THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

Before Commissioners: Brian J. Moline, Chair

Robert E. Krehbiel Michael C. Moffet OCT 1 3 2005

Sour Labyy Docket Room

In the Matter of the Applications of Westar)
Energy, Inc and Kansas Gas and Electric) Docket No. 05-WSEE-981-RTS
Company for Approval to Make Certain)
Changes in their Charges for Electric)
Service.)

Revisions to Schedules of Andrea Crane and Response of CURB to Westar's Objections to the Revisions to Schedules of Michael J. Majoros, Jr.

The Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) files the following revisions to the direct testimony of its witness Andrea Crane, which was filed with the Kansas Corporation Commission (Commission) in the above-captioned docket on September 9, 2005; and responds to the Objection of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to the Filing or Admission into Evidence of the revisions to schedules of Michael J. Majoros, Jr., filed with the Commission on October 11, 2005.

Revisions to Schedules of Andrea Crane

1. On September 29, 2005, CURB filed "Revisions to Schedules of Michael J.

Majoros, Jr.", which were contained in his direct testimony filed with the Commission on

September 9, 2005. In paragraph 7 of that filing, CURB noted that Mr. Majoros' revisions would impact the revenue requirement recommendations of CURB witness Andrea Crane, but that she

was unavailable at that time to furnish her revisions. Ms. Crane has now recalculated her revenue requirement recommendations and submits her revised schedules with this filing. The revisions are identified and described below:

- 2. Incorporation of Mr. Majoros's revised depreciation rates into Ms. Crane's revenue requirement recommendation result in the following changes:
 - (a) CURB's position is revised from a rate reduction of \$5,926,327 to a rate reduction of \$13,611,193 for Westar North.
 - (b) CURB's position is revised from a rate reduction of \$42,105,009 to a rate reduction of \$46,421,925 for Westar South.
- 3. As a result of these revisions, the following schedules that were contained in Ms. Crane's direct testimony have been revised, and are attached:

Schedule ACC 1N and 1S - Revenue Requirement Summary (Summary Schedule)

Schedule ACC 11N and 11S - Operating Income Summary (Summary Schedule)

Schedule ACC 33N and 33S - Depreciation Study

Schedule ACC 34N and 34S - Depreciation and Amortization on Excluded Plant

Schedule ACC 37N and 37S - Revenue Requirement Impact of Adjustments (Summary

Schedule)

Schedule ACC 38N and 38S - Pro Forma Income Statement (Summary Schedule)

Response to Westar's Objections

4. Westar Energy has filed an objection to the filing of Mr. Majoros' revisions, and anticipates further objection to the resulting revisions to Ms. Crane's testimony. Several parties

in this docket, including Westar, have filed errata sheets, filed revised tariff sheets, accepted the adjustments of other parties in rebuttal, or withdrawn portions of filings in the course of this docket, in an effort to create the most accurate presentations of their positions. Since it is not uncommon for a witness at an evidentiary hearing to accept the adjustments or methodology of other parties, or to make corrections or revisions on the stand, which often leaves the parties scrambling to understand the impact of the changes on the sponsoring party's position, CURB appreciates the efforts of parties who have informed others in advance of the hearing of changes that need to be made. CURB understands the frustration of dealing with late changes, but CURB and the other sponsoring parties have attempted, in filing these revisions in advance of the hearing, to give the parties as much opportunity as possible to review the revisions prior to these witnesses taking the stand.

5. The sponsoring parties of Mr. Majoros, including CURB, regret the unavoidable delays, and apologize once again for any inconveniences they may have caused. Contrary to the hyperbole of Westar, the parties are not engaging in "untoward gamesmanship," playing "fast and loose" with established deadlines, or making a "mockery" of the orderly conduct of Commission proceedings. Mr. Majoros' revisions to his schedule are the simply the result of accepting the methodology of Staff witness Larry Holloway, which he testified is consistent with a previous Commission order. Rather than have Mr. Majoros simply testify on the stand that he accepts Mr. Holloway's methodology, and leave the parties and the Commission to figure out for themselves what kind of impact this would have on the sponsoring parties' recommendations, we instead endeavored to make the revisions available to the parties in advance of the hearing. The sponsoring parties regard Mr. Majoros' continued review of his and others' direct testimony well

after the filing dates—and his willingness to recognize and acknowledge that another witness has presented a convincing case for another methodology—as indications of his professionalism and dedication to the parties who engaged him—even if it entails filing some last-minute revisions on our part. Mr. Majoros will certainly be willing to entertain questions at the evidentiary hearing concerning the revisions and their credibility, and any other matter to which he has testified. Having his revised schedules in hand should aid the parties, rather than prejudice them, in conducting cross-examination.

- 6. CURB also notes that Andrea Crane furnished original work papers in electronic form to Westar on September 15, 2005, with which Westar could have calculated the impact of Mr. Majoros' revisions on her recommendations. Her revised work papers in electronic form were emailed to Westar as soon as they became available (the morning of October 13). She, too, will be available at the evidentiary hearing to explain the impact of Mr. Majoros' revisions on her recommendations to any party seeking more detailed explanations.
- 7. Ms. Crane's schedules must be revised only because her recommendations were calculated using information from Mr. Majoros' original schedules. Ms. Crane was overseas when Mr. Majoros' revisions were made: she is not responsible for any undue delays. Less than 36 hours after her return to the United States, she was on her way to Topeka to advise CURB and testify on its behalf in another rate case, and made these revisions available to the parties within a few hours after her return home. Unfortunately, CURB encountered software problems that delayed the filing of her schedules for a few more hours.
- 8. We understand the parties' frustration with late-breaking changes, but do not believe that the overblown accusations of Westar are merited under the circumstances. The

sponsoring parties could have simply waited until Mr. Majoros and Ms. Crane took the stand to address their revisions. Surely no one would question the right or propriety of witnesses giving testimony on the stand that accurately reflects any revisions to their positions. Any party would be free under such circumstances to question these witnesses and attack their credibility if they shifted from their original positions, just as they are in these circumstances. By supplying the parties with the revised schedules in advance of the hearing, however, we have made it simpler for them to do so, even if apologies are in order for the inconvenience we may have caused.

9. CURB therefore respectfully requests that the Commission deny the objections of Westar and allow Mr. Majoros' revised schedules to remain a part of the record. In the event that Westar objects to the filing of Ms. Crane's revised schedules as well, CURB respectfully requests that the Commission regard this filing as argument in favor of them remaining a part of the record, as well.

Respectfully submitted,

David Springe #15619 Niki Christopher #19311

Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board

1500 SW Arrowhead Road

Topeka, KS 66604

(785) 271-3200

(785) 271-3116 Fax

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS)	
)	ss:
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)	

I, Niki Christopher, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon her oath states:

That she is an attorney for the above named petitioner; that she has read the above and foregoing Intervention, and, upon information and belief, states that the matters therein appearing are true and correct.

Niki Christopher

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 13th day of October, 2005.

Notary of Public

My Commission expires: August 3, 2009.

SHONDA D. TITSWORTH
Notary Public - State of Kansas
My Appt. Expires August 3, 2009

Schedule ACC-1N Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - NORTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

REVENUE REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

	Company Claim	Recommended Adjustment	Recommended Position	
Pro Forma Rate Base	(A) \$1,052,535,773	(\$37,750,187)	\$1,014,785,586	(B)
2. Required Cost of Capital	8.84%	-1.51%	7.32%	(C)
3. Required Return	\$92,991,536	(\$18,703,090)	\$74,288,446	
4. Operating Income @ Present Rates	64,184,545	18,300,902	82,485,447	(D)
5. Operating Income Deficiency	\$28,806,991	(\$37,003,992)	(\$8,197,001)	
6. Revenue Multiplier	1.6605	1.6605	1.6605	(E)
7. Revenue Requirement Increase	\$47.834.265	(\$61,445,458)	(\$13.611.193)	

Sources:

- (A) Company Filing, Section 3, Schedule 3-A, Page 1.
- (B) Schedule ACC-3N.
- (C) Schedule ACC-2N.
- (D) Schedule ACC-11N. (E) Schedule ACC-36N.

1

Schedule ACC-1S Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - SOUTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

REVENUE REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

	Company Claim	Recommended Adjustment	Recommended Position	
1. Pro Forma Rate Base	(A) \$1,280,558,879	(\$173,681,789)	\$1,106,877,090	(B)
2. Required Cost of Capital	8.84%	-1.51%	7.32%	(C)
3. Required Return	\$ 113,137,449	(\$32,107,347)	\$81,030,102	
4. Operating Income @ Present Rates	91,269,779	17,716,767	108,986,546	(D)
5. Operating Income Deficiency	\$21,867,670	(\$49,824,114)	(\$27,956,444)	
6. Revenue Multiplier	1.6605	1.6605	1,6605	(E)
7. Revenue Requirement Increase	\$36.311.462	(\$82,733,387)	(\$46,421,925)	

- (A) Company Filing, Section 3, Schedule 3-A, Page 1.
- (B) Schedule ACC-3S.
- (C) Schedule ACC-2S.
- (D) Schedule ACC-11S.
- (E) Schedule ACC-36S.

Schedule ACC-11N Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - NORTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

OPERATING INCOME SUMMARY

1. Company Claim	\$64, 184,545	Schedule No.
· ·		
2. Actual Revenues Billed	24,735	12
3. Economic Development Discounts	45,120	13
4. LaCygne Lease	0	14
5. Restricted Share Units	777,421	15
6. Medical Benefits Expenses	227,395	16
7. Bad Debt Expense	1,399,178	17
8. Storm Damage Expense	1,201,078	18
9. Discontinued Operations - KGS	623,075	19
10. Discounted Operations - P1	10,681	20
11. Tree Trimming Costs	954,856	21
12. Rate Case Costs	176,450	22
13. FERC Enforcement Costs	135,578	23
14. Sarbanes-Oxley	124,788	24
15. Civic and Charitable Contributions	1,456	25
16. Advertising Expense	5,359	26
17. Memberships Dues	6,181	27
18. Edison Electric Institute	75,502	28
19. Legal Costs	194,045	29
20. Non-Recurring Costs	163,073	30
21. Amortization of ADIT	(288,720)	31
22. Depreciation Differences	482,118	32
23. Depreciation Study Rates	13,320,961	33
24. Depreciation on CWIP	97,672	34
25. Interest Synchronization	(1,457,098)	35
26. Net Operating Income	\$82,485,447	

Schedule ACC-118 Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - SOUTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

OPERATING INCOME SUMMARY

1. Company Claim	\$91,269,779	Schedule No. 1
2. Actual Revenues Billed	25,834	12
3. Economic Development Discounts	208,123	13
4. LaCygne Lease	6,624,931	14
5. Restricted Share Units	542,847	15
6. Medical Benefits Expenses	158,782	16
7. Bad Debt Expense	1,406,689	17
8. Storm Damage Expense	119,170	18
9. Discontinued Operations - KGS	573,905	19
10. Discounted Operations - P1	7,227	20
11. Tree Trimming Costs	138,612	21
12. Rate Case Costs	151,334	22
13. FERC Enforcement Costs	688	23
14. Sarbanes-Oxley	84,288	24
15. Civic and Charitable Contributions	1,133	25
16. Advertising Expense	3,626	26
17. Memberships Dues	4,175	27
18. Edison Electric Institute	0	28
19. Legal Costs	131,067	29
20. Non-Recurring Costs	132,906	30
21. Amortization of ADIT	(1,146,271)	31
22. Depreciation Differences	716,586	32
23. Depreciation Study Rates	11,063,460	33
24. Depreciation on CWIP	193,473	34
25. Interest Synchronization	(3,427,817)	35
26. Net Operating Income	\$108.985.546	

Schedule ACC-33N Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - NORTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

DEPRECIATION STUDY

7. Operating Income Impact		\$ <u>13,320,961</u>	
6. Income Taxes @	39.78%_	8,798,614	
5. KCC Jurisdictional Adjustment		\$22,119,575	
4. KCC Jurisdictional Percentage	_	98.85%	(C)
3. Recommended Adjustment		\$22,377,621	
2. Company Claim	-	87,160,017	(B)
Depreciation Study		\$64,782,396	(A)

- (A) Recommendation of Mr. Majoros (revised).
- (B) Company Workpapers.
- (C) Derived from Company Filing, Section 9, Schedule 9-A, Page 1, Overall Depreciation and Amortization KCC Jurisdicational Percentage.

Schedule ACC-33S Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - SOUTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

DEPRECIATION STUDY

Depreciation Study		\$62,669,075	(A)
2. Company Claim	•••	81,102,420	(B)
3. Recommended Adjustment		\$18,433,345	
4. KCC Jurisdictional Percentage	_	99.66%	(C)
5. KCC Jurisdictional Adjustment		\$18,370,975	
6. Income Taxes @	39.78% _	7,307,515	
7. Operating Income Impact		\$ <u>11,063,460</u>	

- (A) Recommendation of Mr. Majoros (revised).
- (B) Company Workpapers.
- (C) Derived from Company Filing, Section 9, Schedule 9-A, Page 1,
 Overall Depreciation and Amortization KCC Jurisdicational Percentage.

Schedule ACC-34N Revised 10/13/05

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

DEPRECIATION STUDY

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION ON EXCLUDED PLANT

1. Recommended CWIP Adjustment		\$5,345,860	(A)
2. Composite Depreciation Rate on 0	CWIP	2.91%	(B)
3. Depreciation on CWIP Adjustmen	t	\$155,565	
4. Amortization on Intangible Plant A	djustment	10,157	(C)
5. Depreciation on Low NOx Burner		6,621	(D)
6. Total Recommended Adjustment		\$ 162,186	
7. Income Taxes @	39.78%	64,513	
8. Operating Income Impact		\$ <u>97,672</u>	

- (A) Schedule ACC-6N.
- (B) Based on proposed composite rate of 2.91% (revised) per Mr. Majoros.
- (C) Based on average amortization rate, derived from Company Filing, Section 10, Schedule 10-A, Page 1 and Section 4, Schedule 4-A, Page 1.
- (D) Based on proposed rate of 1.81% (revised) per Mr. Majoros.

Schedule ACC-34S Revised 10/13/05

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

DEPRECIATION STUDY

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION ON EXCLUDED PLANT

1. Recommended CWIP Adjustment	Ţ.	\$14,612,410	(A)
2. Composite Depreciation Rate on 0	CWIP	2.15%	(B)
3. Depreciation on CWIP Adjustmen	t	\$314,167	
4. Amortization on Intangible Plant A	djustment	12,374	(C)
5. Depreciation on Low NOx Burner		7,097	(D)
6. Total Recommended Adjustment		\$321,264	
7. Income Taxes @	39.78%	127,791	
8. Operating Income Impact		\$ <u>193,473</u>	

- (A) Schedule ACC-6S.
- (B) Based on proposed composite rate of 2.15% (revised) per Mr. Majoros.
- (C) Based on average amortization rate, derived from Company Filing, Section 10, Schedule 10-A, Page 1 and Section 4, Schedule 4-A, Page 1.
- (D) Based on proposed rate of 1.94% (revised) per Mr. Majoros.

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - NORTH

Schedule ACC-37N Revised 10/13/05

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

REVENUE REQUIREMENT IMPACT OF ADJUSTMENTS

1.	Rate of Return	(\$26,467,761)
	Rate Base Adjustments:	
2.	Intangible Plant	(18,520)
3.	Utility Plant in Service Double-Count	(52,814)
4.	Construction Work In Prgress	(649,839)
5.	Low Nox Burner	(458,509)
6.	Regulatory Assets	(1,627,056)
7.	Sale/Leaseback LaCygne	, i o
8.	Merger Savings	(1,782,149)
	Operating Income Adjustments	
9.	Actual Revenues Billed	(41,073)
10.	Economic Development Discounts	(74,922)
	Amortization of ADIT	479,422
12.	Restricted Share Units	(1,290,914)
	Memberships Dues	(10,263)
14.	Medical Benefits Expenses	(377,591)
	Bad Debt Expense	(2,323,348)
	Storm Damage Expense	(1,994,400)
	Discontinued Operations - KGS	(1,034,622)
	Depreciation Differences	(800,561)
	Discounted Operations - P1	(17,736)
	Tree Trimming Costs	(1,585,547)
	Rate Case Costs	(292,996)
	FERC Enforcement Costs	(225,128)
	Sarbanes-Oxley	(207,212)
	Civic and Charitable Contributions	(2,418)
	Advertising Expense	(8,899)
	Edison Electric Institute	(125,371)
	Legal Costs	(322,213)
	LaCygne Lease	0
	Non-Recurring Costs	(270,784)
	Depreciation Study Rates	(22,119,574)
	Depreciation on CWIP	(162,186)
32.	Interest Synchronization	2,419,524
33.	Total Recommended Adjustments	(\$61,445,458)
34.	Company Claim	47,834,265
35.	Recommended Revenue Requirement Deficiency	<u>(\$13.611.193)</u>

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - SOUTH

Schedule ACC-37S Revised 10/13/05

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

REVENUE REQUIREMENT IMPACT OF ADJUSTMENTS

1	. Rate of Return	(\$32,201,901)
	Rate Base Adjustments:	
2	. Intangible Plant	(27,050)
	Utility Plant in Service Double-Count	(23,576)
	Construction Work In Prgress	(1,776,274)
	Low Nox Burner	(144,509)
6	. Regulatory Assets	(4,245,297)
	Sale/Leaseback LaCygne	(7,820,479)
	Merger Savings	(7,075,451)
	Operating Income Adjustments	
9.	Actual Revenues Billed	(42,897)
	Economic Development Discounts	(345,590)
	Amortization of ADIT	1,903,393
12,	Restricted Share Units	(901,402)
13,	Memberships Dues	(6,932)
	Medical Benefits Expenses	(263,659)
	Bad Debt Expense	(2,335,819)
	Storm Damage Expense	(197,882)
	Discontinued Operations - KGS	(952,975)
	Depreciation Differences	(1,193,218)
	Discounted Operations - P1	(12,001)
	Tree Trimming Costs	(230,166)
	Rate Case Costs	(251,291)
	FERC Enforcement Costs	(1,143)
	Sarbanes-Oxley	(139,960)
	Civic and Charitable Contributions	(1,882)
	Advertising Expense	(6,021)
	Edison Electric Institute Legal Costs	0
	LaCygne Lease	(217,638)
	Non-Recurring Costs	(11,000,758)
	Depreciation Study Rates	(220,691)
	Depreciation on CWIP	(18,370,975)
	Interest Synchronization	(321,264)
	With a second contraction	5,691,921
33.	Total Recommended Adjustments	(\$82,733,388)
34.	Company Claim	36,311,462
35.	Recommended Revenue Requirement Deficiency	(\$46.421.926)

Schedule ACC-38N Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - NORTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

PRO FORMA INCOME STATEMENT

			Per Company	Recommended Adjustments	Pro Forma Present Rates	Recommended Rate Adjustment	Pro Forma Proposed Retes
1.	Operating Revenues		\$ 668,011,833	\$ 115,995	\$668,127,828	(\$13,611,193)	\$654,516,635
3	Operating Expenses Depreciation and Amortization Taxes Other Than Income	_	452,291,057 84,685,641 48,010,086	(10,890,002) (21,802,338) 0	441,401,055 62,883,303 46,010,086	0 0 0	441,401,055 62,883,303 48,010,086
5.	Taxable Income Before Interest Expenses		\$85,025,049	\$32,808,335	\$117,833,384	(\$13,611,193)	\$104,222,191
6.	Interest Expense	_	36,541,937	(3,683,120)	32,878,817		32,878,817
7.	Taxable Income		\$4 8,483,112	\$ 36,471, 455	\$84,954,567	(\$13,6 11,193)	\$71,343,374
8	Income Taxes @ 39	9.78%	20,840,503	14,507,433	35,347,936	(5,414,192)	29,933,744
9.	Operating Income		\$64,184,546	\$16,300,902	\$62,485,448	(\$8,197,001)	\$74,288,447
10	Rate Base		\$1,052,535,773		\$1,014,785,586		\$1,014,785,586
11.	Rate of Return		6.10%		8.13%		7.32%

Schedule ACC-38S Revised 10/13/05

WESTAR ENERGY, INC. - SOUTH

TEST YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

PRO FORMA INCOME STATEMENT

	_	Per Company	Recommended Adjustments	Pro Forma Present Rates	Recommended Rate Adjustment	Pro Forma Proposed Rates
1.	Operating Revenues	\$705,408,493	\$388,487	\$705,796,980	(\$46,421,925)	\$659,375, 05 5
3.	Operating Expenses Depreciation and Amortization Taxes Other Than Income	442,068,769 100,131,088 32,238,285	(17,933,438) (16,788,846) 0	424,155,331 83,342,242 32,238,285	0 0 0	424,155,331 83,342,242 32,238,285
5.	Taxable income Before interest Expenses	\$130,950,351	\$35,110,770	\$166,081,121	(\$46,421,925)	\$119,639,196
6.	Interest Expense	44,458,494	(8,617,478)	35,841,016		35,841,018
7.	Taxable Income	\$86,491,857	\$43,726,248	\$130,220,105	(\$48,421,925)	\$83,798,180
8.	Income Taxes @ 39.78%	39,680,573	17,394,004	57,074,577	(18,465,481)	38,609,098
9.	Operating income	\$91,269,778	\$17,716,767	\$108,988,545	(\$27,956,444)	\$81,030,101
10.	Rate Base	\$1,280,558,879		\$1,108,877,090		\$1,108,877,090
11.	. Rate of Return	7.13%		9.85%		7.32%

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

05-WSEE-981-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivered this 19th day of October, 2005, to the following:

KURT J. BOEHM, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 EAST SEVENTH STREET SUITE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 Fax: 513-421-2764 kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

CHARLES M. BENJAMIN, ATTORNEY AT LAW CHARLES M. BENJAMIN P.O. BOX 1642 LAWRENCE, KS 66044-8642 Fax: 785-841-5922 chasbenjamin@sbcglobal.net

GARY E. REBENSTORF, CITY ATTORNEY CITY OF WICHITA CITY HALL 13TH FLOOR 455 N MAIN STREET WICHITA, KS 67202 Fax: 316-268-4335 grebenstorf@wichita.gov

CURTIS M. IRBY, ATTORNEY GLAVES, IRBY & RHOADS 120 SOUTH MARKET SUITE 100 WICHITA, KS 67202-3892 Fax: 316-264-6860 cmirby@sbcglobal.net

JOHN WINE, JR. 410 NE 43RD TOPEKA, KS 66617 Fax: 785-220-7676 jwine2@cox.net

SUSAN CUNNINGHAM, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3354 s.cunningham@kcc.state.ks.us **** Hand Deliver ****

MICHAEL L. KURTZ, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 EAST SEVENTH STREET SUITE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 Fax: 513-421-2764 mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

JAY C. HINKEL, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY
CITY OF WICHITA
CITY HALL 13TH FLOOR
455 N MAIN STREET
WICHITA, KS 67202
Fax: 316-268-4335
jhinkel@wichita.gov

COLIN EHITLEY, GENERAL MANAGER CITY OF WINFIELD 200 EAST 9TH PO BOX 646 WINFIELD, KS 67156 cwhitley@winfieldks.org

SARAH J. LOQUIST, ATTORNEY HINKLE ELKOURI LAW FIRM L.L.C. 2000 EPIC CENTER 301 N MAIN STREET WICHITA, KS 67202-4820 Fax: 316-264-1518 sloquist@hinklaw.com

DANA BRADBURY, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3354 d.bradbury@kcc.state.ks.us **** Hand Deliver ****

MICHAEL LENNEN, ATTORNEY
MORRIS, LAING, EVANS, BROCK & KENNEDY,
CHARTERED
OLD TOWN SQUARE
300 N MEAD STREET
SUITE 200
WICHITA, KS 67202-2722
Fax: 316-262-5991
mlennen@morrislaing.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

05-WSEE-981-RTS

KEVIN K. LA CHANCE, ATTORNEY
OFFICE OF THE STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE
HQ, 24TH INFANTRY DIVISION & FORT RILEY
BUILDING 200, PATTON HALL
FORT RILEY, KS 66442-5017
Fax: 785-239-0577
lachancek@riley.army.mil

DAVID BANKS, ENERGY MANAGER UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT 259 SCHOOL SERVICE CENTER COMPLEX 3850 N HYDRAULIC WICHITA, KS 67219-3399 Fax: 316-973-2150 dbanks@usd259.net

MARTIN J. BREGMAN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, LAW WESTAR ENERGY, INC.
818 S KANSAS AVENUE (66612)
PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
Fax: 785-575-8136
martin_bregman@wr.com

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH STREET SUITE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210 Fax: 913-661-9863 zakoura@smizak-law.com

ROBERT A. GANTON, ATTORNEY
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
D/B/A UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
REGULATORY LAW OFFICE
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
901 N. STUART STREET, SUITE 525
ARLINGTON, VA 22203-1837
Fax: 703-696-2960
robert.ganton@hqda.army.mil

Niki Christopher