BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF THOMAS K. HESTERMANN

DOCKET NO. 09-MKEE-___-RTS

1	Q. Please state your name.
2	A. My name is Thomas K. Hestermann.
3	
4	Q. By whom are you employed?
5	A. I am employed by Sunflower Electric Power Corporation ("Sunflower"). My business
6	address is 301 W. 13th Street, Hays, Kansas.
7	
8	Q. What is your present position at Sunflower?
9	A. My present position is Manager, Regulatory Relations.
10	
11	Q. Mr. Hestermann, please summarize your educational and work experience for
12	the Commission.
13	A. I graduated in 1978, with a Bachelor of Science degree in mathematics from Fort Hays
14	State University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Regis
15	University in 2003. I began my career as a cartographer for the Defense Mapping
16	Agency in the fall of 1978 and worked there until I accepted a position with Sunflower
17	in March 1980. I have held various positions with Sunflower and have been
18	extensively involved with matters before the Commission for more than 25 years.
19	
20	Q. Mr. Hestermann, have you testified previously before the Kansas Corporation
21	Commission?

1	A.	I have previous	ly filed testimony	in Docket No	. 04-SEPE-1091-RTS .
---	----	-----------------	--------------------	--------------	----------------------

3	Q. On whose behalf are you testifying?
4	A. I am testifying on behalf of Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC ("Mid-Kansas").
5	
6	Q. Why is Mid-Kansas making this filing before the Commission?
7	A. As detailed in the testimony of Earl Watkins, Mid-Kansas is seeking, among other
8	things, to establish a wholesale rate for use with sales to its Member divisions and to
9	establish retail rates for each of the Mid-Kansas Member divisions.
10	
11	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
12	A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the schedules related to the wholesale
13	portion of the Application contained within Sections 3 though 11, 14, 15, 17, and 18 of
14	the filing. Section 12 is not applicable to this filing and Section 13 consists of the Mid-
15	Kansas 2008 Annual Report and accompanying 2008 Audited Financial Statements.
16	The audited financial statements of Mid-Kansas required by Section 16 are contained
17	within the Mid-Kansas 2008 Annual Report.
18	
19	Q. With the exception of Section 13, were the schedules included in this Application
20	prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
21	A. Yes, they were.

1	Q	What is the Test Year that Mid-Kansas is proposing to use for purposes of this
2		Application?
3	A.	Mid-Kansas used a Test Year based on the 12 months ending May 31, 2008, adjusted
4		for known and measurable changes.
5		
6	Q.	Has Sunflower made any adjustments to rate base or operations?
7	A.	Yes. Mid-Kansas has adjusted the amounts recorded for fixed, known, and measurable
8		changes typically as of December 31, 2008.
9		
10	Q.	Would you please explain Section 3 of the Application?
11	A.	Section 3 of the Application consists of eight schedules including Summary of Rate
12		Base; Operating Revenues and Expenses; Rate Base, Summary of Adjustments to Rate
13		Base; Construction Work in Progress and Completed Construction Not Classified in
14		Rate Base; Test Year and Pro Forma Income Statements; Summary of Adjustments to
15		Operations; Explanation of Adjustments to Rate Base; and Explanation of Adjustments
16		to Operations.
17		

Q. Please explain Schedule 1 of Section 3. 18

1	A. Displayed on Schedule 1 of Section 3 is rate base and net operating margins generated
2	under a pro forma test year and proposed rates. As indicated on Schedule 1, net
3	operating margins for the test year were \$15,791,560. After adjustments to test year
4	operating revenues and expenses, the pro forma test year net operating margin is
5	\$2,778,145. After adjustments the pro forma rate base under present and proposed
6	rates is \$102,193,869. The resultant return on the pro forma test year rate base is
7	2.7185%. An operating 1.5 times interest earned ratio (TIER) was used to develop the
8	required return requirements of \$12,809,764 and equates to a 12.5348% rate of return
9	on rate base. The difference between the pro forma net operating margins and the
10	return requirements utilizing a 1.5 operating TIER yields a revenue deficiency of
11	\$10,031,619.
12	
13	Q. Why was an operating TIER of 1.5 used to calculate the return requirements?
14	A. A 1.5 operating TIER was used for reasons enumerated in the testimony of Mr.
15	Watkins.
16	
17	Q. Please explain Schedules 2 and 3 of Section 3.
18	A. Schedule 2 of Section 3 portrays the rate base as recorded at May 31, 2009 and
19	adjusted for known and measurable changes. The rate base recorded is \$111,090,864,
20	while the rate base as adjusted is \$102,193,869. There are a total of fourteen
21	adjustments made to the rate base as summarized on Schedule 3 of Section 3.
	Direct Testimony of Thomas K. Hestermann

2 Q. Please continue by explaining Schedule 4 of Section 3.

- A. Schedule 4 is a summary of generation and transmission related construction work in
 progress (CWIP) and completed construction not classified (CCNC) projects that were
 included in rate base.
- 6

7 Q. Why were these CWIP and CCNC projects included in rate base?

8 A. With the exceptions noted below, all of the projects listed in Schedule 4 were placed in 9 service prior to December 31, 2008, and some before the end of the test year. The only 10 projects that were not placed in service prior to December, 31, 2008 were the projects listed on lines 5 and 6 of page 1, Schedule 4 and line 3 of page 2, Schedule 4. The Fort 11 12 Dodge Station Deep Injection Well (page 1, line 5) is essentially complete and has a scheduled in-service date of September, 2009. The Fort Dodge Station New Drainage 13 14 Ditch (line 6, page 1) was placed in service in May 2009. The interconnection meter 15 project (line 3, page 2) is an on-going project with some meters placed in service with the project in its entirety to be completed by year-end 2009. It is worthwhile to note 16 17 that the Fort Dodge Station projects listed on page 1 of Schedule 3 were mandated by 18 the Kansas Department of Health and Environment.

19

20 Q. Please explain Schedule 5 and 6 of Section 3.

1	A. Schedule	5 displays the test year and pro forma income statement. This statement has
2	been adju	usted for fixed, known, and measurable changes. Schedule 6 is a summary of
3	adjustme	nts to operations.
4		
5	Q. Please ex	xplain Schedules 7 and 8 of Section 3.
6	A. Schedule	8 provides brief explanations of the adjustments to rate base and Schedule 9
7	provides	brief explanations of the adjustments to operations.
8		
9	Q. Would y	ou further explain the adjustments to rate base in Schedule 7?
10	A. Yes. Th	ere were a total of 14 adjustments to rate base. A brief explanation of each
11	adjustme	nt is shown below:
12	•	Adjustment No.1 reduces plant in service by \$9,408,137 by removing
13		acquisition costs found in plant account 399. This amount represents the
14		internal costs incurred by Mid-Kansas during the acquisition of the Aquila
15		Kansas electric properties and have not been included in rate base. These
16		costs are being amortized over a 30 year period.
17	•	Adjustment No. 2 reduces plant in service by \$8,015,387 by removing
18		distribution related transmission plant from rate base that was transferred to
19		the Mid-Kansas Members in 2008.
20	•	Adjustment No. 3 reduces plant in service by \$365,356 for distribution
21		related plant that was transferred to the Mid-Kansas Members in 2008.

1	•	Adjustment No. 4 removes from accumulated depreciation the amount
2		(\$3,451,861) associated with the plant transferred to the Mid-Kansas
3		Members in Adjustment No. 2 and 3.
4	•	Adjustment No. 5 removes the accumulated amortization expense related to
5		the acquisition costs and intangible plant (\$384,734).
6	•	Adjustment No. 6 increases the prepayment balance in rate base by
7		\$1,207,329 per the 13 month average calculation in Schedule 6.
8	•	Adjustment No. 7 decreases the fuel stock balance by \$107 per Schedule 6.
9	•	Adjustment No. 8 increases the materials and supplies balance by \$31,899
10		per the 13 month average calculation contained in Schedule 6.
11	•	Adjustment No. 9 removes the CWIP balance as of 5/31/2008 from rate
12		base.
13	٠	Adjustment No. 10 decreases the cash working capital allowance by
14		\$374,660 per the 45 day or 1/8 method calculation in Schedule 6.
15	•	Adjustment No. 11 adjusts the transmission plant in service to the
16		12/31/2008 balance.
17	•	Adjustment No.12 adjusts the general plant in service balance to the
18		12/31/2008 balance.
19	٠	Adjustment No. 13 reclassifies CWIP and CCNC production related plant
20		to plant in service.

1	•	Adjustment No. 14 reclassifies CWIP and CCNC transmission related plant
2		to plant in service.
3		
4	Q. Please ex	plain the adjustments to operations contained in Schedule 8.
5	A. There we	re a total of 18 adjustments to operations as detailed below.
6	•	Adjustment No. 1 increases Member revenue by \$152,374 and increases
7		other electric revenue by \$314,477. The adjustment to Member revenue is
8		due to adjustments billed in September and October 2007 that affected
9		revenue outside the test year. The adjustment to other revenue is for credits
10		issued to Wheatland and Pioneer in June 2007 for May 2007 billing
11		corrections with respect to the cities of Attica, Kingman and Ashland.
12	•	Adjustment No. 2 decreases Member revenue by \$7,144,636 by removing
13		local access charges included in the test year revenues. Other electric
14		revenue is decreased by \$2,404,870 by removing the local access charge for
15		these revenues. Local access charges are associated with power and energy
16		deliveries on the 34.5 kV system. The Mid-Kansas Members own these
17		facilities and the revenue requirements for the local access charges are part
18		of the Mid-Kansas divisional rate structure.

1	•	Adjustment No. 3 remover from revenue requirements and from Member
2		Revenue amounts associated with the distribution facilities transferred to
3		the Mid-Kansas Members in December 2007. The total revenue
4		requirement associated with these distribution facilities was \$4,047,186 and
5		consisted of depreciation expense of \$3,866,349, acquisition premium
6		amortization of \$858,299, internal TIER requirement of \$554,928,
7		estimated property insurance expense of \$154,930 and \$(1,387,320) of
8		margin on lease payments from the Mid-Kansas Members.
9	•	Adjustment No. 4 – Removes from other revenues the \$6,936,596 lease
10		payment revenue associated with the distribution facilities transferred to the
11		Mid-Kansas Members in December 2007.
12	•	Adjustment No. 5 reclassifies the revenue associated with electric sales to
13		National Helium and Air Products for other electric revenue to member
14		electric revenue. Until December 2007, National Helium and Air Products
15		was served at retail by Mid-Kansas. After December 2007, these customers
16		were served at retail by Mid-Kansas Member, Southern Pioneer. The
17		reclassification was needed to properly develop the revenue requirements
18		for the wholesale rate.
19	•	Adjustment No. 6 removes from test year expenses the estimated property
20		insurance and acquisition premium amortization associated with the
21		facilities transferred to the Mid-Kansas Members in December 2007.

1	•	Adjustment No. 7 decreases the test year depreciation expense by
2		\$3,384,890 to the annualized amount of depreciation expense found in
3		Section 10 of the Application.
4	•	Adjustment No. 8 removes the expenses associated with the 34.5 kV
5		facilities transferred to the Mid-Kansas from the test year expense. Total
6		34.5 expense removed was \$2,876,441. The specific operating account
7		amounts are found in Schedule 8.
8	•	Adjustment No. 9 adjusts pension and benefit expense as found in Section
9		9, Schedule 7. The calculation was based on the average increase from
10		2008 to 2009 budgeted costs by Sunflower. The average increase of 5.50%
11		was then applied to the pension and benefit expense allocated to Mid-
12		Kansas which resulted in the \$179,117.
13	•	Adjustment No. 10 increases payroll expense by \$479,634 and related
14		FICA and Medicare expense by \$27,987, as found in Section 9, Schedules 5
15		and 6. The basis for the payroll expense and related payroll taxes was an
16		average 5.58% payroll increase implemented in 2008.

1	•	Adjustment No. 11 reclassifies \$6,349,004 from account 447, other electric
2		sales, to account 501, fuel expense. The reason for the reclassification was
3		that the amount represented costs transferred to Sunflower per the cost
4		allocation model used in the Mid-Kansas ECA. This transfer of cost is
5		recorded in account 447 in accordance with GAAP and external auditor
6		review but, in reality, is an adjustment to Mid-Kansas fuel cost rather than
7		an actual sale to Sunflower.
8	•	Adjustment No. 12 removes \$2,306,044 from account 447. This amount
9		was part of an adjustment recorded by Mid-Kansas in December 2007 to
10		reclassify sales from Mid-Kansas to Sunflower from a fuel and purchase
11		power account to a sales account. \$2,306,044 of this adjustment relates to
12		April and May, 2007 which is outside of the test year.
13	•	Adjustment No. 13 removes test year revenue and fuel expense associated
14		with sales to the City of Greensburg, City of Attica, and City of Kingman.
15		These cities have joined the Kansas Power Pool ("KPP") and obtain their
16		power and energy supply needs directly from KPP.

1	•	Adjustment No.14 normalizes the revenue obtained from KMEA by
2		decreasing account 447 revenues by \$1,619,522. The cities of Ashland,
3		Beloit, Hoisington, Lincoln, Osborn, Pratt, Russell, Stockton, Washington
4		have terminated their respective contracts with Mid-Kansas and have joined
5		the Kansas Municipal Energy Agency ("KMEA") which is responsible for
6		providing and coordinating the power supply needs of these cities. KMEA
7		has executed a 30 MW baseload contract with Mid-Kansas which in part
8		replaces the revenues from the cities now served by KMEA.
9	•	Adjustment No. 15 removes out of test year expense and revenue associated
10		with transmission and revenue reimbursements from SPP in the amount of
11		\$644,646.
12	•	Adjustment No.16 normalizes the 3-2-1 credits of Pioneer and Western and
13		increases Member revenue by \$350,140.
14	•	Adjustment No.17 removes revenue associated with metering installation
15		charges for KMEA in the amount of \$35,080.
16	•	Adjustment No.18 is a normalizing adjustment to Mid-Kansas Member
17		revenue by removing ACA credits billed from February through May 2008.
18		The ACA credits (or charges) are associated with reconciling retail ECA
19		revenue with ECA related expense for the prior year. This type of credit or
20		charge will not be applicable to wholesale sales to the Members.
21		

Q. Mr. Hestermann, turning to Section 4, would you please describe the schedules contained therein?

3	A. Section 4, in total, displays the detail of the Mid-Kansas electric plant in service. This
4	section comprises three schedules. Schedule 1 is a summary of electric plant in service
5	as recorded and adjusted as of May 31, 2008, utilizing the adjustment to plant in
6	service adjustments detailed in Schedule 3. Schedule 2 is a summary of electric plant
7	in service as adjusted for the year ended May 2008 by plant account. Schedule 3 is a
8	summary of electric plant in service by plant account for the 13-month period from
9	May 2007 to May 2008.
10	
11	Q. Please explain what is contained in Section 5.

12 A. Section 5 consists of three schedules. Schedule 1 is a summary of the accumulated

13 reserves for depreciation as recorded and adjusted as of May 31, 2008. Schedule 2

14 displays accumulated reserves for depreciation as adjusted for the year ended May 31,

15 2008 by sub-account. Schedule 3 displays the unadjusted accumulated reserves for

16 depreciation for the 13 months beginning in May 2007 to May 2008.

17

18 **Q. Would you please continue by explaining Section 6?**

19 A. Section 6 consists of schedules that support the working capital components of the

- 20 Mid-Kansas rate base. The components consist of fuel stocks, materials and supplies,
- 21 prepayments, and cash working capital.

1	
2	Schedule 1 of Section 6 is a summary of the working capital components of the Mid-
3	Kansas rate base.
4	
5	Schedule 2 of Section 6 displays the monthly balances of the fuel stock account for a
6	13-month period. As indicated, the balance at May 31, 2008, was \$1,391. Included in
7	the Mid-Kansas rate base for ratemaking purposes is the 13-month average of fuel
8	stock which is \$1284.
9	
10	Schedule 3 of Section 6 displays the monthly balances of Account 154, materials and
11	supplies for a 13-month period. The balance of this account as of December 31, 2003,
12	was \$7,012,178. Included in Mid-Kansas' rate base for ratemaking purposes was the
13	13-month average of \$6,867,886.
14	Schedule 4 of Section 6 displays the monthly balances of Account 165, prepayments,
15	for the 13-month period ending May 31, 2008. As indicated the balance as of May 31,
16	2008, was \$23,518,824. For ratemaking purposes, the 13-month average of
17	\$24,798,153 was included in Mid-Kansas' rate base.

1	Schedule 5 of Section 6 provides the calculation of the \$2,974,466 cash working
2	capital component of rate base. The calculation is based on the traditional one-eighth
3	rule that essentially allows 45 days of operating expenses as a cash working capital
4	requirement.
5	
6	Q. Mr. Hestermann, would you please continue with an explanation of Section 7?
7	A. Section 7 contains information with respect to Mid-Kansas' capital and cost of money
8	Schedule 1 is a summary of the Mid-Kansas long-term debt as of May 31, 2008. Mid-
9	Kansas' long term debt is held entirely by the National Cooperative Services
10	Corporation ("NCSC"), a subsidiary of the National Rural Utilities Cooperative
11	Finance Corporation ("CFC"). Schedule 2 displays the details of the Mid-Kansas long-
12	term debt with NCSC. Finally, Schedule 3 provides the calculation of Mid-Kansas
13	TIER and DSC ratios for the test year, pro forma test year and the pro forma test year
14	with proposed rates.
15	

- 16 Q. Why is the pro forma test year with proposed rates TIER greater than the 1.5
- 17 TIER used to calculate the revenue requirement in Section 3?

1	A. The TIER requirement used in Section 3 was a TIER that utilized net operating
2	margins whereas the TIER depicted in Schedule 3 reflects a TIER utilizes the net
3	margins which include below-the-line items such as interest income, non-operating
4	income, and other extraordinary items which are not usually include in the
5	development of the revenue requirement. These below-the-line items can vary from
6	year to year and are inappropriate to include as part of the overall revenue requirement.
7	
8	Q. Would you please continue with an explanation of Section 8?
9	A. Section 8 comprises seven schedules that detail the financial and statistical operation
10	of Mid-Kansas for the test year ending May 31, 2008.
11	
12	• Schedule 1 of Section 8 is a detailed balance sheet of Mid-Kansas for the
13	test year.
14	• Schedule 2 is a statement of margins for the test.
15	• Schedule 3 is an operating income statement for test year.
16	• Schedule 4 is the detail of the operating expenses for Mid-Kansas by
17	primary account for the test year.
18	• Schedule 5 of Section 8 shows average Mid-Kansas revenue per kWh for
19	the test year.
20	• Schedule 6 is a show the average maintenance cost per kilowatt hour for
21	Mid-Kansas for the test year.

1	•	Schedule 7 of Section 8 is the total distribution of Mid-Kansas salaries and
2		wages for the test year.
3		
4	Q. Mr. Hest	termann, would you continue with your discussion with an explanation of
5	Section 9)?
6	A. Section 9	consists of seven schedules. Schedule 1 provides an income statement under
7	the follow	wing three conditions: Year ending 5/31/2008 as recorded, pro forma test year
8	under pre	esent rates and pro forma test year under proposed rates.
9	Schedule	s 2 through 7 supports the 18 adjustments to operations discussed previously.
10		
11	Q. Please co	ontinue with a brief description of Schedules 2 through 7
12	•	Schedule 2 of Section 9 shows the adjustments to revenue as a result of
13		billing adjustments made during the test year.
14	•	Schedule 3 provides information relating to the revenue adjustments
15		discussed in Section 3.
16	•	Schedule 4 provides information relating to other adjustments to operations
17		discussed in Section 3.
18	•	Schedule 5 provides detail for the salary and wage adjustment discussed in
19		Section 3.
20	•	Schedule 6 provides detail for the payroll tax adjustment discussed in
21		Section 3.

1		• Schedule 7 provides detail for the benefits and pension expense adjustment
2		discussed in Section 3.
3		
4	Q.	Mr. Hestermann, would you now please explain Section 10?
5	A.	Section 10 consists of one schedule that provides a summary of the depreciation rates
6		and expenses as adjusted for the test year.
7		
8	Q.	Please continue with an explanation of Section 11?
9	A.	Section 11 consists of 2 schedules. Schedule 1 provides a summary of income taxes
10		paid by Mid-Kansas. Schedule 2 of Section 11 provides the amount of property taxes
11		and sales/use taxes charged during the test year.
12		
13	Q.	Is Mid-Kansas submitting any information with respect to allocation ratios in
14		Section 12?
15	A.	No. This section does not apply since the Mid-Kansas electric operations are entirely
16		jurisdictional to the KCC and Mid-Kansas Members constitute a single rate class.
17		Allocation factors used to functionalize and classify Sunflower's revenue requirements
18		are detailed in Section 15.
19		
• •	~	

1	A. Section 14 consists of Schedule 1 that provides the calculation of the Mid-Kansas
2	average annual ECA energy rate for the test year on a wholesale basis.
3	
4	Q. Please continue with an explanation of Section 15.
5	A. Section 15 is the cost of service analysis consisting of 12 schedules. These schedules
6	are listed as follows:
7	• Schedule 1 provides a classification of plant-in-service.
8	• Schedule 2 provides the classification of accumulated reserves for depreciation and
9	amortization.
10	• Schedule 3 provides a classification of rate base.
11	• Schedule 4 provides a classification of revenue requirements.
12	• Schedule 5 provides a classification of payroll expense.
13	• Schedule 6 provides a summary of the cost to provide transmission service.
14	• Schedule 7 provides a cost of service analysis for the ancillary service -
15	Scheduling, System Control and Load Dispatch.
16	• Schedule 8 provides a cost of service for the ancillary service - Reactive Supply
17	and Voltage Control Service.
18	• Schedule 9 provides a cost of service for the ancillary service - Regulation and
19	Frequency Response Service.

1	• Schedule 10 provides a cost of service analysis for the ancillary service - Spinning
2	Reserve Service.
3	• Schedule 11 provides a cost of service for the ancillary service - Supplemental Reserves
4	Service.
5	• Schedule 12 provides a cost of service for the ancillary service - Control Area Service.
6	
7	Q. Please discuss the cost of service analysis in Section 15 in more detail.
8	A. The cost of service analysis essentially mirrors the cost of service analysis used by Sunflower
9	in previous rate proceedings. Mid-Kansas, in this filing, has adopted this cost of service
10	methodology that was previously accepted by the Commission.
11	
12	Schedule 1 provides the classification of plant-in-service into the following three categories:
13	1) Production - Capacity; 2) Production - Energy; and 3) Transmission. Allocation factors
14	used in Schedule 1 are the same as those applied at previous rate proceedings and adopted by
15	the Commission Staff.
16	
17	Schedule 2 provides the classification of the accumulated reserves for depreciation. Since
18	depreciation reserves are associated with plant investment, the classification of depreciation
19	reserves generally is the same as plant classification in Schedule 1. However, the
20	accumulated reserves for depreciation were not recorded by primary account and it was
21	necessary to allocate the total depreciation reserves for each general category to the
22	corresponding primary plant accounts before classifying the accounts.

2

part, the classifications in this schedule were driven by the classifications in other schedules
in Section 15.
Schedule 4 provides the classification of pro forma test year revenue requirements.
Production operation and maintenance ("O&M") expense was classified in accordance with
the methodology generally followed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
("FERC"). This methodology assigns each primary non-fuel production O&M account to
either production capacity or production energy based on FERC's perception of the general

Schedule 3 provides the classification of the various components of rate base. For the most

- 11 cost drivers for each account.
- 12

Purchased power expense for the test year was primarily related to energy transactions and
was assigned to the production energy component. However, the non-energy related charges
from the Mid-Kansas Jeffrey Energy Center purchase power agreement were assigned
directly to the production capacity component. The system control and dispatch function is
operated by Sunflower and costs are assigned to Mid-Kansas. Mid-Kansas system control
and dispatch expense was classified as 40% production energy and 60% transmission,
consistent with how Sunflower has treated these costs in prior rate proceedings.

21 Transmission O&M expense was classified in accordance with plant-in-service.

1	Customer accounting, customer service and information and sales expenses were assigned to
2	the production energy component.
3	
4	Administration and general expense was allocated to the production capacity, production
5	energy and transmission categories based on either labor or net plant allocators.
6	
7	A breakdown of depreciation expense by function (i.e., production, transmission, and general
8	plant) was obtained from accounting records. The functionalized expense was classified as
9	the same manner as plant-in-service.
10	
11	Property and payroll taxes are assigned directly to the appropriate primary accounts. The
12	other tax category, representing Kansas Use Taxes and annual filing fees, was classified on
13	the basis of a labor allocator.
14	
15	Revenue credits derived from sales for resale were assigned based on the type of revenue.
16	Demand related revenue from sales for resale was assigned to production capacity with the
17	balance assigned to production energy.
18	
19	Revenue credits associated with non-transmission related other electric revenue was assigned
20	to the production capacity and energy components based on the average and excess allocator.
21	Revenue credits for the transmission component consisted of the point-to-point revenue and
22	Mid-Kansas network integrated transmission service revenue from SPP.

1	
2	Schedule 5 provides for the classification of payroll expense. Payroll expense was classified
3	in the same manner as the corresponding O&M expense.
4	
5	Section 6 provides the calculation of the average transmission cost using information from
6	Schedule 4.
7	
8	Section 7 through 12 provides cost of service calculations for ancillary services associated
9	with the Sunflower Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT"). These schedules mirror the
10	cost of service calculations for ancillary services developed by Commission Staff in prior
11	dockets and adopted by Mid-Kansas for this filing.
12	
13	Q. Please continue by explaining what is contained in Section 17.
14	A. Section 17 consists of four schedules. Schedule A provides a summary of the wholesale
15	revenues actually billed in the test year for the Mid-Kansas Members.
16	
17	Schedule B provides a calculation of the revenues that would be generated on a pro forma
18	test year basis.
19	
20	Schedule C provides a calculation of the revenue that would be generated under the proposed
21	rates.
22	

1		Schedule D provides a comparison of current and proposed revenues for network integrated
2		transmission (NITS) customers and comparison of current proposed revenues for the KEPCo
3		transmission contract for point-to-point and local access charges. Proposed local access
4		charge rates and billing determinants were obtained from the exhibits from the testimony of
5		Dennis Eicher. It was necessary to include local access charges in the comparison because
6		the current rate is a bundled transmission/local access rate.
7		
8	Q.	Mr. Hestermann, what is contained within Section 18?
9	A.	Section 18 consists of the rate schedules proposed by Mid-Kansas. These rate schedules are
10		the wholesale rate schedule (MKEC-WHM) and wholesale ECA (MKEC-WHM-ECA)
11		applicable to Member wholesale sales; MKEC-WEC-ECA which is applicable to retail sales
12		of the Wheatland Electric division of Mid-Kansas; KEPCo Transmission Service Tariff; and
13		the Mid-Kansas Open Access Transmission Tariff ("OATT"), as well as a redline version of
14		the Mid-Kansas OATT.
15		

16 Q. How were the rates contained in the wholesale rate schedule, MKEC-WHM developed?

1	A. The rates contained in this rates schedule were developed from the information contained
2	within the revenue requirements portion of Section 15. The wholesale rate consists of a
3	demand rate, energy rate, ECA rate, and transmission rate. The demand rate of \$6.07 is the
4	same as the average base cost rate contained in the cost of service. The energy rate was
5	developed by subtracting test year calculated ECA revenues from production energy net
6	revenue requirement, then adding Member revenue credits and then dividing the sum by the
7	test year kWh, resulting in an energy rate of \$.011203 per kWh. The ECA rate will be the
8	same as generated by the monthly wholesale ECA calculation. Transmission charges will be
9	based on each members' monthly load ratio share of 1/12 of the transmission revenue
10	contained in the COS.
11	
12	Q. Please discuss the wholesale ECA tariff, MKEC-WHM-ECA.
	Q. Please discuss the wholesale ECA tariff, MKEC-WHM-ECA.A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it
12	
12 13	A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it
12 13 14	A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because
12 13 14 15	A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because the new ECA tariff is applied to only wholesale sales, the ACA calculation will no longer be
12 13 14 15 16	A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because the new ECA tariff is applied to only wholesale sales, the ACA calculation will no longer be
12 13 14 15 16 17	A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because the new ECA tariff is applied to only wholesale sales, the ACA calculation will no longer be needed.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because the new ECA tariff is applied to only wholesale sales, the ACA calculation will no longer be needed. Q. What is the difference between the MKEC-WHM-ECA and the MKEC-WEC-ECA?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. The ECA tariff is essentially the same as that used currently by Mid-Kansas except that it utilizes wholesale sales instead of estimated retail sales to calculate the ECA rate. Because the new ECA tariff is applied to only wholesale sales, the ACA calculation will no longer be needed. Q. What is the difference between the MKEC-WHM-ECA and the MKEC-WEC-ECA? A. The MKEC-WEC-ECA is intended for use with Wheatland Electric division retail sales.

1	Q.	Please discuss the KEPCo Transmission rate schedule.
2	A.	The KEPCo rate schedule is intend to replace the schedule contained in the KEPCo contract
3		dated May 16, 1988. KEPCo was given notice in April, 2009 that Mid-Kansas was going to
4		seek modification of the terms of the transmission rate. The current KEPCo rate is a bundled
5		local access rate/transmission rate. Mid-Kansas is seeking to modify the rate schedule to bill
6		for transmission service only, with the respective Mid-Kansas Members billing for local
7		access charges.
8		
9	Q.	What are the rates contained within the proposed rate schedule?
10	A.	The rate schedule basically consists of a demand and energy rate. The demand rate of \$2.96
11		per kW-month is applied to the monthly KEPCo transmission demand at the time of the Mid-
12		Kansas monthly transmission peak. The rate is based on the monthly point-to-point
13		transmission rate found in the OATT. The energy rate of \$.00344 per kWh is based on the
14		average cost of service energy rate times a loss factor of 6.94%.
15		
16	Q.	Please discuss the Mid-Kansas OATT?
17	A.	Mid-Kansas is filing with the Commission an OATT with rates developed in and consistent
18		with the cost of service analysis contained within Section 15.
19		

20 Q. What customers will be affected by the change in rates to the OATT?

1	A. Affected customers will be those taking NITS service, which include the Mid-Kansas
2	Members, all requirements cities, KMEA, KPP and KEPCo (South) along with KEPCo
3	(North) that currently uses point-to-point service.
4	
5	Q. Has Mid-Kansas assessed the rate impact on these customers?
6	A. Yes, Schedule D within Section 17 assesses the rate impact to those customers other than the
7	Mid-Kansas Members. The transmission charges to Mid-Kansas Members are included in
8	the analysis in Schedule C of Section 17.
9	
10	Q. Can you summarize the proposed OATT charges?
11	A. Yes. The attached Schedule TKH-1 is a summary of the proposed OATT rates.
12	
13	Q. Mr. Hestermann, please summarize the Mid-Kansas request to the Commission with
14	respect to rates?
15	A. Mid-Kansas is requesting that the Commission approve the Mid-Kansas Member wholesale
16	rate, MKEC-WHM; the Mid-Kansas wholesale ECA, MKEC-WHM-ECA; the ECA
17	applicable to the Mid-Kansa Wheatland Electric retail sales, MKEC-WEC-ECA; and the
18	Mid-Kansas OATT and rates contained therein.
19	
20	Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
21	A. Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION OF THOMAS K. HESTERMANN

STATE OF KANSAS))ss: COUNTY OF ELLIS)

Thomas K. Hestermann., being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Thomas K. Hestermann referred to in the foregoing document entitled "Direct Testimony of Thomas K. Hestermann" before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas and that the statements therein were prepared by him or under his direction and are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Thomas K. Hestermann

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 12^{th} day of <u>June</u>, 2009.

Nicle a. Aman

Notary Public

My Appointment Expires: 11/05/12



Exhibit - TKH -1

Mid-Kansas Proposed Transmission Rates

		Annual				
	Schedule	Revenue	Annual	Monthly	Weekly	Daily
Description	(if applicable)	Requirement (\$)	(\$/kW-yr)	(<u>\$/kW-mo</u>)	(\$/kW-week)	(\$/kW-day)
Network Transmission Service	Attachment H	17,309,387		(1)		
Scheduling, System Control & Dispatch Service	1	\$523,082	1.0733	0.0939	0.0206	0.0029
Reactive Supply & Voltage Control from Generation Sources Service	7	97,323	0.1997	0.0166	0.0038	0.0005
Regulation & Frequency Response Service	ŝ	862,819	1.7704	0.1475	0.0340	0.0049
Operating Reserve - Spinning Reserve Service	5	469,034	0.9624	0.0802	0.0185	0.0026
Operating Reserve - Supplemental Reserve Service	6	469,131	0.9626	0.0185	0.0185	0.0026
Point-to-Point Transmission Service	7&8	17,309,387	35.5167	2.9597	0.6830	0.0973
Loss Compensation Service - Capacity Loss Rate	10			6.0714		
Control Area Service	11	2,421,390	4.9684	0.4140	0.0955	0.0136
Energy Loss Compensation Rate associated with Network Service Transmission Demand and Energy Loss Factor (Current)	\$ 49.595 / MWh 6.94%	1Wh				