REGULATION KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC. 600 SW CORPORATE VIEW (66615) PO BOX 4877 TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877

J. MICHAEL PETERS, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC. 600 SW CORPORATE VIEW (66615) PO BOX 4877 TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877

DON GULLEY, SENIOR MANAGER REGULATORY RELATIONS AND BILLING MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC 301 WEST 13TH STREET PO BOX 980 HAYS, KS 67601 RANDY MAGNISON, CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER SOUTHERN PIONEER ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 368 1850 W OKLAHOMA ULYSSES, KS 67880-0368

MARK D. CALCARA, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN STREET SUITE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530

LINDSAY A. SHEPARD, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN STREET SUITE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530

CURTIS M. IRBY, ATTORNEY GLAVES IRBY & RHOADS SUITE 1050 155 NORTH MARKET WICHITA, KS 67202

LARRY HOLLOWAY, OPERATIONS MANAGER KANSAS POWER POOL 200 WEST DOUGLAS, SUITE 601 WICHITA, KS 67202

COLIN M. WHITLEY, CEO/GENERAL MANAGER KANSAS POWER POOL 200 WEST DOUGLAS, SUITE 601 WICHITA, KS 67202

Della Smith Administrative Specialist