# Before the STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Investigation into the Principles and Priorities to be Established for Evaluating the Reasonableness of the Location of a Proposed Transmission Line in Future Line Siting Proceedings.

Docket 24-GIME-102-GIE

### INTERVENOR KANSAS FARM BUREAU'S POST-WORKSHOP REPLY COMMENTS

COMES NOW The Kansas Farm Bureau ("KFB" or "Intervenor"), following the Technical Workshop held on November 1, 2024, and the filing of Intervenor comments by November 8, 2024, and respectfully submits the following post-workshop reply comments. All of KFB's previously-filed comments are incorporated herein by reference.

#### A. Comments on Definitions.

In response to other intervenors' comments on the definitions, KFB provides the following reply:

- Agricultural Structure Impact definition KFB understands the concerns with the lack
  of clarity in this definition and can support a qualification of "permanent or affixed,
  substantial agricultural structures."
- 2. Center Pivot Irrigation After reviewing other proposed edits, KFB believes that its proposal in post-workshop comments provides the best solution that accomplishes limiting this definition to situations where an irrigation system will be impacted, along with recognizing that irrigation impacts can occur on acres outside of a right of way.
- 3. **Cultivated Corp Impact** KFB opposes ITC Great Plains' suggestion that this definition be narrowed to exclude lengths of line that is "not near or adjacent to a property

boundary."<sup>1</sup> Cultivated land that is adjacent to or near a property boundary can still be impacted by transmission structures, where proximity to boundaries can have an even greater impact on things like equipment maneuverability.

4. Length along Existing Transmission Lines - KFB policy supports the concepts of colocation and the use of existing rights-of-way when siting new transmission lines. However, KFB disagrees with Evergy that "by paralleling existing transmission lines, utilities can maximize alignment with all other routing principles." In fact, paralleling existing transmission lines can exacerbate the burdens on cultivated land and center pivot irrigation arms. KFB agrees with staff's proposed definition that Length along Existing Transmission Lines would have a negative impact on the Z analysis.

### B. Comments on Routing Principles:

5. For reasons stated above, KFB opposes the addition of a principle that would act to maximize the length of new transmission that parallels existing transmission line facilities but cannot be co-located with or located within existing rights of way.

#### B. Comments on Standard Criteria and Weighting:

6. **Angles over 30 degrees** – In its post-workshop comments, NEET Southwest advocated for the inclusion of a new criteria for "Angles over 30 degrees." KFB believes it is unnecessary to include "Angles over 30 degrees" in the list of criteria. This proposed criteria would be in conflict with other routing criteria that give preference to routes along roads and parcel boundaries, where right angles would often be necessary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITC Great Plains, LCC's Initial Post-Workshop Comments, ¶ 3 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Evergy Comments in Response to Staff's Strawman Proposal, p. 3 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Initial Post Workshop Comments of NEET Southwest, ¶ 18 (Nov. 8, 2024).

Heavy angle structures are just one component of the total cost of a transmission line, and that cost would be captured in the cost estimate of a route for consideration and comparison to alternate routes, whether or not this proposed criteria is included.

## C. Comments on Required Documentation

#### 7. Commission's Authority

a. Commission's Jurisdiction — Other intervenor parties have questioned whether the Commission has the authority to require protocol documents as part of the line-siting process. State statute gives the Commission discretion in the line-siting process to require filing of "such other information as may be required by the commission." Furthermore, in making its determination of "necessity" and "reasonableness" of the location of a proposed electric transmission line that best protects "the rights of all interested parties," it is wholly within their authority to condition a permit on required documentation, like the protocols for which KFB has advocated, to help protect the rights of landowners who will be burdened by such electric transmission lines. Moreover, the Commission has experience monitoring utility company actions with regard to protocols in ongoing compliance filings, and would be in an informed position to act on any complaint filed with regard to such protocols.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See e.g., Evergy Comments in Response to Staff's Strawman Proposal, p. 4 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> K.S.A. 66-1,178(a)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> K.S.A. 66-1,180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See e.g., Compliance Filing in In the Matter of the Application of Next Era Energy Transmission Southwest, LLC for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a 345 kV Transmission Line Through Coffey, Anderson, Allen, Bourbon, and Crawford Counties, Kansas, Docket No. 23-NETE-585-STG, p. 1 (Dec. 29, 2023).

- b. Commission Oversight NEET Southwest asserts that the Commission could appropriately "consider the transmission developer's track record of abiding by its protocols to ensure that the protocols are implemented in good faith." KFB believes a necessary component of that consideration is ongoing oversight and enforcement by the Commission so that they are informed of any shortcomings of utilities in meeting the standards set forth in their protocols.
- c. NEET Southwest also asserts that if the Commission "approves" and "enforces" the protocols, then utilities would "be incentivized to say less in their protocols," so as to leave less for interpretation by the Commission. For the believes the opposite to be true. The way to leave less for interpretation is to more particularly articulate the protocols, which would be a great outcome for landowners who would have a clearer understanding of the ways a utility intends to conduct business.
- 8. **Format of Protocol Documents.** KFB agrees with NEET Southwest that the protocols can be contained in a single document, as separate documents would likely contain similar and overlapping issues which can create confusion if not repeated verbatim.<sup>10</sup>
- Grain Belt Express asserts that the protocols are internal documents.<sup>11</sup> On the contrary, Grain Belt Express has posted its adopted protocols on its website, an act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Initial Post Workshop Comments of NEET Southwest, ¶ 18 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Initial Post Workshop Comments of NEET Southwest, ¶ 23 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Initial Post Workshop Comments of NEET Southwest, ¶ 24 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Grain Belt Express LLC's Post-Workshop Comments to Staff's Kansas Line Siting Principles and Priorities, ¶ 14 (Nov. 8, 2024).

- which KFB greatly appreciates as it aids in transparency and the sharing of information with landowners. 12
- 10. Evergy asserts that it has "never seen these protocols and was only made aware of the suggestion shortly before the comments were due." <sup>13</sup> If Evergy was unaware, it was not for lack of KFB effort. KFB cited examples of protocols in its Sept. 20, 2024, comments, with citations and links, to other Commission dockets. <sup>14</sup> Seven weeks lapsed between KFB's filing on Sept. 20, 2024, and the filing of Evergy's comments on Nov. 8, 2024.
- 11. Some Intervenors have cited their (high) signage rates for easements as an indication that landowner protocols are unnecessary. High signage rates can be the result of coercive tactics and looming eminent domain authority, through which many landowners do not have the means to challenge inadequate compensation rates, unreasonable or burdensome easement locations, and other contractual matters. It is this exact power imbalance that the protocols can act to equalize. Additionally, the protocols could require basic good faith obligations on the part of an electric transmission company that could be negotiated as a part of easement agreements only if landowners had the experience and means equal to that of the transmission company.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Grain Belt Express, Landowner Resources, available at <a href="https://grainbeltexpress.com/landowners/#lando

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Evergy Comments in Response to Staff's Strawman Proposal, p. 4 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Intervenor Kansas Farm Bureau's Initial Comments on Staff's Criteria List, pp. 4-5 (Sept. 20, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> See e.g. Post Workshop Comments of Sunflower Electric Power Corporation in Response to Staff's Report and Recommendation, ¶ 7 (Nov. 8, 2024).

#### D. General Post-Workshop Comments

12. In their post-workshop comments, Grain Belt Express asserts that "the exhaustive process and scope of transmission line route selection, as evidenced by routing studies filed recently, already provides a thorough evaluation of all relevant factors to selecting a reasonable route." <sup>16</sup> Ironically, one of the recent route studies Grain Belt Express cites was in Docket No. 23-NETE-585-STG, regarding the routing study for the Wolf Creek to Blackberry line. <sup>17</sup> This is ironic because the Blackberry docket ended in a split decision by the Commission and was a driving force leading to this investigation docket being opened. <sup>18</sup> The dissenting opinion in that matter raised concerns about state principles not being adequately considered by the Southwest Power Pool. <sup>19</sup> If state principles are more concrete, as proposed in this docket, then they will be impactful during the SPP process, where bidders will have more clear principles and criteria upon which to bid projects located in Kansas, whether or not the SPP choose to adequately engage with the Commission during the process.

WHEREFORE, Intervenor thanks the Commission and other parties for their consideration of these post-workshop reply comments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Grain Belt Express LLC's Post-Workshop Comments to Staff's Kansas Line Siting Principles and Priorities, ¶ 9 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Grain Belt Express LLC's Post-Workshop Comments to Staff's Kansas Line Siting Principles and Priorities, ¶ 7 (Nov. 8, 2024).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> In the Matter of the Application of Next Era Energy Transmission Southwest, LLC for a Siting Permit for the Construction of a 345 kV Transmission Line Through Coffey, Anderson, Allen, Bourbon, and Crawford Counties, Kansas, Docket No. 23-NETE-585-STG, Order on Siting application ¶ 69 (May 24, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Id.* at p. 3-7 of dissent.

Respectfully submitted,

Wendee D. Grady, KFB Asst. Gen. Counsel, #22652

2627 KFB Plaza

Manhattan, KS 66503-8116

Fax (785) 587-6879

Phone (785) 587-6000

gradyw@kfb.org

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On this 22ns day of November, 2024, I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Intervenor Kansas Farm Bureau's Post-Workshop Reply Comments was furnished, by means of electronic service, to the following parties:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067

iflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 todd.love@ks.gov

SHONDA RABB CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 shonda.rabb@ks.gov

DIANA CARTER, DIRECTOR OF LEGAL SERVICES
EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY
602 S JOPLIN AVE
JOPLIN, MO 64801
Diana.Carter@libertyutilities.com

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 carly.masenthin@ks.gov

TERRY M. JARRETT, Attorney at Law HEALY LAW OFFICES, LLC 306 MONROE STREET JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 terry@healylawoffices.com

KEVIN CHANDLER
INVENERGY LLC
ONE SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 1800
CHICAGO, IL 60606
kchandler@invenergy.com

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 joseph.astrab@ks.gov

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 david.nickel@ks.gov

DELLA SMITH
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
della.smith@ks.gov

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SR DIRECTOR & REGULATORY
AFFAIRS COUNSEL
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC
818 S KANSAS AVE
PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
Cathy.Dinges@evergy.com

LESLIE WINES, Sr. Exec. Admin. Asst. EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
leslie.wines@evergy.com

HEATHER H STARNES, ATTORNEY HEALY LAW OFFICES, LLC 12 Perdido Circle Little Rock, AR 72211 heather@healylawoffices.com

NICOLE LUCKEY
INVENERGY LLC
ONE SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 1800
CHICAGO, IL 60606
nluckey@invenergy.com

BRAD PNAZEK INVENERGY LLC

ONE SOUTH WACKER DRIVE SUITE 1800

CHICAGO, IL 60606 bpnazek@invenergy.com

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604

brian.fedotin@ks.gov

LEE TAFANELLI, CEO

KANSAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

7332 SW 21ST STREET

PO BOX 4267

TOPEKA, KS 66604-0267

Itafanelli@kec.org

REBECCA FOWLER, MANAGER, REGULATORY AFFAIRS

KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC.

600 SW CORPORATE VIEW

PO BOX 4877

TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877

rfowler@kepco.org

PAUL MAHLBERG, GENERAL MANAGER KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY AGENCY

6300 W 95TH ST

OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431

MAHLBERG@KMEA.COM

DARREN PRINCE, MANAGER, REGULATORY & RATES

KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY AGENCY

6300 W 95TH ST

OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431

prince@kmea.com

COLIN HANSEN, CEO/GENERAL MANAGER

KANSAS POWER POOL 100 N BROADWAY STE L110

WICHITA, KS 67202 chansen@kpp.agency

CINDY WILSON, DIRECTOR, RATES & REGULATORY

**AFFAIRS** 

LIBERTY UTILITIES - EMPIRE DISTRICT

602 South Joplin Avenue Joplin, MO 64801

cindy.wilson@libertyutilities.com

AARON BAILEY, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL

KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

1500 Sw Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 aaron.bailey@ks.gov

AHSAN LATIF, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD

TOPEKA, KS 66604 <a href="mailto:ahsan.latif@ks.gov">ahsan.latif@ks.gov</a>

SUSAN B. CUNNINGHAM, SVP, Regulatory and

Government Affairs, General Counsel KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC.

600 SW CORPORATE VIEW

PO BOX 4877

TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877 scunningham@kepco.org

JACQUELINE GARAGIOLA, Associate Counsel

KANSAS LIVESTOCK ASSOCIATION

6031 SW 37TH TOPEKA, KS 66610 jackie@kla.org

TERRI J PEMBERTON, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS MUNICIPAL ENERGY AGENCY

6300 W 95TH ST

OVERLAND PARK, KS 66212-1431

pemberton@kmea.com

JAMES GING, DIRECTOR ENGINEERING SERVICES

KANSAS POWER POOL 100 N BROADWAY STE L110 WICHITA, KS 67202

jging@kpp.agency

LARRY HOLLOWAY, ASST GEN MGR OPERATIONS

KANSAS POWER POOL 100 N BROADWAY STE L110

WICHITA, KS 67202 lholloway@kpp.agency

ANGELA CLOVEN, PLANNING AND REG SPECIALIST

LIBERTY UTILITIES CORP 602 S JOPLIN AVE PO BOX 127

JOPLIN, MO 64802-0127

angela.cloven@libertyutilities.com

JAMES BRUNGARDT, MANAGER, REGULATORY RELATIONS MID-KANSAS ELECTRIC COMPANY, LLC 301 W 13TH ST PO BOX 980 HAYS, KS 67601 jbrungardt@sunflower.net WILLIAM P. COX, Senior Attorney
NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC
700 Universe Blvd
Juno Beach , FL 33408
will.p.cox@nexteraenergy.com

TRACY C DAVIS, SENIOR ATTORNEY NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC 5920 W WILLIAM CANNON DR, BLDG 2 AUSTIN, TX 78749 TracyC.Davis@nexteraenergy.com JOHN DILLON, EXECUTIVE DIR., REG. MGMT.
NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC
700 Universe Blvd
Juno Beach , FL 33408
John.Dillon@nexteraenergy.com

ALAN CLAUS ANDERSON POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 aanderson@polsinelli.com ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 acallenbach@polsinelli.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 fcaro@polsinelli.com JARED R. JEVONS, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 JJEVONS@POLSINELLI.COM

ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 aschulte@polsinelli.com SEAN PLUTA 100 S. Fourth Suite 1000 St. Louis, MO 63102 spluta@polsinelli.com

MONICA A SEIB, CORPORATE PARALEGAL SUPERVISOR SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION 301 W. 13TH PO BOX 1020 HAYS, KS 67601-1020 mseib@sunflower.net

AL TAMIMI, VICE PRESIDENT, TRANSMISSION PLANNING AND POLICY SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION 301 W. 13TH PO BOX 1020 HAYS, KS 67601-1020 atamimi@sunflower.net

J.T. KLAUS, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
<a href="mailto:itklaus@twgfirm.com">itklaus@twgfirm.com</a>

KACEY S MAYES, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
ksmayes@twgfirm.com

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM

KENDRA D STACEY, ATTORNEY
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300
WICHITA, KS 67226
kstacey@twgfirm.com

TAYLOR P. CALCARA, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD.
1321 Main St Ste 300
PO Drawer 1110
Great Bend, KS 67530
TCALCARA@WCRF.COM

LINDA SIDERS, PARALEGAL FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 1551 N. Waterfront Parkway Suite 100 Wichita, KS 67206 Isiders@foulston.com

HOLLY FISHER, COUNSEL ITC GREAT PLAINS, LLC 3500 SW Fairlawn Rd Ste 101 Topeka, KS 66614-3979 hfisher@itctransco.com

JAMES W. BIXBY, COUNSEL-REGULATORY & LEGISLATIVE
ITC HOLDINGS CORP
601 13th Street
Suite 701S
Washington, DC 20005
jbixby@itctransco.com

REAGAN F MCCLOUD, Mgr. of Govt. Relations KANSAS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 7332 SS 21st Street PO Box 4267 Topeka, KS 66604-0267 rmccloud@kec.org

PATRICK PARKE, CEO MIDWEST ENERGY, INC. 1330 Canterbury Rd PO Box 898 Hays, KS 67601-0898 patparke@mwenergy.com

TESSIE KENTNER, ATTORNEY SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. 201 Worthen Dr Little Rock, AR 72223 tkentner@spp.org JACOB G HOLLY, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S Kansas Avenue Suite 200 Topeka, KS 66612-1203 jholly@foulston.com

C. EDWARD WATSON, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 1551 N Waterfront Pkwy Ste 100 Wichita, Ks 67206-4466 cewatson@foulston.com

PATRICK WOODS, DIRECTOR, BUSINESS AFFAIRS ITC GREAT PLAINS, LLC 3500 SW Fairlawn Rd Ste 101 Topeka, KS 66614-3979 <a href="mailto:cwoods@itctransco.com">cwoods@itctransco.com</a>

THEODORE J. HILMES, EXECUTIVE VICE PRES & CEO KAMO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 500 S Kamo Dr PO Box 577 Vinita, OK 74301-0577 thilmes@kamopower.com

WILLIAM DOWLING, VP ENGINEERING & ENERGY SUPPLY
MIDWEST ENERGY, INC.
1330 Canterbury Drive
PO Box 898
Hays, KS 67601-0898
BDOWLING@MWENERGY.COM

JUSTIN A. HINTON, Attorney SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. 201 Worthen Dr Little Rock, AR 72223 jhinton@spp.org

ANGELA MARTIN, PARALEGAL SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. 201 Worthen Dr Little Rock, AR 72223 amartin@spp.org MADISEN HANE, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 Madisen.Hane@ks.gov

NATHAN MCNEIL, VP ENGINEERING MIDWEST ENERGY, INC. 1330 Canterbury Drive PO Box 898 Hays, Ks 67601-0898 nmcneil@mwenergy.com KEITH A. BROCK, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S Hickory PO Box 17 Ottawa, Ks 66067 kbrock@andersonbyrd.com

Wendee D. Grady