## THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:	S
	J
	T

Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair Jay Scott Emler Dwight D. Keen

In the Matter the Application of IGWT, ) Inc., for the assignment of and a location ) exemption for the Varner Farms #1 located ) 990' FNL and 1500' FWL of Section 33, ) Township 25 South, Range 4 East, Butler ) County, Kansas. ) Docket No: 18-CONS-3383-CWLE

CONSERVATION DIVISION

License No: 3167

## <u>PREFILED TESTIMONY OF KENTON L. HUPP</u> <u>ON BEHALF OF IGWT, INC.</u>

2 A: My name is Kenton L. Hupp. I am President of IGWT, Inc. My business office address is

3 218 W. Young, Rose Hill, Kansas, mailing address of PO Box 550, Rose Hill, Kansas

4 67133-0550.

5 Q: Would you please relate your educational and professional background?

A: I am a licensed petroleum engineer in Kansas and Missouri. I have testified on numerous
occasions as an expert witness before District and Federal courts, the Kansas Corporation
Commission and the Kansas Board of Tax Appeals on matters relating to petroleum
engineering, correlative rights and drainage issues. My company also has drilled and
operated several wells in Kansas and currently operates 7 wells within 3 miles of the
property that is the subject of this application.

1	Q:	Are you familiar with the surface topography in the area encompassed by this application,
2		and have you reviewed the geologic information provided by Mr. Jackson, another witness
3		in this proceeding?
4	A:	Yes.
5	Q:	Have you reached any conclusions regarding surface problems with the original proposed
6		location?
7	A:	Yes. The original location 330 feet East of the West line of our lease was noted as lying
8		within a draw, or drainage. Our landowner commented to us about the water way and
9		drainage. We also noted ponds shortly downstream from that location and concluded that
10		the proposed location 180 feet from the lease line was more at the edge of the draw and on
11		substantially higher ground.
12	Q:	Why not move the location East of the drainage area?
13	A:	It is undesirable to go East as the width of the draw extends to nearly the pinch out of the
14		Chert and thus an Easterly location that would clear the drainage would greatly decrease
15		our likelihood of a successful completion, leaving our lease with unrecovered oil in place,
16		which would result in waste and damage to the correlative rights of our Lessor.
17	Q:	Do you have an opinion regarding the possibility of drainage from the Jones lease at the
18		180 foot location?
19	A:	Yes. The Mississippi Chert is a tight formation. Areal drainage is minimal.
20	Q:	Do you sponsor any Exhibits of the area?
21	A:	Yes, Exhibits A and B to my testimony.
22	Q:	Were these Exhibits prepared by you or under your supervision?

A: These Exhibits were obtained by me from the public records of Butler County and depict
 the area of Section 33.

3 Q: Can you explain your Exhibits?

A: Yes. My Exhibit A shows the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 33 and gives a good view of the
draw, or drainage located there and its width. The Exhibit also shows the original location,
and the revised location to the West of the original. Exhibit B shows the same area but on
a more distant view that allows including the ponds that lie South of our lease but in the
path of the drainage.

9 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?

10 A: Yes.



