# THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

In the Matter of the Application of Atmos Energy for Adjustment of its Natural Gas Rates in the State of Kansas.

Docket No. 14-ATMG-320-RTS

## CURB'S ERRATA TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CURB WITNESS BRIAN KALCIC

The Citizen's Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) makes this errata filing to correct the Direct Testimony and schedule of CURB witness Brian Kalcic filed in this docket on May 20, 2014. The corrected pages 13 and 14 of Brian Kalcic's Direct Testimony and corrected title for schedule BK-3 are attached.

WHEREFORE, CURB provides this errata filing.

Respectfully submitted,

David Springe, Consumer Counsel #15619 Niki Christopher #19311 Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604 (785) 271-3200 (785) 271-3116 Fax

## VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS	)	
	)	SS:
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE	)	

I, David Springe, of lawful age and being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am an attorney for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board; that I have read and am familiar with the above and foregoing document and attest that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

David Springe

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014.

Notary ublic

My Commission expires: 01-26-2017.

	DELLA J. SMITH Notary Public - State of Kansas pt. Expires January 26, 2047
	Notary Public - State of Kanson
6/1/Am	State of Italisas
Ciniy Ap	pt. Expires January 26, 2017

1		on a utility system. Customer costs are those that vary with the number of customers
2		served, such as the costs associated with meters, meter reading, service lines, and billing.
3		
4	Q.	What types of costs should a utility recover in its facilities charges?
5	A.	Facilities charges should be limited to the recovery of a utility's customer-related costs.
6		All other costs should be recovered via a utility's volumetric and/or demand charges.
7		
8	Q.	Mr. Kalcic, have you quantified Atmos's total customer-related costs, by rate class, at
9		the Company's claimed revenue requirement level?
10	A.	Yes, I have. Schedule BK-1, page 2 of 4, summarizes the total amount of customer-related
11	1	costs allocated to each rate class in CURB's COSS. Per line 43-34 of Schedule BK-1, page
12		2 of 4, the total RSS customer cost is only $\frac{15.6116.01}{16.01}$ per month. In other words, the
13	I	Company's current RSS facilities charge of \$16.75 exceeds the cost-based RSS facilities
14		charge level.
15		
16	Q.	Should the Commission permit Atmos to recover any RSS base rate revenue increase
17		in the RSS facilities charge?
18	A.	No, since the current RSS facilities charge is too high.
19		
20	Q.	Have you prepared a recommended RSS and C/PA rate design to implement CURB's
21		recommended base rate revenue increases shown in Schedule BK-3, column 7, lines 1-
22		2?
23		

13

1	A.	Yes, in Schedule BK-4. Since the current RSS facilities charge of \$16.75 per month
2		exceeds the benchmark RSS facilities charge of \$15.6116.01 per month, I have recovered
3	I	100% of CURB's recommended RSS increase in the volumetric charge.
4		
5	Q.	What about the C/PA rate design shown in Schedule BK-4?
6	A.	At previously noted, the RSS and C/PA volumetric charges are identical. Therefore, in
7		order to derive my recommended C/PA rates, I set the C/PA volumetric charge at the RSS
8		level, and established the C/PA facilities charge at the residual level necessary to recover
9		CURB's recommended class revenue requirement.
10		
11	Q.	How does CURB's recommended C/PA facilities charge of \$36.80 compare to cost of
12		service?
13	А.	As shown on Schedule BK-1, page 2, line 4334, the cost-based C/PA facilities charge is
14		\$28.5829.81 per month. Therefore, CURB's recommended facilities charge of \$36.80
15		remains above cost of service.
16		
17	Q.	Do you have a rate design recommendation in the event that the KCC awards
18		Atmos a base rate increase that is greater than CURB's recommended increase
19		of \$1.252 million?
20	A.	Yes. Since the current RSS and C/PA facilities charges exceed their respective cost
21		benchmarks, I recommend that the Commission direct Atmos to assign no increase to the
22		RSS or C/PA facilities charge at the conclusion of this proceeding.
23		
24		

14

Schedule BK-3

#### ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

#### Summary of CURB's Recommended Allocation of the Company's its Requested Recommended Increase in Total Base Rate Revenue and Total Revenues (Excluding Gas Costs)

		Present	Present	Total	Recommended	Proposed	Total				
		Base Rate	GSRS &	Present	Base Rate	GSRS &	Recommended	Base Rate Revenue		Total Revenue	
Line	Class	Revenue	Ad Valorem	Revenue	Revenue	Ad Valorem	Revenue	Increase	Percent	Increase	Percent
5010	01033	(1)	(2)	(3) = (1) + (2)	(4)	(5)	(6) = (4) + (5)	(7) = (4) - (1)	(8) = (7) / (1)		(10) = (9) / (3)
	Sales	(1)	(4)	(0) = (1) + (2)	(*)	(0)	(0) = (+) + (0)	() - (-) - ()	(0) - (1) (1)	(0) - (0) - (0)	(10) - (0)1 (0)
1	Res (910)	\$37,275,912	\$1,174,913	\$38,450,825	\$38,265,066	\$0	\$38,265,066	\$989,154	2.65%	(\$185,759)	-0.48%
2	C/PA (915)	\$8,652,825	\$333,405	\$8,986,230	\$8,848,113	\$0	\$8,848,113	\$195,288	2.26%	(\$138,117)	-1.54%
3	Ind (930)	\$74,786	\$3,539	\$78,325	\$76,885	\$0	\$76,885	\$2,099	2.81%	(\$1,440)	-1.84%
4	Schools (920)	\$62,428	\$1,978	\$64,406	\$64,405	\$0	\$64,405	\$1,977	3.17%	(\$1)	0.00%
5	SGS (940)	\$36,174	\$1,714	\$37,888	\$36,174	\$0	\$36,174	\$0	0.00%	(\$1,714)	-4.52%
6	Interr. (955)	\$73,319	\$47	\$73,366	\$73,319	\$0	\$73,319	\$0	0.00%	(\$47)	-0.06%
7	Irrigation (965)	\$1,125,989	\$87,657	\$1,213,646	\$1,125,989	\$0	\$1,125,989	<u>\$0</u>	0.00%	(\$87,657)	-7.22%
8	Subtotal	\$47,301,433	\$1,603,253	\$48,904,686	\$48,489,951	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$48,489,951	\$1,188,518	2.51%	(\$414,735)	-0.85%
	<b>-</b>										
~	Transportation	<b>#4 400 747</b>	<b>#04.470</b>	A4 450 405	<b>64 400 747</b>	<b>A</b> 0	A4 400 747		0.000/	(004.470)	0.4401
9	Interr. (IT900)	\$1,133,717	\$24,478	\$1,158,195	<u>\$1,133,717</u>	\$0	\$1,133,717	\$0	0.00%	(\$24,478)	-2.11%
10	Firm (FT900)	<u>\$2,595,217</u>	<u>\$132,397</u>	<u>\$2,727,614</u>	<u>\$2,658,975</u>	<u>\$0</u> \$0	<u>\$2,658,975</u>	<u>\$63,758</u>	2.46%	<u>(\$68,639)</u>	-2.52%
11	Subtotal	\$3,728,934	\$156,875	\$3,885,809	\$3,792,692	\$0	\$3,792,692	\$63,758	1.71%	(\$93,117)	-2.40%
	Other										
12	Contract	\$419,166	\$0	\$419,166	\$419,166	\$0	\$419,166	\$0	0.00%	\$0	0.00%
13	Misc. Service	\$581,163		\$581,163	\$581,163	\$0	\$581,163		0.00%	\$0	0.00%
14	Subtotal	\$1,000,329	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$1,000,329	\$1,000,329	<u>\$0</u> \$0	\$1,000,329	<u>\$0</u> \$0	0.00%	<u>\$0</u> \$0	0.00%
•••		÷.,000,020	40	÷.,000,020	+.,000,020	40	÷.,000,020	40	0.0070	Ψ0	210070
15	Total Revenue	\$52,030,696	\$1,760,128	\$53,790,824	\$53,282,972	\$0	\$53,282,972	\$1,252,276	2.41%	(\$507,852)	-0.94%
				1	, , ,	<b>T</b> -	···/	1.1		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Source: CURB DR 1 & Direct Testimony of Brian Kalcic

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## 14-ATMG-320-RTS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 28<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2014, to the following parties:

SAMUAL FEATHER, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 s.feather@kcc.ks.gov

MICHAEL NEELEY, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 <u>m.neeley@kcc.ks.gov</u>

JAY VAN BLARICUM, ADVISORY COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 j.vanblaricum@kcc.ks.gov

JAMES G. FLAHERTY ANDERSON & BYRD, LLP 216 SOUTH HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KANSAS 66067 jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

DOUGLAS C. WALTHER, ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL ATMOS ENERGY PO BOX 650205 DALLAS, TX 75265-0205 Douglas.Walther@AtmosEnergy.com

JAMES PRICE, ATTORNEY ATMOS ENERGY PO BOX 650205 DALLAS, TX 75265-0205 James.Price@AtmosEnergy.com KAREN P. WILKES, DIVISION VP, REGULATORY & PUBLIC AFFAIRS ATMOS ENERGY 1555 BLAKE STREET, SUITE 400 DENVER, COLORADO 80202 Karen.Wilkes@AtmosEnergy.com

BARTON W. ARMSTRONG, VP OPERATIONS ATMOS ENERGY 25090 W 110<sup>TH</sup> TERR OLATHE, KS 66061 Bart.Armstrong@AtmosEnergy.com

Della Smith Administrative Specialist