#### 2013.08.19 15:17:25 Kansas Corporation Commission 787 Kim Christiansen

с. С. н

Received on

## THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

# AUG 1 9 2013

by State Corporation Commission of Kansas

In the Matter of the Applications of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in Their Charges for Electric Service. Docket No. 13-WSEE-629-RTS

# CURB'S RESPONSE TO STAFF'S MOTION FOR MODIFICATION OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

)

)

)

)

The Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) hereby submits its response to *Staff's Motion* for Modification of Procedural Schedule (Staff Motion), filed in this docket on August 9, 2013:

1. Staff's Motion noted that Westar Energy, in its abbreviated rate case application, has

proposed " 'to create a fund, to be administered by a third party, to provide low-income energy assistance to customers' by redirecting 10% of the margins Westar receives when it makes offsystem sales and all the dollars received through its RENEW tariff to the proposed low-income assistance fund." Staff's Motion, ¶2. Staff requested legal briefing of the legality of this proposal in light of the Commission's previous ruling in 04-GIMX-531-GIV, which upheld its 1982 ruling that lifeline rates for gas and electric service are prohibited under Kansas law because it is unduly discriminatory to require one set of ratepayers subsidize a discount rate for other ratepayers based on factors unrelated to the cost of service.

2. While recognizing that the proposed low-income assistance program Westar may raise questions related to prior rulings of the Commission, CURB notes for the record that the company's proposal to implement an economic development tariff is equally likely to raise such

questions concerning cross-class subsidization and undue discrimination. The funding for the discount will be subsidized by customers not eligible for the discount.

3. CURB suggests that the matter of briefing these issues could be sidestepped altogether by ruling that consideration of either proposal in the context of this abbreviated case is inappropriate because they are outside the intended scope of this proceeding. The Commission's order in Westar's last base rate case, Docket No. 12-WSEE-112-RTS, established the limited issues to be decided in Westar's abbreviated case: (1) an update of actual capital costs incurred by Westar related to environmental projects at LaCygne Energy Center that were preapproved by the Commission in Docket No. 11-KCPE-581-PRE, up to the amount of costs approved by the Commission in that docket but not included in the 112 Docket, (2) update rates to reflect the expiration of the amortization period for costs associated with the 2007 ice storm, and (3) the issues of class cost of service, class cost allocation, and rate design would be open to consideration. (See *Order Approving Nonunanimous Stipulation and Agreement with Modification*, Docket No. 12-WSEE-112-RTS, Apr. 18, 2012, at ¶79-80).

4. Thus, Westar's proposals for a low-income assistance program and an economic development tariff, both to be funded by subsidies derived from the rates paid by all customers, are outside the parameters established for this abbreviated case. Rather than requiring the parties to consume valuable time and effort briefing whether these proposals are legal, the Commission could simply strike the testimony on these issues as being non-germane to the current proceeding,<sup>1</sup> because raising issues beyond the prescribed scope defeats the purpose of allowing utilities to file abbreviated

<sup>1</sup> If the Commission determines to limit this proceeding to those issues outlined in its 112 Docket order, then Westar's adjustment of its revenue requirement for bad debt should also be considered non-germane to the current proceeding.

rate case applications. This case, intended to be limited in scope to the issues outlined in the Commission's order, is simply not the appropriate venue for reconsideration of longstanding Commission policy and interpretations of Kansas law and the adoption of new programs.

5. However, if the Commission believes legal briefing of the issues concerning the lowincome proposal is appropriate for this docket, then CURB suggests that the Commission widen the scope of the subject of the briefing to include addressing the legality and policy implications of the proposed economic development rider, as well. The funding mechanism of both proposed programs raises concerns about cross-class subsidization and undue discrimination in rates as defined in prior orders of the Commission. Approval of the economic development tariff would require reconsideration of the same longstanding Commission policy that Staff has identified as being of concern with the low-income program proposal. Briefing the issues raised by one program without briefing similar issues raised by the other would leave many remaining questions to be answered in this case.

6. By recognizing the implications of these proposals on Commission policy, and in suggesting that this docket is not the appropriate venue for consideration of these implications, CURB is not opposing consideration of these issues in a more appropriate context, such as a separate docket opened to consider Westar's proposals or a generic docket opened to revisit prior Commission determinations and interpretations of Kansas law concerning the permissibility of cross-class subsidization and what constitutes undue discrimination in rates. CURB has historically supported the addition of programs that provide greater access to energy efficiency and assistance to low-income customers and is interested in enhancing the availability of such programs.

3

Respectfully submitted,

nk d

David Springe #15619 Niki Christopher #19311 C. Steven Rarrick #13127 Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604 (785) 271-3200 (785) 271-3116 Fax

### VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS ) ) COUNTY OF SHAWNEE )

I, Niki Christopher, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon her oath states:

That she is an attorney for the above named petitioner; that she has read the above and foregoing Intervention, and, upon information and belief, states that the matters therein appearing are true and correct.

Vd

Niki Christopher

ss:

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013.

DELLA J. Gran My Appt. Expires January 26, 2017

the form Notary Public

-

My Commission expires: <u>01-26-2017</u>.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

#### 13-WSEE-629-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2013, to the following parties who have waived receipt of follow-up hard copies:

RAY BERGMEIER, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 r.bergmeier@kcc.ks.gov

AMBER SMITH, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 a.smith@kcc.ks.gov

JAY VAN BLARICUM, ADVISORY COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 j.vanblaricum@kcc.ks.gov

JOHN R. WINE, JR. 410 NE 43<sup>rd</sup> TOPEKA, KS 66617 Fax: 785-246-0339 jwine2@cox.net

KEVIN HIGGINS ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC PARKSIDE TOWERS STE 200 215 S STATE ST SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111 Fax: 801-521-9142 khiggins@energystrat.com

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 SOUTH KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 Cathy.Dinges@westarenergy.com JEFFREY MARTIN, VP REGULATORY AFFAIRS WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 Jeff.Martin@westarenergy.com

KURTJ.BOEHM, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 EAST 7<sup>th</sup> STREET, SUITE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 Fax: 513-421-2764 <u>kboehm@bkllawfirm.com</u>

JODY M. KYLER, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 EAST 7<sup>th</sup> STREET, SUITE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 Fax: 513-421-2764 jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com

KATHERINE COLEMAN, ATTORNEY ANDREWS KURTH L.L.P. 111 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1700 AUSTIN, TX 78701 kcoleman@andrewskurth.com

PHILLIP OLDHAM ANDREWS KURTH L.L.P. 111 CONGRESS AVENUE, SUITE 1700 AUSTIN, TX 78701 philipoldham@andrewskurth.com

CARSON M. HINDERKS, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 <u>carson@smizak-law.com</u>

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 jim@smizak-law.com

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067 <u>iflaherty@andersonbyrd.com</u> MATTHEW P. REINHART THE HOLLYFRONTIER COMPANIES 2828 N HARWOOD STE 1300 DALLAS, TX 75201 matt.reinhart@hollyfrontier.com

STANFORD J. SMITH, ATTORNEY MARTIN, PRINGLE, OLIVER, WALLACE & BAUER, LLP 100 N BROADWAY STE 500 WICHITA, KS 67202 sjsmith@martinpringle.com

TERESA J. JAMES, ATTORNEY MARTIN, PRINGLE, OLIVER, WALLACE & BAUER, LLP 6900 COLLEGE BLVD STE 700 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66211-1842 tjjames@martinpringle.com

CLAYTON E. SCHULER SPIRIT AEROSYSTEMS, INC. PO BOX 780008, K06-10 WICHITA, KS 67278-0008 clayton.e.schuler@spiritaero.com

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 temckee@twgfirm.com

SAMUEL D. RITCHIE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 sdritchie@twgfirm.com

DAVID BANKS, ENERGY MANAGER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 259 201 N WATER WICHITA, KS 67202 dbanks@usd259.net

THOMAS R. POWELL, GENERAL COUNSEL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 259 201 N WATER ST RM 405 WICHITA, KS 67202-1292 tpowell@usd259.net DAVID L. WOODSMALL WOODSMALL LAW OFFICE 807 WINSTON CT JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101-2869 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

Della Śmith Administrative Specialist