20171205134127
Kansas Corporation Commission

THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners: Pat Apple, Chairman
Shari Feist Albrecht
Jay Scott Emler

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company Seeking Commission Approval to Implement Changes in their Transmission Delivery Charges Rate Schedules.	))))	Docket No. 18-KCPE-206-TAR

ORDER GRANTING THE CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD'S PETITION TO INTERVENE, SUSPENSION ORDER, PROTECTIVE ORDER AND DISCOVERY ORDER

This matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission) for consideration and decision. Having reviewed the pleadings and record, the Commission makes the following findings and conclusions:

I. Background

1. On November 15, 2017, Kansas City Power & Light Company (KCPL) filed an updated Transmission Delivery Charge (TDC) tariff for the Commission's approval.[1]

II. CURB Intervention

2. On November 27, 2017, the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) filed its Petition to Intervene and Motion for a Protective Order and Discovery Order, explaining KCPL's updated TDC may substantially affect the rates paid, terms of services, or services received by residential and small commercial ratepayers.[2]

3. The Commission has broad discretion to grant a petition for intervention if it is in the interest of justice, if the intervention will not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the

[1] Application for Approval of Updated Transmission Delivery Charge, (Nov. 15, 2017).
[2] Petition to Intervene and Motion for a Protective Order and Discovery Order, ¶ 5 (Nov. 27, 2017).

proceedings, and if the party has stated facts demonstrating its legal rights, duties and privileges, immunities or other legal interests which may be substantially affected by the proceeding.[3] Furthermore, at any time during a proceeding, the Commission may impose limitations on an intervenor's participation.[4]

4. The Commission finds and concludes that CURB has met the requirements of K.A.R. 82-1-225 and K.S.A. 77-521 and should be granted intervention in this docket. CURB will be added to the mailing list, service of pleadings, communications, and correspondence should be delivered to counsel of record, as follows:

David Nickel, Consumer Counsel, #11170
Thomas J. Connors, Attorney, #27039
Todd E. Love, Attorney, #13445
Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board
1500 SW Arrowhead Road
Topeka, KS 66604
(785) 271-3200 (tel.)
(785) 271-3116 (fax)
d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov
tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov
t.love@curb.kansas.gov

5. In addition to counsel of record for CURB listed above, service of electronic notices, pleadings, and correspondence should be delivered to CURB's other designees:

Shonda Smith
Office Manager
Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board
1500 SW Arrowhead Road
Topeka, KS 66604
sd.smith@curb.kansas.gov

Della Smith
Administrative Specialist
Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board
1500 SW Arrowhead Road
Topeka, KS 66604
d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

[3] K.S.A. 77-521; K.A.R. 82-1-225.
[4] K.S.A. 77-521(c).

III. Suspension Order

1. K.S.A. 66-117(c) states in part: "The commission shall not delay the effective date of the proposed change in rate, joint rate, toll, charge or classification or schedule of charges, or in any rule or regulation or practice pertaining to the service or rates of any such public utility or common carrier, more than 240 days beyond the date the public utility or common carrier filed its application requesting the proposed change. If the commission does not suspend the proposed schedule within 30 days of the date the same is filed by the public utility or common carrier, such proposed schedule shall be deemed approved by the commission and shall take effect on the proposed effective date.

2. A full investigation of the Application, which may result in a hearing, is deemed necessary and proper. Absent suspension, the Commission and its Staff are without sufficient time to fully review, consider, and analyze whether approval of the Application will result in just and reasonable rates.

3. The Commission finds and concludes that suspension of the effectiveness of the Application and deferral of its effective date are required to allow sufficient time for full investigation of this matter. The effectiveness of the Application is hereby suspended for a period of 240 days from the date it was filed, November 15, 2017, until Friday, July 13, 2018, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c). A Commission decision may be issued before such date.

IV. Protective Order

6. K.S.A. 66-1220a and K.A.R. 82-1-221a set forth requirements for the designation and treatment of information deemed confidential in Commission proceedings. The Commission finds it appropriate to issue this Protective Order to establish procedures relating to confidential data and information.

7. K.S.A. 66-1220a limits disclosure of trade secrets or confidential commercial information of regulated utilities. Under K.S.A. 66-1220a(a)(4), the Commission is to consider alternatives to disclosure that will serve the public interest and protect the regulated entity. This Protective Order provides an interim procedure under K.S.A. 66-1220a(a)(4) to facilitate the prompt and orderly conduct of this case. This Protective Order will govern the treatment and handling of confidential information until further order of the Commission.

8. A party may designate as confidential any information that it believes, in good faith, to be a trade secret or other confidential commercial information. The party designating the information as confidential must provide a written statement of the specific grounds for the designation at the time the designation is made.[5] The party claiming confidentiality has the burden of proving the confidential status of the information. Designating information as confidential does not establish that the information will be kept from disclosure after review by the Commission.[6]

9. This Protective Order applies to all parties in this proceeding, unless specifically stated otherwise. The provisions of the Protective Order apply to Commission Staff (Staff), except that Staff is not required to sign nondisclosure certificates or view voluminous materials on site and is not required to return or destroy confidential information upon request at the conclusion of a proceeding. Outside experts and consultants used by Staff shall have access to information and voluminous materials on the same basis as Staff, except that outside Staff experts and consultants are required to read this Protective Order and to sign nondisclosure certificates as contained in Appendix A. Parties who do not sign a nondisclosure certificate will not be granted access to confidential information filed in this docket.

[5] K.A.R. 82-1-221a(a)(5).
[6] *See* K.S.A. 66-1220a.

10. The following definitions shall apply:

Information: "Information" refers to all documents, data, including electronic data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to requests for information or other modes of discovery, or any other information or documents that are otherwise a part of the Commission record.

Confidential Information: "Confidential information" refers to information which, if disclosed, would likely result in harm to a party's economic or competitive interests, or which would result in harm to the public interest, generally, and which is not otherwise available from public sources. "Confidential information" may include, but is not limited to: (1) material or documents that contain information relating directly to specific customers; (2) employee-sensitive information; (3) marketing analyses or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others; (4) reports, work papers or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors or consultants; (5) strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration; (6) contract negotiations; and, (7) information concerning trade secrets, as well as private technical, financial, and business information.

11. A party designating information as confidential shall make the confidential information available to parties seeking access or discovery under the restrictions in this Protective Order, if such disclosure is not otherwise privileged or objectionable on other evidentiary grounds. Disclosure of confidential information shall be made to attorneys of record and to authorized representatives, including outside experts, who are consulting with parties or intend to file testimony in this proceeding. Attorneys or authorized representatives seeking access to confidential information shall first read this Protective Order and sign a Nondisclosure Certificate as provided in Appendix A. In cases in which a utility's rates are being reviewed, attorneys and representatives of the utility whose rates are being reviewed are not required to sign nondisclosure certificates in order to receive copies of documents containing the utility's own confidential information. The Nondisclosure Certificate shall contain the signatory's name, permanent address, title or position, date signed, and an affirmation that the signer is acting on behalf of a party to this proceeding.

12. The Nondisclosure Certificate shall be filed in the docket. The party claiming confidentiality shall provide legible copies of the confidential information to requesting parties by serving one copy upon counsel for the requesting party. The requesting party may copy the confidential information and make it available to its authorized representatives who have signed and filed nondisclosure certificates. If a response to a discovery request requires the duplication of voluminous material, or the material is not easily copied because of its binding or size, the furnishing party may require that the voluminous material be viewed on its own premises. If duplication of voluminous material can be accomplished without undue burden on the party disclosing the information, the voluminous material may be copied at the expense of the requesting party. Voluminous material shall include documents or materials comprised of 200 pages or more.

13. A party may designate prefiled testimony and exhibits as confidential pursuant to this Protective Order. The specific grounds for the confidential designation shall be stated in writing at the time the designation is made or the testimony filed. Any party obtaining confidential information may use or refer to such information in prefiled or oral testimony provided that the confidentiality is maintained, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

14. If information to be disclosed in response to a data request contains confidential information designated by another party in this docket, the furnishing party shall maintain the confidential status by marking the information as confidential and only provide response to parties that have signed nondisclosure certificates. If information that a party intends to use in this proceeding or that would be disclosed in response to a data request contains confidential information obtained from a source outside of this proceeding, the party intending to use or provide the confidential information must notify the original source which claimed confidential status to allow that entity to decide whether to claim confidentiality in this proceeding.

15. When pleadings, prefiled testimony, or exhibits include confidential information, the parties are to follow these procedures:

a. File seven[7] copies of the complete document, including all confidential information. The cover is to clearly state "CONFIDENTIAL VERSION." Confidential pages shall be stamped "CONFIDENTIAL," and the specific confidential information shall be identified by being underlined.

b. File one copy with the confidential portions redacted, for use as a public document. The cover is to clearly state "PUBLIC VERSION."

c. File one copy of the pages that contain confidential information in a separate envelope marked "CONFIDENTIAL." This filing will be maintained in the docket room file under seal. If there are multiple pages with confidential information and it is impracticable to separate the pages with the confidential information, the party may file instead one copy of the entire document that is stamped "CONFIDENTIAL."

16. Confidential testimony may be offered or subject to cross-examination at hearings. Parties have the right to object to the admissibility of confidential information on standard evidentiary grounds such as relevance. Confidential information that is received into evidence will be kept under seal. Confidential information shall be discussed only after the hearing is closed to all persons except the Commission, its Staff, hearing examiners, court reporters, attorneys of record and individuals to whom the designated information is available under the terms of this Protective Order. Parties shall make every effort at hearings to ask and answer questions in such a way as to preserve the confidentiality of the information without the need to close the hearing. The transcript of live testimony or oral argument disclosing confidential information shall be kept under seal and copies provided only to persons entitled to access to confidential information. Neither the parties nor their attorneys shall disclose or provide copies of the contents of such

[7] Only one copy must be submitted when a party utilizes electronic filing.

transcripts to anyone other than those who may have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order.

17. If a party disagrees with a claim that information is confidential or should not be disclosed, the parties shall first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis. If the parties cannot resolve the dispute informally, the party contesting the confidential treatment may file a motion with the Commission. Commission Staff should also be prepared to challenge a confidential designation when Staff believes the information does not meet the definition of confidential information. When a dispute concerning confidentiality is brought before the Commission, the Commission will review the matter to determine (1) if the party claiming confidentiality has met its burden of establishing the confidential designation is proper, and (2) whether disclosure is warranted under K.S.A. 66-1220a. The contested information shall not be disclosed pending the Commission's ruling.

18. All persons who are afforded access to confidential information under the terms of this Protective Order shall neither use nor disclose such information for purposes of business or competition or any other purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and litigation of this proceeding. During the course of this proceeding, parties shall keep confidential information secure in accordance with the purposes and intent of this order. At the conclusion of this proceeding, including judicial review, a party claiming that information was confidential may require that other persons in possession of its confidential information return or destroy all such confidential information and all notes, tapes, documents, and any other medium containing, summarizing, or otherwise embodying such confidential information. If the party claiming confidentiality requests destruction, the person destroying the information shall certify its destruction. Counsel shall be entitled to retain memoranda or pleadings including or embodying

confidential information to the extent reasonably necessary to preserve a file on this proceeding.

V. Discovery Order

19. The Commission finds that formalizing discovery procedures and clarifying the obligations of the parties will help ensure a full and efficient investigation of the issues in this docket. This Discovery Order will govern the conduct of discovery until further order of the Commission. Parties may request modified or additional discovery procedures or may request that the Commission schedule a discovery pre-hearing conference.

20. General procedures. Discovery in Commission proceedings is limited to matters that are "clearly relevant."[8] After a docket is opened, any party may serve upon any other party written discovery or data requests. These data requests shall identify with reasonable particularity the information or documents sought. Data requests must be designed to elicit material facts within the knowledge of the parties. Data requests that require conclusions of law or answers to hypothetical questions are generally not permitted. Cross-examination through the use of data requests is not appropriate. Copies of data requests shall be served upon all other parties to the proceeding, unless a party requests otherwise. Data requests and responses may be served by facsimile transmittal or electronic mail if agreed to by the parties. Data requests that are sent by a party after 5:00 p.m. shall be deemed to have been received the following business day.[9]

21. Data Request Responses. Responses to Staff data requests are due within seven days.[10] Responses to all other data requests are due within 10 days. In computing the period of time for responding, the day on which the data request was issued is not counted. Furthermore, for

---

[8] K.A.R. 82-1-234a(a).

[9] *See* K.A.R. 82-1-205 (providing Commission Office Hours).

[10] Per K.A.R. 82-1-217, the day of the act, event, or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday."

purposes of calculating all discovery-related deadlines, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be excluded.[11] Parties may agree to extensions or reductions of time in which to respond or object to a data request. Responses to data requests shall be verified and shall identify the person(s) who actually prepared the response and can answer additional questions relating to the response. Each data request shall be answered separately and preceded by the request to which the answer pertains. Responses shall be clearly identified and, if consisting of several pages, shall be labeled and organized in a manner that makes review of the pages convenient. Parties are under a continuing duty to supplement their discovery responses upon learning that the information disclosed is incomplete or incorrect in any material respect. If a response to a data request requires the duplication of voluminous material or of material that is not easily copied because of its binding or size, a party may require that any party other than Commission Staff review the voluminous material on its own premises. If duplication of voluminous material can be accomplished without undue burden, the voluminous material may be copied at the expense of the requesting party. Voluminous material is defined as documents comprised of 200 pages or more.

22. Objections to Data Requests. If the parties have agreed to electronic service, and a party objects to answering a particular data request, the party shall object in writing to the party which issued the data request within five days of the data request.[12] If the parties have not agreed to electronic service, and a party objects to answering a particular data request, the objecting party shall object in writing to the issuing party within five days after service, plus three days if service is by mail. The written objection shall specifically explain all grounds relied upon for objecting to

[11] This constitutes an explicit limited waiver of K.A.R. 82-1-217(a). This waiver is consistent with the Commission's precedential *Order Granting Petition for Reconsideration of Discovery Order*, issued November 10, 2016, in Docket No. 17-KPPE-092-COM.

[12] As noted above, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be excluded when calculating discovery-related deadlines, which constitutes a limited waiver of K.A.R. 82-1-217(a).

each data request. Any objections not provided at this time will be considered to be waived. If an objection pertains only to part of a question, that part shall be clearly identified and the responding party shall provide any non-objectionable information covered by the remainder of the data request. Parties shall negotiate in good faith to resolve discovery disputes. If resolution is not possible, the party seeking discovery may file a motion to compel with the Commission. Motions to compel must have the data request and response at issue attached. Motions to compel are required to be served by hand delivery, electronic mail, facsimile, or next-day delivery service. Responses to motions to compel are to be filed within three days after the motion is received.[13] The Commission may act immediately on motions to compel if necessitated by time constraints or the procedural schedule in the docket.

23. Limitations on Discovery. The Commission may limit discovery to protect a party against unreasonable, cumulative, or duplicative discovery requests; to prevent undue delay in the proceeding; to avoid unnecessary burden, expense, or harassment; or to otherwise maintain the orderly and efficient progress of the proceeding. Upon finding that a party has abused the discovery process, the Commission may deny the right to continue discovery.

24. Sanctions. A motion for sanctions for discovery violations may be filed at any time during the proceeding or may be initiated by the Commission. A motion is to contain sufficient factual allegations to detail the violation and must specify the relief requested. Motions for sanctions are required to be served by hand delivery, electronic mail, facsimile, or next-day delivery service. Responses to motions for sanctions are to be filed within 10 days.[14]

a. The Commission will consider any relevant factors when reviewing a motion for sanctions, including whether discovery has been conducted in bad faith or for an improper purpose such as causing unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of the proceeding; whether the discovery

[13] *See* fn. 8 above.
[14] *See* fn. 8 above.

process has been abused in seeking or resisting discovery; and whether parties have failed to obey Commission Orders.

b. Sanctions imposed by the Commission may include limiting or disallowing further discovery; holding that designated facts be deemed admitted for purposes of the proceeding; refusing to allow a party to support or oppose a claim or defense or prohibiting the party from introducing designated matters in evidence; disallowing in whole or in part requests for relief by the offending party and excluding evidence in support of such requests; striking pleadings or testimony; staying further proceedings until an order is obeyed; disallowing a party's right to participate in the proceeding; dismissing the application or filing with or without prejudice; requiring the offending party to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by other parties because of the sanctionable behavior; and imposing any other sanction or remedy available to the Commission by law.

THEREFORE, THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

A. CURB's Petition to Intervene is granted.

B. Pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(c), the Application in the above-captioned docket shall be suspended, and the effective date deferred, until Friday, July 13, 2018.

C. Electronic service shall be utilized for serving pleadings/motions and orders.

D. The provisions of this Protective and Discovery Order shall govern the treatment and handling of confidential information in this docket.

E. The parties have 15 days from the date of electronic service of this Order to petition for reconsideration.[15]

F. The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the purpose of entering such further orders as it deems necessary.

[15] K.S.A. 66-118b; K.S.A. 77-529(a)(1).

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Apple, Chairman; Albrecht, Commissioner; Emler, Commissioner

Dated: DEC 05 2017

Lynn M. Retz
Secretary to the Commission

JF/vj

EMAILED

DEC 05 2017

APPENDIX A

Docket No. 18-KCPE-206-TAR
THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS
NONDISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

I, _____, have been presented a copy of the Protective Order issued in Docket No. **18-KCPE-206-TAR** on the _____day of _____, 2017.

I have requested review of confidential information produced in the above-mentioned docket on behalf of _____.

I hereby certify that I have read the above-mentioned Protective Order and agree to abide by its terms and conditions.

Dated this _____day of _____, 2017.

_____
Printed name and title

_____
Signature

_____
Party/Employer

_____
Address (City, State and ZIP)

_____
Telephone

_____
Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

18-KCPE-206-TAR

I, the undersigned, certify that the true copy of the attached Order has been served to the following parties by means of Electronic Service on DEC 05 2017.

THOMAS J. CONNORS, ATTORNEY AT LAW
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3116
tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3116
t.love@curb.kansas.gov

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3116
d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov

DELLA SMITH
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3116
d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

SHONDA SMITH
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
Fax: 785-271-3116
sd.smith@curb.kansas.gov

LOIS LIECHTI, DIRECTOR REGULATORY AFFAIRS
KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY
ONE KANSAS CITY PL, 1200 MAIN ST 31ST FLOOR (64105
PO BOX 418679
KANSAS CITY, MO 64141-9679
Fax: 816-556-2110
regulatory.affairs@kcpl.com

JAKE FISHER, LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027
Fax: 785-271-3354
j.fisher@kcc.ks.gov

/S/ DeeAnn Shupe
DeeAnn Shupe

MAILED

DEC 05 2017