AT&T Kansas 220 SE Sixth Street Room 515 Topeka, KS 66603-3596 T: 785.276.8413 F: 785.276.1948 bruce.ney@att.com

April 20, 2006

Ms. Susan K. Duffy, Executive Director Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027 STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

APR 2 0 2006

Susen Talfy Docket Room

RE: Interconnection agreement between AT&T and Ironhorse Services, LLC

Dear Ms. Duffy:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission is an original and three (3) copies of an Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement (the MFN Agreement) between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") and Ironhorse Services, LLC. ("Ironhorse") executed on April 14, 2006 and supporting affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues.

Ironhorse has chosen in the attached MFN Agreement to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. AT&T files this MFN Agreement seeking Commission approval of its terms and conditions consistent with the Federal Act of 1996. Ironhorse is registered as active and in good standing with the Kansas Secretary of State's office.

AT&T represents and believes in good faith that the implementation of this MFN Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. AT&T specifically requests that the Commission refrain from taking any action to change, suspend or otherwise delay implementation of this agreement, in keeping with the support for competition previously demonstrated by the Commission.

Contact information for Ironhorse is listed below.

CLEC Officer Name:	CLEC Attorney Name:
Mr. David E. Scott	
Chairman and Managing Member	
215 West 18 th St.	
Kansas City, MO 64108	
Phone: 816-531-0818	
Fax: 816-531-0818	

The Commission's prompt attention to this matter would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Ney Senior Counsel

Enclosures

Ms. Eva Powers (transmittal letter only) Mr. David E. Scott cc:

DEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

APR 2 0 2006

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. for Approval of)		Susan	Talin	Docket Room
Interconnection Agreement Under the)	Docket No. Olo-SINBT-	1141-1	IAT	
Telecommunications Act of 1996 With)				
Ironhorse Services, LLC)				

APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. FOR APPROVAL OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") hereby files this Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement ("the MFN Agreement") with Ironhorse Services, LLC ("Ironhorse"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law No. 104-104 ("Federal Act") and would respectfully show the Kansas Corporation Commission ("Commission") the following:

I. AGREEMENT REACHED

By designating in Section 2.4 of the attached MFN Agreement, Ironhorse has chosen to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement. There are no outstanding issues between AT&T and Ironhorse that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. The MFN Agreement was executed on April 14, 2006.

II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

AT&T seeks the Commission's approval of the MFN Agreement, consistent with the provisions of the Federal Act.

AT&T believes that the implementation of this MFN Agreement complies fully with Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because it is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The MFN Agreement promotes diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.

AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission grant expeditious approval of this MFN Agreement, without change, suspension or other delay in its implementation. This is a bilateral agreement, reached as a result of negotiation and compromise and the parties do not believe a docket or intervention by other parties is necessary or appropriate.

III. STANDARD FOR REVIEW

The statutory standards of review are set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Act which provides as follows:

(e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION

- (1) APPROVAL REQUIRED.--Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted to shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.
- (2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION--The State Commission may only reject --(A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not

consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; or

The affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues, establishes that the MFN Agreement submitted herein satisfies these standards. (Affidavit, Attachment II).

IV. KANSAS LAW

The negotiated and executed MFN Agreement is consistent with the Kansas regulatory statutes.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission approve the MFN Agreement expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted,

TIMOTHY S. PICKERING

BRUCE A. NEY

MELANIE N. SAWYER

220 E. Sixth Street, Room 515 Topeka, Kansas 66603-3596

(785-276-8413)

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.

#19945)

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

by and between

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. d/b/a AT&T KANSAS

and

IRONHORSE SERVICES, LLC

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

This Interconnection Agreement (the "Agreement"), is being entered into by and between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T Kansas"), and Ironhorse Services, LLC ("CLEC"), (each a "Party" and, collectively, the "Parties"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Act, Ironhorse Services, LLC ("CLEC") has requested to adopt the Interconnection Agreement by and between AT&T Kansas and the separate CLEC designated in Section 2.4 below for the State of Kansas, which was previously approved by the Kansas Corporation Commission ("the Commission") under Section 252(e) of the Act, including any Commission approved amendments to such Agreement (the "Separate Agreement"), which is incorporated herein by reference (the "MFN Agreement"); and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual provisions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, CLEC and AT&T Kansas hereby agree as follows:

1. Incorporation of Recitals and Separate Agreement by Reference

- 1.1 The foregoing Recitals are hereby incorporated into and made a part of this MFN Agreement.
- 1.2 Except as expressly stated herein, the MFN Agreement, including any and all applicable Appendices, Schedules, Exhibits, Attachments and Commission approved Amendments thereto, are incorporated herein by this reference and form an integral part of the MFN Agreement.

2. Modifications to Separate Agreement

- 2.1 References in the Separate Agreement to "CLEC" or to "Other" shall for purposes of the MFN Agreement be deemed to refer to CLEC.
- 2.2 References in the Separate Agreement to the "Effective Date," the date of effectiveness thereof and like provisions shall for purposes of this MFN Agreement be deemed to be the date this fully executed Short Form is approved with the Commission (the "Effective Date"). In addition, this MFN Agreement shall expire on the original expiration date of the underlying Separate Agreement.
- 2.3 The Notices Section in the Separate Agreement is hereby revised to reflect that Notices should be sent to CLEC under this MFN Agreement at the following address:

NOTICE CONTACT	CLEC CONTACT
NAME, TITLE	David E. Scott
	Chairman & Managing Member
STREET ADDRESS	215 West 18th Street
ROOM OR SUITE	
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	Kansas City, MO 64108
E-MAIL ADDRESS	dave@scott59.com
FACSIMILE NUMBER	(816) 531-0818

2.4	CLEC hereby designates the Separate Agreement it is adopting by way of this Short Form for purposes
	of this MFN Agreement by placing an "X" next to its chosen Separate Agreement immediately below:

 Docket No.	06-SWBT-	 IAT, approved January 	, 2006 (Birch	Telecom of Kansas,	Inc./ionex
Communica	tions, Inc.)		•		

	Docket No. 06-SWBT-236-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (Cox Kansas Telecom, LLC)
	Docket No. 05-NVTT-370-ARB, approved September 14, 2005 (Navigator Telecommunications, LLC)
_X	Docket No. 06-SWBT-237-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (NuVox Communications of Kansas Inc.)
	Docket No. 06-SWBT-235-IAT, approved September 12, 2005 (Prairie Stream Communications, Inc.)
	Docket No. 06-SWBT-234-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (Xspedius Communications, LLC)

2.5 Intercarrier Compensation Options

2.5.1 For purposes of its MFN Agreement, CLEC elects the Intercarrier Compensation Option Choice designated with an "X" immediately below:.

Designate Choice with X	Option Number	Description		
	Option 1	Contract Rates for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and FCC's Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan rate for ISP-Bound Traffic		
	Option 2	All ISP-Bound Traffic and All Section 251(b)(5) Traffic at the FCC's ISP Terminating Compensation Plan Rate		
	Option 3	Long-term local Bill and Keep as the reciprocal compensation arrangement for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and ISP-Bound Traffic		

3. Clarifications

- 3.1 In entering into this MFN Agreement, the Parties acknowledge and agree that neither Party is waiving, and each Party hereby expressly reserves, any of its rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in this MFN Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice as to the Separate Agreement), with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands by the FCC, state utility commission, court, legislature or other governmental body including, without limitation, any such orders, decisions, legislation, proceedings, and remands which were issued, released or became effective prior to the Effective Date of this MFN Agreement, or which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further government review. If any action by any state or federal regulatory or legislative body or court of competent jurisdiction("Government Action"), invalidates, modifies, or stays provisions of the Separate Agreement and/or otherwise affects the rights or obligations of either Party that are addressed by the Separate Agreement specifically including but not limited to those arising with respect to a Government Action, the affected provision(s) in this MFN Agreement shall be immediately invalidated, modified or stayed consistent with such Government Action as to the Separate Agreement.
- 3.2 It is AT&T Kansas' position that this MFN Agreement (including all attachments thereto) and every interconnection, service and network element provided hereunder, is subject to all rates, terms and conditions contained in the MFN Agreement (including all attachments/appendices thereto), and that all of such provisions are integrally related and non-severable.

INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT SHORT FORM UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252/ SOUTHWESTERN BELL TE LEPHONE COMPANY, L.P. PAGE 3 OF 3 AT&T KANSAS/IRONHORSE SERVICES, LLC 040306

in WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have day of, 200 6.	e caused this Agreement to be executed as of this 2014
Ironhorse Services, LLC	Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT& Kansas By AT&T Operations, Inc., Its authorized
Signature: Wird Efrott	Signature: Le Dlaga Marks
Print Name: <u>David E. Scott</u>	Print Name: Robinia L. Sparks
Title: Charmen and Managery Manher	Title: Executive Director-Regulatory
Date: $\frac{4-10-06}{}$	Date: 4-14-06
Facilities: OCN/AECN#	

Resale: OCN/AECN# 905 D

BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. for Approval of Interconnection Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 With Ironhorse Services, LLC)) Docket No))
AFFIDAVIT OF	MICHAEL SCOTT

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL SCOTT

STATE OF KANSAS)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)	

Before me, the Undersigned Authority, on the 20th day of April, 2006, personally appeared Michael Scott of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") who, upon being by me duly sworn on oath deposed and said the following:

- 1. My name is Michael Scott. I am over the age of 21, of sound mind and competent to testify to the matters stated herein. I am the Area Manager-Regulatory Issues for AT&T, and I have knowledge concerning the Interconnection Agreement ("the MFN Agreement") between AT&T and Ironhorse Services, LLC ("Ironhorse") on behalf of AT&T. I have personal knowledge of the provisions of the MFN Agreement which was executed on April 14, 2006.
- 2. Ironhorse has chosen in the MFN Agreement to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement.
- 3. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation and arbitration.

- 4. The implementation of this MFN Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The MFN Agreement will further the transition of telecommunications competition in the State of Kansas, a policy of this State and the United States. The MFN Agreement allows diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.
- 5. This MFN Agreement does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. It is available to any similarly situated provider in negotiating a similar agreement.
- 6. The MFN Agreement is consistent with Kansas law.

Michael Scott

Mishael Dooth

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day of April, 2006.

NOTARY PUBLIC - State of Kansas

MARY A. REED

My Appt. Exp. 14/15/06

My Commission Expires: October 15, 9006