STATE OF KANSAS

BEFORE THE

KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF BLACK HILLS/KANSAS UTILITY COMPANY, LLC, d/b/a/ BLACK HILLS ENERGY FOR APPROVAL OF THE COMMISSION TO MAKE CERTAIN CHANGES IN ITS RATES FOR NATURAL GAS SERVICE Docket No. 14-BHCG-502-RTS

TESTIMONY OF ANDREA C. CRANE IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

ON BEHALF OF

THE CITIZENS' UTILITIY RATEPAYER BOARD

November 4, 2014

1

Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Andrea C. Crane and my business address is 90 Grove Street, Suite 211,
 Ridgefield, CT 06877. (Mailing address: PO Box 810, Georgetown, CT, 06829).

4

5

Q. Did you previously file testimony in this proceeding?

Yes, on September 12, 2014, I filed testimony on behalf of the State of Kansas, Citizens' A. 6 Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB"). My testimony addressed the rate filing by Black 7 Hills/Kansas Gas Company, LLC, d/b/a Black Hills Energy ("Black Hills Kansas" or 8 "Company") seeking a rate increase of approximately \$7.28 million in its rates for natural gas 9 service. The Company's Application was based on a base rate increase of \$9.51 million, 10 offset by rebasing \$2.23 million that is currently being collected through the Gas System 11 Reliability Surcharge ("GSRS"). In addition, the Company's claim included \$2.24 million of 12 costs that are currently being recovered through the Ad Valorem Surcharge. Therefore, after 13 the reduction of the Ad Valorem Surcharge, the net impact of the Company's request was a 14 net increase of \$5.04 million. Black Hills Kansas also sought authorization to establish a 15 tracker for pension and other post-employment benefit ("OPEB") costs, to establish a 16 regulatory asset related to a proposed Future Track Workforce Development Program 17 ("Future Track"), and to establish a Bypass Revenue Rider ("BRR") credit mechanism for 18 revenues received from certain customers acquired from Anadarko Natural Gas Company 19 ("Anadarko"). In addition, the Company requested authorization for an Accelerated Pipeline 20 Rehabilitation Rider ("APRR") to recover the costs of investments that go into service 21

1 between base rate cases.

2

3	Q.	Please summarize the recommendations contained in your Direct Testimony.
4	A.	In my Direct Testimony, I recommended that the Company be awarded a base rate increase
5		of \$3.61 million. After reflecting the GSRS roll-in and the reduction in the Ad Valorem
6		Surcharge, the net impact of my revenue requirement recommendations was an overall
7		revenue decrease of approximately \$0.86 million. My recommendations reflected CURB's
8		recommended cost of equity of 8.75% and an overall rate of return of 6.59%.
9		In addition, I recommended that the KCC approve the Company's request to establish
10		tracker mechanisms for its pension and OPEB costs. I recommended that the KCC deny the
11		Company's requests to establish a regulatory asset associated with Future Track, to establish
12		a BRR credit mechanism, and to implement an APRR. Testimony on behalf of CURB was
13		also filed by Dr. J. Randall Woolridge, Brian Kalcic, and Michael Majoros.
14		
15	Q.	Since your Direct Testimony was filed, have the parties engaged in settlement
16		discussions?
17	А.	Yes, the parties to this case have engaged in subsequent settlement discussions. As a result,
18		the parties have entered into a Stipulated Settlement Agreement ("Stipulation") to resolve the
19		issues in this case.
20		

3

The Columbia Group, Inc.

20

Q. Can you please summarize the terms of the Stipulation? 1 The Stipulation includes a base rate increase of \$5,234,616. After adjusting for the 2 A. reductions of \$2,229,412 in the GSRS and of \$2,240,959 in the Ad Valorem Surcharge, the 3 net increase to ratepayers is \$764,245. The parties agreed that the depreciation rates 4 proposed by Staff witness William Dunkel will be implemented. In addition, Black Hills 5 will continue to maintain Regulatory Liability accounts related to the costs of removal.¹ 6 The Stipulation does not identify a return on equity but the parties agreed that a pre-7 tax rate of 9.763% will be adopted for purposes of calculating carrying charges on the GSRS. 8 In addition, Black Hills agreed to track costs incurred in association with future issuances of 9 new common equity. 10 11 Pursuant to the Stipulation, Black Hills agreed to withdraw its request for the regulatory asset associated with Future Track, to withdraw its request for a BRR credit 12 mechanism, and to withdraw its request for the APRR. The Stipulation notes that Black 13 Hills Kansas will participate in future discussions regarding possible legislative changes to 14the GSRS. The Stipulation adopts pension and OBEP trackers for the Company and 15 identifies the expenses included in prospective rates relating to these costs. The Stipulation 16 also specifies amortization periods for rate case costs and for costs related to the Anadarko 17 acquisition. 18 Finally, the parties agreed on an allocation of the rate increase among customer 19

classes and on a proposed rate design. The proposed rate design caps the residential

¹ See page 20 of Mr. Majoros' Direct Testimony for CURB's recommendation that the Company continue to book a regulatory liability.

1		customer charge at \$17.25 per month.
2		
3	Q.	Are you familiar with the standards used by the KCC to evaluate a settlement that is
4		proposed to the Commission?
5	A.	Yes, I am. The KCC has adopted five guidelines for use in evaluating settlement agreements.
6		These include: (1) Has each party had an opportunity to be heard on its reasons for opposing
7		the settlement? (2) Is the agreement supported by substantial evidence in the record as a
8		whole? (3) Does the agreement conform to applicable law? (4) Will the agreement result in
9		just and reasonable rates? (5) Are the results of the agreement in the public interest, including
10		the interests of customers represented by any party not consenting to the agreement?
11		I understand that CURB counsel will address item 3, i.e., does the agreement conform
12		to applicable law, in opening statement at the upcoming hearing. Since I am not an attorney,
13		it is more appropriate for CURB counsel to address this issue than for me to address it.
14		However, I will discuss the remaining four guidelines.
15		
16	Q.	Has each party had an opportunity to be heard on its reasons for opposing the
17		settlement?
18	A.	I participated personally in settlement negotiations in this case and each party had a full and
19		complete opportunity to be heard. The parties discussed issues, resolved certain numerical
20		discrepancies, and negotiated aggressively. At this time, I am not aware of any party to the
21		case who opposes the settlement.
22		-

5

.

The Columbia Group, Inc.

1	Q.	Is the Stipulation supported by substantial evidence in the record as a whole?		
2	A.	Yes, it is. As noted in the Stipulation, the Company initially requested a base rate increase of		
3		\$9,508,112. CURB recommended a base rate increase of \$3,613,683 and Staff		
4		recommended a base rate increase of \$4,691,139, which it subsequently revised to		
5		\$4,928,804. ² Following are the base rate increases recommended by each party, as well as		
6		the net increases after consideration of the GSRS roll-in and the reduction in the Ad Valorem		
7		Surcharge:		

8

×	Company	CURB	Staff	Settlement
Base Rates	\$9,508,112	\$3,613,683	\$4,928,804	\$5,234,616
GSRS Roll-in	(\$2,229,412)	(\$2,229,412)	(\$2,229,412)	(\$2,229,412)
Ad Valorem	(\$2,240,959)	(\$2,240,959)	(\$2,240,959)	(\$2,240,959)
Surcharge				
Reduction				•
Total Impact	\$5,037,741	(\$856,688)	\$458,433	\$764,245

9

The negotiated base rate increase of \$5.23 million is approximately 55% of the 10 Company's original request and it is only slightly higher than Staff's recommended rate 11 increase. The proposed base rate increase is also well below the mid-point between CURB's 12 recommendation of \$3.61 million and the Company's request of \$9.51 million. Given the 13 roll-in of the GSRS and the reduction in the Ad Valorem Surcharge, the net impact on 14 ratepayers will be a modest increase of \$764,245, or approximately 15% of the net increase 15 requested by Black Hills Kansas. 16

17

While the Stipulation does not state a specific return on equity, the pre-tax carrying

6

1	charge of 9.763% used for the GSRS would result in a return on equity of 9.1% for GSRS
2	investment, assuming the Company's proposed capital structure and cost of debt. ³ A return
3	on equity of 9.1% was recently authorized by the KCC in the Atmos Energy, Inc. base rate
4	case, KCC Docket No. 14-ATMG-320-RTS.
5	In addition, ratepayers will continue to benefit in future years by not having to pay for
6	incremental investment that goes into service between base rate cases through the APRR. As
7	stated in my Direct Testimony, Black Hills Kansas already has a GSRS that provides for
8	recovery of certain investments between base rate cases. The Kansas Legislature has enacted
9	certain requirements associated with the GSRS, including a cap on annual rate increases.
10	The proposed APRR would have effectively eliminated these ratepayer safeguards and would
11	have transferred risk from shareholders to ratepayers without any commensurate reduction in
12	shareholder return.
13	The withdrawal of the proposed regulatory asset associated with Future Track costs is
14	also supported by substantial evidence in the record. As discussed in my Direct Testimony,
15	the costs for recruiting and training employees is an integral part of any business and such
16	costs should be recovered through the normal ratemaking process. Black Hills Kansas did
17	not demonstrate that a new ratemaking mechanism was necessary to recover these costs or to
18	continue to provide safe and reliable service.
19	Finally, the Stipulation does not include the BRR that was requested by Black Hills
20	Kansas related to certain Anadarko revenues. As noted in my Direct Testimony, revenues

² Stipulated Settlement Agreement, paragraph 5.
3 Neither CURB nor Staff recommended any adjustments to the Company's proposed capital structure or cost of debt.
7

1		from these recently-acquired customers should not receive special ratemaking treatment.
2		Instead, a normalized level of these revenues should be included in the Company's pro forma
3		revenue requirement, as reflected in the proposed Stipulation. Thus, the provisions contained
4		in the Stipulation with regard to these three ratemaking mechanisms are supported by
5		substantial evidence in the record.
6		
7	Q.	Will the agreement result in just and reasonable rates?
8	A.	Yes, I believe that the Stipulation will result in just and reasonable rates. As discussed
9		above, the revenue increase included in the Stipulation is substantially less than the amount
10		originally requested by Black Hills Kansas. In addition, the settlement increase of \$5.23
11		million is less than the mid-point between the Company's claim and amount recommended
12		by CURB. Therefore, rates will be based on a revenue requirement that incorporates many
13		of the adjustments proposed by CURB and Staff.
14		The Stipulation provides for an overall base rate increase of approximately \$5.23
15		million or 12.25%. The stipulated Residential increase is 10.64%, which is consistent with
16		the below-average increase supported by Staff's cost-of-service study. The stipulated Small
17		Commercial increase is 16.11% or 1.32 times the system average, which reflects a reasonable
18		compromise between the 1.00 and 1.44 times system average increases proposed by Staff and
19		Black Hills Kansas, respectively.
20		Pursuant to the Stipulation, the Residential customer charge will increase from
21		\$16.00 to \$17.25 per month. As a result, the percentage of total base rate revenues collected

1		from the customer charge will decline from the current level of 65.1% to 63.4%. This
2		movement is consistent with CURB's policy position regarding the appropriate level of
3		residential revenues to be collected from fixed charges. ⁴
4		In addition, the Small Commercial customer charge will increase from \$22.75 to
5		\$26.45 per month or 16.26%, which is approximately equal to the class average increase of
6		16.11%. As a result, the percentage of total Small Commercial revenues collected from the
7		customer charge will remain relatively constant at the conclusion of this case. CURB finds
8		this result acceptable.
9		
10	Q.	Are the results of the agreement in the public interest, including the interests of
11		customers represented by any party not consenting to the agreement?
12	A.	As noted above, all parties to this proceeding support the S&A. Therefore, the interests of
13		customers represented by all parties to this proceeding have been considered. It results in a
14	·	base revenue increase that is approximately 55% of the increase requested by Black Hills
15		Kansas. The net increase to customers, after reflecting the roll-in of the GSRS and the
16		reduction in the Ad Valorem Surcharge, will be a modest \$764,245, or approximately 15% of
17		the Company's requested net increase. Moreover, the pre-tax rate used for future carrying
18		costs applied to the GSRS will be 9.764%, considerably lower than the 12.073% pre-tax rate
19		(8.687% after-tax) reflected in the Company's June 2014 GSRS filing. The Stipulation
20		
		reflects a reasonable class cost allocation and rate design. It also provides additional rate

⁴ See the Direct Testimony of Brian Kalcic at page 8. 9

	The (Columbia Group, Inc Docket No. 14-BHCG-502-RTS
1		BRR credit mechanism, or Future Track costs that would have been deferred for future
2		recovery. The Stipulation will result in rates that are just and reasonable, and therefore I
3		believe that it is in the public interest.
4		
5	Q.	What do you recommend?
6	A.	I recommend that the KCC find that all parties had the opportunity to participate in the
7		settlement process, that the Stipulation is supported by substantial evidence in the record,
8		that the Stipulation will result in just and reasonable rates, and that the Stipulation is in the
9		public interest. Therefore, I recommend that the KCC approve the Stipulation as filed.
10		
11	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
12	A.	Yes, it does.

Ì

VERIFICATION

STATE OF CONNECTICUT) COUNTY OF FAIRFIELD) ss:

Andrea C. Crane, being duly sworn upon her oath, deposes and states that she is a consultant for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board, that she has read and is familiar with the foregoing Testimony, and that the statements made herein are true to the best of her knowledge, information and belief

Judrea C. Crane

1

Subscribed and sworn before me this 3rd day of November, 2014.

	Notary Public	Renjumin	K. Hon
My Commission Expires:	BENJAMIN D COTTON Notary Public-Connecticut My Commission Explres June 30, 2017	_ //	
s.,	19 24		and freezen in the an internet in the

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

14-BHCG-502-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 4th day of November, 2014, to the following:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY ANDERSON & BYRD, LLP 216 SOUTH HICKORY P.O. BOX 17 OTTAWA, KANSAS 66067 jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

ROBERT J. AMDOR, MANAGER REGULATORY SERVICES BLACK HILLS CORPORATION 1102 E. FIRST STREET PAPILLION, NE 68046 robert.amdor@blackhillscorp.com

PATRICK J. JOYCE, SENIOR MANAGING COUNSEL BLACK HILLS CORPORATION 1102 E. FIRST STREET PAPILLION, NE 68046 patrick.joyce@blackhillscorp.com

ANDREW FRENCH, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 <u>a.french@kcc.ks.gov</u>

SAMUEL FEATHER, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 <u>s.feather@kcc.ks.gov</u>

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 6201 COLLEGE BOULEVARD SUITE 500 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66211 acallebach@polsinelli.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 6201 COLLEGE BOULEVARD SUITE 500 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66211 fcaro@polsinelli.com

MONTGOMERY ESCUE SOUTHWEST KANSAS NON-PROFIT UTILITIES AGRICULTURAL ENERGY SERVICES INC 1755 W BROADWAY ST STE 6 OVIEDO, FL 32765 montgomery.escue@agenergy.com

DAN CLAWSON SWKI- SEWARD-WEST CENTRAL, INC. BOX 279 PLAINS, KS 67869 dan@clawsonoffice.com

KIRK HEGER SWKI-STEVENS SOUTHEAST PO BOX 100 HUGOTON, KS 67951 kirkheger@gmail.com

Della Smith Administrative Specialist