

Bruce A. Ney Senior Counsel Arai Kansas 220 SE Sixth Street Room 515 Topeka, KS 66603-3596 T: 785.276.8413 F: 785.276.1948 bruce.ney@att.com

January 24, 2008

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

JAN 2 4 2008

Size Talyly Docket Room

Ms. Susan K. Duffy, Executive Director Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027

Re: Docket No. 05-SWBT-1125-IAT

Dear Ms. Duffy:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are an original and three (3) copies of an Application for Approval of a Modification to the Interconnection Agreement ("the Agreement") previously approved between Southwestern Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Kansas and Metropolitan Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a MetTel ("MetTel") on June 29, 2005 in the above-captioned docket. Also enclosed is the supporting Affidavit of Janet Arnold, Manager-Regulatory Relations.

This modification amends the Agreement to extend its expiration date to April 9, 2010. The Agreement, with this modification and the attachments incorporated therein, is an integrated package and is the result of negotiation and compromise. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. MetTel is registered as active and in good standing with the Kansas Secretary of State's office.

AT&T Kansas files this modification to the Agreement seeking Commission approval of its terms and conditions consistent with the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. AT&T Kansas represents and believes in good faith that the implementation of this modification to the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. AT&T Kansas specifically requests that the Commission refrain from taking any action to change, suspend or otherwise delay implementation of this modification to the agreement, in keeping with the support for competition previously demonstrated by the Commission.

Contact information for MetTel is listed below.

Contact information for Mctrc is instead below.		
CLEC Officer Name:	CLEC Attorney Name:	
David Aronow		
President		
44 Wall Street, 6 th Floor		
New York, NY 10005		
Phone: 212-607-2003		
Fax: 212-635-5074		
E-mail: daro@mettel.net		

Proud Sponson of the Albert Systems for the

The Commission's prompt attention to this matter would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Bruce A. Ney Senior Attorney

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Colleen Harrell (transmittal letter only)

Mr. David Aronow

2008.01.24 17:07:45
Kansas Corporation Commission
/S/ Susan K. Duffy

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION JAN 2 4 2008 OF THE STATE OF KANSAS Docket Roomin

Application of Southwestern Bell
Telephone, L.P. for Approval of
Interconnection Agreement Under the
Telecommunications Act of 1996 With
Metropolitan Telecommunications, Inc.
d/b/a MetTel

)
Docket No. 05-SWBT-1125-IAT
)

APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF A MODIFICATION TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Kansas hereby files this Application for Approval of a Modification to the Interconnection Agreement ("the Agreement)" under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Federal Act") between AT&T Kansas and Metropolitan Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a MetTel ("MetTel") and would respectfully show the Kansas Corporation Commission ("Commission") the following:

I. INTRODUCTION

AT&T Kansas presents to this Commission a modification to the Agreement previously negotiated, executed and filed with the Commission on June 9, 2005 pursuant to the terms of the Federal Act. The Commission issued an order approving the Agreement on June 29, 2005. This modification amends the Agreement to extend its expiration date to April 9, 2010. A copy of the executed Amendment which reflects the parties' agreement to incorporate this modification to the Agreement is attached hereto as Attachment I.

II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

AT&T Kansas seeks the Commission's approval of this modification to the Agreement, consistent with the provisions of the Federal Act. The implementation of

this modification to the Agreement complies fully with Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because the modifications are consistent with the Commission's previous conclusion that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier.

AT&T Kansas respectfully requests that the Commission grant expeditious approval of this modification to the Agreement, without change, suspension or other delay in its implementation. The Agreement, with this modification, is a bilateral agreement, reached as a result of negotiations and compromise between competitors, and the parties do not believe a docket or intervention by other parties is necessary or appropriate.

III. STANDARD FOR REVIEW

The statutory standards of review are set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Act which provides as follows:

Section 252(e) of the Federal Act:

(e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION

- (1) APPROVAL REQUIRED. -- Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.
- (2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION. -- The State Commission may only reject --
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --
 - the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

the implementation of such (ii) agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity . . .

The affidavit of Janet Arnold, Manager-Regulatory Relations, establishes that the modification to the Agreement submitted herein satisfies the standards for approval under the Federal Act. (Affidavit, Attachment II).

IV. KANSAS LAW

The negotiated and executed modification to the Agreement is consistent with the Kansas regulatory statutes.

٧. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, AT&T Kansas respectfully requests that the Commission approve this modification to the Agreement previously approved.

BRUCE A. NEY

MELANIE N. McINTYRE

220 E. Sixth Street, Room 515

Topeka, Kansas 66603-3596

(785) 276-8413

(785) 276-1948 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone

#IL6198060)

(#15554) ◀ (#19945)

Company d/b/a AT&T Kansas

AMENDMENT to INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

by and between

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

d/b/a AT&T KANSAS

and

METROPOLITAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

d/b/a MetTel

AMENDMENT TO INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 BETWEEN AT&T AND

METTEL

The Interconnection Agreement dated June 1, 2005 by and between Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Illinois1, Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated d/b/a SBC Indiana², Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Michigan3, Nevada Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Nevada4, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Ohio5, Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a SBC California⁶. The Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a SBC Connecticut⁷, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a SBC Arkansas, SBC Kansas, SBC Missouri, SBC Oklahoma and/or SBC Texas8 and/or Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a SBC Wisconsin9 (collectively, "AT&T") and Metropolitan Telecommunications of Arkansas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of California, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Connecticut, Inc. d/b/a MetTel CT, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Indiana, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Kansas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Michigan, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nevada, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Ohio, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oklahoma, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Texas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE and/or Metropolitan Telecommunications of Wisconsin, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE (collectively, "MetTel") ("Agreement") effective in the states of Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas and Wisconsin is hereby amended as follows:

- 1. Section 5 of the General Terms and Conditions is amended by adding the following section:
 - 5.2.1 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this section 5, the original expiration date of this Agreement, as modified by this Amendment, will be extended for a period of three (3) years commencing on April 9, 2007 until April 9, 2010 (the "Extended Expiration Date"). The Agreement shall expire on the Extended Expiration Date; provided, however, that during the period from the effective date of this Amendment until the Extended Expiration Date, the Agreement may be terminated earlier either by written notice from MetTel, by AT&T pursuant to the Agreement's early termination provisions, by mutual agreement of the parties, or upon the effective date of a written and signed superseding agreement between the parties.
- 2. The Parties acknowledge and agree that AT&T shall permit the extension of this Agreement, subject to amendment to reflect future changes of law as and when they may arise.

¹ Illinois Bell Telephone Company (previously referred to as "Illinois Bell" or "SBC Illinois") now operates under the name "AT&T Illinois" pursuant to an assumed name filing with the State of Illinois.

² Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated (previously referred to as "Indiana Bell" or "SBC Indiana") now operates under the name "AT&T Indiana".

³ Michigan Bell Telephone Company (previously referred to as "Michigan Bell" or "SBC Michigan") now operates under the name "AT&T Michigan" pursuant to an assumed name filing with the State of Michigan.

⁴ Nevada Bell Telephone Company, a Nevada corporation, is now doing business in Nevada as "AT&T Nevada".

⁵ The Ohio Bell Telephone Company (previously referred to as "Ohio Bell" or "SBC Ohio") now operates under the name "AT&T Ohio."

⁶ Pacific Bell Telephone Company, a California corporation, is now doing business in California as "AT&T California".

⁷ The Southern New England Telephone Company does business under the name "AT&T Connecticut".

⁸ On December 30, 2001, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (a Missouri corporation) was merged with and into Southwestern Bell Texas, Inc. (a Texas corporation) and, pursuant to Texas law, was converted to Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., a Texas limited partnership. On June 29, 2007, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., a Texas limited partnership, was merged with and into SWBT Inc., a Missouri corporation, with SWBT Inc. as the survivor entity. Simultaneous with the merger, SWBT Inc. changed its name to Southwestern Bell Telephone Company. Southwestern Bell Telephone Company is doing business in Arkansas as "AT&T Arkansas", in Kansas as "AT&T Kansas", in Missouri as "AT&T Missouri", in Oklahoma as "AT&T Oklahoma and in Texas as "AT&T Texas".

⁹ Wisconsin Bell, Inc. (previously referred to as "Wisconsin Bell" or "SBC Wisconsin") now operates under the name "AT&T Wisconsin".

- EXCEPT AS MODIFIED HEREIN, ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE UNDERLYING AGREEMENT SHALL REMAIN UNCHANGED AND IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.
- 4. In entering into this Amendment, neither Party waives, and each Party expressly reserves, any rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in the underlying Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice predating this Amendment) with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands thereof, which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further review.
- 6. For all states except Arkansas, Connecticut and Ohio: This Amendment shall be filed with and is subject to approval by the appropriate state Commission and shall become effective ten (10) days following approval by such Commission. For Arkansas: This Amendment shall be filed with the Arkansas Public Service Commission and shall become effective upon filing. For Connecticut: This Amendment shall become effective upon approval by the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control. For Ohio: Based on the practice of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Amendment is effective upon filing and is deemed approved by operation of law on the 31st day after filing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Amendment to the Agreement was exchanged in triplicate on this day of and through its duly authorized representative, and MetTel, signing by and through its duly authorized representative.

Metropolitan Telecommunications of Arkansas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of California, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Connecticut, Inc. d/b/a MetTel CT, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Indiana, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Kansas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Michigan, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Missouri, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Nevada, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE. Metropolitan Telecommunications of Ohio, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Oklahoma, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE, Metropolitan Telecommunications of Texas, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE and/or Metropolitan **Telecommunications** Wisconsin, Inc. d/b/a MetTel DE

Ву:	h	
Name: _	DAV. J ARANOW	
	(Print or Type)	
Title:	Pers	
(Print or Type)		
Date:	12/16/07	

Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Illinois, Indiana Bell Telephone Company Incorporated d/b/a AT&T Indiana, Michigan Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Michigan, Nevada Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Nevada, The Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Ohio, Pacific Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T California, The Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Connecticut, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Arkansas, AT&T Kansas, AT&T Missouri, AT&T Oklahoma and/or AT&T Texas and Wisconsin Bell, Inc. d/b/a AT&T Wisconsin by AT&T Operations, Inc., its authorized agent

By:(auden 1
Name: _	Eddie A. Reed, Jr
	(Print or Type)
Title:	பாector - Interconnection Agreements
Date:	1-11-08

RESALE OCN # 2372

ACNA MTV

	UNE OCN		UNE OCN
ARKANSAS	631A	MISSOURI	553D
CALIFORNIA	180A	NEVADA	187A
CONNECTICUT	5998	OHO	181C
ILLINOIS	183A	OKLAHOMA	189A
INDIANA	184A	TEXAS	0241
KANSAS	185A	WISCONSIN	193A
MICHIGAN	180C		

BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. for Approval of Interconnection Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 With Metropolitan Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a MetTel)) Docket No. 05-SWBT-1125-IAT))			
AFFIDAVIT OF JANET ARNOLD				

STATE OF KANSAS) ss COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)

Before me, the Undersigned Authority, on the 24th day of January, 2008, personally appeared Janet Arnold of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company d/b/a AT&T Kansas who, upon being by me duly sworn on oath deposed and said the following:

- 1. My name is Janet Arnold. I am over the age of 21, of sound mind and competent to testify to the matters stated herein. I am the Manager-Regulatory Relations for AT&T Kansas, and I have personal knowledge concerning the Interconnection Agreement ("the Agreement") between AT&T Kansas and Metropolitan Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a MetTel which was approved by the Commission on June 29, 2005 and the proposed modification to that Agreement.
- 2. This modification amends the Agreement to extend its expiration date to April 9, 2010.
- 3. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation and arbitration relating to the modification to the Agreement.
- 4. The implementation of this modification to the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.

- 5. This modification to the Agreement does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The modification is available to any similarly situated local service provider in negotiating a similar agreement.
- 6. The negotiated and executed modification to the Agreement is consistent with Kansas law.

Janet Amold

Janet Arnold

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of January, 2008.

NOTAR THE SECOND HE Kansas & Long Collection of the Collection of

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Acts be 15, 2010