

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

JUL 30 2010



In the Matter of the Application of )  
Suburban Water, Inc., d/b/a Suburban )  
Water Company, for Approval of a )  
Purchased Water Adjustment ("PWA") )

Docket No. 10-SUBW-602-TAR

STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY

PREPARED BY

WILLIAM E. BALDRY

UTILITIES DIVISION

KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

1 **Q. Would you please state your name?**

2 A. William E. Baldry

3

4 **Q. What is your business address?**

5 A. My business address is the Kansas Corporation Commission, 1500 S.W.  
6 Arrowhead Road, Topeka, Kansas, 66604-4027.

7

8 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

9 A. I am employed by the Kansas Corporation Commission as a Senior Auditor.

10

11 **Q. What is your educational background and professional experience?**

12 A. I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration from Washburn University with a  
13 major in Accounting. In 1979, I graduated with a Master of Science from  
14 Oklahoma State University. Upon graduation from Oklahoma State University, I  
15 was employed by Touche Ross as an Auditor. In 1981, I entered the field of oil  
16 and gas with Reading & Bates Corporation and prepared financial statements and  
17 payouts of reversionary wells for the next eight years. In 1989, I joined Duffens  
18 Optical as Assistant Controller. My responsibilities included supervising  
19 employee benefits and payroll administrators and sales tax compliance. In 2000, I  
20 joined KMC Telecom as Business Manager. My responsibilities included weekly  
21 sales forecast projections and preparation of the annual budget. In 2001, I joined  
22 the staff of the Kansas Corporation Commission (Staff). I am a Certified Public

1 Accountant and a member of the American Institute of Certified Public  
2 Accountants.

3  
4 **Q. Have you testified previously before this Commission?**

5 A. Yes, I have testified in several dockets before the Commission.

6  
7 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

8  
9 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss Suburban Water's request for a  
10 purchased water adjustment, and the reasons why Staff supports Suburban's  
11 request.

12  
13 **Q. What is Staff recommending in this case?**

14 A. On March 19, 2010, Suburban Water Company (Suburban Water, Company or  
15 Applicant) submitted its Application with the Commission requesting permission  
16 to implement a purchased water cost adjustment ("PWA"). A purchased water  
17 adjustment is similar to the purchased gas adjustments natural gas utilities have  
18 and are regulated by the Kansas Corporation Commission. Staff recommends that  
19 Suburban Water's request for a purchased water adjustment be approved.

20  
21 **Q. Why is Suburban Water requesting a purchased water adjustment?**

22 A. Suburban Water has a long term contract with the Kansas City, Kansas Board of  
23 Public Utilities (BPU). BPU began raising the rates of all of its customer classes  
24 (including wholesale customers) in 2009 and will continue to increase its

1 customers' rates each year through 2013. The forecasted percentage increases for  
2 wholesale customers during the period 2009 – 2013 are:<sup>1</sup>

3	2009	4.1%
4	2010	5.3%
5	2011	7.7%
6	2012	7.2%
7	2013	7.9%

8 Suburban Water is requesting a purchased water adjustment to pass  
9 through the BPU rate increases to Suburban Water's customers rather than filing  
10 rate cases on a regular basis over the next four years.

11

12 **Q. What percentage of Suburban Water's total water pumped and purchased**  
13 **comes from BPU?**

14 A. Approximately 60 percent.

15

16 **Q. Why is BPU raising its rates each year for the next four years?**

17 A. BPU is raising its rates to fund:

- 18 1. Increased operation and maintenance expenses<sup>2</sup>  
19 2. Major capital improvement expenditures from 2009 through 2014 that  
20 will total approximately \$66 million<sup>3</sup> (BPU currently has \$163,984,500 of

---

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 1, page 1 contains the price increase for 2009. Page 4 contains the price increases for 2010 – 2013.

<sup>2</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 14

<sup>3</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 16, line 14

1 net plant in service, so these capital expenditures represent a significant  
2 increase in BPU's property, plant and equipment)<sup>4</sup>  
3 3. Increase BPU's operating fund balance from its current low of 23 days  
4 to 44 days (the balance in the operating fund balance represents the  
5 number of days BPU can pay for its future operation and maintenance  
6 expenses if BPU did not receive any more additional operating funds from  
7 its customers),<sup>5</sup> and  
8 4. Increase BPU's revenue to reach 1.4 times its maximum annual debt  
9 service coverage by 2014.<sup>6</sup>  
10

11 **Q. Is BPU increasing water rates for wholesale customers only?**

12 A. No. BPU is increasing water rates for its retail, industrial, and wholesale  
13 customers.  
14

15 **Q. Does BPU give any discounts to wholesale customers?**

16 A. Yes. If a wholesale customer has a water tank (such as Suburban Water), those  
17 wholesale customers receive a lower rate.<sup>7</sup>  
18

19 **Q. Is BPU increasing wholesale customer rates as much or more than BPU's**  
20 **other customers?**

---

<sup>4</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 32, Table 13, line 38

<sup>5</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 20, Table 9, line 32

<sup>6</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 22

<sup>7</sup> Exhibit No. WEB – 2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 40

1 A. Some customers (such as residential and industrial) will incur rate increases  
2 greater than wholesale customers while others (commercial and schools) will  
3 incur lower rate increases. Wholesale rates will increase approximately the  
4 average increase of all customer classes.<sup>8</sup>

5

6 **Q. Did Suburban Water absorb the 2009 BPU rate increase?**

7 A. Yes.

8

9 **Q. What research did Staff perform in analyzing Suburban Water's request for**  
10 **a purchased water adjustment?**

11 A. Staff read the Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of  
12 Service, and Rates for Water Service to gain an understanding as to why BPU is  
13 raising its water rates. Staff issued eight data requests to Suburban Water, and in  
14 an informal survey asked nineteen states if they had water companies that had  
15 purchased water adjustments. Staff began its survey with states bordering Kansas.  
16 Staff expanded its survey to the north, south and east of the states bordering  
17 Kansas. Staff surveyed states to the west and southwest of Kansas in the hopes  
18 those states would have comprehensive purchased water agreement policies. Staff  
19 chose the state of West Virginia at random.

20

21 **Q. What states allow purchased water adjustments?**

---

<sup>8</sup> Exhibit No. WEB -2, Black & Veatch Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service, page 41, Table 18, lines 17 and 19

1 A. Of the states Staff surveyed, ten states allow purchased water adjustments.<sup>9</sup>

2

3 **Q. What costs are allowed in a purchased water adjustment?**

4 A. The states Staff surveyed allow a variety of costs in the purchased water  
5 adjustment for retail water companies. The costs allowed by the various states  
6 include:

7 1. Cost of purchased water

8 2. Depreciation for existing facilities and capital-related costs for new and  
9 existing facilities, and

10 3. Purchased power to pump the water.

11

12 **Q. What costs is Suburban Water requesting to be included in its purchased**  
13 **water adjustment?**

14 A. Suburban Water is requesting the estimated costs of:

15 1. Cost of water

16 2. Cost of lost and unaccounted for water

17 3. Cost of administering wholesale water contracts, including rate case expenses  
18 incurred in intervening in any wholesale supplier's rate case.<sup>10</sup>

19

20 **Q. What does lost and unaccounted for water mean?**

---

<sup>9</sup> Exhibit No. WEB - 3

<sup>10</sup> Suburban Water's Application, page 3, paragraph 6

1 A. Lost and unaccounted-for water includes water lost through leaky water pipes and  
2 water pumped through fire hydrants either to fight fires or for periodic testing of  
3 fire hydrants.

4

5 **Q. What costs are included in administering wholesale water contracts?**

6 A. The cost of employee salaries for the time they spend administering wholesale  
7 water contracts and the cost of outside consultants and attorneys to intervene in a  
8 wholesale supplier's rate case.

9

10 **Q. Of the states Staff surveyed, does any state allow all of the costs Suburban**  
11 **Water is requesting to be included in its purchased water adjustment?**

12 A. Of the states that allow a purchased water adjustment, none of the states allow for  
13 the cost of administering wholesale water contracts, including rate case expense  
14 incurred in intervention of any wholesale supplier's rate case.

15

16 **Q. What states in the survey do not have a purchased water adjustment?**

17 A. Nine states do not have a purchased water adjustment. Please see Exhibit No.  
18 WEB – 3 for a list of states.

19

20 **Q. Why do these states not have a purchased water adjustment?**

21 A. Some states do not have a law allowing purchased water adjustments. Other states  
22 have a statute allowing a purchased water adjustment, but no water company has  
23 requested a PWA.



1

2 **Q. What are the facts specific to Suburban that warrant a recommendation of**  
3 **approval?**

4 A. Suburban Water continues to add new customers each year, the demand for water  
5 from Suburban Water's customers keeps growing, and Suburban's company-  
6 owned water wells have produced less and less water over the years. Suburban  
7 Water's only source for additional water is from BPU, and it is difficult to seek  
8 cost reducing alternatives because alternative sources of water other than BPU are  
9 limited and are currently not available absent a significant pipeline investment.

10

11 **Q. Does Staff agree with Suburban that all of the costs listed in Suburban's**  
12 **Application should be included in the company's purchased water**  
13 **adjustment?**

14 A. No. Staff believes the cost of administering wholesale water contracts, including  
15 rate case expense incurred in the intervention of any wholesale supplier's rate  
16 case should be excluded from Suburban Water's purchased water adjustment  
17 tariff. Staff believes these costs are a part of Suburban Water's regular operating  
18 and maintenance expenses, and the Company should recover these operating costs  
19 when it files a rate case application with the Kansas Corporation Commission.  
20 Please see Staff Witness Sonya Cushinberry's testimony for additional discussion  
21 of Staff's recommendation to approve the purchased water adjustment.

22

23 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

Testimony of William E. Baldry  
Docket No. 10-SUBW-602-TAR

1 A. Yes.

2

Docket No. 10-SUBW-602-TAR

Exhibit No. WEB – 1

Suburban Water  
 10-SUBW-602-TAR  
 Percentage Increase in Cost of BPU Water from 2007 to 2009

	(a)	(b)
Line No.		Price for 2009
1	BPU Price per 1,000 Gallons	\$1.770
2	Less: BPU Price in 07-SUBW-1352-RTS Rate Case	(1.700)
3	Increase in BPU Price per 1,000 Gallons From Last Rate Case	\$0.070
4	Percentage Price Increase of BPU Water From Previous Year (line 3 / line 2)	0.041176

Source: Application in 10-SUBW-602-TAR, Appendix B

**Kansas Corporation Commission  
Information Request**

Request No: 1

Company Name          SUBURBAN WATER CO.    SUBW  
Docket Number        10-SUBW-602-TAR  
Request Date          May 28, 2010  
Date Information Needed   June 8, 2010

RE: Public Hearing Notice - BPU Price Increases

**Please Provide the Following:**

The Notice of Public Hearing and Comment lists percentage price increases from the Board of Public Utilities of 8.8% in 2010 and 7.5% in 2013.

1. Please provide a copy of the work papers that support the percentage price increases that will occur in 2010 and in 2013.

Submitted By Bill Baldry

Submitted To Mike Breuer

*please see attached TABLE 9 &  
TABLE A-2*

If for some reason, the above information cannot be provided by the date requested, please provide a written explanation of those reasons.

**Verification of Response**

I have read the foregoing Information Request and answer(s) thereto and find answer(s) to be true, accurate, full and complete and contain no material misrepresentations or omissions to the best of my knowledge and belief; and I will disclose to the Commission Staff any matter subsequently discovered which affects the accuracy or completeness of the answer(s) to this Information Request.

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

*GWilson*  
*Greg Wilson*  
*6/9/2010*

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 9**  
**Operating Cash Flow**

Line No.	Fiscal Year Ending December 31.						
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>REVENUE</b>							
Operating Revenue							
1	Gross Revenue Under Existing Rates	33,171,700	33,264,100	33,364,900	33,465,700	33,566,500	33,667,200
Additional Revenue Required (a):							
	Year	Percent	Months Effective				
2	2009	0.00%	11	0	0	0	0
3	2010	8.00%	6	1,330,600	2,669,200	2,677,300	2,685,300
4	2011	8.00%	11		2,642,500	2,891,400	2,900,100
5	2012	7.50%	11			2,683,600	2,936,400
6	2013	7.50%	11				2,893,600
7	2014	7.50%	11				3,119,900
8	Subtotal Rate Revenue	33,171,700	34,594,700	38,676,600	41,718,000	44,981,900	48,500,600
9	Free Water	(1,853,100)	(1,927,200)	(2,148,100)	(2,310,000)	(2,483,200)	(2,669,500)
10	Net Revenue Received	31,318,600	32,667,500	36,528,500	39,408,000	42,498,700	45,831,100
11	Other Operating Revenue (excludes SDCs)	1,659,600	1,652,600	1,692,300	1,733,200	1,775,200	1,753,800
12	PILOT	3,587,900	4,428,100	4,602,500	4,130,100	4,453,200	4,801,600
13	Subtotal Operating Revenue	36,566,100	38,748,200	42,823,300	45,271,300	48,727,100	52,386,500
Non-Operating Revenue							
14	Interest - Operating Fund	44,500	38,700	41,000	59,700	69,500	69,100
15	Interest - Reserve Funds (b)	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100
16	Subtotal Non-Operating Revenue	148,600	142,800	145,100	163,800	173,600	173,200
17	<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>36,714,700</b>	<b>38,891,000</b>	<b>42,968,400</b>	<b>45,435,100</b>	<b>48,900,700</b>	<b>52,559,700</b>
<b>REVENUE REQUIREMENT</b>							
Operating Expenditures							
18	O&M Expenses	23,943,100	24,835,200	25,686,000	26,838,200	27,752,500	28,584,100
19	PILOT Rate	9.9%	12.8%	11.9%	9.9%	9.9%	9.9%
20	PILOT	3,587,900	4,428,100	4,602,500	4,130,100	4,453,200	4,801,600
21	Subtotal O&M Expenses	27,531,000	29,263,300	30,288,500	30,968,300	32,205,700	33,385,700
22	Net Revenue	9,183,700	9,627,700	12,679,900	14,466,800	16,695,000	19,174,000
Debt Service							
Existing							
23	Parity Debt	7,416,300	7,524,900	8,155,100	8,155,500	8,147,500	8,158,000
24	Non-Parity Debt	2,107,400	2,107,700	2,107,700	2,107,400	2,107,500	2,108,000
Proposed							
25	Parity Debt	0	726,900	1,453,700	2,292,400	3,131,100	3,252,300
26	Subtotal Debt Service	9,523,700	10,359,500	11,716,500	12,555,300	13,386,100	13,518,300
27	Transfer to Capital Fund	850,000	0	0	1,000,000	3,250,000	5,750,000
28	<b>Total Revenue Requirements</b>	<b>37,904,700</b>	<b>39,622,800</b>	<b>42,005,000</b>	<b>44,523,600</b>	<b>48,841,800</b>	<b>52,654,000</b>
Operating Fund Balance							
29	Net Annual Cash Balance	(1,190,000)	(731,800)	963,400	911,500	58,900	(94,300)
30	Beginning Fund Balance (c)	3,490,000	2,300,000	1,568,200	2,531,600	3,443,100	3,502,000
31	Net Cumulative Fund Balance	2,300,000	1,568,200	2,531,600	3,443,100	3,502,000	3,407,700
32	Days O&M Reserved	35	23	36	47	46	44
33	Reserve Target - Days O&M	45	45	45	45	45	45
34	Reserve Target - \$	2,951,900	3,061,900	3,166,800	3,308,800	3,421,500	3,524,100
35	Target Variance	(651,900)	(1,493,700)	(635,200)	134,300	80,500	(116,400)

(a) The BPU is seeking approval of rates that reflect the proposed revenue increases for 2010 through 2013.

(b) Includes interest earnings on the Customer Deposits, Self Insurance Reserve, Debt Service Fund, Improvement and Emergency Fund, and Economic Development Fund.

(c) Includes Unrestricted Balance plus balances in the Operating Reserve Fund, Construction Reserve, Debt Reduction Reserve, Rate Stabilization Fund, and System Development Charges Reserve.

6/2/2010

**Table A-2**  
**Existing and Proposed Rates**  
**Rate Codes 31, 32, 33, and 34 - Wholesale**





Monthly Volume Charge - \$ /Ccf	Existing	2010 (b)	2011	2012	2013
All Usage	\$ 1.301	\$ 1.420	\$ 1.530	\$ 1.640	\$ 1.770
Percentage Change		5.3%	7.7%	7.2%	7.9%
Cummulative		5.3%	13.1%	20.3%	28.2%
(b) Effective June 1, 2010					

Docket No. 10-SUBW-602-TAR

Exhibit No. WEB – 2



**BUILDING A WORLD OF DIFFERENCE®**



**KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**

## **Report on**

# **Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service**

January 2010



**BLACK & VEATCH**  
Building a world of difference.

January 29, 2010

Kansas City Board of Public Utilities  
Mr. Don Gray, General Manager  
540 Minnesota Avenue  
Kansas City, KS 66101

Dear Mr. Gray:

We are pleased to present our *Report on Revenue Requirements, Costs of Service, and Rates for Water Service* for the Kansas City Board of Public Utilities (BPU). An introduction and executive summary of the principal findings and recommendations precede the detailed text of the report.

We wish to acknowledge the cooperation and assistance of the BPU staff in providing guidance and information for the study. It is a pleasure to be of service to the BPU in this matter.

Very truly yours,

BLACK & VEATCH CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Anna White".

Anna White  
Project Manager

Enclosure

# CONTENTS

---

## Contents

INTRODUCTION .....	1
Purpose.....	1
Scope.....	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	2
Revenues and Revenue Requirements .....	2
Cost Allocations.....	3
Water Rate Adjustments .....	4
REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS .....	7
Customer Growth.....	7
Water Sales .....	7
Water Revenue Under Existing Rates .....	10
Other Income .....	10
Operation and Maintenance Expense Projections .....	14
Capital Improvement Program and Financing Plan.....	14
System Operations .....	19
Bond Coverage Requirements .....	21
COST ALLOCATIONS .....	25
Test Year.....	25
Cost of Service to be Allocated .....	25
Functional Cost Components.....	25
Allocation to Cost Components.....	27
Allocation of Plant Investment .....	28
Allocation of Capital Improvements.....	31
Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Expense .....	31
Distribution of Costs to Customer Classes .....	34
WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS .....	42
Existing Water Rates .....	42
Proposed Water Rates .....	43
Water Service Revenue Under Proposed Rates.....	43
Typical Bills.....	47

# LIST OF TABLES

---

## List of Tables

Table A-1	Existing and Proposed Rates.....	5
Table A-2	Existing and Proposed Rates.....	6
Table 1	Historical and Projected Number of Accounts .....	8
Table 2	Historical and Projected Water Usage .....	9
Table 3	Existing Rates .....	11
Table 4	Historical and Projected User Charge Gross Revenue .....	12
Table 5	Historical and Projected Miscellaneous Revenue.....	13
Table 6	Historical and Projected Operation & Maintenance Expense.....	15
Table 7	Proposed Capital Improvement Program.....	16
Table 8	Capital Financing Plan.....	18
Table 9	Operating Cash Flow .....	20
Table 10	Coverage Requirements .....	23
Table 11	Total Cost of Service .....	26
Table 12	Allocation of Net Plant Investment to Functional Cost Components.....	30
Table 13	Allocation of Capital Improvements to Functional Cost Components .....	32
Table 14	Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Expense to Functional Cost Components .....	33
Table 15	Estimated Units of Service.....	36
Table 16	Unit Cost of Service.....	38
Table 17	Allocation of Cost of Service to Customer Classes .....	39
Table 18	Comparison of Allocated Cost of Service with Revenue Under Existing Rates .....	41
Table 19-1	Existing and Proposed Rates.....	44
Table 19-2	Existing and Proposed Rates.....	45

# LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 20      Comparison of Revenue Under Proposed Rates with Allocated  
                  Costs of Service .....46

Table 21      Comparison of Typical Monthly Bills Under Existing and  
                  Proposed Rates.....48

## Introduction

The Kansas City Board of Public Utilities (BPU) water utility provides retail water service to customers within the County. It also provides water service to four area wholesale customers.

### Purpose

In 2009, the BPU selected Black & Veatch Corporation (B&V) to perform an analysis of revenue requirements, cost of service, and rates for its water utility. B&V has conducted the analysis and projection of water utility revenue requirements for the six year study period ending in fiscal year 2014. Additionally, analysis of water utility cost of service and rate design required to meet projected 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 revenue requirements has been completed and is detailed in this report.

### Scope

This study includes a comprehensive review of projected revenue under existing rates, revenue requirements, customer costs of service, and rates for treated water service. Projection of financial operations under existing rates indicates the degree to which current revenues can be expected to meet anticipated financial requirements during the study period.

Projected revenue requirements include operation and maintenance expense, payment-in-lieu-of-taxes (PILOT), principal and interest payments on existing and proposed revenue bonds, and capital improvement requirements met from revenues. These projections are based upon a study of past and budgeted costs incurred in providing water service and include allowances for anticipated future conditions, growth, and inflation.

Allocated costs of service are developed for each class of customer and type of service based on considerations of utility revenue needs and projected customer service requirements. Rate adjustments are designed in accord with allocated costs of service, local policy and practical considerations.

In conducting our analysis and in forming an opinion of the projection of future operations summarized in this report, B&V has made certain assumptions with respect to conditions, events, and circumstances that may occur in the future. The methodologies utilized by B&V in performing the analysis follow generally accepted industry practices for such projections. Such assumptions and methodologies are summarized in this report and are reasonable and appropriate for the purpose for which they are used. While B&V believes the assumptions are reasonable and the projection methodology valid, actual results may materially differ from those projected, as influenced by the conditions, events, and circumstances that may actually occur.

## Executive Summary

### Revenues and Revenue Requirements

1. The Kansas City Board of Public Utilities (BPU) currently provides treated water and water distribution services to approximately 52,400 accounts within the Unified Government of Wyandotte County including four wholesale customers. The number of accounts is projected to remain constant throughout the study period.
2. Sales of treated water are projected to increase from 10,421,300 hundred cubic feet (Ccf) in 2009 to 10,603,300 Ccf by 2014. This reflects an average growth rate of about 0.4 percent annually.
3. The BPU's current water rates became effective January 1, 2008. These rates include a monthly customer charge, which varies by meter size, and a volume charge. Retail rates include minimum usage requirements that vary by meter size. Generally speaking, the existing outside city rates are higher than inside city rates.
4. Revenue is currently derived principally from charges for treated water service, with some revenue also obtained from connect and disconnect fees, service fees, interest income, and other miscellaneous revenue. Revenue from treated water sales, under existing rates, is projected to increase from \$33,171,700 in 2009 to \$33,667,200 in 2014. Other water revenues are estimated to increase from \$2,139,000 in 2009 to \$2,794,200 in 2014.
5. Costs of service to be recovered from water service charges include system operation and maintenance expense, payment-in-lieu-of-taxes (PILOT), principal and interest payments on existing and proposed revenue bonds, and capital improvement requirements met from revenues.
6. Operation and maintenance expense includes the costs associated with payroll and fringe benefits, purchased services, materials and supplies, contract services, utilities, and other items. Future operating expenses are projected to increase from \$22,943,100 in 2009 to \$28,584,100 in 2014.
7. Major capital improvement expenditures for the six-year study period are estimated to total \$66,976,200. Projected revenue bond issues totaling \$45,250,000, together with current revenues, service fees (system development charges), grant proceeds, and estimated future interest earnings are proposed for financing the water utility improvement program.
8. As illustrated in the cash analyses presented in Tables 8 and 9 of this report, it is anticipated that the projected capital program requirements and estimates of future operating expenses during the 2009-2014 study period examined can be financed with revenue increases of 8 percent effective June 1, 2010, followed by revenue increases of 8 percent effective January 1, 2011 and 7.5 percent effective January 1 in each year 2012, 2013, and 2014. The BPU is seeking approval for implementation of rates for the first four years of the study period. This

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

includes the 8 percent adjustments in 2010 and 2011, followed by the 7.5 percent adjustments in 2012 and 2013.

## Cost Allocations

9. The annual cost of service for the water system to be met from treated water rates during the projected 2013 test year is as follows:

Total Revenue Requirements:

Operating & Maintenance Expense	\$27,752,500	
PILOT	4,453,200	
Existing Debt Service	10,255,000	
Proposed Debt Service	3,131,100	
Revenue Capital Financing	<u>3,250,000</u>	
Total		\$48,841,800

Revenue Requirements Met from Other Sources:

Other Operating Revenue	\$1,775,200	
Interest Income	173,600	
PILOT	4,453,200	
Full Year Revenue Increase Adjustment	(248,500)	
Use of Available Funds	<u>(58,900)</u>	
Total		<u>\$6,094,600</u>

Net Costs to be Met from Charges \$42,747,200

It is projected that the Net Costs to be Met from Charges shown above will be funded from revenue from charges that reflect 8 percent revenue increases effective June 1, 2010 and January 1, 2011 and 7.5 percent revenue increases effective January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2013.

10. As a basis for design of a schedule of water rates, costs of service are allocated to classes of customers in accordance with respective service requirements. The resulting costs of service allocated to customer classes are summarized in Table 18 of this report. The allocated costs shown are adjusted to recognize recovery of City, Interdepartmental, inside and outside city Public Fire Projection costs, and the Wholesale facility credit from other inside and outside city retail customer classes.



# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

## **Water Rate Adjustments**

11. A schedule of existing and proposed 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013 rates for water service designed on the basis of cost of service and local policy considerations described in the report is shown in Table A-1 and A-2. The differential between inside and outside city rates and minimum usage requirements is recommended to be phased out by 2013. Additionally, the volume charges for both inside and outside city retail customers is proposed to be phased to a 3-step declining block by 2013.
12. Typical water bills under existing rates and rates proposed are shown in Table 21 of the report.

**Table A-1  
Existing and Proposed Rates**

Meter Size	Existing			Proposed Rates (a)											
	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement	2010 (b)			2011			2012			2013		
				Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement
\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	
<b>RATE CODE 10 - INSIDE CITY</b>															
<b>Monthly Charge</b>															
5/8"	12.69	12.69	0.10	13.65	13.98	0.10	15.55	15.90	0.10	17.50	17.87	0.10	19.35	19.74	0.10
3/4"	13.18	26.80	4.70	16.60	32.16	4.70	18.95	35.49	4.70	21.30	38.60	4.70	23.55	41.83	4.70
1"	15.27	37.10	7.50	20.70	45.33	7.50	23.60	49.78	7.50	26.55	53.90	7.50	29.35	58.22	7.50
1.5"	20.58	66.74	15.70	32.50	80.99	15.70	37.00	88.35	15.70	41.60	95.03	15.70	46.00	101.77	15.70
2"	26.95	100.02	25.50	44.20	121.21	25.50	50.40	131.84	25.50	56.70	141.29	25.50	62.60	150.51	25.50
3"	47.09	180.31	45.50	96.00	231.21	45.50	109.50	252.34	45.50	123.00	271.19	45.50	136.00	289.51	45.50
4"	73.62	290.99	74.00	154.50	372.64	74.00	176.00	406.33	74.00	198.00	436.82	74.00	219.00	465.99	74.00
6"	142.55	577.73	148.00	301.00	734.48	148.00	343.00	800.51	148.00	386.00	860.14	148.00	427.00	916.71	148.00
8"	200.89	912.23	247.50	449.00	1,172.03	247.50	512.00	1,274.98	247.50	575.00	1,365.55	247.50	635.00	1,451.07	247.50
10"	317.55	1,370.86	372.00	596.00	1,681.32	372.00	679.00	1,824.19	372.00	763.00	1,949.46	372.00	843.00	2,067.43	372.00
12"	464.36	1,767.17	462.50	682.00	2,030.68	462.50	778.00	2,201.03	462.50	875.00	2,349.23	462.50	967.00	2,488.27	462.50
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>															
First 7 Ccf	2.959			3.310			3.520			3.680			3.890		
Next 153 Ccf	2.945			2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280		
Next 1,840 Ccf	2.750			2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280		
Next 6,000 Ccf	2.063			2.063			2.063			2.450			3.030		
Over 8,000 Ccf	1.320			1.620			1.990			2.450			3.030		
<b>RATE CODE 20 - OUTSIDE CITY</b>															
<b>Monthly Charge</b>															
5/8"	12.89	24.36	3.60	13.65	25.57	3.60	15.55	24.00	2.40	17.50	21.92	1.20	19.35	19.74	0.10
3/4"	13.40	35.20	6.70	16.60	38.78	6.70	18.95	40.07	6.00	21.30	40.80	5.30	23.55	41.83	4.70
1"	15.59	52.81	11.40	20.70	56.67	11.40	23.60	57.76	10.10	26.55	58.03	8.80	29.35	58.22	7.50
1.5"	21.16	92.04	21.70	32.50	98.45	21.70	37.00	100.63	19.70	41.60	101.39	17.70	46.00	101.77	15.70
2"	27.84	144.92	35.80	44.20	151.18	35.80	50.40	153.02	32.40	56.70	152.42	29.00	62.60	150.51	25.50
3"	49.00	262.43	65.50	96.00	289.41	65.50	109.50	293.17	58.80	123.00	292.18	52.10	136.00	289.51	45.50
4"	76.86	432.83	108.70	154.50	473.62	108.70	176.00	477.25	97.10	198.00	473.39	85.50	219.00	465.99	74.00
6"	144.78	815.36	205.00	301.00	900.35	205.00	343.00	917.17	186.00	386.00	920.56	167.00	427.00	916.71	148.00
8"	204.03	1,149.00	288.70	449.00	1,291.92	288.70	512.00	1,359.40	275.00	575.00	1,409.43	261.50	635.00	1,451.07	247.50
10"	322.52	1,816.28	456.50	596.00	1,927.22	456.50	679.00	1,997.03	428.30	763.00	2,038.82	400.10	843.00	2,067.43	372.00
12"	471.63	2,655.98	667.00	682.00	2,625.77	667.00	778.00	2,619.47	598.80	875.00	2,565.81	550.60	967.00	2,488.27	462.50
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>															
All Usage	3.275			0.000						0.000					
First 7 Ccf				3.310			3.520			3.680			3.890		
Next 153 Ccf				2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280		
Next 1,840 Ccf				2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280		
Next 6,000 Ccf				2.063			2.063			2.450			3.030		
Over 8,000 Ccf				1.620			1.990			2.450			3.030		

(a) Effective January 1 of each year shown unless otherwise indicated.

(b) Effective June 1, 2010.

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table A-2**  
**Existing and Proposed Rates**

Meter Size	Existing	Proposed Rates (a)			
		2010 (b)	2011	2012	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>RATE CODE 40 - FIRE PROTECTION</b>					
<b>Monthly Charge</b>					
2"	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.97
4"	20.44	20.44	20.44	20.44	20.44
6"	49.86	49.86	49.86	49.86	49.86
8"	100.21	100.21	100.21	100.21	100.21
10"	175.95	175.95	175.95	175.95	175.95
12"	281.10	281.10	281.10	281.10	281.10
<b>RATE CODES 31, 32, 33, 34 - WHOLESALE</b>					
<b>Monthly Charge</b>					
All Sizes	160.00	160.00	160.00	160.00	160.00
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>					
All Usage	1.301	1.420	1.530	1.640	1.770
<b>RATE CODE 50 - INTERDEPARTMENTAL</b>					
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>					
All Usage	0.510	0.510	0.510	0.510	0.510

(a) Effective January 1 of each year shown unless otherwise indicated.  
(b) Effective June 1, 2010.

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

## Revenues and Revenue Requirements

Water utility revenue is derived principally from charges for treated water service. Other sources of income include service fees, connect and disconnect fees, interest income, and other miscellaneous sources. Additionally, PILOT charges are assessed as a percentage of each customer's water bill, which in turn is remitted to the Unified Government.

### Customer Growth

Table 1 presents a summary of the historical and projected number of monthly accounts for the period 2004 through 2014. Customer classifications are based generally on the rate codes administered by BPU and the type of service provided. Based on historical trends in account levels, and the current degree of economic uncertainty that exists at the regional and national level, account growth is projected to remain flat across all customer classes, reflecting a stable account base with relatively little expected change over the study period. Projected accounts are expected to remain constant at about 52,400 throughout the study period.

### Water Sales

Historical and projected water sales volumes for the period 2004 through 2014 are shown in Table 2. These projections of annual water sales are based upon an estimation of annual usage per account times the number of accounts projected in Table 1. In estimating future water sales, several dynamics have been accounted for in the anticipated results. Usage trends for BPU have been affected by climatological events over the past five years, with several periods of substantial rainfall which has served to suppress overall consumption. Secondly, a general trend of decreasing usage per account has been observed, which is assumed to be the combined result of more efficient fixtures and appliances, better water management, and reduced average household size. Such a trend is relatively common for water utilities in the Midwest. Finally, the BPU is in the process of implementing a meter replacement program for 3-inch and larger meters. Based on the age and condition of the meters being replaced and the results of similar programs implemented at other water utilities, the BPU anticipates an increase in billed consumption for these accounts upon replacement of the meter. The implementation period for meter replacement is expected to take approximately five years.

The projections assume normal weather conditions, while the overall trend in declining usage per account is expected to be slightly exceeded by the impact of the meter replacement program. Overall, total customer usage is projected to increase slightly over the study period, from 10,421,300 hundred cubic feet (Ccf) in 2009 to 10,603,300 Ccf in 2014, representing an annual increase of about 0.4 percent. As shown in Table 2, Inside City Retail volumes are anticipated to increase slightly as a result of the meter replacement program, while usage for all other classes is assumed to remain constant throughout the study period.

**Table 1  
Historical and Projected Number of Accounts**

	Historical					Projected					
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
Inside City											
Retail	49,911	50,091	50,224	50,252	50,053	50,050	50,050	50,050	50,050	50,050	50,050
City	145	152	152	159	160	160	160	160	160	160	160
Temporary Public Fire	25	29	34	27	26	30	30	30	30	30	30
Private Fire Connections	297	315	347	362	376	380	380	380	380	380	380
Outside City											
Retail	1,781	1,811	1,793	1,691	1,702	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
Temporary Public Fire	2	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Fire Connections	107	107	104	96	92	92	92	92	92	92	92
Wholesale	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Interdepartmental	18	18	18	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
<b>Total</b>	<u>52,290</u>	<u>52,528</u>	<u>52,678</u>	<u>52,614</u>	<u>52,433</u>	<u>52,436</u>	<u>52,436</u>	<u>52,436</u>	<u>52,436</u>	<u>52,436</u>	<u>52,436</u>

**Table 2  
Historical and Projected Water Usage**

	Historical					Projected					
	<u>2004</u> Ccf	<u>2005</u> Ccf	<u>2006</u> Ccf	<u>2007</u> Ccf	<u>2008</u> Ccf	<u>2009</u> Ccf	<u>2010</u> Ccf	<u>2011</u> Ccf	<u>2012</u> Ccf	<u>2013</u> Ccf	<u>2014</u> Ccf
Inside City											
Retail	8,595,118	8,648,003	8,762,627	8,297,670	7,505,696	7,536,500	7,570,400	7,607,400	7,644,500	7,681,500	7,718,500
City	283,876	330,052	338,811	430,925	394,382	394,400	394,400	394,400	394,400	394,400	394,400
Temporary Public Fire	33,299	45,632	30,672	10,435	35,720	25,100	25,100	25,100	25,100	25,100	25,100
Private Fire Connections	25,640	6,824	5,398	7,484	8,450	0	0	0	0	0	0
Outside City											
Retail	310,749	297,180	283,030	270,347	241,830	256,000	256,000	256,000	256,000	256,000	256,000
Temporary Public Fire	531	0	109	373	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Fire Connections	1,065	672	779	767	1,059	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wholesale	380,683	435,845	564,764	490,729	404,492	433,000	433,000	433,000	433,000	433,000	433,000
Interdepartmental	<u>2,108,215</u>	<u>2,473,612</u>	<u>2,004,755</u>	<u>1,779,165</u>	<u>1,776,346</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>	<u>1,776,300</u>
Total	11,739,176	12,237,820	11,990,945	11,287,895	10,367,975	10,421,300	10,455,200	10,492,200	10,529,300	10,566,300	10,603,300

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

## Water Revenue Under Existing Rates

The majority of the BPU's water utility revenue is derived from rates and charges for water service. A summary of the BPU's current water user charges, effective January 1, 2008, is presented in Table 3. The retail rates consist of monthly customer charges, which vary by meter size, plus declining block volume charges for inside city customers and separate uniform volume charges for all other customer classifications. Retail rates include minimum usage requirements that vary by meter size. Existing rates for wholesale, fire protection, and interdepartmental water usage are also shown in Table 3.

Projections of future water sales revenue are based on estimates of customer accounts and meter size distributions, water consumption and water use patterns, existing user charges, and wholesale customer contract provisions. Additionally, water sales revenue also includes fire protection charges, which have been estimated based on the number of fire accounts and the associated charges applicable to them. Historical and projected water sales revenue under existing rates and charges is shown in Table 4 for the period 2004 through 2014. Based on the aggregated estimates of the variables indicated above, gross water user charge revenue is expected to be \$33,171,700 in 2009, increasing to \$33,667,200 by 2014, as shown on Table 4. Gross water revenues represent the total user charges that could be billed based on the level of service provided; however, as a matter of policy, the City of Kansas City, Kansas (City) accounts are not billed for municipal usage and interdepartmental revenues are not billed but rather are addressed through accounting transfers. As such, billed revenue is lower than depicted in Table 4. Required adjustments to gross revenue are recognized in Table 9.

## Other Income

In addition to revenues generated by user charges for water service, income is also generated through a variety of other miscellaneous revenue sources, as shown in Table 5. Sources of miscellaneous revenue include connect and disconnect fees, service fees, and other revenue. Fees associated with the account NExch-Main, Design & Ext are associated with new water development mains, while service fees are system development charges assessed to new connections. Both of these miscellaneous revenue sources are anticipated to be well below their historical levels in 2009 based on the relatively lower level of development and connection activity occurring within the service area; however, annual increases are expected throughout the study period in anticipation of improvement in the underlying economic conditions.

**Table 3  
Existing Rates  
(Effective January 1, 2008)**

**RATE CODE 10 - INSIDE CITY**

Meter Size	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement	Hydrant Daily Rental Fee
	\$	\$	Ccf	\$
5/8"	12.69	12.69	0.10	1.50
3/4"	13.18	26.80	4.70	
1"	15.27	37.10	7.50	
1.5"	20.58	66.74	15.70	
2"	26.95	100.02	25.50	
3"	47.09	180.31	45.50	
4"	73.62	290.99	74.00	
6"	142.55	577.73	148.00	
8"	200.89	912.23	247.50	
10"	317.55	1,370.86	372.00	
12"	464.36	1,767.17	462.50	

Ccf Units Per Month	Ccf per Block	Rate per Ccf
		\$
0 to 7	7	2.959
8 to 160	153	2.945
161 to 2,000	1,840	2.750
2,001 to 8,000	6,000	2.063
Over 8,000		1.320

Ccf - Hundred Cubic Feet

**RATE CODE 20 - OUTSIDE CITY**

Meter Size	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement
	\$	\$	Ccf
5/8"	12.89	24.36	3.60
3/4"	13.40	35.20	6.70
1"	15.59	52.81	11.40
1.5"	21.16	92.04	21.70
2"	27.84	144.92	35.80
3"	49.00	262.43	65.50
4"	76.86	432.83	108.70
6"	144.78	815.36	205.00
8"	204.03	1,149.00	288.70
10"	322.52	1,816.28	456.50
12"	471.63	2,655.98	667.00

Ccf Units Per Month	Rate per Ccf
	\$
All	3.275

**RATE CODE 40 - FIRE PROTECTION**

Rate Code	Meter Size	Monthly Customer Charge
		\$
47	2"	7.97
42	4"	20.44
43	6"	49.86
44	8"	100.21
45	10"	175.95
46	12"	281.10

Code	Monthly Customer Charge
	\$
31,32,33,34	160.00

Ccf Units Per Month	Rate per Ccf
	\$
31	1.301
32	1.301
33	1.301
34	1.301

**RATE CODE 50 - INTERDEPARTMENTAL**

Ccf Units Per Month	Rate per Ccf
	\$
All	0.51



**Table 4  
Historical and Projected User Charge Gross Revenue**

	Historical					Projected					
	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Inside City											
Retail	27,976,386	28,159,104	29,053,704	29,766,786	29,008,199	29,050,000	29,142,400	29,243,200	29,344,000	29,444,800	29,545,500
City	672,212	784,142	809,086	946,653	927,187	958,900	958,900	958,900	958,900	958,900	958,900
Temporary Public Fire	126,043	164,026	137,501	79,155	148,767	116,300	116,300	116,300	116,300	116,300	116,300
Private Fire Connections	284,384	253,080	273,221	313,966	345,962	320,700	320,700	320,700	320,700	320,700	320,700
Outside City											
Retail	1,217,810	1,185,516	1,163,611	1,155,826	1,114,243	1,193,500	1,193,500	1,193,500	1,193,500	1,193,500	1,193,500
Temporary Public Fire	4,490	505	1,998	2,071	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private Fire Connections	75,699	75,286	75,467	75,616	78,382	74,600	74,600	74,600	74,600	74,600	74,600
Wholesale	483,534	552,486	713,683	633,360	532,964	563,500	563,500	563,500	563,500	563,500	563,500
Interdepartmental	1,075,190	1,261,577	1,022,425	907,374	905,936	894,200	894,200	894,200	894,200	894,200	894,200
<b>Total Gross User Charge Revenue</b>	<b>31,915,748</b>	<b>32,435,723</b>	<b>33,250,695</b>	<b>33,880,806</b>	<b>33,061,641</b>	<b>33,171,700</b>	<b>33,264,100</b>	<b>33,364,900</b>	<b>33,465,700</b>	<b>33,566,500</b>	<b>33,667,200</b>

**Table 5**  
**Historical and Projected Miscellaneous Revenue (a)**

	Historical					Projected				
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Disposal of Assets-Gain/Loss	(699,359)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Miscellaneous Revenues	(69,436)	(69,939)	5,739	(53,637)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Income	4,067	18,390	151,867	(124,749)	38,100	38,900	39,700	40,500	41,300	42,100
Public Authority	101,771	106,460	122,013	108,282	120,000	122,400	124,800	127,300	129,800	132,400
Forfeited Discounts	492,336	518,949	493,102	546,988	511,900	522,100	532,500	543,200	554,100	565,200
Connect and Disconnect Fees	421,969	462,993	399,171	422,050	428,400	437,000	445,700	454,600	463,700	473,000
Tower/Pole Attachment Rentals	142,876	149,434	143,750	240,571	144,300	151,500	154,500	157,600	160,800	164,000
Diversion Fines	45,169	43,970	40,661	50,820	42,600	43,500	44,400	45,300	46,200	47,100
Service Fees	2,000,753	1,818,246	1,463,893	1,093,595	479,400	500,000	750,000	1,000,000	1,020,000	1,040,400
NExch-Main, Design & Ext Fee	1,281,668	1,403,996	880,873	1,466,171	374,300	337,200	350,700	364,700	379,300	330,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,721,815</b>	<b>4,452,499</b>	<b>3,701,068</b>	<b>3,750,091</b>	<b>2,139,000</b>	<b>2,152,600</b>	<b>2,442,300</b>	<b>2,733,200</b>	<b>2,795,200</b>	<b>2,794,200</b>

(a) Does not include interest and PILOT revenue.

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

## Operation and Maintenance Expense Projections

Table 6 summarizes the BPU's historical and projected operations and maintenance (O&M) expense. These expenses are organized by primary function into the areas of Production, Transmission & Distribution, Customer Service, and General & Administrative. Costs include payroll and fringe benefits, purchased services, materials and supplies, contract services, utilities, and other items. Table 6 does not include PILOT that is paid to the Unified Government; however, it is included in the operating cash flow and will be discussed in more detail in a subsequent section of the report.

Projections of O&M expenses for the years 2009 through 2014 are based on BPU's 2009 budget levels adjusted to include allowances for inflation and other anticipated changes.

No increases in direct labor are projected for 2010 with the exception of clerical and step adjustments. Beginning in 2011, direct labor is anticipated to increase 2 percent per year. Non-labor inflation is estimated at 5 percent in 2010 and 4 percent per year from 2011 through 2014. Power costs are estimated to increase by 6 percent annually beginning in 2010 and bad debt expense is anticipated to be approximately 1.2 percent of projected billed user charge revenue during the study period. Projected O&M expenses also reflect anticipated increases in the cost of benefits due primarily to increased pension funding levels.

As a matter of policy, the BPU budgets salaries and wages (and associated benefits) based on the number of approved staff positions. However, it is common for the utility to operate at a level less than fully staffed as several positions are held and not filled. To recognize the impact of this practice, the budget also contains separate accounts with negative cost projections that serve to reduce the overall salaries and wages costs to a level commensurate with anticipated filled positions. In estimating future salaries and wages expenses for the BPU, it is assumed the positions currently being held will be gradually released or filled, such that by 2014 the cost projections reflect a staff level consistent with the total approved positions.

As illustrated on Table 6, total operation and maintenance expense is projected to increase from \$23,943,100 in 2009 to \$28,584,100 in 2014, or about 3.6 percent annually.

## Capital Improvement Program and Financing Plan

The BPU's Major Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for 2009 through 2014 is summarized in Table 7. The CIP was developed by BPU management and consists of capital improvement projects anticipated to be designed and constructed during the study period. As shown on Line 23, the BPU anticipates spending \$66,976,200 from 2009 to 2014 on projects required to maintain the system and keep it running efficiently, meet regulatory requirements, and continue to meet anticipated demand.

The CIP is comprised of water projects and common projects. The water projects are those that solely benefit the water utility, while the common projects provide benefit to both the water and electric utility. Water projects are fully funded by the water utility, and the cost

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 6  
Historical and Projected Operation & Maintenance Expense (a)**

Account No.	Account Description	Historical O&M				Projected O&M					
		2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>PRODUCTION</b>											
50600	Misc. Steam Power Expense	39,000	54,100	54,500	17,400	30,600	32,200	33,500	34,800	36,200	37,600
51000	Maintenance Supervision	6,900	8,700	0	0	326,200	332,700	340,400	353,300	361,500	369,200
51100	Maint. of Structures-Pwr Prod	0	0	0	0	5,800	6,100	6,400	6,600	6,900	7,200
60000	Operation Supv & Eng-Wtr Suppl	334,300	169,100	329,600	272,800	414,800	426,100	440,900	462,400	478,800	492,500
60100	Operation L-WTRSP	(14,500)	35,700	16,800	(17,300)	0	0	0	0	0	0
62300	Fuel or Pwr Purch for Dumping	763,400	665,700	631,600	867,200	847,200	897,800	951,400	1,008,200	1,068,300	1,132,000
62400	Pump Labor	2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62500	Expenses Transferred-Cr	(923,600)	(991,000)	(909,300)	(937,900)	(962,000)	(1,019,800)	(1,080,900)	(1,145,800)	(1,214,600)	(1,287,400)
64000	Operation Supv & Eng-Wtr Proc	1,105,600	1,103,900	1,254,000	1,200,500	1,301,000	1,331,800	1,374,100	1,439,700	1,486,700	1,525,400
64100	Chemical Expense	703,400	689,100	839,100	952,400	1,481,700	1,555,800	1,618,000	1,682,700	1,750,000	1,820,000
64300	Laboratory Expense	300	100	0	2,100	200	200	300	300	300	300
64400	Wtr Proc Equip & Supplies	566,700	647,000	689,600	686,500	802,400	836,500	838,700	892,500	916,600	939,100
65000	Maint Supv and Eng-Wtr Proc	919,700	940,100	978,900	1,093,600	1,134,000	1,168,500	1,199,300	1,241,700	1,274,600	1,308,400
65200	Maint Wtr Treat Equip-Wtr Proc	243,400	182,100	237,400	249,500	284,900	299,100	311,100	323,600	336,500	350,600
	Total Production	3,767,400	3,504,000	4,122,200	4,388,800	5,667,800	5,867,000	6,053,200	6,300,000	6,501,800	6,694,300
<b>TRANSMISSION &amp; DISTRIBUTION</b>											
56000	Operation Supv and Eng-Trans	2,500	24,800	26,500	22,800	18,700	19,000	20,000	21,500	22,600	23,200
57000	Maintenance of Station Equip	1,600	1,300	3,800	1,700	1,400	1,500	1,500	1,600	1,700	1,700
58000	Operation Supv and Eng-Dist	131,000	141,000	145,200	141,000	124,900	127,200	133,700	144,200	151,700	156,300
58200	Station Expenses-Dist	13,800	12,800	11,300	10,100	12,200	12,800	13,300	13,800	14,400	14,900
58400	Underground Line	0	0	0	400	0	0	0	0	0	0
58600	Meter Expense	536,700	616,400	587,200	540,700	580,500	594,200	608,400	631,600	646,900	661,100
58800	Misc. Distribution Expense	2,000	2,900	2,100	3,800	4,400	4,600	4,800	5,000	5,200	5,400
59100	Maint. of Structures-Dist	600	600	600	500	800	800	900	900	900	1,000
66200	Trans and Dist Line Expense	1,399,100	1,531,300	1,756,900	1,794,200	1,721,900	1,817,400	1,873,800	1,954,200	2,015,900	2,071,900
66300	Meter Expense	1,424,800	2,272,300	2,834,400	2,242,100	1,998,500	2,043,200	2,115,300	2,230,500	2,311,800	2,372,900
66500	Operation Labor & Exp-Wtr Dist	0	66,000	813,100	599,600	491,100	500,800	516,300	541,300	558,500	572,400
67000	Maint Supv and Eng-Trans D	2,092,700	2,312,700	2,342,300	1,943,600	2,565,000	2,619,100	2,714,100	2,974,600	3,054,200	3,112,000
67100	Maint-Structure & Improvement	0	95,800	112,900	78,500	178,000	186,900	194,400	202,200	210,200	218,600
67200	Maintenance Mains	(6,400)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67300	Maint-Distribution-Mains	576,700	751,500	894,200	581,400	720,000	756,000	786,200	817,700	850,400	884,400
67400	Maintenance Transmission Main	3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
67500	Maintenance of Services	79,200	203,400	13,100	9,200	10,600	11,100	11,600	12,000	12,500	13,000
67600	Maintenance Water Meter	1,136,200	257,900	3,800	300	0	0	0	0	0	0
67700	Maintenance of Fire Hydrants	12,900	7,800	11,200	6,300	10,600	10,500	10,900	11,400	11,800	12,300
67900	Operatn & Maint Exp-Sys Cntrl	158,000	177,500	158,200	646,300	503,500	523,100	543,800	577,400	601,100	617,400
68000	Operation Supv and Eng-T&D	(15,600)	64,800	30,400	(31,300)	0	0	0	0	0	0
70000	Store Ctr-Personnel & General	362,500	402,500	485,300	440,200	484,000	502,500	512,500	529,500	540,100	550,900
70100	Store Ctr-Service Center	18,400	9,700	12,800	12,900	11,900	12,600	13,200	14,000	14,700	15,500
70200	Store Ctr-Quindaro	1,400	2,600	2,400	1,400	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,800	2,900
70300	Store Ctr-Muncie	5,500	8,100	4,800	4,800	5,700	6,000	6,300	6,600	6,900	7,300
70400	Store Ctr-Nearman	8,100	6,900	7,200	5,500	7,000	7,400	7,700	8,000	8,300	8,600
75000	Telecommunications Ctr-All	89,500	99,700	121,200	110,300	115,000	118,900	124,500	132,400	138,800	143,700
80100	Trans Ctr-Personnel & General	601,200	704,700	775,300	728,200	112,000	114,200	116,500	120,300	122,700	125,200
80400	Trans Ctr-Muncie	105,600	102,900	105,700	131,100	295,100	303,800	312,200	323,700	332,700	342,100
81000	Trans Ctr-Service Center	238,400	245,100	262,800	330,700	577,600	597,600	614,900	637,200	655,700	674,800
82000	Trans Ctr-Quindaro	60,400	44,000	82,200	98,400	217,400	224,000	230,300	238,600	243,400	252,300
	Total Transmission & Distribution	9,040,200	10,167,000	11,606,900	10,456,700	10,769,600	11,117,700	11,489,700	12,045,400	12,458,300	12,804,000
<b>CUSTOMER SERVICE</b>											
90100	Supv and Customer Serv Expense	537,400	590,200	677,600	611,800	657,100	690,700	707,000	733,700	751,300	767,700
90200	Meter Reading Expense	588,800	649,200	739,300	682,000	693,300	712,800	727,500	751,700	767,300	783,200
90300	Cust Records and Coll Expense	932,700	1,002,100	1,094,800	1,093,200	1,084,900	1,139,800	1,166,700	1,208,800	1,237,600	1,265,800
90400	Uncollectible Accounts Expense	85,500	171,200	281,400	570,000	387,000	406,400	458,900	495,000	533,700	575,400
90500	Miscellaneous Cash Expense	(144,700)	22,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
91100	Supervision-Sales	104,300	78,100	113,000	113,200	82,900	86,400	89,600	92,200	95,000	97,800
91500	Advertising Expense	0	400	300	(200)	600	600	700	700	700	800
91900	Other Marketing Services	12,800	5,100	12,200	7,000	5,100	5,300	5,500	5,700	6,000	6,200
	Total Customer Service	2,116,800	2,518,900	2,918,600	3,079,000	2,910,900	3,042,000	3,153,300	3,287,800	3,391,600	3,496,900
<b>GENERAL &amp; ADMINISTRATIVE</b>											
92000	Admin and General Salaries	1,441,600	1,439,200	1,546,100	1,558,100	1,493,900	1,543,100	1,592,400	1,673,500	1,728,700	1,770,700
92001	General Salaries	0	0	0	0	24,500	35,800	36,500	37,700	38,500	39,200
92100	Office Supplies and Expenses	1,321,300	1,068,100	1,221,700	1,429,800	1,330,400	1,397,200	1,453,600	1,512,400	1,573,500	1,637,200
92300	Outside Services Employed	466,500	488,900	894,900	918,700	1,114,400	1,170,100	1,216,900	1,265,600	1,316,200	1,368,900
92400	Property Insurance	275,000	298,900	173,400	177,600	180,000	189,000	196,600	204,400	212,600	221,100
92500	Injuries and Damages	128,100	120,300	162,000	163,400	170,400	178,500	186,100	193,500	201,300	209,300
92600	Employees Pension and Benefits	1,012,100	(268,200)	(26,000)	58,500	3,000	3,200	3,400	3,600	3,800	4,000
92602	Insurance BCBS	(38,900)	(800)	(10,600)	(4,600)	0	0	0	0	0	0
92604	Insurance Life	(700)	0	(2,000)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92800	Regulatory Commission Expense	43,800	29,200	30,700	43,700	60,800	63,500	65,800	68,400	70,900	73,400
93000	Misc. General Expense	57,900	61,100	31,600	45,300	46,000	48,300	50,300	52,300	54,400	56,500
93100	Rents	20,800	33,000	24,500	41,300	0	0	0	0	0	0
93200	Maintenance of General Plant	682,900	537,200	558,500	476,500	171,400	179,400	186,200	193,600	200,900	208,600
	Total General & Administrative	5,410,400	3,806,900	4,604,800	4,908,300	4,594,800	4,808,500	4,987,800	5,205,000	5,400,800	5,588,900
	<b>Total O&amp;M Expenditures</b>	<b>20,334,800</b>	<b>19,996,800</b>	<b>23,252,500</b>	<b>22,832,800</b>	<b>23,943,100</b>	<b>24,835,200</b>	<b>25,686,000</b>	<b>26,838,200</b>	<b>27,752,500</b>	<b>28,584,100</b>

(a) Excludes Payment-in-Lieu-of-Taxes.

**Table 7**  
**Proposed Capital Improvement Program**

Line No.		<u>2009</u> \$	<u>2010</u> \$	<u>2011</u> \$	<u>2012</u> \$	<u>2013</u> \$	<u>2014</u> \$	<u>Total</u> \$
	<b>WATER</b>							
1	Water Equipment	203,000	135,000	740,800	653,100	640,500	717,900	3,090,300
2	Water Environmental Work	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Water Facility Improvements	48,000	31,000	210,000	207,000	210,000	225,000	931,000
4	Water Furnishings & Equipment	31,000	55,500	36,100	37,400	38,700	40,000	238,700
5	Water Grounds	15,600	7,500	20,900	21,600	22,400	23,200	111,200
6	Water Technology	37,500	10,000	108,800	112,600	115,900	117,100	501,900
7	Water Accident Claims	42,900	43,800	70,100	72,600	75,100	77,700	382,200
8	Water Services	501,400	518,500	652,300	675,100	698,800	723,200	3,769,300
9	Water Meters	629,200	597,700	955,000	990,000	1,025,000	1,060,000	5,256,900
10	Water Storage and Transmission	1,919,100	71,300	569,000	171,900	100,000	153,200	2,984,500
11	Water Distribution	2,752,700	3,771,800	6,232,400	9,022,400	9,042,100	6,193,100	37,014,500
12	Water Developmental Mains	340,300	306,500	318,800	331,500	344,800	300,000	1,941,900
13	Water Production Projects	887,000	225,600	4,396,500	3,003,000	564,500	300,000	9,376,600
14	Subtotal	<u>7,407,700</u>	<u>5,774,200</u>	<u>14,310,700</u>	<u>15,298,200</u>	<u>12,877,800</u>	<u>9,930,400</u>	<u>65,599,000</u>
	<b>COMMON (a)</b>							
15	Common Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Common Furnish and Equipment	25,600	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	50,600
17	Common Facility Improvements	6,600	52,200	43,500	45,100	45,100	45,100	237,600
18	Common Grounds	0	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	10,000
19	Common Technology	153,700	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000	72,000	513,700
20	Administrative Service Technology	73,300	87,000	88,000	89,000	89,000	89,000	515,300
21	Common Tele Communications	0	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	50,000
22	Subtotal	<u>259,200</u>	<u>228,200</u>	<u>220,500</u>	<u>223,100</u>	<u>223,100</u>	<u>223,100</u>	<u>1,377,200</u>
23	Total	7,666,900	6,002,400	14,531,200	15,521,300	13,100,900	10,153,500	66,976,200
	(a) Water utility share of common water and electric utility costs.							

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

estimates shown on Lines 1 through 14 reflect the total cost of the applicable project or phase of project proposed. The common projects are funded through contributions by both the water and electric utilities. The BPU has estimated the water utility's share of common projects to be 20 percent. As such, the cost estimates included on Lines 15 through 22 of Table 7 reflect 20 percent of the total cost of the applicable project or phase of project.

Within the water projects, water distribution projects (Line 11 of Table 7) represent the most significant commitment of capital resources. The \$37,014,500 in distribution related projects from 2009 through 2014 includes, among other projects, automated meter reading implementation, leak detection projects, and distribution system projects prompted either by the Unified Government or through BPU planning.

Water production projects (Line 13 of Table 7) represent the second highest category of capital projects. The \$9,376,600 in production projects includes the 4.0 million gallon per day reservoir and process control upgrades at the Nearman Water Treatment Plant.

Water meters (Line 9) and water services (Line 8) total \$5,256,900 and \$3,769,300 in capital projects from 2009 to 2014, respectively. These projects provide improvements across all sizes of meters and services.

Table 8 shows the proposed plan to finance the capital improvements identified in Table 7. Lines 1 through 6 within Table 8 illustrate the proposed sources of funds. Financing for the proposed improvements is anticipated to be from a combination of funds on hand, system development charges, EPA grant proceeds, revenue bond proceeds, cash transfers from the operating fund, and interest income. The proposed system development charges, shown on Line 1 of Table 8, were previously projected as service fees on Table 5. The BPU was awarded a \$485,000 grant from the EPA in September 2009. Anticipated spending of this grant is shown on Line 2. Revenue bonds are anticipated to be issued every 2 years beginning in mid-year 2010 and are shown on Line 3. The ability for the BPU to cash finance a portion of the capital projects is expected to improve over time as debt service coverage levels improve. Cash financing of capital improvements from annual revenues is expected to total \$10,850,000 for the study period as indicated on Line 4 of Table 8. Interest income (Line 5) is expected to be earned at a rate of approximately 2.0 percent on available balances. Line 6 shows the total of all funds available to finance the capital improvement program.

The application of funds shows that \$66,976,200 in total capital improvement expenditures are projected over the planning period, as previously summarized in Table 7. Capital financing issuance expenses related to the sale of bonds are estimated at 2.0 percent of the bond proceeds and are shown on Line 8. Line 9 indicates the amount of revenue bond reserve payments required by current bond covenants. In the event that the net revenues of the electric and water facilities for the previous 12 month period is 130 percent or greater of the maximum annual debt service, the BPU will not be required to make any deposits into the Bond Reserve Account. It is anticipated that no payments to the Bond Reserve Account will be required during the study period. Line 10 shows the total of all fund applications, which, when subtracted from

**Table 8  
Capital Financing Plan**

Line No.	Fiscal Year Ending December 31,						Total	
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>SOURCES OF FUNDS</b>								
1	Service Fees (SDCs)	479,400	500,000	750,000	1,000,000	1,020,000	1,040,400	4,789,800
2	EPA Grants	242,500	242,500	0	0	0	0	485,000
3	Revenue Bonds	0	19,500,000	0	22,500,000	0	3,250,000	45,250,000
4	Transfer from Operating	850,000	0	0	1,000,000	3,250,000	5,750,000	10,850,000
5	Interest	60,500	140,100	143,600	93,500	92,300	3,200	533,200
6	Subtotal	1,632,400	20,382,600	893,600	24,593,500	4,362,300	10,043,600	61,908,000
<b>USE OF FUNDS</b>								
7	Capital Improvement Program	7,666,900	6,002,400	14,531,200	15,521,300	13,100,900	10,153,500	66,976,200
8	Bond/Loan Issuance Expense	0	390,000	0	450,000	0	65,000	905,000
9	Bond/Loan Reserve Fund Requirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Subtotal	7,666,900	6,392,400	14,531,200	15,971,300	13,100,900	10,218,500	67,881,200
<b>FUND BALANCE</b>								
11	Net Annual Cash Balance	(6,034,500)	13,990,200	(13,637,600)	8,622,200	(8,738,600)	(174,900)	(5,973,200)
12	Beginning Fund Balance (a)	6,043,600	9,100	13,999,300	361,700	8,983,900	245,300	6,043,600
13	Cumulative Fund Balance	9,100	13,999,300	361,700	8,983,900	245,300	70,400	70,400

(a) Includes System Development Charges Reserve and Series 2009A bond proceeds.

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

the anticipated sources on Line 6, determines the net annual cash balance shown on Line 11 of Table 8. A 2009 beginning of year balance of \$6,043,600 in unencumbered utility improvement funds is projected to be available to assist in the financing plan as shown on Line 12. This amount consists of \$3,100,000 in the System Development Charges Reserve and about \$2,943,600 million in bond proceeds from the Series 2009A bond issue. The resulting end of year balance is shown on Line 13.

## System Operations

Table 9 shows the application of estimated future revenues under existing rates and estimated additional revenue from proposed rate increases to meet projected obligations for the period 2009 through 2014. This table summarizes the financing of operation and maintenance expense, PILOT, debt service costs on outstanding and proposed bonds, and the transfer of operating funds for major improvement financing.

Sources of funds include operating revenues from water sales under existing rates, additional revenues realized from proposed revenue adjustments, other operating revenues, and interest earnings on available balances, net of credits for free water provided to the City and Interdepartmental accounts.

Line 1 of Table 9 shows projected water revenues under existing rates as previously presented in Table 4. These revenues represent commodity and service charges at current rate levels that are subject to rate adjustments. Lines 2 through 8 show indicated increases in water revenues associated with rate increases assumed to be in effect for the number of months indicated for each calendar year. It is assumed there will be a billing lag of 1 month between the effective date of the proposed revenue increases and the date the utility begins to receive revenue from the proposed increases. The date and magnitude of increase shown for each year were selected based on consideration of three principal criteria, which include: (1) total revenue necessary to meet cash requirements, (2) total revenue required to provide a reasonable margin of coverage in excess of minimum bond coverage requirements, and (3) establishment of rate increases on a generally levelized basis intended to “phase in” or otherwise minimize the impact of burdensome rate adjustments required in any single year. These proposed increases apply to all revenues shown in Line 1, and the resulting dollar impact of total revenue from the proposed revenue increases is shown on Line 8.

Line 9 represents the credit for free water provided to the City and for Interdepartmental accounts. The value of the revenue credit increases over time as a result of the revenue adjustments proposed on Lines 2 through 7.

Line 11 shows other operating revenue, previously projected in Table 5. Operating revenues in Table 9 are net of system development charges or service fees, which for the purpose of this analysis represent a source of funds for the capital plan as shown on Line 1 of Table 8.

PILOT is shown on Line 12 of Table 9. PILOT is administered by the BPU as an additional percentage assessed on utility bills. This revenue is remitted to the Unified



# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 9**  
**Operating Cash Flow**

Line No.	Fiscal Year Ending December 31,						
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>REVENUE</b>							
Operating Revenue							
1	Gross Revenue Under Existing Rates	33,171,700	33,264,100	33,364,900	33,465,700	33,566,500	33,667,200
	Additional Revenue Required (a):						
	Months						
	Year	Percent	Effective				
2	2009	0.00%	11	0	0	0	0
3	2010	8.00%	6	1,330,600	2,669,200	2,677,300	2,685,300
4	2011	8.00%	11		2,642,500	2,891,400	2,900,100
5	2012	7.50%	11			2,683,600	2,936,400
6	2013	7.50%	11				2,893,600
7	2014	7.50%	11				3,119,900
8	Subtotal Rate Revenue	33,171,700	34,594,700	38,676,600	41,718,000	44,981,900	48,500,600
9	Free Water	(1,853,100)	(1,927,200)	(2,148,100)	(2,310,000)	(2,483,200)	(2,669,500)
10	Net Revenue Received	31,318,600	32,667,500	36,528,500	39,408,000	42,498,700	45,831,100
11	Other Operating Revenue (excludes SDCs)	1,659,600	1,652,600	1,692,300	1,733,200	1,775,200	1,753,800
12	PILOT	3,587,900	4,428,100	4,602,500	4,130,100	4,453,200	4,801,600
13	Subtotal Operating Revenue	36,566,100	38,748,200	42,823,300	45,271,300	48,727,100	52,386,500
Non-Operating Revenue							
14	Interest - Operating Fund	44,500	38,700	41,000	59,700	69,500	69,100
15	Interest - Reserve Funds (b)	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100	104,100
16	Subtotal Non-Operating Revenue	148,600	142,800	145,100	163,800	173,600	173,200
17	<b>Total Revenue</b>	<b>36,714,700</b>	<b>38,891,000</b>	<b>42,968,400</b>	<b>45,435,100</b>	<b>48,900,700</b>	<b>52,559,700</b>
<b>REVENUE REQUIREMENT</b>							
Operating Expenditures							
18	O&M Expenses	23,943,100	24,835,200	25,686,000	26,838,200	27,752,500	28,584,100
19	PILOT Rate	9.9%	12.8%	11.9%	9.9%	9.9%	9.9%
20	PILOT	3,587,900	4,428,100	4,602,500	4,130,100	4,453,200	4,801,600
21	Subtotal O&M Expenses	27,531,000	29,263,300	30,288,500	30,968,300	32,205,700	33,385,700
22	Net Revenue	9,183,700	9,627,700	12,679,900	14,466,800	16,695,000	19,174,000
Debt Service							
Existing							
23	Parity Debt	7,416,300	7,524,900	8,155,100	8,155,500	8,147,500	8,158,000
24	Non-Parity Debt	2,107,400	2,107,700	2,107,700	2,107,400	2,107,500	2,108,000
Proposed							
25	Parity Debt	0	726,900	1,453,700	2,292,400	3,131,100	3,252,300
26	Subtotal Debt Service	9,523,700	10,359,500	11,716,500	12,555,300	13,386,100	13,518,300
27	Transfer to Capital Fund	850,000	0	0	1,000,000	3,250,000	5,750,000
28	<b>Total Revenue Requirements</b>	<b>37,904,700</b>	<b>39,622,800</b>	<b>42,005,000</b>	<b>44,523,600</b>	<b>48,841,800</b>	<b>52,654,000</b>
Operating Fund Balance							
29	Net Annual Cash Balance	(1,190,000)	(731,800)	963,400	911,500	58,900	(94,300)
30	Beginning Fund Balance (c)	3,490,000	2,300,000	1,568,200	2,531,600	3,443,100	3,502,000
31	Net Cumulative Fund Balance	2,300,000	1,568,200	2,531,600	3,443,100	3,502,000	3,407,700
32	Days O&M Reserved	35	23	36	47	46	44
33	Reserve Target - Days O&M	45	45	45	45	45	45
34	Reserve Target - \$	2,951,900	3,061,900	3,166,800	3,308,800	3,421,500	3,524,100
35	Target Variance	(651,900)	(1,493,700)	(635,200)	134,300	80,500	(116,400)

(a) The BPU is seeking approval of rates that reflect the proposed revenue increases for 2010 through 2013.

(b) Includes interest earnings on the Customer Deposits, Self Insurance Reserve, Debt Service Fund, Improvement and Emergency Fund, and Economic Development Fund.

(c) Includes Unrestricted Balance plus balances in the Operating Reserve Fund, Construction Reserve, Debt Reduction Reserve, Rate Stabilization Fund, and System Development Charges Reserve.

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

Government. In 2009 the PILOT percentage was 9.9 percent of gross revenue from charges. As shown on Line 19 of Table 9, the BPU anticipates the PILOT percentage will increase to 12.8 percent in 2010, and then reduce to 11.9 percent in 2011, and 9.9 percent thereafter.

Interest income is presented on Lines 14 and 15, and reflects earnings on applicable operating and reserve fund balances at an estimated annual rate of 2.0 percent.

Total revenues are projected to range from \$36,714,700 in 2009 to \$52,559,700 in 2014, primarily reflecting the impact of proposed revenue increases, increases in the PILOT percentage, and slight increases in revenue under existing rates and other operating revenues.

Projected operation and maintenance expense from Table 6 is shown on Line 18 of Table 9. The projected PILOT rate is shown on Line 19 and the projected expense is shown on Line 20, which equates to the revenue shown on Line 12, reflecting the pass-through nature of the revenue stream to the Unified Government.

Debt service requirements for existing and proposed bonds are shown on Lines 23 through 26. These debts include outstanding revenue bonds from Series 1992, 1998, 1999, 2004, 2004B, and 2009A issues. In addition, the utility carries non-parity debt related to a 2001 Lease Series and loans from the Kansas Department of Health and Environment. Proposed revenue bond debt service resulting from the bond sales identified on Line 3 of Table 8 is shown on Line 25 of Table 9. Additional revenue bonds indicated to be issued are assumed to be 25 year term, 5.5 percent fixed interest rate bonds with equal annual payments of principal and interest.

Cash funding of the capital improvement program is represented on Line 27, as identified previously in Line 4 of Table 8, and total revenue requirements are shown on Line 28.

Line 29 indicates the estimated Net Annual Cash Balance from operations remaining at the end of each year. The \$3,490,000 balance of operating funds available at the beginning of year 2009, shown on Line 30, is comprised of the 2008 end of year balances available from general operating fund investments and cash on hand. The Net Cumulative Fund Balance is shown on Line 31.

The BPU has established a financial guideline for the water utility that the Net Cumulative Fund Balance available at the end of the year should meet or exceed 60 days of operation and maintenance expense; however, achieving a 60 day reserve by 2014 would necessitate implementing substantially larger rate adjustments. To maintain a series of manageable revenue increases, the BPU has reduced the target to 45 days within the study period. The actual operating reserve is shown on Line 32 measured in number of days. Line 34 shows the operating reserve target and Line 35 indicates the difference between the 45 day reserve target and the balance estimated to be achieved on Line 32.

## Bond Coverage Requirements

An additional consideration in measuring the adequacy of revenues is the provision of sufficient debt service coverage to meet the bond covenant requirements for the issuance of parity

# REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

---

revenue bonds. Bonds for the water and electric utilities are issued as combined utility revenue bonds, therefore, debt service coverage is considered for the two utilities on a combined basis; however, it is appropriate and prudent to examine the ability of the water utility to meet bond coverage requirements on an individual basis. Table 10 shows the ability of the water utility revenues to meet utility revenue bond coverage requirements.

The revenue bond Indenture provides that utility rates shall be maintained such that net revenue during each fiscal year will be equal to or greater than 120 percent of the maximum annual debt service in each year on a combined utility basis. For the issuance of parity revenue bonds, net revenue must be equal to or greater than 130 percent of the maximum annual debt service in the immediately prior fiscal year and projected future net revenue must be equal to or greater than 130 percent of the maximum annual debt service for the period described in the bond Indenture. In accordance with the bond Indenture, net revenue includes PILOT revenue but not PILOT expense. The ability of the water utility revenues to meet revenue bond coverage requirements with the indicated revenue increases is shown on Lines 1 through 4 of Table 10. Line 3 indicates that the minimum level of coverage is met in each year, if water rates are increased, with the exception of 2010. Based on recent history and financial performance, it is anticipated that the net revenue on a combined utility basis will be greater than 1.2 times maximum annual combined debt service requirements for each year of the study period if both electric and water rates are increased. In addition, the BPU has established a financial guideline that net revenue including PILOT should be equal to 160 percent of the maximum annual debt service. As shown on Lines 5 through 8 of Table 10, this requirement is met by 2014 for the water utility if water rates are increased as proposed.

While PILOT revenue is allowed to be included in the determination of net revenue, the rating agencies also evaluate coverage without the benefit of PILOT revenues since the BPU remits these revenues directly back to the Unified Government. Furthermore, the bond Indenture provides that rates shall be maintained such that net revenues are sufficient to not only satisfy the debt service coverage requirement, but also, among other things, make all required PILOT payments. Thus, as a practical matter, coverage should be evaluated without the benefit of PILOT revenues. As such, the BPU has established an additional target to achieve 1.4 times maximum annual debt service coverage, excluding PILOT revenue, by 2014. Lines 9 through 12 of Table 10 indicate that this target will be met by 2014 if water rates are increased; however, coverage with the indicated water revenue increases is projected to be less than 1 times maximum annual debt service in 2009 and 2010 and less than 1.2 times maximum annual debt service in 2011 and 2012 as shown on Line 11. Without the indicated water revenue increases, coverage for the water utility would be below 1 times maximum annual debt service during the entire period from 2009 through 2014.

On a stand-alone basis the current coverage levels for the water utility are considered to be significantly below target. The series of revenue increases proposed in Table 9 are necessary to improve coverage levels to meet the BPU's targets and to fund the capital improvement

**Table 10  
Coverage Requirements**

Line No.	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	
<b>Rate Covenant</b>							
1	Net Revenue including PILOT Revenue (a)	12,771,600	14,055,800	17,282,400	19,014,100	21,148,200	23,975,600
2	Maximum Annual Debt Service Requirements - Total Debt	10,313,172	11,766,872	11,766,872	13,444,272	13,444,272	13,686,572
3	Coverage Ratio including PILOT Revenue	1.24	1.19	1.47	1.41	1.57	1.75
4	Target	1.20					
<b>Financial Guideline</b>							
5	Net Revenue including PILOT Revenue (a)	12,771,600	14,055,800	17,282,400	19,014,100	21,148,200	23,975,600
6	Maximum Annual Debt Service Requirements - Total Debt	10,313,172	11,766,872	11,766,872	13,444,272	13,444,272	13,686,572
7	Coverage Ratio including PILOT Revenue	1.24	1.19	1.47	1.41	1.57	1.75
8	Target	1.60					
9	Net Revenue excluding PILOT Revenue (a)	9,183,700	9,627,700	12,679,900	14,466,800	16,695,000	19,174,000
10	Maximum Annual Debt Service Requirements - Total Debt	10,313,172	11,766,872	11,766,872	13,444,272	13,444,272	13,686,572
11	Coverage Ratio excluding PILOT Revenue	0.89	0.82	1.08	1.08	1.24	1.40
12	Target	1.40					

(a) Net Revenue includes the proposed revenue increases reflected in Table 9. The BPU is seeking approval of rates that reflect the proposed revenue increases for 2010 through 2013.

## **REVENUES AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS**

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

program. Table 10 indicates that the primary driver of the needed revenue adjustment is debt service coverage. Once debt coverage levels are met, the BPU will be able to contribute greater levels of cash to fund capital projects.

It should be recognized that the indicated percentage revenue increases discussed above are overall revenue increases. The results of the cost of service analysis presented in subsequent sections of this report will indicate the degree to which rate increases may vary from this average for the various customer classes, with some classes receiving a greater than average increase while others receive a less than average increase or perhaps a decrease.

# **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

## **Cost Allocations**

Cost of service allocations provide a means of determining the proportionate responsibility of each customer class for the service provided. Cost responsibilities are based upon allocations of various elements of costs of service according to the relative service requirements of respective customer classes. Factors considered in determining service requirements include the volume of water used, relative peak capacity requirements placed on the system, the number and size of services to customers, and other relevant factors.

### **Test Year**

Cost of service allocations are made for one or more years that are considered representative of the period that the resulting rates are expected to be in effect. The BPU intends to implement four rate adjustments beginning in 2010 through 2013. As shown in Table 9, the adjustments include 8.0 percent in mid-year 2010, followed by 8.0 percent to be implemented January 1, 2011, and 7.5 percent adjustments to be implemented January 1 in each year 2012 and 2013. For presentation purposes the year 2013 is selected as the test year for this study.

### **Cost of Service to be Allocated**

The cost of service to be allocated to the various customer classes consists of the total revenue requirements for the 2013 test year as derived from Table 9 and summarized in Table 11. In determining costs of service to be met from water rates, other operating revenue and non-operating revenue are deducted from total revenue requirements.

The elements comprising the cost of service are assigned to the two cost categories of operating expense and capital costs. Operating expense consists entirely of operation and maintenance expense and PILOT expense. Operating expense is reduced by other operating revenue, PILOT revenue and interest income. Capital costs consist of debt service requirements on existing and proposed bond issues and capital improvements financed from annual revenues. Costs to be recovered by user charges are reduced by interest income. The level of total cost of service to be met by user charges is also affected by the need to design water rates assuming full recovery of the indicated revenue increase as well as potential changes in the use of the operating fund balance.

The total test year cost of service to be recovered from rates for water sales applicable to all customers of the water utility amounts to \$42,747,200 with net operating expense totaling \$26,078,900 and capital costs totaling \$16,668,300.

### **Functional Cost Components**

The costs of water service are analyzed by system function in order to properly allocate the costs to the various classes of customers. In this analysis, costs are separated to the basic

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 11**  
**Total Cost of Service**  
**Test Year 2013**

<u>Line</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Operating</u> <u>Expense</u> \$	<u>Capital</u> <u>Cost</u> \$	<u>Total</u> \$
<b>REVENUE REQUIREMENTS</b>				
1	Operating Expense	27,752,500		27,752,500
2	PILOT	4,453,200		4,453,200
3	Existing Debt Service		10,255,000	10,255,000
4	Proposed Debt Service		3,131,100	3,131,100
5	Revenue Capital Financing		3,250,000	3,250,000
6	Total	32,205,700	16,636,100	48,841,800
<b>REVENUE REQUIREMENTS MET FROM OTHER SOURCES</b>				
7	Other Operating Revenue	1,775,200		1,775,200
8	Interest Income	101,100	72,500	173,600
9	PILOT	4,453,200		4,453,200
10	Full Year Revenue Increase Adjustment (a)	(163,900)	(84,600)	(248,500)
11	Use of Available Funds (b)	(38,800)	(20,100)	(58,900)
12	Total	6,126,800	(32,200)	6,094,600
13	Net Costs to be Met from Charges	26,078,900	16,668,300	42,747,200

(a) Adjustment for bill proration and billing lag.

(b) Reflects use of funds available at the beginning of the year.

# COST ALLOCATIONS

---

functional components of base costs, extra capacity costs, and customer costs. Costs applicable only to specific customer classes are assigned directly to those classes.

Base costs are those which vary directly with the total quantity of water used, as well as those costs associated with serving customers under average load conditions without the elements necessary to meet water use variations or peak demands. Base costs include operating costs of supply or purchased power, treatment, pumping and distribution facilities, and a portion of administrative and general costs, as well as capital costs on water plant investment associated with serving customers to the extent required for a constant, or average annual rate of use.

Extra capacity costs include operating costs incurred due to demands in excess of average load conditions and capital costs for additional plant and system capacity beyond that which are required for the average rate of use. Total extra capacity costs are subdivided into costs associated with maximum day and maximum hour demand. Maximum day extra capacity costs are incurred in meeting demands in excess of average day requirements. Maximum hour extra capacity costs are incurred in meeting demands in excess of maximum day use.

Customer costs are defined as costs which tend to vary in proportion to the number of customers connected to the system. Customer costs are further classified as: (1) billing related costs, including meter reading, billing, collecting and accounting, and related administrative and general costs, and (2) meter related costs, including maintenance and capital charges associated with meters and services.

The separation of costs of service into these principal categories provides the means of further allocating such costs to the various customer classes on the basis of the respective base, extra capacity, and customer cost requirements of each particular type of usage.

Wholesale customers generally do not use smaller water distributions mains as do retail users. Therefore, separate functional cost of service categories are designated for costs which are common to all customer classes and those which are common to retail service classes only.

## **Allocation to Cost Components**

The BPU water system is comprised of various facilities each designed and operated to fulfill a given function. In order to provide adequate service to its customers at all times, the system must be capable of providing not only the average annual amount of water used, but also supplying water at maximum rates of demand.

Since all customers do not exert maximum demands at the same time, capacities of the various system components are established to meet the maximum coincidental demand of all classes of customers, as a whole. The capacities of some facilities, such as raw water pumping, the water treatment plants, and transmission mains are typically designed to meet maximum day demands. Other facilities, such as treated water pumping, filtered water storage, and distribution mains, are designed to meet maximum hourly rates of water use. These requirements result in different ratios of average to maximum demands, or load factors to be met by the various parts of



# COST ALLOCATIONS

---

the system. The demand ratios, in turn, provide the basis for allocating costs of respective facilities to the base and extra capacity cost components.

Analysis of the total system's historical maximum day and maximum hour demands to average day demands results in appropriate ratios for the allocation of capital costs and operating expenses to base and extra capacity cost components. A maximum day to average day ratio of 1.5 is used based on the historical demands of BPU's water system. This ratio indicates that approximately 66.7 percent of the capacity of facilities designed and operated to meet maximum day demand is required for average day or base usage. The remaining 33.3 percent is required for maximum day extra capacity requirements. The costs associated with facilities required to meet maximum hour demand are allocable to base, maximum day extra capacity, and maximum hour extra capacity. A maximum hour to annual average day water use ratio of 2.0 is used based on the experienced demands of the water system. This ratio indicates that approximately 50 percent of the capacity of facilities designed and operated to meet maximum hour demand is required for average day or base usage. Approximately 25 percent is utilized for maximum day extra capacity uses and the remaining 25 percent is required to meet maximum hour extra capacity demand in excess of maximum day demands.

The inside city, outside city, wholesale, and interdepartmental water demands reflect the demands that the respective groups are estimated to place on those facilities allocated directly to each. The peak demands for maximum hour facilities allocable directly to each group may be expressed in terms of base, maximum day extra capacity, and maximum hour extra capacity in excess of maximum day demand.

Customer costs, such as meter related expenses and billing, collecting, and accounting expenses, are allocated to customer classes on the basis of the number of bills rendered or customers served and are assigned directly to the customer meter and billing cost components. Costs for maintaining public and private fire hydrants are directly allocated to the fire protection cost component.

In establishing the costs associated with each functional cost component, the net capital portion of the test year cost of service associated with existing debt service is distributed to cost functions based on an allocation of the estimated test year value of water system facilities. The portion of net capital costs associated with proposed capital improvements is distributed to the cost functions based on an allocation of the estimated test year value of water system facilities plus the proposed capital improvement program for 2010 through 2014. The test year net operating expense is similarly allocated to cost functions based on the projected test year expense estimated for each water system component.

## **Allocation of Plant Investment**

The estimated test year value of water system facilities is allocated to appropriate cost functions as the basis for further distribution to the various customer classes. The resulting

## **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

distribution is the basis for assigning the capital charges associated with debt service on existing bonds for the test year to respective classes.

The estimated test year net plant investment in existing water facilities consists of plant in service as of December 31, 2008 and the 2009 construction work in progress. Table 12 shows the allocation of total estimated water net plant value for the test year on an original cost less depreciation value basis. Total net plant investment is estimated to be \$163,984,500, as shown on Line 45 of the table.

The level of detail provided in Table 12 generally conforms to the level of information available in the BPU's fixed asset records. Since the BPU fixed asset records are not sufficiently detailed to separately identify transmission, distribution, and service mains, the portion of main investment in each category shown on Lines 14 through 16 of Table 12 is estimated based on analysis of the utility's inventory of pipe length by diameter. This inch-mile analysis indicates the percentage of investment which may be attributable to the transmission, distribution, or service main categories. Because wholesale customers are served through 8 inch connections, transmission mains are defined as pipe 8 inches or greater in diameter. Distribution mains are defined as pipe with diameters of 3 inches to 6 inches. Service mains are defined as pipe less than 2.5 inches in diameter. Transmission mains are allocated common to all while distribution and service mains are allocated common to retail only.

Supply, pumping, treatment, storage, transmission mains, and meter reading and billing facilities are designed to meet the service requirements of all treated water customers; therefore, these facilities are allocated to the common to all cost functions. Plant investment is allocated to cost components on a design or cost causative basis, recognizing the principal function governing the design and resulting cost of the facility. These allocations are made using the base and extra capacity ratios previously described.

The source of supply facilities, pumping plant, treatment plant, and transmission mains are designed primarily to meet maximum day requirements and are, therefore, allocated to base and maximum day extra capacity cost components.

Reservoirs, which principally serve to meet maximum hour extra capacity requirements are allocated 90 percent to maximum hour capacity, along with the land associated with reservoirs. Water distribution mains must meet the maximum hour requirements of all customers served by the distribution mains. This excludes the wholesale customers that own and maintain their own distribution systems and are serviced through master metered arrangements. Accordingly, the investment in distribution mains is allocated to base, maximum day extra capacity, and maximum hours extra capacity cost components for only those customers served by the BPU's distribution system.

The investment in meters and services is assigned directly to metered customers and the investment in public fire hydrants is allocated directly to fire protection.

Most general plant costs have been allocated on the basis of all other plant allocations with the exception of capital leases, shown on Line 27, which includes the investment in the

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 12**  
**Allocation of Net Plant Investment to Functional Cost Components**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line No.	Net Plant Investment	Common to AH			Meter Reading & Billing	Common to Retail				Fire Protection
		Extra Capacity		Base		Extra Capacity		Meters & Services		
		Maximum Day	Maximum Hour			Maximum Day	Maximum Hour			
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Source of Supply Plants</b>										
1	Structure Intake	2,423,600	1,616,500	807,100						
2	Supply Mains	179,100	119,500	59,600						
3	Subtotal Source of Supply	2,602,700	1,736,000	866,700	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Pumping Plant</b>										
4	Land	66,900	44,600	22,300						
5	Structures	7,918,500	5,281,600	2,636,900						
6	Electric Pump Equipment	466,200	311,000	155,200						
7	Other Pump Equipment	700	500	200						
8	Subtotal Pumping Plant	8,452,300	5,637,700	2,814,600	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Water Treatment</b>										
9	Purification Building	48,479,100	32,335,600	16,143,500						
10	Equipment	13,263,200	8,846,600	4,416,600						
11	Subtotal Water Treatment	61,742,300	41,182,200	20,560,100	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Transmission &amp; Distribution</b>										
12	Land	147,500	14,800	132,700						
13	Reservoir	6,959,600	696,000	6,263,600		0	0			
<b>Maintenance &amp; Access</b>										
14	Transmission Mains	38,389,800	25,606,000	12,783,800						
15	Distribution Mains	16,847,000				8,423,400	4,211,800	4,211,800		
16	Service Mains	1,030,100							1,030,100	
17	Subtotal	56,266,900	25,606,000	12,783,800	0	8,423,400	4,211,800	4,211,800	1,030,100	0
18	Fire mains	11,500								11,500
19	Services	6,238,900								6,238,900
20	Meters	2,086,900								2,086,900
21	Meter Install	0								0
22	Hydrams	7,387,000								7,387,000
23	Subtotal Transmission & Distribution	79,098,300	26,316,800	12,783,800	0	8,423,400	4,211,800	4,211,800	9,355,900	7,398,500
<b>General Plant</b>										
24	Land	110,300	73,600	36,700						
25	Structures & Improvements	2,961,300	1,447,800	715,900	123,700	24,200	162,900	81,400	81,400	180,900
26	Office Furniture & Equipment	391,000	191,100	94,500	16,300	3,200	21,500	10,800	10,800	23,900
27	Capital Leases	2,537,800	637,700	315,400	54,500	1,264,000	71,700	35,900	35,900	79,700
28	Transportation Equipment	220,300	107,600	53,300	9,200	1,800	12,100	6,100	6,100	13,500
29	Stores Equipment	1,400	700	300	100	0	100	0	0	100
30	Tools, Shop, & Equipment	8,200	4,000	2,000	300	100	500	200	200	500
31	Laboratory Equipment	0	0							
32	Power Operated Equipment	6,200	2,900	1,500	300	100	300	200	200	400
33	Communication Equipment	321,000	157,000	77,600	13,400	2,600	17,700	8,800	8,800	19,600
34	Miscellaneous Equipment	700	500	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Water Plant Acq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Subtotal General Plant	6,578,200	2,622,900	1,297,400	217,800	1,296,000	286,800	143,400	143,400	318,600
37	Total Water Plant	158,473,800	77,495,600	38,322,600	6,614,100	1,296,000	8,710,200	4,355,200	4,355,200	9,674,500
<b>Common Plant</b>										
38	Structures & Impr	992,300	485,200	240,000	41,400	8,100	54,500	27,300	27,300	60,600
39	Office Furn. & Equip	3,450,500	1,687,500	834,400	144,000	28,200	189,600	94,800	94,800	210,600
40	Transportation Equip	2,900	1,400	700	100	0	200	100	100	200
41	Tools, Shop, & Equip	7,800	3,800	1,900	300	100	400	200	200	500
42	Communication Equip	1,003,300	490,700	242,600	41,900	8,200	55,100	27,600	27,600	61,200
43	Misc Equip	53,900	26,400	13,900	2,200	400	3,000	1,500	1,500	3,300
44	Subtotal Common Plant	5,510,700	2,695,000	1,332,600	229,900	45,000	302,800	151,500	151,500	336,400
45	Grand Total Water and Common Plant	163,984,500	80,190,600	39,655,200	6,844,000	1,341,000	9,013,000	4,506,700	4,506,700	10,010,900
46	Capital Charges to be Recovered (a)	10,255,000	5,014,900	2,479,900	428,000	83,900	563,600	281,800	281,800	626,000

(a) Includes debt service on existing bonds.

## **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

BPU's billing and financial systems. Based on guidance from the BPU, the portion attributable to the billing system has been directly assigned to meter reading and billing, while the costs associated with the financial system have been allocated based on all other plant in service.

Common plant represents investment in facilities shared with the BPU's electric utility. The common plant costs shown on Table 12 represent about 20 percent of the total investment, which has been determined by the BPU to reflect the water utility's proportionate share of such investment. This investment is allocated to water functional cost components on the basis of total water plant (Line 37).

Projected test year capital charges to be recovered on the basis of the allocation of plant investment total \$10,255,000 and are shown on Line 46 of Table 12.

### **Allocation of Capital Improvements**

Table 13 presents the allocation of capital improvements to the functional cost components. As previously shown in Table 7, the total capital improvements proposed for the 2010 through 2014 period is \$59,309,400. The allocation of each improvement to cost components is performed in a similar manner to the allocation of net plant investment previously described. The anticipated investment in mains has been subdivided into the transmission, distribution, and service mains categories on the basis of existing plant in service.

Line 37 in Table 13 shows the allocation of the total proposed capital program. This amount is added to existing plant in service, summarized on Line 38, to calculate the grand total of plant in service on Line 39. Grand total plant investment, which includes existing plant investment plus projected capital improvements, is estimated to be \$223,293,900 as shown in Line 39, and serves as the basis for distributing the cost of future debt and cash financed capital to functional cost components.

The capital costs projected for the test year to be recovered are \$6,413,300 which includes debt service on proposed bonds, cash-financed capital, and a credit for other revenue sources. These projected capital costs are assigned to the functional cost components on the basis of the allocation of plant investment and are shown on line 40.

### **Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Expense**

Test year operation and maintenance expenses are allocated to functional cost components as shown in Table 14. Costs have been analyzed at the account level, consistent with the projection of operating expenses previously shown in Table 6. The allocation of projected test year operating expense related to treated water service cost components is similar to the allocation of plant value. Production costs generally relate to the treatment of water; therefore, such costs are allocated to the base and maximum day component, with the exception of Lines 10 through 12. Lines 10 through 12 represent chemical and lab costs and have been allocated to the base functional cost component.

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 13**  
**Allocation of Capital Improvements to Functional Cost Components**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line	Net Capital Program	Common to All			Meter Reading & Billing	Common to Retail			Fire Protection
		Base	Extra Capacity			Base	Extra Capacity		
			Maximum Day	Maximum Hour			Maximum Day	Maximum Hour	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
<b>Source of Supply Plants</b>									
1	Structure Intake	0	0	0					
2	Supply Mains	0	0	0					
3	Subtotal Source of Supply	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Pumping Plant</b>									
4	Land	0	0	0					
5	Structures	0	0	0					
6	Electric Pump Equipment	568,200	379,000	189,200					
7	Other Pump Equipment	0	0	0					
8	Subtotal Pumping Plant	568,200	379,000	189,200	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Water Treatment</b>									
9	Purification Building	3,809,800	2,541,100	1,268,700					
10	Equipment	0	0	0					
11	Subtotal Water Treatment	3,809,800	2,541,100	1,268,700	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Transmission &amp; Distribution</b>									
12	Land	0	0	0					
13	Reservoir	4,977,000	497,700	4,479,300					
<b>Mains &amp; Access</b>									
14	Transmission Mains	15,379,700	10,258,300	5,121,400					
15	Distribution Mains	6,749,300			3,374,700	1,687,300	1,687,300		
16	Service Mains	412,700						412,700	
17	Subtotal	22,541,700	10,258,300	5,121,400	3,374,700	1,687,300	1,687,300	412,700	0
18	Fire mains	0							0
19	Services	3,366,800						3,366,800	
20	Meters	16,787,400						16,787,400	
21	Meter Install	0							
22	Hydrants	1,747,800							1,747,800
23	Subtotal Transmission & Distribution	49,420,700	10,756,000	5,121,400	4,479,300	3,374,700	1,687,300	1,687,300	20,566,900
24	Land	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Structures & Improvements	1,001,500	254,600	122,500	83,400	62,800	31,400	31,400	382,900
26	Office Furniture & Equipment	697,100	177,200	85,300	58,000	43,700	21,900	21,900	266,500
27	Transportation Equipment	525,000	133,400	64,200	43,700	32,900	16,500	16,500	200,700
28	Stores Equipment	2,050,000	521,100	250,700	170,700	128,600	64,300	64,300	783,700
29	Tools, Shop, & Equipment	268,700	68,300	32,900	22,400	16,900	8,400	8,400	102,700
30	Laboratory Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	Power Operated Equipment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	Communication Equipment	93,600	23,900	11,400	7,800	5,900	2,900	2,900	35,800
33	Miscellaneous Equipment	874,800	222,500	107,000	72,800	54,900	27,400	27,400	334,400
34	Water Plant Acq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Capital Leases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Subtotal General Plant	5,510,700	1,401,000	674,000	458,800	345,700	172,800	172,800	2,106,700
37	Total Proposed Capital Program	59,309,400	15,077,100	7,253,300	4,938,100	3,720,400	1,860,100	1,860,100	22,673,600
38	Existing Plant in Service	163,984,500	80,190,600	39,655,200	6,844,000	1,341,000	9,013,000	4,506,700	10,010,900
39	Grant Total Plant Investment	223,293,900	95,267,700	46,908,500	11,782,100	1,541,000	12,733,400	6,366,800	32,684,500
40	Capital Charges to be Recovered (a)	6,413,300	2,736,200	1,347,300	338,400	38,500	365,700	182,900	938,700

(a) Includes debt service on proposed bonds less revenue from other sources.

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 14**  
**Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Expense to Functional Cost Components**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line No.	Account No.	Account Description	Operating Expense	Common to All			Meter Reading & Billing	Common to Retail			Meters & Services	Fire Protection
				Base	Maximum Day	Maximum Hour		Base	Maximum Day	Maximum Hour		
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>Production</b>												
1	56000	Misc. Steam Power Expense	36,200	24,100	12,100							
2	51000	Maintenance Supervision	361,500	241,100	120,400							
3	51100	Maint of Structures-Pwr Prod	6,900	4,600	2,300							
4	60000	Operation Supv & Eng-Wtr Supp	478,800	319,400	159,400							
5	60100	Operation L-WTRSP	0	0	0							
6	62300	Fuel or Pwr Purch for Pumping	1,068,300	712,600	355,700							
7	62400	Pump Labor	0	0	0							
8	62500	Expenses Transferred-Cr	(1,214,600)	(810,100)	(404,500)							
9	64000	Operation Supv & Eng-Wtr Proc	1,486,700	991,600	495,100							
10	64100	Chemical Expense	1,750,000	1,750,000								
11	64300	Laboratory Expense	300	300								
12	64400	Wtr Proc Comp Equip & Supplies	916,600	916,600								
13	65000	Maint Supv and Eng-Wtr Proc	1,274,600	850,200	424,400							
14	65200	Maint Wtr Treatm Equip-Wtr Proc	336,500	224,400	112,100							
15		Total Production	6,501,800	5,224,800	1,277,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Transmission &amp; Distribution</b>												
16	56000	Operation Supv and Eng-Trans	22,600	9,400	4,600	0	0	3,000	1,500	1,500	2,600	0
17	56200	Transmission - Station Equipment	0	0	0							
18	57000	Maintenance of Station Equip	1,700	1,100	600							
19	58000	Operation Supv and Eng-Dist	151,700	62,300	31,000	0	0	20,400	10,200	10,200	17,600	0
20	58200	Station Expenses-Dist	14,400	5,900	2,900	0	0	1,900	1,000	1,000	1,700	0
21	58400	Underground Line	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	58500	Light / Sign	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	58600	Meter Expense	646,900	277,100	94,300	0	108,900	34,200	17,100	17,100	97,700	400
24	58800	Misc Distribution Expense	5,200	2,000	1,100	0	0	700	400	400	600	0
25	59100	Maint of Structures-Dist	900	500	200	0	0	100	100	100	100	0
26	66200	Trans and Dist Line Expense	2,015,900	934,500	466,600	0	0	307,400	153,700	153,700	0	0
27	66300	Meter Expense	2,311,800								2,311,800	
28	66500	Operation Labor & Exp-Wtr Dist	558,500	228,900	114,200	0	0	75,300	37,600	37,600	64,900	0
29	67000	Maint Supv and Eng-T and D	2,974,600	1,218,600	608,400	0	0	400,900	200,400	200,400	345,900	0
30	67100	Maint-Structure & Improvement	210,200	86,100	43,000	0	0	28,300	14,200	14,200	24,400	0
31	67200	Maintenance Mains	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	67300	Maint-Distribution-Mains	850,400	348,400	173,900	0	0	114,600	57,300	57,300	98,900	0
33	67400	Maintenance Transmission Main	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	67500	Maintenance of Services	12,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12,500	0
35	67600	Maintenance Water Meter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	67700	Maintenance of Fire Hydrants	11,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11,800
37	67900	Operatn & Maint Exp-Sys Cntnl	601,100	246,300	122,900	0	0	81,000	40,500	40,500	69,900	0
38	68000	Operation Supv and Eng-T&D	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	70000	Store Clr-Personnel & General	540,100	258,100	128,900			49,500	24,800	24,800	54,000	
40	70100	Store Clr-Service Center	14,700	4,700	2,400	0	200	1,500	800	800	4,300	0
41	70200	Store Clr-Quindaro	2,800	1,100	400	0	0	300	100	100	800	0
42	70300	Store Clr-Muncie	6,900	2,200	1,100	0	100	700	400	400	2,000	0
43	70400	Store Clr-Nearman	8,300	2,800	1,300	0	100	900	400	400	2,400	0
44	70500	Store Clr-Kaw	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	75000	Telecommunications Clr-All	138,800	46,200	23,100		34,700	17,400	8,700	8,700		
46	80100	Trans Clr-Personnel & General	122,700	19,500	8,600	0	70,300	5,600	2,500	2,500	14,200	100
47	80400	Trans Clr-Muncie	332,700	109,500	53,300	0	3,500	34,200	17,100	17,100	97,600	400
48	81000	Trans Clr-Service Center	655,700	47,900	16,200	0	563,600	5,900	2,900	2,900	16,800	100
49	82000	Trans Clr-Quindaro	245,400	38,900	17,300	0	140,700	10,000	3,000	3,000	28,400	100
50		Total Transmission & Distribution	12,458,300	5,951,800	1,916,300	0	921,500	1,193,200	596,700	596,700	3,269,100	12,900
<b>Customer Service</b>												
51	90100	Supv and Customer Surv Expense	751,300				751,300					
52	90200	Meter Reading Expense	767,300				767,300					
53	90300	Cust Records and Coll Expense	1,237,600				1,237,600					
54	90400	Uncollectible Accounts Expense	533,700				533,700					
55	90500	Miscellaneous Cash Expense	0									
56	91100	Supervision-Sales	95,000	32,800	13,900	0	20,600	5,800	2,900	2,900	16,000	100
57	91200	Demo Expense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
58	91300	Advertising Expense	700	300	100	0	200	0	0	0	100	0
59	91900	Other Marketing Services	6,000	2,000	900	0	1,300	400	200	200	1,000	0
60		Total Customer Service	3,391,600	35,100	14,900	0	3,312,000	6,200	3,100	3,100	17,100	100
<b>General &amp; Administrative</b>												
61	92000	Admin and General Salaries	1,728,700	597,300	252,400	0	374,700	106,200	53,100	53,100	290,800	1,100
62	92001	General Salaries	38,500	13,300	3,600	0	8,300	2,400	1,200	1,200	6,500	0
63	92100	Office Supplies and Expenses	1,573,500	543,800	229,800	0	341,000	96,600	48,300	48,300	264,700	1,000
64	92200	Admin Credit	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65	92300	Outside Services-Employed	1,316,200	454,800	192,200	0	285,300	80,800	40,400	40,400	221,400	900
66	92400	Property Insurance	212,600	73,500	31,000	0	46,100	13,100	6,500	6,500	35,800	100
67	92500	Injuries and Damages	201,300	69,500	29,400	0	43,600	12,400	6,200	6,200	33,900	100
68	92600	Employee Pension and Benefits	3,800	1,400	600	0	800	200	100	100	600	0
69	92602	Insurance-BCBS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
70	92604	Insurance-Life	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
71	92800	Regulatory Commission Expense	70,900	24,400	10,400	0	15,400	4,400	2,200	2,200	11,900	0
72	93000	Misc General Expense	54,400	18,800	7,900	0	11,800	3,300	1,700	1,700	9,200	0
73	93099	PILOT Transfer	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
74	93100	Rents	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
75	93300	Maintenance of General Plant	200,900	69,500	29,300	0	43,500	12,300	6,200	6,200	33,800	100
76		Total General & Administrative	5,400,800	1,866,300	788,600	0	1,170,500	331,700	165,900	165,900	908,600	3,300
77		Total O&M Expenditures	27,752,500	11,078,000	3,996,800	0	5,404,000	1,531,100	765,700	765,700	4,194,800	16,300
78		Net Operating Expenses to be Recovered	26,078,900	10,410,100	3,755,800	0	5,078,100	1,438,800	719,500	719,500	3,941,800	15,300

## **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

Transmission and distribution expenses are shown itemized on Lines 16 through 49 of Table 14. The basis for allocating many of the accounts to functional cost components is the distribution of transmission, distribution, and service line investment previously developed in Table 12; however, some exceptions have been made. Meter expense shown on Line 23 reflects BPU's fraud detection program, and as such these costs have been distributed to cost components on the basis of all transmission and distribution expenses. Transmission and distribution line expense (Line 26) has been allocated on the basis of transmission and distribution investment, excluding services, based on discussions with BPU staff regarding the activities performed under this account. Lines 39 and 45 have been allocated based on an assessment by BPU to determine which functions these costs supported. Lines 40 through 44 (stores) have been allocated on the basis of all transmission and distribution expenses. Line 48 reflects fleet costs at the service center location; based on BPU analysis approximately 83% of this cost is related to supporting meter reading and customer service functions. The remainder has been allocated to all other components on the basis of transmission and distribution expenses.

Customer service costs shown on Lines 51 through 54 have been assigned directly to the common to all meter reading and billing component. Other general and administrative costs, shown on Lines 55 through 59 and 61 through 75, are allocated on the basis of all other O&M costs excluding power and chemicals.

Total operation and maintenance expenses for the provision of water service by the BPU is projected to be \$27,752,500 for the 2013 test year as previously shown in Line 1 of Table 11 and shown on Line 77 of Table 14. Other operating revenue and income, excluding PILOT obligations, considered applicable to operating expense is expected to be \$1,673,600 for the test year and is subtracted from the total operation and maintenance expenses. This can be viewed in detail on Lines 7 through 11 in Table 11. Total net operation and maintenance expenses of \$26,078,900 to be recovered from rates are shown on Line 78 in Table 14.

### **Distribution of Costs to Customer Classes**

The total cost responsibility of each customer class may be estimated by developing unit costs of service for each cost component and assigning those costs to the customer classes based on the respective requirements of each class. To properly recognize the costs of service, each customer class is allocated its share of base costs, extra capacity costs, customer costs, which consist of meter related costs, billing, collection, and accounting costs, and fire protection costs.

### **Customer Classification**

For purposes of cost of service analysis and rate design, the water system's customers are classified to reflect groups of customers with similar service requirements who can be served at a similar average cost and the classification used by the BPU for record keeping purposes. The customer classes are separated into general categories of inside city, outside city, wholesale, and interdepartmental.

# COST ALLOCATIONS

---

- **Inside City** - Inside city customers are Residential, Commercial, Industrial, Public Authority, Schools, City, City Private Fire Connection, Temporary Fire Hydrant, Public Fire Hydrant, and Private Fire Connection customers who receive retail water service at the individual consumer's premise and pay regular inside city full service rates.
- **Outside City** - Outside city customers are Residential, Commercial, Public Authority, Schools, Public Fire Hydrant, and Private Fire Connection customers who receive retail water service at the individual consumer's premise and pay regular outside city full service rates.
- **Wholesale** – This class includes contract rate customers and bulk water supplied to cities and districts outside of BPU's service area. Customers in this class include Consolidated Rural Water District #1, Lan Del Water District, the City of Bonner Springs, and Suburban Water.
- **Interdepartmental** - Includes water service provided to BPU's electric utility.

The Residential customer class includes accounts with 5/8-, 3/4-, 1-, 1 1/2-, and 2-inch meters that are billed on a monthly basis.

The Commercial customer class includes accounts with meters 5/8-inch and larger meters that are billed monthly. Included in the Commercial class are apartment buildings, small, medium and large commercial establishments and light industry.

The Industrial customer class includes inside city accounts that generally have large meters, typically larger than 1-inch. These monthly billed customers are generally large volume users and may have more than one meter.

## Units of Service

In allocating the responsibility for costs of service, base costs, extra capacity costs, and customer costs may be distributed to customer classes according to respective service requirements of the classes.

The cost of service responsibility for base costs varies with the volume of water used and may be distributed to customer classes on that basis. Extra capacity costs are those costs associated with meeting peak rates of water use and are distributed to customer classes on the basis of respective extra capacity requirements. In determining the responsibility of each customer class for extra capacity costs, peak requirements of the various classes are estimated on the basis of an analysis of the water system's operating records and experience of other water utility systems.

The estimated units of service for the various customer classifications are shown in Table 15. This table shows projected test year water use by customer classes, including annual and average day usage, the estimated maximum day capacity factors and the resulting maximum day total capacity and extra capacity requirements in excess of average day, and the estimated maximum hour capacity factors and the resulting maximum hour total capacity and extra capacity requirements in excess of maximum day. Estimates of total annual water use, shown in Column 1 of the table, are consistent with projected volumes previously discussed in Table 2; however, additional detail is provided regarding specific customer class usage within the retail classes. For



**Table 15  
Estimated Units of Service  
Test Year 2013**

Line No.	Customer Class	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
		Water Usage		Maximum Day			Maximum Hour			Bills	Equivalent Meters & Services	Fire Protection Hydrants
		Total Annual Ccf	Average Day Ccf/day (1) / 365	Capacity Factor %	Total Capacity Ccf/day (2) x (3)	Extra Capacity Ccf/day (4) - (2)	Capacity Factor %	Total Capacity Ccf/day (2) x (6)	Extra Capacity Ccf/day (7) - (4)			
<b>INSIDE CITY</b>												
1	Residential	3,656,600	10,018	210%	21,038	11,020	285%	28,551	7,513	541,201	45,643	
2	Commercial	2,551,727	6,991	195%	13,632	6,641	265%	18,526	4,894	56,190	15,059	
3	Industrial	1,307,608	3,582	160%	5,731	2,149	220%	7,880	2,149	1,716	2,865	
4	Public Authority	37,553	103	195%	201	98	265%	273	72	84	126	
5	Schools	128,012	351	195%	684	333	265%	930	246	1,409	1,140	
6	City	394,400	1,081	195%	2,108	1,027	265%	2,865	757	1,656	7,609	
7	City Private Fire Connection				15	15		68	53			
8	Temporary Fire Hydrants	25,100	69	195%	135	66	265%	183	48	360	450	
9	Public Fire Hydrant				2,681	2,681		12,371	9,690			6,041
10	Private Fire Connections				250	250		1,153	903			
11	Total Inside City	8,101,000	22,195		46,475	24,280		72,800	26,325	602,616	72,891	6,041
<b>OUTSIDE CITY</b>												
12	Residential	146,800	402	210%	844	442	285%	1,146	302	19,031	1,615	
13	Commercial	108,100	296	195%	577	281	265%	784	207	1,309	380	
14	Public Authority	100	0	195%	0	0	265%	0	0	48	8	
15	Schools	1,000	3	195%	6	3	265%	8	2	12	6	
16	Public Fire Hydrant				124	124		571	447			279
17	Private Fire Connections				58	58		268	210			
18	Total Outside City	256,000	701		1,609	908		2,777	1,168	20,400	2,010	279
19	Wholesale	433,000	1,186	160%	1,898	712	220%	2,609	711	48		
20	Interdepartmental	1,776,300	4,867	195%	9,491	4,624	265%	12,898	3,407	240		
21	Total	10,566,300	28,949		59,473	30,524		91,084	31,611	623,304	74,901	6,320

## **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

instance, Inside City Retail has been expanded to show units of service for Residential, Commercial, Industrial, and other smaller classes of users. This additional detail was developed to enable appropriate assignment of peak system responsibility to customers. As a basis for distribution of extra capacity costs to the various customer classes, respective non-coincidental peak requirements of each class are estimated. The sum of the non-coincidental peak requirements of the individual classes exceeds the experienced or coincidental peak of the system due to diversity in requirements among the classes.

Generally, Residential and Commercial customers place more severe peak demands on the water system than Industrial customers. Therefore, Residential and Commercial customers are assigned higher capacity factors than the Industrial class, since water used by customers in the Industrial class is generally spread more uniformly throughout the day, and maximum rates of use tend to depart from the average less than the peak requirements of the Residential and Commercial customer classes. Wholesale customers are projected to have usage patterns generally related to Industrial customers, while Interdepartmental customers are projected to have usage patterns generally related to Commercial customers.

Extra capacity requirements for fire protection service recognize, in part, peak fire flow requirements, and system capabilities established by the Insurance Services Office. Requirements are allocated between inside city and outside city classes in proportion to the relative total number of 6-inch equivalent fire connections in service.

Customer costs are distributed to classes on the basis of the number of bills rendered for each customer class as indicated in Column 9 of Table 15. Meter related costs are allocated on the basis of the number of equivalent 5/8-inch meters serving each customer class which are shown in Column 10. The number of equivalent meters and services estimated for each customer classification is based upon the total number of various size meters connected to the water system by the respective classes and the ratio of the cost of various sized meters and services to the cost of a 5/8-inch meter installation.

### **Customer Class Cost of Service**

Unit costs of service are developed by dividing the total cost allocated to each functional cost component by the total applicable units of service. The customer class responsibility for service is obtained by applying unit costs of service to the number of units for which the customer class is responsible.

Table 16 presents the development of unit costs of service applicable to each cost function. Lines 1 through 4 show the total units of service for each of the customer groups developed in Table 15. Total allocated costs shown on Lines 6, 8, and 10 were previously developed in Tables 14, 12, and 13, respectively. Unit costs of service for each component are determined simply by dividing the allocated cost by the total units of service.

Table 17 shows the allocation of cost of service to the BPU's customers. Costs are allocated to various customer classes by applying the appropriate unit cost of service to the respective service requirements of each customer class.

**Table 16  
Unit Cost of Service  
Test Year 2013**

Line No.	Common to All					Common to Retail					
	Total	Extra Capacity		Meter Reading & Billing	Base	Extra Capacity		Meters & Services	Fire Protection		
		Base	Maximum Day			Maximum Hour	Maximum Day			Maximum Hour	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Total Units of Service											
1		8,101,000	24,280	26,325	602,616	8,101,000	24,280	26,325	72,891	6,041	
2		256,000	908	1,168	20,400	256,000	908	1,168	2,010	279	
3		433,000	712	711	48						
4		1,776,300	4,624	3,407	240	1,776,300	4,624	3,407			
5		10,566,300	30,524	31,611	623,304	10,133,300	29,812	30,900	74,901	6,320	
Net Operating Expense											
6	Total Cost - \$	26,078,900	10,410,100	3,755,800	0	5,078,100	1,438,800	719,500	719,500	3,941,800	15,300
7	Unit Cost - \$/Unit		0.98522	123.04416	0.00000	8.14707	0.14199	24.13458	23.28479	52.62684	2.42089
Existing Capital Costs											
8	Total Cost - \$	10,255,000	5,014,900	2,479,900	428,000	83,900	563,600	281,800	281,800	626,000	495,100
9	Unit Cost - \$/Unit		0.47461	81.24427	13.53959	0.13461	0.05562	9.45257	9.11974	8.35771	78.33861
Proposed Capital Costs											
10	Total Cost - \$	6,413,300	2,736,200	1,347,300	338,400	38,500	365,700	182,900	182,900	938,700	282,700
11	Unit Cost - \$/Unit		0.25896	44.13904	10.70513	0.06177	0.03609	6.13511	5.91909	12.53255	44.73101
12	Total Unit Cost of Service		1.71879	248.42747	24.24472	8.34344	0.23369	39.72226	38.32362	73.51710	125.49051
Total Cost of Service											
13	Inside City	35,605,100	13,923,800	6,031,800	638,200	5,027,900	1,893,200	964,500	1,008,900	5,358,700	758,100
14	Outside City	1,187,600	440,000	225,600	28,300	170,200	59,800	36,100	44,800	147,800	35,000
15	Wholesale	938,700	744,200	176,900	17,200	400	0	0	0	0	0
16	Interdepartmental	5,015,800	3,053,100	1,148,700	82,600	2,000	415,100	183,700	130,600	0	0
17	Total	42,747,200	18,161,100	7,583,000	766,300	5,200,500	2,368,100	1,184,300	1,184,300	5,506,500	793,100

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 17**  
**Allocation of Cost of Service to Customer Classes**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line No.	Total	Common to All				Common to Retail					Fire Protection Hydrants
		Base Ccf	Extra Capacity		Meter Reading & Billing Bills	Base Ccf	Extra Capacity		Meters & Services Bills		
			Maximum Day Ccf/day	Maximum Hour Ccf/day			Maximum Day Ccf/day	Maximum Hour Ccf/day			
1	Unit Cost of Service - \$/unit	1.71879	248.42747	24.24472	8.34344	0.23369	39.72226	38.32362	73.51710	125.49051	
Inside City											
Residential											
2	Units of Service	3,656,600	11,020	7,513	541,201	3,656,600	11,020	7,513	45,643		
3	Allocated Cost - \$	18,655,900	6,284,900	2,737,700	182,200	4,515,500	854,500	437,700	287,900	3,355,500	
Commercial											
4	Units of Service	2,551,727	6,641	4,894	56,190	2,551,727	6,641	4,894	15,059		
5	Allocated Cost - \$	8,778,000	4,385,900	1,649,800	118,700	468,800	596,300	263,800	187,600	1,107,100	
Industrial											
6	Units of Service	1,307,608	2,149	2,149	1,716	1,307,608	2,149	2,149	2,865		
7	Allocated Cost - \$	3,531,800	2,247,500	533,900	52,100	14,300	305,600	85,400	82,400	210,600	
Public Authority											
8	Units of Service	37,553	98	72	84	37,553	98	72	126		
9	Allocated Cost - \$	115,900	64,500	24,300	1,700	700	8,800	3,900	2,800	9,200	
Schools											
10	Units of Service	128,012	333	246	1,409	128,012	333	246	1,140		
11	Allocated Cost - \$	456,800	220,000	82,700	6,000	11,800	29,900	13,200	9,400	83,800	
City											
12	Units of Service	394,400	1,042	810	1,656	394,400	1,042	810	7,609		
13	Allocated Cost - \$	1,694,200	677,900	258,900	19,600	13,800	92,200	41,400	31,000	559,400	
Temporary Fire Hydrants											
14	Units of Service	25,100	66	48	360	25,100	66	48	450		
15	Allocated Cost - \$	107,100	43,100	16,400	1,200	3,000	5,900	2,600	1,800	33,100	
Public Fire Hydrant											
16	Units of Service		2,681	9,690			2,681	9,690		6,041	
17	Allocated Cost - \$	2,136,900	666,000	234,900			106,500	371,400		758,100	
Private Fire Connections											
18	Units of Service		250	903			250	903			
19	Allocated Cost - \$	128,500	62,100	21,900			9,900	34,600			
20	Total Inside City	35,605,100	13,880,700	6,015,400	637,100	5,024,900	1,887,300	961,800	1,007,100	5,325,600	758,100
Outside City											
Residential											
21	Units of Service	146,800	442	302	19,031	146,800	442	302	1,615		
22	Allocated Cost - \$	710,700	252,600	109,800	7,300	158,800	34,300	17,600	11,600	118,700	
Commercial											
23	Units of Service	108,100	281	207	1,309	108,100	281	207	380		
24	Allocated Cost - \$	343,900	185,800	69,800	5,000	10,900	25,300	11,200	7,900	28,000	
Public Authority											
25	Units of Service	100	0	0	48	100	0	0	8		
26	Allocated Cost - \$	1,200	200	0	400	0	0	0	600		
Schools											
27	Units of Service	1,000	3	2	12	1,000	3	2	6		
28	Allocated Cost - \$	3,400	1,700	700	0	100	200	100	100	500	
Public Fire Hydrant											
29	Units of Service		124	447			124	447		279	
30	Allocated Cost - \$	98,600	30,800	10,800			4,900	17,100		35,000	
Private Fire Connections											
31	Units of Service		58	210			58	210			
32	Allocated Cost - \$	29,800	14,400	5,100			2,300	8,000			
33	Total Outside City	1,187,600	440,300	225,500	28,200	170,200	59,800	36,100	44,700	147,800	35,000
Wholesale											
34	Units of Service	433,000	712	711	48						
35	Allocated Cost - \$	938,700	744,200	176,900	17,200	400					
Interdepartmental											
36	Units of Service	1,776,300	4,624	3,407	240	1,776,300	4,624	3,407	0		
37	Allocated Cost - \$	5,015,800	3,053,100	1,148,700	82,600	2,000	415,100	183,700	130,600	0	
38	Total System	42,747,200	18,118,300	7,566,500	765,100	5,197,500	2,362,200	1,181,600	1,182,400	5,473,400	793,100

## **COST ALLOCATIONS**

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

Table 18 shows allocated and adjusted cost of service by customer class, revenue under existing rates, and the indicated revenue adjustment for each class. Costs associated with City and Interdepartmental service and public fire protection are not recovered through direct charges; therefore, the cost of service for these classes is reallocated to all other retail customers in proportion to their allocated cost of service. Additionally, wholesale customers receive a facility credit for customer-owned storage facilities that reduce the BPU's cost of providing service. The amount of this credit, as shown in Column 2 on Line 18, is reallocated to all other retail customers in proportion to their allocated cost of service. The test year adjusted cost of service, reflecting the reallocation of these costs, is shown in Column 3. The indicated increase or decrease in revenue required to meet adjusted cost of service is shown in Column 5 of Table 18. It should be noted that the total system adjustment of 34.8 percent shown on Line 19 of Table 18 is the cumulative impact of the 8.0 percent increases in 2010 and 2011, and the 7.5 percent increases proposed for 2012 and 2013.

# COST ALLOCATIONS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 18**  
**Comparison of Allocated Cost of Service**  
**with Revenue Under Existing Rates**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Allocated Cost of Service	Adjustment	Adjusted Cost of Service	Revenue Under Existing Rates	Indicated Revenue Adjustment
		\$	\$	\$	\$	
				(1) + (2)		(3) / (4)
<b>INSIDE CITY</b>						
1	Residential	18,655,900	5,246,500	23,902,400	17,438,800	37.1%
2	Commercial (a)	8,885,100	2,432,200	11,317,300	8,588,100	31.8%
3	Industrial	3,531,800	966,800	4,498,600	3,017,200	49.1%
4	Public Authority	115,900	31,700	147,600	108,600	35.9%
5	Schools	456,800	125,000	581,800	434,100	34.0%
6	City	1,694,200	(1,694,200)	0		0.0%
7	Public Fire Hydrant	2,136,900	(2,136,900)	0		0.0%
8	Private Fire Connections	128,500		128,500	320,700	-59.9%
9	Total Inside City	35,605,100	4,971,100	40,576,200	29,907,500	35.7%
<b>OUTSIDE CITY</b>						
10	Residential	710,700	212,800	923,500	768,000	20.2%
11	Commercial (a)	343,900	102,900	446,800	394,100	13.4%
12	Public Authority	1,200	400	1,600	1,800	-11.1%
13	Schools	3,400	1,000	4,400	3,800	15.8%
14	Public Fire Hydrant	98,600	(98,600)	0		0.0%
15	Private Fire Connections	29,800		29,800	74,600	-60.1%
16	Total Outside City	1,187,600	218,500	1,406,100	1,242,300	13.2%
17	Wholesale	938,700	(173,800)	764,900	563,600	35.7%
18	Interdepartmental	5,015,800	(5,015,800)	0		0.0%
19	Total	42,747,200	0	42,747,200	31,713,400	34.8%

(a) Includes Temporary Public Fire (Rate Code 10H).

## Water Rate Adjustments

The principal consideration in the derivation of water rate schedules is the establishment of equitable charges to customers served, commensurate with the cost of providing that service. The only method of assessing entirely equitable rates would be the determination of each customer's bill based upon his particular service requirements. Since this may be impractical when dealing with thousands of customers, rates are normally designed to fit average conditions for groups of customers having similar service requirements. Practicability also requires that rates be reasonably simple in application and subject to as few misinterpretations as possible.

The revenue requirements and cost of service allocations described in this report provide the basis for recommending adjustments to existing water rates. The revenue requirements section shows the need for adjustment and the level of revenue required. The allocations section provides the unit costs of service used in the rate design process and gives a basis for determining whether resultant rates will develop revenues which recover costs of service from customer classes in proportion to service required and provide the total level of revenue required.

### Existing Water Rates

The BPU provides water service to the majority of its customers on a retail basis and existing rates are based generally upon the size of meter serving the customer's premise and the quantity of water purchased. Wholesale service is provided to various entities outside the City at rates stipulated by individual contracts for service. Provision for fire protection charges is also included in the existing rate schedules. Table 3 indicates the BPU's existing water rates.

### Retail Service

The existing schedule of water rates, as summarized in Table 3, was implemented on January 1, 2008. The existing schedule of retail rates includes monthly customer charges which vary with meter size, plus declining block volume charges for inside city customers and separate uniform volume charges for all other customer classifications. Retail rates include minimum usage requirements that vary by meter size. Generally speaking, existing outside city rates are higher than inside city rates for service charges, caused in part by a higher level of minimum usage included in the outside city minimum bill. Additionally, outside city volume charges reflect a single uniform charge per quantity used. Based on discussions with the BPU staff, the level of service provided to inside city and outside city customers is similar. Without a discernable difference in the level of service provided, it is recommended that the differential between inside city and outside city rates and minimum usage requirement be phased out over time.

# WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

---

## Wholesale Service

Existing rates to wholesale customers for water usage through master meters for resale to individual customers are currently established by individual service agreements between the BPU and the respective entities. These agreements allow for a facility credit for customer-owned storage facilities that reduces the BPU's costs of providing service.

## Private Fire Protection Service

The existing schedule of charges for private fire protection service became effective January 1, 2008 and consists of a monthly charge that varies by meter size.

## Proposed Water Rates

Table 19-1 shows the existing and proposed water rates for inside and outside city customers for 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. Table 19-2 shows the proposed water rates for fire protection, wholesale, and interdepartmental customers. As noted earlier in this section, the differential between inside and outside city rates and minimum usage requirements is recommended to be phased out over time. Additionally, the 5-step declining block for inside city is proposed to be reduced to 4 steps in 2010 and 3-steps in 2012. This change was made to allow more equitable cost recovery by customer class. Similarly, the uniform volume charge for outside city is proposed to change to a 4-step declining block in 2010 and 3 steps in 2012. Table 19-1 shows that proposed rates and minimum usage requirements are identical between inside city and outside city customers by 2013.

The rates for fire protection and interdepartmental customers are proposed to remain at the existing charges. Additionally, it is recommended that the proposed monthly charge applicable to wholesale customers remain at the existing charge. The proposed volume charge for the wholesale customers includes a storage facilities credit to recognize that the wholesale customers provide their own storage facilities.

## Water Service Revenue Under Proposed Rates

A comparison of the estimated 2013 test year revenue under proposed rates to the adjusted cost of service for each of the customer classes is shown in Table 20. Column 1 of Table 20 shows the estimated test year revenue from each class anticipated to be received under the schedules of proposed rates for retail, wholesale, and fire protection service previously presented.

Column 3 of Table 20 shows the relationship of projected revenue under the proposed rates (Column 1) to the adjusted cost of service in Column 2. This comparison indicates the proposed rates will recover revenues from customer groups reasonably commensurate with the cost of service. The indicated revenue adjustment in Column 5 of Table 20 indicates the relationship between revenue projected under existing rates and revenue projected under proposed rates. The indicated revenue adjustments in Column 5 are developed for each customer



**Table 19-1  
Existing and Proposed Rates**

Meter Size	Existing			Proposed Rates (a)											
	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement Ccf	2010 (b)			2011			2012			2013		
				Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement Ccf	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement Ccf	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement Ccf	Monthly Customer Charge	Monthly Minimum Bill	Minimum Usage Requirement Ccf
\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	\$	\$	Ccf	
<b>RATE CODE 10 - INSIDE CITY</b>															
<b>Monthly Charge</b>															
5/8"	12.69	12.69	0.10	13.65	13.98	0.10	15.55	15.90	0.10	17.50	17.87	0.10	19.35	19.74	0.10
3/4"	13.18	26.80	4.70	16.60	32.16	4.70	18.95	35.49	4.70	21.30	38.60	4.70	23.55	41.83	4.70
1"	15.27	37.10	7.50	20.70	45.33	7.50	23.60	49.78	7.50	26.55	53.90	7.50	29.35	58.22	7.50
1.5"	20.58	66.74	15.70	32.50	80.99	15.70	37.00	88.55	15.70	41.60	95.03	15.70	46.00	101.77	15.70
2"	26.95	100.02	25.50	44.20	121.21	25.50	50.40	131.84	25.50	56.70	141.29	25.50	62.60	150.51	25.50
3"	47.09	180.31	45.50	96.00	231.21	45.50	109.50	252.34	45.50	123.00	271.19	45.50	136.00	289.51	45.50
4"	73.62	290.99	74.00	154.50	372.64	74.00	176.00	406.33	74.00	198.00	436.82	74.00	219.00	465.99	74.00
6"	142.55	577.73	148.00	301.00	734.48	148.00	343.00	800.51	148.00	386.00	860.14	148.00	427.00	916.71	148.00
8"	200.89	912.23	247.50	449.00	1,172.03	247.50	512.00	1,274.98	247.50	575.00	1,365.55	247.50	635.00	1,451.07	247.50
10"	317.55	1,370.86	372.00	596.00	1,681.32	372.00	679.00	1,824.19	372.00	763.00	1,949.46	372.00	843.00	2,067.43	372.00
12"	464.36	1,767.17	462.30	682.00	2,030.68	462.30	778.00	2,201.03	462.30	875.00	2,349.23	462.30	967.00	2,488.27	462.30
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>															
First 7 Ccf		2.959			3.310			3.520			3.680			3.890	
Next 153 Ccf		2.945			2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280	
Next 1,840 Ccf		2.750			2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280	
Next 6,000 Ccf		2.063			2.063			2.063			2.450			3.030	
Over 8,000 Ccf		1.320			1.620			1.990			2.450			3.030	
<b>RATE CODE 20 - OUTSIDE CITY</b>															
<b>Monthly Charge</b>															
5/8"	12.89	24.36	3.60	13.65	25.57	3.60	15.55	24.00	2.40	17.50	21.92	1.20	19.35	19.74	0.10
3/4"	13.40	35.20	6.70	16.60	38.78	6.70	18.95	40.07	6.00	21.30	40.80	5.30	23.55	41.83	4.70
1"	15.59	52.81	11.40	20.70	56.67	11.40	23.60	57.76	10.10	26.55	58.03	8.80	29.35	58.22	7.50
1.5"	21.16	92.04	21.70	32.50	98.45	21.70	37.00	100.63	19.70	41.60	101.39	17.70	46.00	101.77	15.70
2"	27.84	144.92	35.80	44.20	151.18	35.80	50.40	153.02	32.40	56.70	152.42	29.00	62.60	150.51	25.50
3"	49.00	262.43	65.50	96.00	289.41	65.50	109.50	293.17	58.80	123.00	292.18	52.10	136.00	289.51	45.50
4"	76.86	432.83	108.70	154.50	473.62	108.70	176.00	477.25	97.10	198.00	473.39	85.50	219.00	465.99	74.00
6"	144.78	815.36	205.00	301.00	900.35	205.00	343.00	917.17	186.00	386.00	920.56	167.00	427.00	916.71	148.00
8"	204.03	1,149.00	288.70	449.00	1,291.92	288.70	512.00	1,359.40	275.00	575.00	1,409.43	261.30	635.00	1,451.07	247.50
10"	322.52	1,816.28	456.50	596.00	1,927.22	456.50	679.00	1,997.03	428.30	763.00	2,038.82	400.10	843.00	2,067.43	372.00
12"	471.63	2,653.98	667.00	682.00	2,625.77	667.00	778.00	2,619.47	598.80	875.00	2,565.81	530.60	967.00	2,488.27	462.30
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>															
All Usage		3.275			0.000						0.000				
First 7 Ccf					3.310			3.520			3.680			3.890	
Next 153 Ccf					2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280	
Next 1,840 Ccf					2.910			3.070			3.180			3.280	
Next 6,000 Ccf					2.063			2.063			2.450			3.030	
Over 8,000 Ccf					1.620			1.990			2.450			3.030	

(a) Effective January 1 of each year shown unless otherwise indicated.  
(b) Effective June 1, 2010.

# WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 19-2  
Existing and Proposed Rates**

Meter Size	Existing	Proposed Rates (a)			
		2010 (b)	2011	2012	2013
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>RATE CODE 40 - FIRE PROTECTION</b>					
<b>Monthly Charge</b>					
2"	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.97	7.97
4"	20.44	20.44	20.44	20.44	20.44
6"	49.86	49.86	49.86	49.86	49.86
8"	100.21	100.21	100.21	100.21	100.21
10"	175.95	175.95	175.95	175.95	175.95
12"	281.10	281.10	281.10	281.10	281.10
<b>RATE CODES 31, 32, 33, 34 - WHOLESALE</b>					
<b>Monthly Charge</b>					
All Sizes	160.00	160.00	160.00	160.00	160.00
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>					
All Usage	1.301	1.420	1.530	1.640	1.770
<b>RATE CODE 50 - INTERDEPARTMENTAL</b>					
<b>Monthly Volume Charge - \$/Ccf</b>					
All Usage	0.510	0.510	0.510	0.510	0.510

(a) Effective January 1 of each year shown unless otherwise indicated.

(b) Effective June 1, 2010.

# WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 20**  
**Comparison of Revenue Under Proposed Rates**  
**with Allocated Costs of Service**  
**Test Year 2013**

Line No.		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
		Revenue Under Proposed Rates	Adjusted Cost of Service	Revenue As A Percent of Cost of Service	Revenue Under Existing Rates	Indicated Revenue Adjustment
		\$	\$		\$	
1	Residential	24,752,400	24,825,900	99.7%	18,206,800	36.0%
2	Commercial (a)	11,719,300	11,764,100	99.6%	8,982,200	30.5%
3	Industrial	4,397,300	4,498,600	97.7%	3,017,200	45.7%
4	Public Authority	136,600	149,200	91.6%	110,400	23.7%
5	Schools	584,600	586,200	99.7%	437,900	33.5%
6	Private Fire Connections	395,300	158,300	249.7%	395,300	0.0%
7	Total Retail	41,985,500	41,982,300	100.0%	31,149,800	34.8%
8	Wholesale	764,000	764,900	99.9%	563,600	35.6%
9	Total	42,749,500	42,747,200	100.0%	31,713,400	34.8%

(a) Includes Temporary Public Fire (Rate Code 10H).

# WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

---

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

classification. On a total system wide basis, the proposed rates will result in a revenue increase of 34.8 percent over revenue under existing rates.

## **Typical Bills**

To illustrate the impact of the proposed rates on different levels of customer's bills, a comparison of water bills at various levels of water usage under existing and proposed rates is shown in Table 21. The average inside city residential customer using 7 Ccf of water per month will see an increase of \$3.42 in 2010, \$3.37 in 2011, \$3.07 in 2012, and \$3.32 in 2013. The typical bills shown in Table 21 do not include PILOT.

# WATER RATE ADJUSTMENTS

KANSAS CITY BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
WATER RATE STUDY

**Table 21**  
**Comparison of Typical Monthly Bills**  
**Under Existing and Proposed Rates**

Meter Size Inches	Monthly Usage Ccf	Existing Rates \$	Typical Water Bills				Annual Increase			
			2010 \$	2011 \$	2012 \$	2013 \$	2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>RATE CODE 10 - INSIDE CITY</b>										
<b>Residential</b>										
5/8"	2	18.61	20.27	22.59	24.86	27.13	8.93%	11.45%	10.05%	9.13%
5/8"	5	27.49	30.20	33.15	35.90	38.80	9.88%	9.77%	8.30%	8.08%
5/8"	7	33.40	36.82	40.19	43.26	46.58	10.23%	9.15%	7.64%	7.67%
5/8"	10	42.24	45.55	49.40	52.80	56.42	7.84%	8.45%	6.88%	6.86%
5/8"	15	56.96	60.10	64.75	68.70	72.82	5.51%	7.74%	6.10%	6.00%
<b>Commercial</b>										
5/8"	50	160.04	161.95	172.20	180.00	187.62	1.19%	6.33%	4.53%	4.23%
5/8"	100	307.29	307.45	325.70	339.00	351.62	0.05%	5.94%	4.08%	3.72%
1"	50	162.62	169.00	180.25	189.05	197.62	3.92%	6.66%	4.88%	4.53%
1"	100	309.87	314.50	333.75	348.05	361.62	1.49%	6.12%	4.28%	3.90%
1.5"	50	167.93	180.80	193.65	204.10	214.27	7.67%	7.11%	5.40%	4.98%
1.5"	100	315.18	326.30	347.15	363.10	378.27	3.53%	6.39%	4.59%	4.18%
2"	100	321.55	338.00	360.55	378.20	394.87	5.12%	6.67%	4.90%	4.41%
2"	150	468.80	483.50	514.05	537.20	558.87	3.14%	6.32%	4.50%	4.03%
<b>Industrial</b>										
2"	100	321.55	338.00	360.55	378.20	394.87	5.12%	6.67%	4.90%	4.41%
2"	150	468.80	483.50	514.05	537.20	558.87	3.14%	6.32%	4.50%	4.03%
4"	500	1,479.92	1,612.30	1,714.15	1,791.50	1,863.27	8.95%	6.32%	4.51%	4.01%
4"	1,000	2,854.92	3,067.30	3,249.15	3,381.50	3,503.27	7.44%	5.93%	4.07%	3.60%
6"	2,500	6,705.35	7,155.30	7,517.65	7,974.50	8,506.27	6.71%	5.06%	6.08%	6.67%
6"	5,000	11,862.85	12,312.80	12,675.15	14,099.50	16,081.27	3.79%	2.94%	11.24%	14.06%
6"	10,000	20,691.85	21,741.80	22,844.15	26,349.50	31,231.27	5.07%	5.07%	15.34%	18.53%
<b>RATE CODE 20 - OUTSIDE CITY</b>										
<b>Residential</b>										
5/8"	2	24.36	25.57	24.00	24.86	27.13	4.97%	-6.14%	3.58%	9.13%
5/8"	5	29.27	30.20	33.15	35.90	38.80	3.19%	9.77%	8.30%	8.08%
5/8"	7	35.82	36.82	40.19	43.26	46.58	2.81%	9.15%	7.64%	7.67%
5/8"	10	45.64	45.55	49.40	52.80	56.42	-0.20%	8.45%	6.88%	6.86%
5/8"	15	62.02	60.10	64.75	68.70	72.82	-3.09%	7.74%	6.10%	6.00%
<b>Commercial</b>										
5/8"	50	176.64	161.95	172.20	180.00	187.62	-8.32%	6.33%	4.53%	4.23%
5/8"	100	340.39	307.45	325.70	339.00	351.62	-9.68%	5.94%	4.08%	3.72%
1"	50	179.34	169.00	180.25	189.05	197.62	-5.77%	6.66%	4.88%	4.53%
1"	100	343.09	314.50	333.75	348.05	361.62	-8.33%	6.12%	4.28%	3.90%
1.5"	50	184.91	180.80	193.65	204.10	214.27	-2.22%	7.11%	5.40%	4.98%
1.5"	100	348.66	326.30	347.15	363.10	378.27	-6.41%	6.39%	4.59%	4.18%
2"	100	355.34	338.00	360.55	378.20	394.87	-4.88%	6.67%	4.90%	4.41%
2"	150	519.09	483.50	514.05	537.20	558.87	-6.86%	6.32%	4.50%	4.03%

Docket No. 10-SUBW-602-TAR

Exhibit No. WEB – 3

Suburban Water  
10-SUBW-602-TAR  
Purchased Water Adjustment

States That Have a Purchased Water Adjustment

Arizona  
Arkansas  
California  
Illinois  
Indiana  
Kentucky  
Oklahoma  
Texas  
West Virginia  
Wyoming

States That Do Not Have a Purchased Water Adjustment

Colorado  
Iowa  
Missouri  
Montana  
Nebraska  
New Mexico  
North Dakota  
South Dakota  
Utah


STATE OF KANSAS                    )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE            )

**VERIFICATION**

William E. Baldry, being duly sworn upon his oath deposes and says that he is a Senior Auditor in the Utilities Division of the Kansas Corporation Commission; that he has read and is familiar with the foregoing *Direct Testimony*, and that the statements therein are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

William E. Baldry  
William E. Baldry  
Senior Auditor, Utilities Division  
Kansas Corporation Commission of the  
State of Kansas

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2010.



Vicki D. Jacobsen  
Notary Public

My Appointment Expires: 6-30-14



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

10-SUBW-602-TAR

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Direct Testimony was served by electronic service on this 30th day of July, 2010, to the following parties who have waived receipt of follow-up hard copies.

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY  
ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P.  
216 SOUTH HICKORY  
PO BOX 17  
OTTAWA, KS 66067  
Fax: 785-242-1279  
jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

NIKI CHRISTOPHER, ATTORNEY  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
n.christopher@curb.kansas.gov  
\*\*\*\* Hand Deliver \*\*\*\*

C. STEVEN RARRICK, ATTORNEY  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
s.rarrick@curb.kansas.gov  
\*\*\*\* Hand Deliver \*\*\*\*


DELLA SMITH  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
d.smith@curb.kansas.gov  
\*\*\*\* Hand Deliver \*\*\*\*

SHONDA SMITH  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
sd.smith@curb.kansas.gov  
\*\*\*\* Hand Deliver \*\*\*\*

DAVID SPRINGE, CONSUMER COUNSEL  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
d.springe@curb.kansas.gov  
\*\*\*\* Hand Deliver \*\*\*\*

MIKE BREUER, PRESIDENT  
SUBURBAN WATER CO.  
1216 N 155TH ST  
PO BOX 147  
BASEHOR, KS 66007  
Fax: 913-724-1505  
mike@suburbanwaterinc.com

GREGORY L. WILSON, CPA  
TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY MANAGEMENT  
PO BOX 532  
DE SOTO, KS 66018  
Fax: 913-856-4731  
greg12@sprynet.com

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Vicki D. Jacobsen