202212051544164532 Filed Date: 12/05/2022 State Corporation Commission of Kansas

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the matter of the failure of Mike)	Docket No.: 23-CONS-3023-CPEN
McClenning dba Production Maintenance)	
Service (Operator) to comply with K.A.R.)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
82-3-111 at the Barton #3, HWR #1, Moyer #1,)	
Welton #1, and Wilmoth #24-1 wells)	License No.: 32219
In Montgomery County, Kansas.)	

PRE-FILED DIRECT

and

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MIKE MCCLENNING

DBA PRODUCTION MAINTENANCE SERVICE

DECEMBER 5, 2022

- 1 Q. What is your name and business address?
- 2 A. Mike McClenning 405 North East St., PO Box 275. Tyro, Kansas. 67364.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 4 A. I am self-employed under the name of Production Maintenance Service and am sole proprietor and operator.
- 6 Q. Would you please briefly describe your background and work experience.
- 7 A. I have worked in the oil and gas industry basically my whole life. I helped on pulling units when I was a 8 teenager. I worked for Earth Scientists Ltd in the early 1980s as a pulling unit operator, then MOKAT 9 Drilling as a pulling unit operator and also helped on drilling rigs from time to time. I then worked for JEB 10 Oil as pulling unit operator and was promoted to production supervisor. I prepared well proposals, new well completions, and end of well reports, supervised well workover projects, made technical 11 12 completion recommendations to optimize production, analyzed oil production to diagnose and 13 recommend programs for improvement or remediation of specific wells, and assisted in and supervised 14 the planning and construction of gathering systems and compressor sites. By the late eighties, I had 15 bought my own rig and started my own company. I had 2-3 pulling unit crews and consulted and managed projects for numerous clients. 16
- 17 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this matter?
- A. To dispute the allegations in the penalty order that I, the operator, did not attempt to take steps toward bringing wells into compliance with K.S.A. 82-3-111 and to ask that the commission rescind the penalty order.
- 23 Q. What actions were taken to bring the wells into compliance?

I attempted to contact Troy Russell at the Chanute office as far back as August 9, 2021 to discuss how to do CP111s on some wells because of no previous data on them. No calls were returned, even though I left messages. Ryan Duling did finally contact me after I had received the NOV in February. I did submit CP111s, but they were denied. Then I was told by our field guy, Levi Burnett, to resubmit the applications, which I did, but they were denied again. I had not filed CP111s before then because I was told by a former district guy they were "statutory" and not to worry about it since they were fully equipped and ready to be put back in production at any time. There are no instructions on KOLAR about having to or how to file an exception if it has been over ten years. As far as plugging, I was not going to file plugging applications until I was sure the pluggers were going to be available. I had contacted Ron Cunningham about plugging several months previously, but he was busy with other jobs. I contacted him again in March trying to confirm a date for plugging. He said he was booked for several months. I am submitting his affidavit as evidence. See Exhibit A. I was finally able to get Barton #3, HWR #1, Moyer #1, and Wilmoth #24-1 wells plugged in August and September of this year. The Welton #1 well is not mine and was transferred to Stonegate Ranch, LLC in July 2013. For some reason, the paperwork got sent in, but was apparently lost in Wichita. Furthermore, I had contacted the licensing department in Wichita numerous times to get the well removed from my well inventory. I was told by them it would be removed, but it never got done until now. The well has never been abandoned and was always in use by the landowner for home use as a gas well. I am submitting a copy of the T-1. See Exhibit B. Why do you think the Commission should not impose the \$100 penalty for each well? The Commission should not impose the \$100 penalty for the Barton #3, HWR #1, Moyer #1, and Wilmoth #24-1 because I did make efforts to comply with the regulations to the best of my ability. If the KCC is going to require operators to comply with the regulations and most of this is done on KOLAR, then the instructions should be on KOLAR. This probably would not have come this far if I had known

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Q.

A.

A.

there was a different way to file a "more formal" CP111. Also, nowhere on KOLAR on my Operator

Management page did it ever say I was out of compliance except for the Welton #1, even as late as

August 25, 2022. I feel I should not have to pay a \$100 penalty for the Welton #1 as it has not been my

well/lease since July 2013, plus it was never abandoned.

Q. Do you have any additional comments?

Yes. Mr. Duling stated in his testimony that waiving the penalty "would be a clear signal to other operators that they can simply ignore the possibility of monetary penalties if they fail to comply with Commission rules and regulations." While I may not have met the deadline that staff dictated, I did NOT blatantly fail to comply with Commission rules and regulations. It would send a signal to operators that no matter how hard they try to comply, the Commission is going to rule against them. The Commission will do a disservice to the industry if it fails to show some flexibility to producers who are attempting to correct mistakes and raise standards to comply with current regulations. These measures are a costly expense for those producers that have low production and limited revenues. Also, many of these operators are an older generation and not "tech-savvy", so having to comply with regulations that must be completed electronically is particularly difficult and many must hire someone else, thus creating yet another expense. If the KCC expects operators to be at a higher standard, then the KCC must step up and raise the standards of their district staff and the system they implemented (KOLAR) to be used to conduct business. When KOLAR and the district staff are sending mixed signals, it leaves room for mistakes on everyone's part.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

67 A. Yes.

A.

General Affidavit

State of Kansas County of Montgomery

Personally appeared before me, the undersigned Notary, the within named Ron Cunningham, who is a resident of Montgomery County, state of Kansas, and makes this his/her statement and General Affidavit upon oath and affirmation of belief of personal knowledge that the following matters, facts and things set forth are true and correct to the best of his/her knowledge:

I am the owner of Cunningham Crude, a General Partnership, license #31696. Mike McClenning contacted me @ March 2022 and asked about plugging his leases. I told him I was pretty booked up for several months but would get to him as soon as possible.

SWORN to and subscribed before me this the 13th day of Slotember 2022 2006.

BAILEY JEAN LOWREY My Appt. Expires

KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OIL & GAS CONSERVATION DIVISION

All blanks must be Filled

REQUEST FOR CHANGE OF OPERATOR TRANSFER OF INJECTION OR SURFACE PIT PERMIT

Check Applicable Boxes:	withou with this form.
Oil Leese: No. of Oil Welle	Effective Date of Transfer: 07/01/2013
Gas Lease: No. of Gas Wells 1	KS Dept of Revenue Lease No.: 226734
Gas Gathering System:	
Saftwater Disposal Well - Permit No.:	Lease Name: Welton
Spot Location: feet from N / S Line	-8/2 SW NE Sec 25 Twp. 34 R 14 /E W
feet fromE / W Line	Legal Description of Leaso:
Enhanced Recovery Project Permit No.:	Special Control of Not Commercial, Sunt 1654, Sunt 1654, New 1664, New 1664, New 1664, New 1664, Public Control of Notice Control of Notic
Entire Project: Yes No	County: Montgomery
Number of Injection Wells ***	Production Zone(s); Riverton Coal
Field Name: Tyro	
** Side Two Must Be Completed.	Injection Zone(s):
Surface Pit Permit No.: (API No. ii Drill Pit, WO or Haul) Type of Pit: Emergency Burn Settling	lest from N / S Line of Section lest from E / W Line of Section Haul-Off Workover Drilling
Past Operator's License No. 32219	Contact Person: Mike McClenning
Past Operator's Name & Address: Production Maintenance Service	Phone: 620-988-0042
PO Box 275 Tyro, KS 67364	Date:
Title: Owner	Signeture: Mile M. Co.S.
New Operator's License No. 34404	Contact Person: Tim Welton
New Operator's Name & Address: Stonegale Ranch LLC	Phone: 620-269-4740
2576 CR 2200 Caney, KS 67333	Oil / Ges Purchaser:
	Dete:
Title: Owner	Signature: Time Signature
Title:	
Acknowledgment of Transfer: The above request for transfer of injection noted, approved and duty recorded in the records of the Kansas Corporation Commission records only and does not convey any ownership interest in the	The state of the s
is acinowiedged as	is acknowledged as
the new operator and may continue to inject fluids as authorized by	the new operator of the above named lease containing the surface pil
Permit No.: , Flecommended action:	permitted by No.:
PHILIP NO.	, mar ea
Date: Authorized Signature	Date:
DISTRICT EPR	PRODUCTION UIC UIC
Meil to: Past Operator New Operat	

Side Tea Must Be Filed For All Walls

Well No. API No. (YR DRILD/PRE '87)		Footage from (i.e. FSL = Feet from	Footage from Section Line (i.o. FSL = Feet from South Line)		Well Status (PROD/TA'D/Abandoned)
1	15-125-30864	700 FSL/FNL	700 Circle	Gas :	Prod
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. F. 1.
· · ·		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
		F9L/FNL	FEL/FWL		
	• .	FSL/FNL	FELFWL		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL	1	,
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL	<u></u> 10	
		FSU/FNIL	FEL/FWL		
	-	FSL/FNL	F5L/FWL		
		FSUTNL			* * **************************
		FSUFNL	FELFWL		
					4 4
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		a made and a
· · · · ·		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
<u> </u>		FSL/PNL	PEJFWL		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
		FSL/FNIL			المراكب والمستراعة المراكب والمراكب وال
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWIL		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWI.		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWL		*
		FSL/TNL	FD_/FWL		THE S. P. M. 1873
		FSL/FNL	FEL/FWIL		in the second of

A separate sheet may be attached if necessary

[&]quot;When transferring a unit which consists of more than one lease please file a separate side two for each lease. If a lease covers more than one section please indicate which section each well is located.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

23-CONS-3023-CPEN

I, the undersigned, certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Prefiled Direct and Rebuttal Testimony of Mike McClenning dba Production Maintenance Service has been served to the following by means of electronic service on December 5, 2022.

RYAN DULING KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION DISTRICT OFFICE NO. 3 137 E. 21ST STREET CHANUTE, KS 66720 r.duling@kcc.ks.gov

TROY RUSSELL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION DISTRICT OFFICE NO. 3 CHANUTE, KS 66720 t.russell@kcc.ks.gov TRISTAN KIMBRELL, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION CENTRAL OFFICE 266 N. MAIN ST. STE 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 t.kimbrell@kcc.ks.gov

JONATHAN R. MYERS, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 266 N. MAIN ST. STE 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 j.myers@kcc.ks.gov

/s/Pamela J. McClenning
Pamela J. McClenning