20150813115023 Filed Date: 08/13/2015 State Corporation Commission of Kansas

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION FOR REVIEW AND ADJUSTMENT OF ITS NATURAL GAS RATES

Docket No.

16-ATMG- -RTS

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DANE A. WATSON

FOR ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

|--|

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

- 3 A. My name is Dane A. Watson. My business address is 1410 Avenue K, Suite 1105-B,
- 4 Plano, Texas 75074.

5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

A. I am the Managing Partner for Alliance Consulting Group. Alliance Consulting Group
 provides depreciation consulting and expert services to the utility industry. Alliance
 Consulting Group has specialized education and expertise in this area and has been
 serving clients for over 10 years.

10 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?

A. I am primarily responsible for overseeing and conducting depreciation studies for utility
 companies across the U.S.

13

1

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND 2 PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.

A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of
 Arkansas at Fayetteville in 1985. I also received a Masters degree in Business
 Administration from Amber University in 1991. I am a Certified Depreciation
 Professional, and a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Texas.

7 Q. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS?

A. Yes. I am a member of the Society of Depreciation Professionals and currently serve as
President and a faculty member in their training program. I am a member of the
American Gas Association and Edison Electrical Institute Property Accounting
Committee, where I have served in numerous leadership capacities and served as general
editor for industry publications. I am also a senior member of the Institute of Electronics
and Electrical Engineers.

14 Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION OR OTHER STATE

15 **I**

REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?

- A. Yes. A more detailed description of my testimony experience, qualifications, duties, and
 responsibilities, is included as Exhibit DAW-1. Also, I filed testimony before this
 Commission in Atmos Energy's Kansas rate case, Docket No. 12-ATMG-564-RTS.
- 19
- 20

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- 21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the depreciation studies performed by
 Alliance Consulting Group for Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos Energy" or "the

1		Company") Colorado-Kansas ("COKS") General Office, and Shared Services Unit
2		("Shared Services") depreciable assets and to support the depreciation rate changes
3		recommended for Atmos Energy's gas utility plant accounts based on the results of those
4		depreciation studies.
5	Q.	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS TO YOUR TESTIMONY?
6	А.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following exhibits:
7		• Exhibit DAW-1 is a detailed description of my qualifications, duties,
8		responsibilities, and a listing of my testimony experience.
9		• Exhibit DAW-2 is the Colorado-Kansas General Office Depreciation Study as
10		of September 30, 2014.
11		• Exhibit DAW-3 is the Shared Services Unit Depreciation Study as of
12		September 30, 2014.
13		
14		III. SUMMARY OF DEPRECIATION STUDY RESULTS
15	Q.	WHAT RECOMMENDATIONS ARE YOU MAKING IN YOUR TESTIMONY?
16	А.	I recommend that the Commission approve the depreciation rates developed for Atmos
17		Energy's utility plant accounts as set forth in the depreciation rate studies, which are
18		included as Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3. Based on the depreciation study year ending
19		September 30, 2014, the recommended depreciation rates for the COKS General Office
20		will result in a total annual depreciation expense of approximately \$255 thousand per
21		year. The annual depreciation expense for COKS General Office is allocated between
22		Colorado and Kansas customers. The recommended depreciation rates for Shared
23		Services will result in a total annual depreciation expense of approximately \$21.7

million. Similar to COKS General Office, the annual depreciation expense for Shared
Services is allocated to Atmos Energy divisions and Kansas will be allocated its share.
The direct impact to Kansas customers for COKS General Office and Shared Services
can be found on WP 10-1 of the revenue requirement model filed in this case.
Depreciation Expense is addressed by Company Witness Barbara Myers. The calculated
proposed depreciation rates at September 30, 2014 are shown in detail in Appendices A
and B of Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3.

8 Q. HOW DOES THE ANNUAL DEPRECIATION EXPENSE REFLECTED IN THE 9 DEPRECIATION RATE STUDIES RELATE TO WHAT ATMOS ENERGY IS 10 PROPOSING WITH RESPECT TO DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION 11 EXPENSE IN THIS RATE CASE?

Ms. Myers explains and supports Atmos Energy's proposals for depreciation expense 12 A. based on the Company's test period ending March 31, 2015 in her direct testimony, and 13 14 specifically requests the Commission's approval of the depreciation rates for Atmos Energy's utility plant accounts as recommended in the Depreciation Rate Studies. The 15 Company's proposed annual depreciation expense for the test period is calculated using 16 these same recommended depreciation rates, but based on test year ending March 31, 17 2015 plant balances. The proposed annual depreciation expense is provided in Ms. 18 19 Myers' testimony and exhibit.

20 Q. WHAT IS THE GOAL IN PREPARING THE ESTIMATE OF TEST PERIOD

21 PLANT IN SERVICE AND DEPRECIATION RESERVE?

A. The goal in preparing the test period amount is to define the level of plant in service,
 book depreciation reserve, and corresponding annual depreciation rates that will exist per

the Company's books and records at the conclusion of the test period. The estimates are
 performed to identify the level of actual activity and resulting balances anticipated to
 occur from my study date of September 30, 2014 through to the test period ending March
 31, 2015.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF INCLUDING AN ANNUALIZED 5 **DEPRECIATION EXPENSE LEVEL IN EXHIBITS DAW-2 AND DAW-3 AND** 6 **TESTIMONY IF THE DEPRECIATION AMOUNT IS NOT USED AS A BASIS** 7 FOR REVENUE REQUIREMENTS? 8

- A. The purpose for including an annualized depreciation expense amount is to illustrate the
 annual dollar impact of the proposed changes to the underlying depreciation parameters
 (*i.e.*, average service lives and net salvage percentage).
- 12
- 13

IV. DEPRECIATION STUDIES

14 Q. ARE THERE VARIOUS DEPRECIATION RELATED TERMS AND CONCEPTS

15 THAT ARE REFERENCED THROUGHOUT YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

- A. Yes. The following is a preliminary and limited glossary of key terms that may be
 useful. A more detailed discussion of these and other terms and concepts can be found in
 Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3.
- 19

ASL - ASL refers to Average Service Life. The average service life is the average period of years, from original installation date in which property group investments (related to property in service) continues to provide service until the property is retired from service.

1	ARL - ARL refers to Average Remaining Life. The average remaining life of the
2	property group is equal to the average period of years from the age of the property group
3	at the depreciation study date until the maximum life of the property group investment.
4	Said another way, it is the average period of years that current surviving investments in
5	the property group will continue to provide service to Atmos Energy's customers.
6	
7	Iowa Curves - A family of statistical curves (developed during the mid-1930s) that have
8	been used extensively to represent the survival characteristics of utility property. The
9	Iowa family of curves is fitted to raw survivor curves generated from the Company's
10	data being studied to both smooth and extrapolate Company data to zero percent
11	surviving as well as to identify historical life indications.
12	
13	Life Indication - The indication of average service life, developed from the database of
14	historical retirements, from a property group that is being studied.
15	
16	Gross Salvage - Gross receipts for the disposal of property retired from service. In some
17	instances the accounting entry from return of assets to stores, or the receipt of insurance
18	reimbursements for damage of Company property.
19	
20	Cost of Removal - The cost expended by the Company to remove or retire property from
21	service. The Company may either physically remove property from its service locations
22	or retire/abandon the property in place. In the case of abandonment, there are costs that
23	are routinely incurred to disconnect the property from the Company's operating system.

Net Salvage - Net Salvage is equal to Gross Salvage less Cost of Removal/Retirement.
Positive Net Salvage occurs if Gross Salvage exceeds Cost of Removal/Retirement.
Conversely, Negative Net Salvage occurs if Cost of Removal/Retirement exceeds Gross
Salvage. Negative Net Salvage is more prevalent in the retirement of utility property
because little residual value exists in the property being retired.

6

1

2

3

4

5

Data (Service Life and Salvage) - A data file containing Atmos Energy's historical 7 8 accounting activity related to the surviving investments as well as additions, retirements, transfers, and adjustments that have been recorded on the Company's books and records 9 in prior years. Similar information is also recorded relative to accounting entries within 10 Atmos Energy's book depreciation reserve. The data is used together with standard 11 depreciation study methods and procedures along with other current and anticipated 12 items to develop estimates of average service lives and net salvage factors. The 13 depreciation data is also used to calculate average remaining lives of the Company's 14 15 current surviving investments.

16

Actuarial Life Analysis - Actuarial analysis (retirement rate method) is one of the commonly accepted life analysis approaches used in evaluating the database of historical asset retirement experience where vintage data is available and sufficient retirement activity is present.

21 22

Q. WHAT DO THE DEPRECIATION STUDIES ANALYZE?

A. The studies in Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3 analyze the Company's historical

1

2

accounting database for life characteristics and net salvage percentages for Atmos Energy's assets at September 30, 2014.

- 3 Q. WHAT PROPERTY IS INCLUDED IN THE DEPRECIATION STUDIES?
- A. There is one class or functional group of depreciable property that is analyzed in the
 studies: General Plant property. General Plant property is not location specific, but is
 plant used to support Atmos Energy's overall operations (*e.g.*, office buildings,
 computers, and software). In the case of the COKS and Shared Services the costs are
 allocated a specific share among the Kansas customers.
- 9

Q.

WHEN WERE THE EXISTING DEPRECIATION RATES APPROVED?

- A. The existing depreciation rates for COKS General Office and Shared Services were
 approved in Docket No. 12-ATMG-564-RTS.
- Q. WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF CONDUCTING A NEW STUDY AND
 PROPOSING NEW DEPRECIATION RATES AT THIS TIME?
- A. It is important that periodic review and approval be made to depreciation rates to reflect
 the changes in investment and the underlying life and net salvage parameters required to
 achieve intergenerational equity for Atmos Energy's customers based on current and
 future operations of its depreciable assets. It is important for the Commission to review
 and set depreciation rates at a new level now to ensure that intergenerational equity for
 Atmos Energy's customers is maintained.

20 Q. CAN YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THE TERM INTERGENERATIONAL EQUITY?

A. Yes. The term intergenerational equity is a regulatory term and concept used to describe the fact that customer rates should be set to reflect an appropriate share of costs for the benefits received. Without periodic depreciation studies, more costs may be borne by

customers who don't receive an equitable share of the benefit. 1

Q. CAN YOU PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DEPRECIATION 2 **STUDY PROCESS?** 3

A. Yes. A depreciation study process encompasses four distinct phases. The first phase 4 involves data collection and field interviews. The second phase is where the initial data 5 analysis occurs. The third phase is where the information and analysis is evaluated. 6 After the first three stages are complete, the fourth phase involves the calculation of 7 deprecation rates and documenting the corresponding recommendations. I provide a 8 more detailed discussion later in my testimony and additional information can be found 9 with the results of the study in Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3. 10

Q. ARE THERE **STANDARD DEPRECIATION** PROCESSES AND 11 **METHODOLOGIES THAT ARE FOLLOWED?** 12

Yes. The depreciation study process and phases that I described above is a standard 13 A. 14 depreciation study approach. Inside each phase of the depreciation study process, standard life analysis, net salvage analysis, and rate calculation methodologies were 15 utilized. 16

DID YOU USE STANDARD PROCESSES AND METHODOLOGIES TO Q. 17 18

DETERMINE THE PROPOSED DEPRECIATION RATES?

19 Α. Yes. The depreciation system (straight-line method, equal life group procedure, and remaining life technique) was used in calculating the proposed depreciation rates, which 20 is the same depreciation system that was utilized to calculate the existing depreciation 21 22 rates.

WHY IS DEPRECIATION IMPORTANT TO DETERMINING ATMOS **Q**. 23

1 ENERGY'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT?

A. Depreciation is important because, as the definition below describes, depreciation expense enables Atmos Energy to recover in a timely manner the capital costs related to its plant-in-service benefiting the Company's customers. Appropriate depreciation rates will allow recovery of investments in depreciable assets over a life that provides for full recovery of the investments, less net salvage.

Q. WHAT DEFINITION OF DEPRECIATION HAVE YOU USED FOR THE PURPOSES OF CONDUCTING THE DEPRECIATION STUDIES AND PREPARING YOUR TESTIMONY?

The term "depreciation," as used herein, is considered in the accounting sense -- that is, 10 A. a system of accounting that distributes the cost of assets, less net salvage (if any), over 11 12 the estimated useful life of the assets in a systematic and rational manner. Depreciation is a process of allocation, not valuation. Depreciation expense allocates the cost of the 13 asset, including any estimated net salvage necessary to remove the asset, as an ongoing 14 cost of operations over the economic life of the asset. Depreciation expense is 15 systematically allocated to accounting periods over the life of the properties. The 16 amount allocated to any one accounting period does not necessarily represent the loss or 17 18 decrease in value that will occur during that particular period. Thus, depreciation is 19 considered an expense or cost of operations, rather than a loss or decrease in value. Atmos Energy accrues depreciation based on the original cost of all property included in 20each depreciable plant account. On retirement, the full cost of depreciable property, less 21 the net salvage amount, if any, is charged to the depreciation reserve. 22

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR DEPRECIATION STUDY APPROACH IN MORE DETAIL.

3 A. With the assistance of my staff, I conducted the depreciation studies in four phases as 4 broadly described previously and at pages 11-13 of Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3. The four phases are: Data Collection, Analysis, Evaluation, and Calculation. During the 5 initial phase of the study, I collected historical data to be used in the analysis. After the 6 7 data was assembled. I performed analyses to determine the life characteristics and net 8 salvage percentage for the different property groups being studied. As part of this 9 process, I conferred with field personnel, engineers, and managers responsible for the installation, operation, and removal of the assets to gain their input into the operation, 10 maintenance, and salvage of the assets. The information obtained from field personnel, 11 engineers, and managerial personnel, combined with the study results, were then 12 evaluated to determine how the results of the historical asset activity analysis, in 13 14 conjunction with the Company's expected future plans, should be applied. Using all of 15 these resources, I then calculated the depreciation rate for each group of assets.

16 Q. WHAT DEPRECIATION SYSTEM DID YOU USE?

A. The straight-line (method), Equal Life Group ("ELG") (procedure), remaining-life
(technique) depreciation system was used in these studies.

19 Q. HOW ARE THE DEPRECIATION RATES DETERMINED USING THE ELG 20 PROCEDURE?

A. The annual depreciation expense for each group was computed by dividing the original
 cost of the asset, less allocated depreciation reserve, less estimated net salvage, by its
 respective equal life group remaining life. The resulting annual accrual amounts of all

depreciable property within an account were accumulated, and the total was divided by 1 the original cost of all depreciable property within the account to determine the 2 depreciation rate. The calculated remaining lives and annual depreciation accrual rates 3 were based on attained ages of plant in service and the estimated service life and net 4 salvage characteristics of each depreciable group. The formulas for the depreciation rate 5 calculations by type of plant are shown in Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3, pages 14-15. 6 The individual account computations of the annual depreciation rates are shown in 7 Appendices B of Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3. 8

9 Q. WHAT TIME PERIOD DID YOU USE TO DEVELOP THE PROPOSED 10 DEPRECIATION RATES?

A. The depreciation rates were developed based on the depreciable property recorded on
the Company's books at September 30, 2014.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE DEPRECIATION STUDY RESULTS WITH RESPECT TO DEPRECIATION RATES.

A. Based on the proposed depreciation rates indicated in the depreciation study, as applied to plant account balances as of September 30, 2014, the overall change in annual depreciation and amortization expense for COKS General Office annual depreciation expense is an increase of approximately \$11 thousand. For Shared Services no comparison of annual depreciation expense is provided, but the proposed depreciation expense and rates are shown on Appendix A of Exhibit DAW-3. A more detailed discussion for each study will follow below.

1		A. Depreciation Study Results – Colorado-Kansas General Office
2	Q.	WHAT FACTORS INFLUENCE THE DEPRECIATION RATES FOR THE
3		COKS GENERAL OFFICE ACCOUNTS?
4	A.	The primary factors that influence the depreciation rate for an account are: the
5		remaining investment to be recovered in the account, the depreciable life (ASL) of the
6		account, and the net salvage for the account.
7	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY INITIAL OBSERVATIONS ABOUT ATMOS ENERGY'S
8		COKS GENERAL OFFICE DEPRECIATION RATES IN GENERAL?
9	А.	Yes. COKS General Office's depreciation expense is increasing slightly from previously
10		approved levels.
11	Q.	WHY IS DEPRECIATION EXPENSE INCREASING FOR THE COKS
12		GENERAL OFFICE PROPERTY?
13	A.	The change in depreciation expense, an increase of approximately \$11 thousand, is
14		primarily attributable to the reserve position.
15	Q.	WHAT DOES THE TERM RESERVE POSITION MEAN?
16	А.	The term reserve position refers to the comparison of the calculated theoretical reserve to
17		the existing book reserve.
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF MAKING THE COMPARISON BETWEEN THE
19		THEORETICAL RESERVE AND THE RECORDED BOOK RESERVE?
20	A.	The theoretical reserve is used in a depreciation study to test the adequacy of the existing
21		book reserve level. In calculating remaining-life depreciation rates, this test assesses the
22		difference between the calculated theoretical and actual book reserves necessary to
23		recover the plant investment over its remaining life.

1 Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS OF THE THEORETICAL RESERVE CALCULATION?

A. The theoretical reserve of a group is developed from the estimated remaining life, total life of the property group, and estimated net salvage. The theoretical reserve represents the portion of the group cost that would have been accrued if current forecasts were used throughout the life of the group for future depreciation accruals. Any difference between the theoretical and book reserve are calculated in the annual depreciation accrual and resulting depreciation rates.

8 Q. WHAT METHOD DID YOU USE TO ANALYZE HISTORICAL DATA FOR

9 THE COKS GENERAL OFFICE TO DETERMINE LIFE CHARACTERISTICS?

A. All plant accounts were analyzed using actuarial analysis (retirement rate method) to estimate the life of the property in each account. In much the same manner as human mortality is analyzed by actuaries, depreciation analysts use models of property mortality characteristics that have been validated in research and empirical applications. Further detail of the life method used to analyze the historical data is found in Exhibit DAW-2 on page 10.

16 Q. HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIVES FOR EACH 17 ASSET GROUP?

A. The appropriate average service lives for each account in the General Plant function
 were determined by using actuarial analysis. Graphs and tables supporting the analysis
 and the chosen Iowa Curves used to determine the average service lives for analyzed
 accounts are found in the Life Analysis section of Exhibit DAW-2, pages17-25 and in
 the supporting workpapers to the study. A comparison of the existing and proposed
 depreciable lives is shown in Exhibit DAW-2, Appendix C.

1

2

Q.

PLEASE DESCRIBE SOME OF THE CHANGES IN THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIVES FOR THE VARIOUS ACCOUNTS?

- A. All accounts are classified as General Plant. There are two accounts with increasing
 lives, two accounts with decreasing lives, four accounts with no change and one account
 where no comparison was possible. The detailed analysis of each account is described
 fully in Exhibit DAW-2, pages 17-25. The changes in average service lives are as
 follows:
- The increases in life were in Account 39903, Server Hardware, which increased by one
 year and Account 39907 PC Software, which also increased by one year.
- The decreases in life were in Account 394, Tools Shop & Garage Equipment, which
 decreased by one year and Account 398, Miscellaneous Equipment, which decreased by
 2 years.

13 Q. HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE NET SALVAGE PERCENTAGES FOR 14 EACH ASSET GROUP?

The establishment of appropriate net salvage percentages for each account was 15 A. determined by using the industry-standard method discussed above, which is also the 16 17 same method used for the currently approved depreciation rates. The net salvage as a percent of retirements for various bands (*i.e.*, groupings of years such as the five-year 18 average) for each account is shown in Appendix D of Exhibit DAW-2. Judgment was 19 used to select a net salvage percentage that represents the future expectations for each 20account. A comparison of the existing and proposed net salvage percentages is shown in 21 22 Exhibit DAW-2, Appendix C.

23 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE SOME OF THE CHANGES IN THE NET SALVAGE

1

PERCENTAGES FOR THE VARIOUS ACCOUNTS?

2	А.	The detailed analysis of each account is described fully in Exhibit DAW-2, starting at
3		page 17. For the type of assets in the COKS General Office there is generally no salvage
4		and no cost of removal resulting in a 0 percent net salvage. Currently the net salvage
5		percentage is 0 percent for all accounts and was retained.
6		B. Depreciation Study Results – Shared Services
7	Q.	DO THE SAME FACTORS, DESCRIBED PREVIOUSLY, INFLUENCE THE
8		DEPRECIATION RATES FOR THE SHARED SERVICES ACCOUNTS?
9	А.	Yes, the primary factors that influence the depreciation rate for an account are: the
10		remaining investment to be recovered in the account, the depreciable life (ASL) of the
11		account, and the net salvage for the account.
12	Q.	WHAT METHOD DID YOU USE TO ANALYZE HISTORICAL DATA FOR
13		THE SHARED SERVICES TO DETERMINE LIFE CHARACTERISTICS?
14	А.	All plant accounts were analyzed using actuarial analysis (retirement rate method) to
15		estimate the life of the property in each account. In much the same manner as human
16		mortality is analyzed by actuaries, depreciation analysts use models of property mortality
17		characteristics that have been validated in research and empirical applications. Further
18		detail of the life method used to analyze the historical data is found in Exhibit DAW-3
19		on page 7.
20	Q.	HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIVES FOR EACH
21		ASSET GROUP?
21 22	A.	The appropriate average service lives for each account in the General functions were

r

the chosen Iowa Curves used to determine the average service lives for analyzed
accounts are found in the Life Analysis section of Exhibit DAW-3, pages 17-31 and in
the supporting workpapers to the study. A list of depreciable lives for Shared Services is
shown in Exhibit DAW-3, Appendix C.

5 Q. HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE NET SALVAGE PERCENTAGES FOR 6 EACH ASSET GROUP?

A. The establishment of appropriate net salvage percentages for each account was
determined by using the industry-standard method discussed above, which is also the
same method used for the currently approved depreciation rates. The net salvage as a
percent of retirements for various bands (*i.e.*, groupings of years such as the five-year
average) for each account is shown in Appendix D of Exhibit DAW-3. Judgment was
used to select a net salvage percentage that represents the future expectations for each
account.

14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NET SALVAGE PERCENTAGES FOR THE 15 VARIOUS ACCOUNTS?

A. The detailed analysis of each account is described fully in Exhibit DAW-3, starting at
 page 17. Typically for the type of assets in Shared Services there is no salvage and no
 cost of removal, resulting in a 0 percent net salvage. However, Account 392,
 Transportation Equipment has a positive 10 percent net salvage recommended.

20

21

V. CONCLUSION

22 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCLUDING REMARKS?

Yes. The depreciation studies and analysis were performed under my supervision using A. 1 standard depreciation processes and methodologies. The studies followed standard 2 depreciation rate calculation methods. Atmos Energy should continue to periodically 3 review the annual depreciation rates for its property so that appropriate rates are included 4 in its revenue requirements to ensure intergenerational equity to its customers. In this 5 way, the Company's depreciation expense will more accurately reflect its cost of 6 operations and the rates for all customers will include an appropriate share of the capital 7 expended for their benefit. The proposed depreciation rates contained in the studies as of 8 9 September 30, 2014, Exhibits DAW-2 and DAW-3, are the result of complete, comprehensive depreciation studies, are reasonable and appropriate given that they 10 incorporate the service life and net salvage parameters currently anticipated for each of 11 the property group investments over their average remaining lives, and should be 12 approved. 13

14 Q. DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF COLLIN

Dane A. Watson, being duly sworn upon his oath, deposes and states that he is a Partner of Alliance Consulting Group; that he has read and is familiar with the foregoing Direct Testimony filed herewith; and that the statements made therein are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Dane A. Watson

Subscribed and sworn before me this $\frac{24}{16}$ day of July, 2015.

)

hister Ull

My appointment expires: 1/7/19



Statement of Qualifications Dane A. Watson, P.E, CDP

I am the Managing Partner of the Alliance Consulting Group - one of the premier consulting firms serving utility industries in the United States. As Managing Partner, I oversee and conduct depreciation studies for utilities across the U.S. I have 30 years of experience in utility property accounting, depreciation, and valuation. I have an industry-wide reputation with significant experience as an expert witness in depreciation, valuation and rate base areas and have provided testimony and support in more than 100 state or federal regulatory commission dockets. I have conducted depreciation studies for a variety of assets for both regulated and nonregulated companies.

The Society of Depreciation Professionals ("the Society") has established national standards for depreciation professionals. The Society administers an examination and has certain required qualifications to become certified in this field. I met all requirements and have become a Certified Depreciation Professional ("CDP"). In addition, I am a registered Professional Engineer in the state of Texas.

I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Arkansas at Fayetteville in 1985. I also received a Masters degree in Business Administration from Amber University in 1991.

Since graduation from college in 1985, I have worked in the area of depreciation and valuation. I founded Alliance Consulting Group in 2004 and am responsible for conducting depreciation, valuation and certain accounting-related studies for utilities in various industries. My duties related to depreciation studies include the assembly and analysis of historical and simulated data, conducting field reviews, determining service life and net salvage estimates, calculating annual depreciation, presenting recommended depreciation rates to utility management for its consideration, and supporting such rates before regulatory bodies.

My prior employment from 1985 to 2004 was with Texas Utilities ("TXU"). During my tenure with TXU, I was responsible for, among other things, conducting valuation and depreciation studies for the domestic TXU companies. During that time, I served as Manager of Property Accounting Services and Records Management in addition to my depreciation responsibilities. My responsibilities included testifying in 15 rate or restructuring proceedings

before various Commissions including the Texas Railroad Commission, the Texas Public Utilities Commission and the FERC. I led the Sarbanes-Oxley implementation for property processes. During my tenure at TXU, I increased scope of my position to managing all fixed asset and construction accounting, inventory accounting, transportation accounting, fixed asset accounting systems and corporate wide records management. I led efforts to convert 14 companies to a new fixed asset system. I restructured the valuation system to provide 90% faster response time and implemented new construction/fixed asset systems that facilitated a 12 FTE reduction in staff. I also built a state-of-the-art lease accounting system to handle reporting and payment of all TXU leases as well as a highly automated imaging system to replace microfilm and paper document storage and retrieval systems reducing costs and shortening response time.

In addition, I have held a number of national industry roles related to depreciation and property accounting including twice chairing the Plant Accounting and Valuation Committee of the Edison Electric Institute. I attended all the classes offered by the Depreciation Programs, Inc. (DPI) and continues to refresh my training by attending (and teaching) various depreciation related seminars across the country. I developed training materials for the Advanced Training session of the Society for Depreciation Professionals. Multiple times, I served as general editor of the industry publication "Introduction to Depreciation and Net Salvage of Public Utility Plant and Plant of Other Industries", am contributing editor to other industry publications and am a frequent speaker at conferences on depreciation related issues. I also led the industry adoption of SFAS 143 and was industry panelist be-fore FERC (FERC Docket 02-0700) testifying on their implementation of SFAS 143.

I have twice been Chair of the Edison Electric Institute ("EEI") Property Accounting and Valuation Committee and have been Chairman of EEI's Depreciation and Economic Issues Subcommittee. I am a Registered Professional Engineer ("PE") in the State of Texas and a Certified Depreciation Professional. I am a Senior Member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") and have held numerous offices on the Executive Board of the Dallas Section of IEEE as well as national and worldwide offices. I am also Past President of the Society of Depreciation Professionals and will again serve as President in 2015.

Asset Location	Commission	Docket (If Applicable	Company	Year	Description
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	44746	Wind Energy Transmission Texas	2015	Electric Depreciation Study
Colorado	Colorado Public Utilities Commission	15-AL- 0299G	Atmos Colorado	2015	Gas Depreciation Study
Arkansas	Arkansas Public Service Commission	15-011-U	Source Gas Arkansas	2015	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	GUD 10432	CenterPoint- Texas Coast Division	2015	Gas Depreciation Study
Kansas	Kansas Corporation Commission	15-KCPE- 116-RTS	Kansas City Power and Light	2015	Electric Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-14-120	Alaska Electric Light and Power	2014- 2015	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	43950	Cross Texas Transmission	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
New Mexico	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission	14-00332-UT	Public Service of New Mexico	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	43695	Xcel Energy	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Multi State – SE US	FERC	RP15-101	Florida Gas Transmission	2014	Gas Transmission Depreciation Study
California	California Public Utilities Commission	A.14-07-006	Golden State Water	2014	Water and Waste Water Depreciation Study

Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-17653	Consumers Energy Company	2014	Electric and Common Depreciation Study
Colorado	Public Utilities Commission of Colorado	14AL-0660E	Public Service of Colorado	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Wisconsin	Wisconsin	05-DU-102	WE Energies	2014	Electric, Gas, Steam and Common Depreciation Studies
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	42469	Lone Star Transmission	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Nebraska	Nebraska Public Service Commission	NG-0079	Source Gas Nebraska	2014	Gas Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-14-055	TDX North Slope Generating	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-14-054	Sand Point Generating LLC	2014	Electric Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-14-045	Matanuska Electric Coop	2014	Electric Generation Depreciation Study
Texas, New Mexico	Public Utility Commission of Texas	42004	Xcel Energy	2013- 2014	Electric Production, Transmission, Distribution and General Plant Depreciation Study
New Jersey	Board of Public Utilities	GR13111137	South Jersey Gas	2013	Gas Depreciation Study
Various	FERC	RP14-247- 000	Sea Robin	2013	Gas Depreciation Study

Arkansas	Arkansas Public Service Commission	13-078-U	Arkansas Oklahoma Gas	2013	Gas Depreciation Study
Arkansas	Arkansas Public Service Commission	13-079-U	Source Gas Arkansas	2013	Gas Depreciation Study
California	California Public Utilities Commission	Proceeding No.: A.13-11- 003	Southern California Edison	2013	Electric Depreciation Study
North Carolina/South Carolina	FERC	ER13-1313	Progress Energy Carolina	2013	Electric Depreciation Study
Wisconsin	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin	4220-DU- 108	Northern States Power- Wisconsin	2013	Electric, Gas and Common Transmission, Distribution and General
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	41474	Sharyland	2013	Electric Depreciation Study
Kentucky	Kentucky Public Service Commission	2013-00148	Atmos Energy Corporation	2013	Gas Depreciation Study
Minnesota	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission	13-252	Allete Minnesota Power	2013	Electric Depreciation Study
New Hampshire	New Hampshire Public Service Commission	DE 13-063	Liberty Utilities	2013	Electric Distribution and General
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10235	West Texas Gas	2013	Gas Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-12-154	Alaska Telephone Company	2012	Telecommunications Utility
New Mexico	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission	12-00350-UT	SPS	2012	Electric Depreciation Study

Colorado	Colorado Public Utilities Commission	12AL-1269ST	Public Service of Colorado	2012	Gas and Steam Depreciation Study
Colorado	Colorado Public Utilities Commission	12AL-1268G	Public Service of Colorado	2012	Gas and Steam Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-12-149	Municipal Power and Light City of Anchorage	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Public Utility Commission	40824	Xcel Energy	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
South Carolina	Public Service Commission of South Carolina	Docket 2012- 384-E	Progress Energy Carolina	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-12-141	Interior Telephone Company	2012	Telecommunications Utility
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-17104	Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
North Carolina	North Carolina Utilities Commission	E-2 Sub 1025	Progress Energy Carolina	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Public Utility Commission	40606	Wind Energy Transmission Texas	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Public Utility Commission	40604	Cross Texas Transmission	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Minnesota	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission	12-858	Minnesota Northern States Power	2012	Electric, Gas and Common Transmission, Distribution and General

Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10170	Atmos Mid- Tex	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10174	Atmos West Texas	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10182	CenterPoint Beaumont/ East Texas	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Kansas	Kansas Corporation Commission	12-KCPE- 764-RTS	Kansas City Power and Light	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Nevada	Public Utility Commission of Nevada	12-04005	Southwest Gas	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10147, 10170	Atmos Mid- Tex	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Kansas	Kansas Corporation Commission	12-ATMG- 564-RTS	Atmos Kansas	2012	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Public Utility Commission	40020	Lone Star Transmission	2012	Electric Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-16938	Consumers Energy Company	2011	Gas Depreciation Study
Colorado	Public Utilities Commission of Colorado	11AL-947E	Public Service of Colorado	2011	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Public Utility Commission	39896	Entergy Texas	2011	Electric Depreciation Study
MultiState	FERC	ER12-212	American Transmission Company	2011	Electric Depreciation Study
California	California Public Utilities Commission	A1011015	Southern California Edison	2011	Electric Depreciation Study

Mississippi	Mississippi Public Service Commission	2011-UN-184	Atmos Energy	2011	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Matter 37050-R	Southwest Water Company	2011	WasteWater Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Commission on Environmental Quality	Matter 37049- R	Southwest Water Company	2011	Water Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-16536	Consumers Energy Company	2011	Wind Depreciation Rate Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	38929	Oncor	2011	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	10038	CenterPoint South TX	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-10-070	Inside Passage Electric Cooperative	2010	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	36633	City Public Service of San Antonio	2010	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Railroad Commission	10000	Atmos Pipeline Texas	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Multi State – SE US	FERC	RP10-21-000	Florida Gas Transmission	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Maine/ New Hampshire	FERC	10-896	Granite State Gas Transmission	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	38480	Texas New Mexico Power	2010	Electric Depreciation Study

Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	38339	CenterPoint Electric	2010	Electric Depreciation Study
California	California Public Utility Commission	A10071007	California American Water	2009- 2010	Water and Waste Water Depreciation Study
Texas	Texas Railroad Commission	10041	Atmos Amarillo	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Georgia	Georgia Public Service Commission	31647	Atlanta Gas Light	2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	38147	Southwestern Public Service	2010	Electric Technical Update
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-09-015	Alaska Electric Light and Power	2009- 2010	Electric Depreciation Study
Alaska	Regulatory Commission of Alaska	U-10-043	Utility Services of Alaska	2009- 2010	Water Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-16055	Consumers Energy/DTE Energy	2009- 2010	Ludington Pumped Storage Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-16054	Consumers Energy	2009- 2010	Electric Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-15963	Michigan Gas Utilities Corporation	2009	Gas Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-15989	Upper Peninsula Power Company	2009	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9869	Atmos Energy	2009	Shared Services Depreciation Study
Mississippi	Mississippi Public Service Commission	09-UN-334	CenterPoint Energy Mississippi	2009	Gas Depreciation Study

Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9902	CenterPoint Energy Houston	2009	Gas Depreciation Study
Wyoming	Wyoming Public Service Commission	30022-148- GR10	Source Gas	2009- 2010	Gas Depreciation Study
Colorado	Colorado Public Utilities Commission	09AL-299E	Public Service of Colorado	2009	Electric Depreciation Study
Tennessee	Tennessee Regulatory Authority	11-00144	Piedmont Natural Gas	2009	Gas Depreciation Study
Louisiana	Louisiana Public Service Commission	U-30689	Cleco	2008	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	35763	SPS	2008	Electric Production, Transmission, Distribution and General Plant Depreciation Study
Wisconsin	Wisconsin	05-DU-101	WE Energies	2008	Electric, Gas, Steam and Common Depreciation Studies
North Dakota	North Dakota Public Service Commission	PU-07-776	Northern States Power	2008	Net Salvage
New Mexico	New Mexico Public Regulation Commission	07-00319 - UT	SPS	2008	Testimony – Depreciation
Multiple States	Railroad Commission of Texas	9762	Atmos Energy	2007- 2008	Shared Services Depreciation Study
Minnesota	Minnesota Public Utilities Commission	E015/D-08- 422	Minnesota Power	2007- 2008	Electric Depreciation Study

Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	35717	Oncor	2008	Electric Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	34040	Oncor	2007	Electric Depreciation Study
Michigan	Michigan Public Service Commission	U-15629	Consumers Energy	2006- 2009	Gas Depreciation Study
Colorado	Colorado Public Utilities Commission	06-234-EG	Public Service of Colorado	2006	Electric Depreciation Study
Arkansas	Arkansas Public Service Commission	06-161-U	CenterPoint Energy – Arkla Gas	2006	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study and Removal Cost Study
Texas, New Mexico	Public Utility Commission of Texas	32766	Xcel Energy	2005- 2006	Electric Production, Transmission, Distribution and General Plant Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9670/9676	Atmos Energy Corp	2005- 2006	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9400	TXU Gas	2003- 2004	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9313	TXU Gas	2002	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9225	TXU Gas	2002	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	24060	TXU	2001	Line Losses
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	23640	TXU	2001	Line Losses

Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	9145-9148	TXU Gas	2000- 2001	Gas Distribution Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	22350	TXU	2000- 2001	Electric Depreciation Study, Unbundling
Texas	Railroad Commission of Texas	8976	TXU Pipeline	1999	Pipeline Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	20285	TXU	1999	Fuel Company Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	18490	TXU	1998	Transition to Competition
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	16650	TXU	1997	Customer Complaint
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	15195	TXU	1996	Mining Company Depreciaiton Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	12160	TXU	1993	Fuel Company Depreciation Study
Texas	Public Utility Commission of Texas	11735	TXU	1993	Electric Depreciation Study

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION COLORADO KANSAS GENERAL OFFICE PROPERTY

DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY

As of September 30, 2014



http://www.utilityalliance.com

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION COLORADO KANSAS GENERAL OFFICE PROPERTY DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos" or "Company") engaged Alliance Consulting Group to conduct a depreciation study of the Company's Colorado Kansas General Office ("COKS General Office") depreciable assets as of fiscal year end September 30, 2014. COKS General Office provides support to Atmos Energy Corporation's regulated utility divisions which at the year ended September 30, 2014 were:

- Colorado; and
- Kansas

The existing depreciation rates were based on the straight-line method, equal life group ("ELG") procedure, and remaining-life technique and the same method, procedure and technique are retained in this study. This study recommends an increase of \$11 thousand in annual depreciation expense when compared to the depreciation rates currently in effect. This study results in an annual depreciation expense accrual of \$255 thousand when applied to depreciable plant balances as of September 30, 2014. There were two accounts where lives increased and two accounts where lives decreased, five accounts remained unchanged and one account where no comparison could be made. There was no change in any account net salvage.

The depreciation study conducted analyzed and developed depreciation recommendations at an account level. The resulting annual depreciation accrual amounts and depreciation rates contained in this study are at the account level. The Company will accrue depreciation expense based on the account level depreciation rates developed in this study. Appendix A demonstrates the annual depreciation expense.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION COLORADO KANSAS GENERAL OFFICE PROPERTY DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY As of September 30, 2014 Table of Contents

PURPOSE	1
STUDY RESULTS	2
GENERAL DISCUSSION	3
DEFINITION BASIS OF DEPRECIATION ESTIMATES SURVIVOR CURVES ACTUARIAL ANALYSIS JUDGMENT EQUAL LIFE GROUP DEPRECIATION	3 3 4 7 8 9
THEORETICAL DEPRECIATION RESERVE	9
DETAILED DISCUSSION	1
DEPRECIATION STUDY PROCESS	1 4 4
LIFE AND NET SALVAGE	6
NET SALVAGE CONSIDERATIONS1	7
APPENDIX A COMPARISON OF ANNUAL RATE AND ACCRUAL	6
APPENDIX B ANNUAL ACCRUAL RATE CALCULATIONS	8
APPENDIX C COMPARISON OF MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS	0
APPENDIX D NET SALVAGE ANALYSIS	2

PURPOSE

The purpose of this study is to develop depreciation rates for the depreciable property as recorded on COKS General Office's books at September 30, 2014. The account based depreciation rates were designed to recover the total remaining undepreciated investment, adjusted for net salvage, over the remaining life of property on a straight-line basis. Non-depreciable property and property which is amortized, such as intangibles were excluded from this study.

COKS General Office is a division of Atmos Corporation dedicated to providing various support services to two of its regulated gas utility operating companies in the states of Colorado and Kansas. COKS General Office serves over 240,000 customers across these states.
STUDY RESULTS

The existing and current study annual depreciation expense results from the use of Iowa Curve dispersion patterns with average service life, the equal life group ("ELG") procedure and remaining-life technique, and consideration of net salvage in the development of the study recommended depreciation rates. Detailed information for each of these factors will follow in this report.

Overall depreciation rates for COKS General Office depreciable property are shown in Appendix A. These rates translate into an annual depreciation accrual of \$255 thousand based on depreciable investment at September 30, 2014. The annual equivalent depreciation expense calculated by the same method using the currently approved rates was \$244 thousand. The primary driver for the increase in the annual depreciation expense when compared to the existing is related to the reserve position.

Appendix A presents a comparison of the composite existing rates versus the recommended study rates. Appendix B presents the development of the depreciation rates and annual accruals. Appendix C presents the mortality and net salvage parameters by account. Appendix D shows net salvage history by plant account.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Definition

The term "depreciation" as used in this study is considered in the accounting sense, that is, a system of accounting that distributes the cost of assets, less net salvage (if any), over the estimated useful life of the assets in a systematic and rational manner. It is a process of allocation, not valuation. This expense is systematically allocated to accounting periods over the life of the properties. The amount allocated to any one accounting period does not necessarily represent the loss or decrease in value that will occur during that particular period. The Company accrues depreciation on the basis of the original cost of all depreciable property included in each functional property group. On retirement the full cost of depreciable property, less the net salvage value, is charged to the depreciation reserve.

Basis of Depreciation Estimates

The straight-line, equal life group ("ELG"), remaining-life depreciation system was employed to calculate annual and accrued depreciation in this study. In this system, the annual depreciation expense for each group is computed by dividing the original cost of the asset less allocated depreciation reserve less estimated net salvage by its respective equal life group remaining life. The resulting annual accrual amounts of all depreciable property within a function were accumulated, and the total was divided by the original cost of all functional depreciable property to determine the depreciation rate. The calculated remaining lives and annual depreciation accrual rates were based on attained ages of plant in service and the estimated service life and salvage characteristics of each depreciable group. The computations of the annual depreciation rates are shown in Appendix B and remaining life calculations are provided in the workpapers.

Actuarial analysis was used with each account within a function where

sufficient data was available, and judgment was used to some degree on all accounts.

Survivor Curves

To fully understand depreciation projections in a regulated utility setting, there must be a basic understanding of survivor curves. Individual property units within a group do not normally have identical lives or investment amounts. The average life of a group can be determined by first constructing a survivor curve which is plotted as a percentage of the units surviving at each age. A survivor curve represents the percentage of property remaining in service at various age intervals. The lowa Curves are the result of an extensive investigation of life characteristics of physical property made at lowa State College Engineering Experiment Station in the first half of the prior century. Through common usage, revalidation and regulatory acceptance, these curves have become a descriptive standard for the life characteristics of industrial property. An example of an lowa Curve is shown below.



There are four families in the Iowa Curves that are distinguished by the relation of the age at the retirement mode (largest annual retirement frequency) and the average life. For distributions with the mode age greater than the average life, an "R" designation (i.e., Right modal) is used. The family of "R" moded curves is shown below.



Similarly, an "S" designation (i.e., Symmetric modal) is used for the family whose mode age is symmetric about the average life. An "L" designation (i.e., Left modal) is used for the family whose mode age is less than the average life. A special case of left modal dispersion is the "O" or origin modal curve family. Within each curve family, numerical designations are used to describe the relative magnitude of the retirement frequencies at the mode. A "6" indicates that the retirements are not greatly dispersed from the mode (i.e., high mode frequency) while a "1" indicates a large dispersion about the mode (i.e., low mode frequency). For example, a curve with an average life of 30 years and an "L3" dispersion is a moderately dispersed, left modal curve that can be designated as a 30 L3 Curve. An SQ, or square, survivor curve occurs where no dispersion is present (i.e., units of common age retire simultaneously).

Most property groups can be closely fitted to one Iowa Curve with a unique

average service life. The blending of judgment concerning current conditions and future trends along with the matching of historical data permits the depreciation analyst to make an informed selection of an account's average life and retirement dispersion pattern.

Actuarial Analysis

Actuarial analysis (retirement rate method) was used in evaluating historical asset retirement experience where vintage data were available and sufficient retirement activity was present. In actuarial analysis, interval exposures (total property subject to retirement at the beginning of the age interval, regardless of vintage) and age interval retirements are calculated. The complement of the ratio of interval retirements to interval exposures establishes a survivor ratio. The survivor ratio is the fraction of property surviving to the end of the selected age interval, given that it has survived to the beginning of that age interval. Survivor ratios for all of the available age intervals were chained by successive multiplications to establish a series of survivor factors, collectively known as an observed life table. The observed life table shows the experienced mortality characteristic of the account and may be compared to standard mortality curves such as the lowa Curves. Where data was available, accounts were analyzed using this method. Placement bands were used to illustrate the composite history over a specific era, and experience bands were used to focus on retirement history for all vintages during a set period. The results from these analyses for those accounts which had data sufficient to be analyzed using this method are shown in the Life Analysis section of this report.

<u>Judgment</u>

Any depreciation study requires informed judgment by the analyst conducting the study. A knowledge of the property being studied, company policies and procedures, general trends in technology and industry practice, and a sound basis of understanding depreciation theory are needed to apply this informed judgment. Judgment was used in areas such as survivor curve modeling and selection, depreciation method selection, simulated plant record method analysis, and actuarial analysis.

Judgment is not defined as being used in cases where there are specific, significant pieces of information that influence the choice of a life or curve. Those cases would simply be a reflection of specific facts into the analysis. Where there are multiple factors, activities, actions, property characteristics, statistical inconsistencies, implications of applying certain curves, property mix in accounts or a multitude of other considerations that impact the analysis (potentially in various directions), judgment is used to take all of these factors and synthesize them into a general direction or understanding of the characteristics of the property. Individually, no one factor in these cases may have a substantial impact on the analysis, but overall, may shed light on the utilization and characteristics of assets. Judgment may also be defined as deduction, inference, wisdom, common sense, or the ability to make sensible decisions. There is no single correct result from statistical analysis; hence, there is no answer absent judgment. At the very least for example, any analysis requires choosing which bands to place more emphasis.

The establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions for COKS General Office' accounts requires judgment to incorporate the understanding of the operation of the system with the available accounting information analyzed using the Retirement Rate actuarial methods. The appropriateness of lives and curves depends not only on statistical analyses, but also on how well future retirement patterns will match past retirements.

Current applications and trends in use of the equipment also need to be factored into life and survivor curve choices in order for appropriate mortality characteristics to be chosen.

Equal Life Group Depreciation

Atmos agreed that the continued use of the ELG depreciation procedure was appropriate. This study uses the ELG depreciation procedure to group the assets within each account. After an average service life and dispersion were selected for each account, those parameters were used to estimate what portion of the surviving investment of each vintage was expected to retire. The depreciation of the group continues until all investment in the vintage group is retired. ELG groups are defined by their respective account dispersion, life, and net salvage estimates. A straightline rate for each ELG group is computed and accumulated across each vintage. The resulting rate for each ELG group is designed to recover all retirements less net salvage as each vintage retires. The ELG procedure recovers net book cost over the life of each ELG group rather than averaging many components. It also closely matches the concept of component or item accounting found in all accounting textbooks.

Theoretical Depreciation Reserve

The Company's book depreciation reserves were reallocated based on the theoretical reserves for each account. This study used a reserve model that relied on a prospective concept relating future retirement and accrual patterns for property, given current life and salvage estimates. The theoretical reserve of a group is developed from the estimated remaining life, total life of the property group, and estimated net salvage. The theoretical reserve represents the portion of the group cost that would have been accrued if current forecasts were used throughout the life of the group for future depreciation accruals. The computation involves multiplying the vintage balances within the group by the theoretical reserve ratio for each

vintage. The equal life group method requires an estimate of dispersion and service life to establish how much of each vintage is expected to be retired in each year until all property within the vintage is retired. Estimated average service lives and dispersion determine the amount within each equal life group. The equal life groupremaining-life theoretical reserve ratio (RRELG) is calculated as:

$$RRELG = 1 - \frac{(ELG \ Remaining \ Life)}{(ELG \ Life)} * (1 - Net \ Salvage \ Ratio)$$

DETAILED DISCUSSION

Depreciation Study Process

This depreciation study encompassed four distinct phases. The first phase involved data collection and field interviews. The second phase was where the initial data analysis occurred. The third phase was where the information and analysis was evaluated. Once the first three stages were complete, the fourth phase began. This phase involved the calculation of deprecation rates and documenting the corresponding recommendations.

During the Phase I data collection process, historical data was compiled from continuing property records and general ledger systems. Data was validated for accuracy by extracting and comparing to multiple financial system sources. Audit of this data was validated against historical data from prior periods, historical general ledger sources, and field personnel discussions. This data was reviewed extensively to put in the proper format for a depreciation study. Further discussion on data review and adjustment is found in the Salvage Considerations Section of this study. Also as part of the Phase I data collection process, numerous discussions were conducted with engineers and field operations personnel to obtain information that would assist in formulating life and salvage recommendations in this study. One of the most important elements of performing a proper depreciation study is to understand how the Company utilizes assets and the environment of those assets. Interviews with engineering and operations personnel are important ways to allow the analyst to obtain information that is beneficial when evaluating the output from the life and net salvage programs in relation to the Company's actual asset utilization and environment. Information that was gleaned in these discussions is found both in the Detailed Discussion of this study in the life analysis and salvage analysis sections and also in workpapers.

Exhibit DAW-2

Phase 2 is where the actuarial analysis is performed. Phase 2 and 3 overlap to a significant degree. The detailed property records information is used in phase 2 to develop observed life tables for life analysis. These tables are visually compared to industry standard tables to determine historical life characteristics. It is possible that the analyst would cycle back to this phase based on the evaluation process performed in phase 3. Net salvage analysis consists of compiling historical salvage and removal data by functional group to determine values and trends in gross salvage and removal cost. This information was then carried forward into phase 3 for the evaluation process.

Phase 3 is the evaluation process which synthesizes analysis, interviews, and operational characteristics into a final selection of asset lives and net salvage parameters. The historical analysis from phase 2 is further enhanced by the incorporation of recent or future changes in the characteristics or operations of assets that were revealed in phase 1. Phases 2 and 3 allow the depreciation analyst to validate the asset characteristics as seen in the accounting transactions with actual Company operational experience.

Finally, Phase 4 involved the calculation of accrual rates, making recommendations and documenting the conclusions in a final report. The calculation of accrual rates is found in Appendix B. Recommendations for the various accounts are contained within the Detailed Discussion of this report. The depreciation study flow diagram shown as Figure 1¹ documents the steps used in conducting this study. <u>Depreciation Systems</u>, page 289 documents the same basic processes in performing a depreciation study which are: Statistical analyses, evaluation of statistical analysis, discussions with management, forecast assumptions, write logic supporting forecasts and estimation, and write final report.

¹ Public Utility Finance & Accounting, A Reader



Book Depreciation Study Flow Diagram

Figure 1

ATMOS COKS GENERAL OFFICE DEPRECIATION STUDY PROCESS

Depreciation Rate Calculation

Annual depreciation expense amounts for the depreciable property accounts of COKS General Office were calculated by the straight line, equal life group, and remaining-life system. With this approach, remaining lives were calculated according to standard ELG group expectancy techniques, using the Iowa Survivor Curves noted in the calculation. For each plant account, the difference between the surviving investment, adjusted for estimated net salvage and the allocated book depreciation reserve, was divided by the average remaining life to yield the annual depreciation expense. These calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Remaining Life Calculation

The establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions for each account within a functional group was based on engineering judgment that incorporated available accounting information analyzed using the actuarial methods. After establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions, remaining lives were computed for each account. The theoretical depreciation reserve with zero net salvage (used in calculating remaining life) was calculated using theoretical reserve ratios as defined in the theoretical reserve portion of the general discussion section. The difference between plant balance and theoretical reserve was then spread over the ELG depreciation accruals. After accumulating the ELG accruals across each vintage, the annual accrual was divided into the net balance to compute remaining life. Details of the theoretical reserve computations, ELG accruals, and remaining life are found by account within each division in the study workpapers.

Calculation Process

Annual depreciation expense amounts for all accounts were calculated by the straight line, remaining life procedure.

In a whole life representation, the annual accrual rate is computed by the

following equation,

Annual Accrual Rate = $\frac{(100\% - \text{Net Salvage Percent})}{\text{Average Service Life}}$

Use of the remaining life depreciation system adds a self-correcting mechanism, which accounts for any differences between theoretical and book depreciation reserve over the remaining life of the group. With the straight line, remaining life, average life group system using lowa Curves, composite remaining lives were calculated according to standard broad group expectancy techniques, noted in the formula below:

 $Composite Remaining Life = \frac{\sum Original Cost - Theoretical Reserve}{\sum Whole Life Annual Accrual}$

For each plant account, the difference between the surviving investment, adjusted for estimated net salvage, and the allocated book depreciation reserve, was divided by the composite remaining life to yield the annual depreciation expense as noted in this equation where the net salvage percent represents future net salvage.

 $Annual Depreciation Expense = \frac{Original Cost - Book Reserve - (Original Cost) * (1 - Net Salvage \%)}{Composite Remaining Life}$

Within a group, the sum of the group annual depreciation expense amounts, as a percentage of the depreciable original cost investment summed, gives the annual depreciation rate as shown below:

Annual Depreciation Rate = $\frac{\sum \text{Annual Depreciation Expense}}{\sum \text{Original Cost}}$

These calculations are shown in Appendix B. The calculations of the theoretical depreciation reserve values and the corresponding remaining life calculations are shown in workpapers. Book depreciation reserves were allocated to individual accounts and the theoretical reserve computation was used to compute a composite remaining life for each account.

LIFE AND NET SALVAGE

The retirement rate actuarial analysis method was applied to all accounts for COKS General Office. For each account, an actuarial retirement rate analysis was made with placement and experience bands of varying width. The historical observed life table was plotted and compared with various lowa Survivor Curves to obtain the most appropriate match. A selected curve for each account is shown in the Life Analysis Section of this report. The observed life tables for all analyzed placement and experience bands are provided in workpapers.

For the overall band (i.e. placement from earliest vintage year which varied for each account through 2014) for each account, various dispersion curves were plotted. Frequently, visual matching would confirm one specific dispersion pattern (i.e. L, S. or R) as a better match than others. The next step would be to determine the most appropriate life using that dispersion pattern. Then, after looking at the overall experience band, different experience bands were plotted and analyzed, for instance 2000-2014, 2005-2014, etc. Next placement bands of varying width were plotted with each experience band discussed above. Repeated matching usually pointed to a focus on one dispersion family and small range of service lives. The goal of visual matching was to minimize the differential between the observed life table and lowa curve in top and mid-range of the plots. These results are used in conjunction with all other factors that may influence asset lives.

NET SALVAGE CONSIDERATIONS

When a capital asset is retired, physically removed from service and finally disposed of, terminal retirement is said to have occurred. The residual value of a terminal retirement is called gross salvage. Net salvage is the difference between the gross salvage (what the asset was sold for) and the removal cost (cost to remove and dispose of the asset).

The net salvage analysis, for each account, is shown in Appendix D. Moving averages for intervals are also included in Appendix D. The assets of COKS General Office generally do not incur cost of removal and salvage has declined in over the years. In this study a 0 percent net salvage is recommended for each account.

Account Life and Net Salvage Analysis

390.09 – Improvements to Leased Premises

This account includes the cost of improvements to leased premises. The balance is \$190 thousand. The current life and curve are unknown but based on the existing 10% depreciation rate a 10 SQ is assumed. Assets in this account are tied to the lease term, which is 10 years. The current average age of investment is nearing 6 years. The 10 SQ dispersion pattern is recommended. No graph is provided. No salvage or removal cost is currently expected for these improvements, therefore a zero percent net salvage is recommended for this account.

391.00 & 391.03 – Office Furniture, Equipment & Office Machines

These accounts consist of modular furniture, desks, chairs, bookcases, credenzas, file cabinets, office machines and other miscellaneous equipment. The balance is \$292 thousand. The current life and curve is 15 SQ. An expected life range for the assets in this account is 10 to 25 years. This study recommends retention of the 15 year life but moving to the R1.5 dispersion pattern. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. There is no cost of removal and salvage has declined to a negligible level. A zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



394.00 – Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment

This account consists of various small tools and equipment. The balance is \$70 thousand in this account. The existing dispersion is 10 SQ. This study recommends moving to a 9 year life with the S5 dispersion pattern. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



397.00 – Communications Equipment

The communications equipment account includes telephone, satellite dish, and radio equipment. The balance is \$173 thousand in this account. Assets in this account generally have a life range between 10 and 15 years. The current average age of investment is 7.18 years. The existing parameters are 12 S5. The analysis indicated a life around 6 years, which is much shorter than expected for these types of assets. Based on the average age of existing investment, the types of surviving assets, expectations for these assets and judgment, this study recommends retaining the existing 12 S5. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. There has been no recent salvage and removal cost experience. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 398.00 - Miscellaneous Equipment

This account consists of various small office equipment items, such as kitchen appliances, televisions and audio/video equipment that are not homogeneous with other plant accounts. The balance is \$40 thousand. Currently the life is 10 years with the SQ dispersion. The current average age of investment is 5.10 years. Retirements of assets, as a group, in this account are demonstrating that an 8 year average service life with the L5 dispersion for assets in this account is appropriate. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 399.01 – Servers Hardware

This account consists of assets various server hardware and equipment. The balance is \$351 thousand. The current life and curve is 7 SQ. This study recommends retention of the existing 7 SQ for this account. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. No salvage or cost of removal is expected and a zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



Account 399.03 – Network Hardware

This account consists of assets related to networking activities such as routers, switches and miscellaneous networking equipment. The balance is \$353 thousand. The current life is 7 SQ. The average age of the surviving balance is 6.08 years. The analysis indicates a life longer than existing. Based on discussions with Company personnel, the analysis indications, type of assets, and judgment, this study recommends moving to an 8 year average service life with the SQ dispersion. No graph is provided. No salvage or cost of removal is expected and a zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



Account 399.06 – PC Hardware

This account consists of costs for computer hardware, desktop and laptop computers, monitors and printers. The balance is \$37 thousand. The existing life is 5 years with the SQ dispersion. Discussions with Company personnel indicated a refresh rate of approximately 4 years for computers. The analysis indicates a life around 5 years. Based on the discussions with Company personnel, analysis indications and judgment, this study recommends retention of the 5 SQ. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 399.07 - PC Software

The PC software account holds booked investment and retirement activity for software assets including operating system software such as Windows, Microsoft Office, and other related application software. The balance is \$106 thousand. The existing life is 5 years with the SQ dispersion. Discussions with Company personnel indicated that software generally follows the hardware, but may be retained for a slightly longer period. The analysis supports the discussion with the Company with a 6 year life seen across the bands. This study recommends moving to a 6 year average service life with the SQ dispersion. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



APPENDIX A

Comparison of Annual Rate and Accrual

Appendix A

Atmos Energy - Colorado Kansas General Office At September 30, 2014 Depreciation Study Annual Depreciation Rates and Accruals

				E;	xisti	ing	Pr	Change in		
				Accrual		Accrual	Accrual	Accrual	De	preciation
Account	Description	PI	ant Balance	Rate		Amount	Rate	Amount	Expense	
(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)		(e)	(f)	(g)		[h]
39009	Immprovements to Leased Premises	\$	189,717.07	10.00%	\$	18,971.71	12.07%	\$ 22,889.87	\$	3,918.16
39100	Office Furniture and Equipment		291,889.14	8.44%		24,635.44	7.80%	22,754.13		(1,881.31)
39103	Office Machines		-	8.44%		-	7.80%	-		
39400	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment		68,987.80	16.57%		11,431.28	16.39%	11,304.24		(127.04)
39700	Communication Equipment		173,109.02	8.45%		14,627.71	10.67%	18,462.11		3,834.40
39800	Miscellaneous Equipment		40,342.60	15.46%		6,236.97	16.74%	6,753.99		517.02
39901	Servers Hardware		350,765.66	21.81%		76,501.99	21.70%	76,128.78		(373.21)
39903	Network Hardware		352,704.53	15.55%		54,845.55	19.19%	67,671.83		12,826.28
39906	PC Hardware		36,688.97	25.25%		9,263.96	22.00%	8,070.05		(1,193.91)
39907	PC Software		106,110.60	25.70%		27,270.42	20.00%	21,226.72		(6,043.70)
	Total Depreciable Plant in Study	\$	1,610,315.39	15.14%	\$ 2	243,785.04	15.85%	\$255,261.72	\$	11,476.68
39200	Transportation Equipment		-	20.00%		_	20.00%	-		
39500	Laboratory Equipment		_	10.00%			10.00%	-		
39902	Servers Software		-	14.29%		-	14.29%	-		
39905	Mainframe		-	20.00%		-	20.00%	_		

APPENDIX B

Annual Accrual Rate Calculations

Appendix E

Atmos Energy - Colorado Kansas General Offic At September 30, 2014 Calculation of Depreciation Accrual Remaining Life With Reserve Reallocatior

					. Net					Annua		
Account	n Description		Plant Balance		Allocated ok Reserve	Salvage %	Net Salvage Amount		Unaccrued Balance	Remaining Life	Accrual Amount	Accrual Rate
(a)	(b)		(c)		(d)	(e)		(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
39009	Improvements to Leased Premise:	\$	189,717.07	\$	86,712.66	0%	\$	-	\$103,004.41	4.50	\$ 22,889.87	12.07%
39100	Office Furniture and Equipmen		291,889.14		94,756.39	0%		-	197,132.75	8.66	22,754.13	7.80%
39103	Office Machines		-		-	0%		~	-	0.00		7.80%
39400	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipmer		68,987.80		41,879.83	0%		~	27,107.97	2.40	11,304.24	16.39%
39700	Communication Equipmen		173,109.02		87,360.53	0%		-	85,748.49	4.64	18,462.11	10.67%
39800	Miscellaneous Equipmen		40,342.60		21,778.15	0%		-	18,564.45	2.75	6,753.99	16.74%
39901	Servers Hardware		350,765.66		219,925.79	0%		-	130,839.87	1.72	76,128.78	21.70%
39903	Network Hardware		352,704.53		222,743.69	0%		-	129,960.84	1.92	67,671.83	19.19%
39906	PC Hardware		36,688.97		11,320.57	0%		-	25,368.40	3.14	8,070.05	22.00%
39907	PC Software		106,110.60		47,825.79	0%			58,284.81	2.75	21,226.72	20.00%
	Total Depreciable Plant		1,610,315.39	\$	834,303.40		\$	-	\$776,011.99		\$255,261.72	15.85%
											<u>_</u>	

39200	Transportation Equipmen	-	-	0%	-	-	-	20.00%
39500	Laboratory Equipmen	-	-	0%	-	-	-	10.00%
39902	Servers Software	**	-	0%		-	-	15.55%
39905	Mainframe	-	-	0%	-	•	-	20.00%

Exhibit DAW-2

APPENDIX C

Comparison of Mortality Characteristics

Appendix C

Atmos Energy Corporation Colorado Kansas General Office Property Comparison of Existing and Proposed Mortality Characteristics

				EXISTI	NG		PROPOSED						
			lowa	Gross	Cost of	Net		lowa	Gross	Cost of	Net		
Accounts	Account Description	ASL	Curve	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	ASL	Curve	Salvage	Removal	Salvage		
	GENERAL PLANT												
39009	Immprovements to Leased Premises	UNKN	IOWN	0%	0%	0%	10	SQ	0%	0%	0%		
39100	Office Furniture and Equipment	15	SQ	0%	0%	0%	15	R1.5	0%	0%	0%		
39103	Office Machines	15	SQ	0%	0%	0%	15	R1.5	0%	0%	0%		
39400	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	10	SQ	0%	0%	0%	9	S5	.0%	0%	0%		
39700	Communication Equipment	12	S5	0%	0%	0%	12	S5	0%	0%	0%		
39800	Miscellaneous Equipment	10	SQ	0%	0%	0%	8	L5	0%	0%	0%		
39901	Servers Hardware	7	SQ	0%	0%	0%	7	SQ	0%	0%	0%		
39903	Network Hardware	7	SQ	0%	0%	0%	8	SQ	0%	0%	0%		
39906	PC Hardware	5	SQ	0%	0%	0%	5	SQ	0%	0%	0%		
39907	PC Software	5	SQ	0%	0%	0%	6	SQ	0%	0%	0%		

APPENDIX D

Net Salvage Analysis

Appendix D

Atmos Colorado Kansas General Office Retirements, Gross Salvage, and Cost of Removal As of September 30, 2014

Account	Transaction Year	Retirements	Gross Salvage	Cost of Removal	Net Salvage	Net Salv. %	2- yr Net Salv, %	3- yr Net Salv, %	4- yr Net Salv %	5- yr Net Salv %	6- yr Net Salv %	7- yr Net Salv %	8- yr Net Selv, %	9- yr Net Saby %	10-yr Net Salv %
71000une			Junugo	Ttoritorui	Junugo	00.00	0011170		<u>VUIV. 78</u>	Juir. 70	Guiv. //	001111 /0	Oalv. /	Galv. /	Qaiv. 70
39100	2000	331,706.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00%									
39100	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%								
39100	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%							
39100	2003	18,738.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%						
39100	2004	2,035.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
39100	2005	135,792,18	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
39100	2006	50,506.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39100	2007		0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39100	2008	106,286.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39100	2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2011	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2012	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2013	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39400	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
39400	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA							
39400	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39400	2004	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39400	2005	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
39400	2006	14,990.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39400	2007	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	· NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39400	2008	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39400	2009	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2010	20,541.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2011	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2012	186,619.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2013	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39400	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39700	2000	14,051.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%									
39700	2001	2,136.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%								
39700	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%							
39700	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%						
39700	2004	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%					
39700	2005	75,676.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
39700	2006	0.00	0.00	0,00	0,00	NA	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39700	2007	391,995.10	0,00	0.00	0,00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%		
39700	2008	0.00	0.00	1,209.31	(1,209.31)	NA	-0.31%	-0.31%	-0.26%	-0.26%	-0.26%	-0.26%	-0.26%	-0.25%	
39700	2009	248,391.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	-0.49%	-0,19%	~0.19%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%
39700	2010	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	-0.49%	-0.19%	-0.19%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%
39700	2011	16,713.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.46%	-0.18%	-0.18%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.17%
39700	2012	41,498.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.39%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.16%	-0.16%	-0.16%
39700	2013	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.39%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.16%	-0.16%
39700	2014	11,585.87	0.00	.0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.38%	-0.17%	-0.17%	-0.15%

•

Appendix D

Atmos Colorado Kansas General Office Retirements, Gross Salvage, and Cost of Removal As of September 30, 2014

Account	Transaction	Patiromonte	Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	2-yr Net Salv %	3- yr Net Sabe %	4- yr Net Solv %	5-yr Net Soly %	6- yr Net Selv %	7-yr Net	8- yr Net	9- yr Net	10-yr Net
Account	1601	Retifements	Jaivaye	Kentoval	Jaivage	Jaiv. 78	Jaiv. /o	Jaiv. /6	Jaiv. //	Jaiv. /o	Salv. /o	Salv. 70	5alv. 70	Salv. 70	5aiv. %
39800	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39800	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
39800	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA							
39800	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39800	2004	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39800	2005	53,963.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
39800	2006	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39800	2007	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39800	2008	48,943.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39800	2009	19,774.29	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2011	242,385.93	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2012	137,216.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2013	43,135.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39901	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
39901	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA							
39901	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39901	2004	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39901	2005	120.692.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
39901	2006	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39901	2007	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39901	2008	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39901	2009	96,220,34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2010	0.00	0,00	0,00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2011	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2012	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2013	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39901	2014	12,093.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30002	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39902	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
30902	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA NA	ΝΔ							
30002	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA NA	N/A	N1A						
30002	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA NA	NA NA	N/A NA	NA NA	NA					
39902	2004	13 400 30	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
30002	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0070 NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39902	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NΔ	0.0078 NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39902	2007	0.00	0.00	n on	0.00	NA	NΔ	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0 00%	
39902	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NΔ	NΔ	0.00 %	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39902	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NΔ	NA	NA	NA NA	0.0070 NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39902	2011	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA NA	0.0078 NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39902	2012	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39902	2013	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%
39902	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%

Appendix D

Atmos Colorado Kansas General Office Retirements, Gross Salvage, and Cost of Removal As of September 30, 2014

Account	Transaction	Potiromonte	Gross	Cost of Removal	Net	Net	2- yr Net Salv %	3- yr Net Salv %	4- yr Net	5- yr Net	6- yr Net Salv, ⊮	7-yr Net Solw ⊮	8- yr Net	9- yr Net Saby %	10- yr Net
Account	1 (61)	Retrements	QUIVAGE	rteniovai	oarvage	Outv. 70	Gaiv. 70	UBIV. /0	Jarv. /6	Galv. 70	Jaiv. 70	Gaiv. 76	Jaiv, 70	Salv. 70	Salv. 70
39903	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39903	2001	0,00	0,00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
39903	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA							
39903	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39903	2004	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39903	2005	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
39903	2006	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
39903	2007	463,485.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39903	2008	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39903	2009	9,641.14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39903	2010	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39903	2011	9,587.13	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39903	2012	16,174.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39903	2013	26,414.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39903	2014	15,369.22	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
20006	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
33300	2000	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00%								
20006	2001	167 / 92 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%							
30006	2002	61 161 00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%						
2000B	2003	01,101.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
30006	2004	104 539 07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.0094				
20006	2005	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0076	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%			
20000	2000	715 299 77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39906	2007	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 <i>1</i> 0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
30000	2000	337 109 10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30906	2010	7 945 05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2010	494 242 90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2012	488 901 70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2013	410 050 37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA									
39907	2001	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA								
39907	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA							
39907	2003	80,908.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%						
39907	2004	14,837.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%					
39907	2005	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%				
39907	2006	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%			
39907	2007	8,247.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39907	2008	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	_
39907	2009	75,691.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2010	12,076.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2011	18,586.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2012	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2013	21,370.18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	0.00%	0,00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION SHARED SERVICES UNIT

DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY

As of September 30, 2014



http://www.utilityalliance.com

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION - SHARED SERVICES UNIT DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos" or "Company") engaged Alliance Consulting Group to conduct a depreciation study of the Company's Shared Services Unit ("SSU" or "Shared Services") operations depreciable assets as of fiscal year end September 30, 2014. SSU provides support to Atmos Energy Corporation's regulated utility divisions.

The regulated natural gas utility divisions during the year ended September 30, 2014 were:

- Atmos Colorado-Kansas Division
- Atmos Louisiana Division
- Atmos Kentucky Mid-States (Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia) Division
- Atmos Mississippi Division
- Atmos Mid-Tex Division
- Atmos West Texas Division
- Atmos Pipeline Texas Division

The depreciation rates are based on the straight-line method, equal life group ("ELG") procedure, and remaining-life technique. This study results in an annual depreciation expense accrual of \$21.7 million when applied to depreciable plant balances as of September 30, 2014.

The depreciation study we conducted analyzed and developed depreciation recommendations at an account level. The resulting annual depreciation accrual amounts and depreciation rates contained in this study are at the account level. The Company will accrue depreciation expense based on the account level depreciation rates developed in this study. Appendix A demonstrates the annual depreciation expense.
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION ATMOS SHARED SERVICES UNIT DEPRECIATION RATE STUDY As of September 30, 2014 Table of Contents

PURPOSE	1
STUDY RESULTS	2
GENERAL DISCUSSION	3
DEFINITION BASIS OF DEPRECIATION ESTIMATES SURVIVOR CURVES	3 3 4
ACTUARIAL ANALYSIS JUDGMENT EQUAL LIFE GROUP DEPRECIATION THEORETICAL DEPRECIATION RESERVE	7 8 9 9
DETAILED DISCUSSION	11
DEPRECIATION STUDY PROCESS DEPRECIATION RATE CALCULATION REMAINING LIFE CALCULATION CALCULATION PROCESS	11 14 14 14
LIFE ANALYSIS	16
NET SALVAGE CONSIDERATIONS	17
APPENDIX A ANNUAL RATE AND ACCRUAL	32
APPENDIX B REMAINING LIFE CALCULATIONS	34
APPENDIX C MORTALITY CHARACTERISTICS	36
APPENDIX D NET SALVAGE ANALYSIS	38

PURPOSE

The purpose of this study is to develop depreciation rates for the depreciable property as recorded on Shared Services' books at September 30, 2014. The account based depreciation rates were designed to recover the total remaining undepreciated investment, adjusted for net salvage, over the remaining life of Shared Services' property on a straight-line basis. Non-depreciable property and property which is amortized, such as intangibles were excluded from this study.

Shared Services is a division of Atmos Corporation dedicated to providing various support services to its operating companies. As of the study date, Shared Services supported regulated gas utility divisions operating in eight different states.

STUDY RESULTS

The existing and current study annual depreciation expense results from the use of Iowa Curve dispersion patterns with average service life, the equal life group ("ELG") procedure and remaining-life technique, and consideration of net salvage in the development of the study recommended depreciation rates. Detailed information for each of these factors will follow in this report.

Overall depreciation rates for Shared Services depreciable property are shown in Appendix A. These rates translate into an annual depreciation accrual of \$21.7 million based on Shared Services' depreciable investment at September 30, 2014.

Appendix A presents the recommended study annual accrual rates and amounts. Appendix B presents the development of the depreciation rates and annual accruals. Appendix C presents the recommended study mortality and net salvage parameters by account. Appendix D shows net salvage history by plant account.

GENERAL DISCUSSION

Definition

The term "depreciation" as used in this study is considered in the accounting sense, that is, a system of accounting that distributes the cost of assets, less net salvage (if any), over the estimated useful life of the assets in a systematic and rational manner. It is a process of allocation, not valuation. This expense is systematically allocated to accounting periods over the life of the properties. The amount allocated to any one accounting period does not necessarily represent the loss or decrease in value that will occur during that particular period. The Company accrues depreciation on the basis of the original cost of all depreciable property included in each functional property group. On retirement the full cost of depreciable property, less the net salvage value, is charged to the depreciation reserve.

Basis of Depreciation Estimates

The straight-line, equal life group ("ELG"), remaining-life depreciation system was employed to calculate annual and accrued depreciation in this study. In this system, the annual depreciation expense for each group is computed by dividing the original cost of the asset less allocated depreciation reserve less estimated net salvage by its respective equal life group remaining life. The resulting annual accrual amounts of all depreciable property within a function were accumulated, and the total was divided by the original cost of all functional depreciable property to determine the depreciation rate. The calculated remaining lives and annual depreciation accrual rates were based on attained ages of plant in service and the estimated service life and salvage characteristics of each depreciable group. The computations of the annual depreciation rates are shown in Appendix B and remaining life calculations are provided in the workpapers.

Actuarial analysis was used with each account within a function where

sufficient data was available, and judgment was used to some degree on all accounts.

Survivor Curves

To fully understand depreciation projections in a regulated utility setting, there must be a basic understanding of survivor curves. Individual property units within a group do not normally have identical lives or investment amounts. The average life of a group can be determined by first constructing a survivor curve which is plotted as a percentage of the units surviving at each age. A survivor curve represents the percentage of property remaining in service at various age intervals. The lowa Curves are the result of an extensive investigation of life characteristics of physical property made at lowa State College Engineering Experiment Station in the first half of the prior century. Through common usage, revalidation and regulatory acceptance, these curves have become a descriptive standard for the life characteristics of industrial property. An example of an lowa Curve is shown below.



There are four families in the Iowa Curves that are distinguished by the relation of the age at the retirement mode (largest annual retirement frequency) and the average life. For distributions with the mode age greater than the average life, an "R" designation (i.e., Right modal) is used. The family of "R" moded curves is shown below.



Similarly, an "S" designation (i.e., Symmetric modal) is used for the family whose mode age is symmetric about the average life. An "L" designation (i.e., Left modal) is used for the family whose mode age is less than the average life. A special case of left modal dispersion is the "O" or origin modal curve family. Within each curve family, numerical designations are used to describe the relative magnitude of the retirement frequencies at the mode. A "6" indicates that the retirements are not greatly dispersed from the mode (i.e., high mode frequency) while a "1" indicates a large dispersion about the mode (i.e., low mode frequency). For example, a curve with an average life of 30 years and an "L3" dispersion is a moderately dispersed, left modal curve that can be designated as a 30 L3 Curve. An SQ, or square, survivor curve occurs where no dispersion is present (i.e., units of common age retire simultaneously).

Most property groups can be closely fitted to one Iowa Curve with a unique average service life. The blending of judgment concerning current conditions and future trends along with the matching of historical data permits the depreciation analyst to make an informed selection of an account's average life and retirement dispersion pattern.

Actuarial Analysis

Actuarial analysis (retirement rate method) was used in evaluating historical asset retirement experience where vintage data were available and sufficient retirement activity was present. In actuarial analysis, interval exposures (total property subject to retirement at the beginning of the age interval, regardless of vintage) and age interval retirements are calculated. The complement of the ratio of interval retirements to interval exposures establishes a survivor ratio. The survivor ratio is the fraction of property surviving to the end of the selected age interval, given that it has survived to the beginning of that age interval. Survivor ratios for all of the available age intervals were chained by successive multiplications to establish a series of survivor factors, collectively known as an observed life table. The observed life table shows the experienced mortality characteristic of the account and may be compared to standard mortality curves such as the lowa Curves. Where data was available, accounts were analyzed using this method. Placement bands were used to illustrate the composite history over a specific era, and experience bands were used to focus on retirement history for all vintages during a set period. The results from these analyses for those accounts which had data sufficient to be analyzed using this method are shown in the Life Analysis section of this report.

<u>Judgment</u>

Any depreciation study requires informed judgment by the analyst conducting the study. A knowledge of the property being studied, company policies and procedures, general trends in technology and industry practice, and a sound basis of understanding depreciation theory are needed to apply this informed judgment. Judgment was used in areas such as survivor curve modeling and selection, depreciation method selection, simulated plant record method analysis, and actuarial analysis.

Judgment is not defined as being used in cases where there are specific, significant pieces of information that influence the choice of a life or curve. Those cases would simply be a reflection of specific facts into the analysis. Where there are multiple factors, activities, actions, property characteristics, statistical inconsistencies, implications of applying certain curves, property mix in accounts or a multitude of other considerations that impact the analysis (potentially in various directions), judgment is used to take all of these factors and synthesize them into a general direction or understanding of the characteristics of the property. Individually, no one factor in these cases may have a substantial impact on the analysis, but overall, may shed light on the utilization and characteristics of assets. Judgment may also be defined as deduction, inference, wisdom, common sense, or the ability to make sensible decisions. There is no single correct result from statistical analysis; hence, there is no answer absent judgment. At the very least for example, any analysis requires choosing which bands to place more emphasis.

The establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions for Shared Services' accounts requires judgment to incorporate the understanding of the operation of the system with the available accounting information analyzed using the Retirement Rate actuarial methods. The appropriateness of lives and curves depends not only on statistical analyses, but also on how well future retirement patterns will match past retirements.

Current applications and trends in use of the equipment also need to be factored into life and survivor curve choices in order for appropriate mortality characteristics to be chosen.

Equal Life Group Depreciation

Atmos agreed that the continued use of the ELG depreciation procedure was appropriate. This study uses the ELG depreciation procedure to group the assets within each account. After an average service life and dispersion were selected for each account, those parameters were used to estimate what portion of the surviving investment of each vintage was expected to retire. The depreciation of the group continues until all investment in the vintage group is retired. ELG groups are defined by their respective account dispersion, life, and net salvage estimates. A straightline rate for each ELG group is computed and accumulated across each vintage. The resulting rate for each ELG group is designed to recover all retirements less net salvage as each vintage retires. The ELG procedure recovers net book cost over the life of each ELG group rather than averaging many components. It also closely matches the concept of component or item accounting found in all accounting textbooks.

Theoretical Depreciation Reserve

The Company's book depreciation reserves were reallocated based on the theoretical reserves for each account. This study used a reserve model that relied on a prospective concept relating future retirement and accrual patterns for property, given current life and salvage estimates. The theoretical reserve of a group is developed from the estimated remaining life, total life of the property group, and estimated net salvage. The theoretical reserve represents the portion of the group cost that would have been accrued if current forecasts were used throughout the life of the group for future depreciation accruals. The computation involves multiplying the vintage balances within the group by the theoretical reserve ratio for each

vintage. The equal life group method requires an estimate of dispersion and service life to establish how much of each vintage is expected to be retired in each year until all property within the vintage is retired. Estimated average service lives and dispersion determine the amount within each equal life group. The equal life groupremaining-life theoretical reserve ratio (RRELG) is calculated as:

$$RRELG = 1 - \frac{(ELG \ Remaining \ Life)}{(ELG \ Life)} * (1 - Net \ Salvage \ Ratio)$$

DETAILED DISCUSSION

Depreciation Study Process

This depreciation study encompassed four distinct phases. The first phase involved data collection and field interviews. The second phase was where the initial data analysis occurred. The third phase was where the information and analysis was evaluated. Once the first three stages were complete, the fourth phase began. This phase involved the calculation of deprecation rates and documenting the corresponding recommendations.

During the Phase I data collection process, historical data was compiled from continuing property records and general ledger systems. Data was validated for accuracy by extracting and comparing to multiple financial system sources. Audit of this data was validated against historical data from prior periods, historical general ledger sources, and field personnel discussions. This data was reviewed extensively to put in the proper format for a depreciation study. Further discussion on data review and adjustment is found in the Salvage Considerations Section of this study. Also as part of the Phase I data collection process, numerous discussions were conducted with engineers and field operations personnel to obtain information that would assist in formulating life and salvage recommendations in this study. One of the most important elements of performing a proper depreciation study is to understand how the Company utilizes assets and the environment of those assets. Interviews with engineering and operations personnel are important ways to allow the analyst to obtain information that is beneficial when evaluating the output from the life and net salvage programs in relation to the Company's actual asset utilization and environment. Information that was gleaned in these discussions is found both in the Detailed Discussion of this study in the life analysis and salvage analysis sections and also in workpapers.

Exhibit DAW-3

Phase 2 is where the actuarial analysis is performed. Phase 2 and 3 overlap to a significant degree. The detailed property records information is used in phase 2 to develop observed life tables for life analysis. These tables are visually compared to industry standard tables to determine historical life characteristics. It is possible that the analyst would cycle back to this phase based on the evaluation process performed in phase 3. Net salvage analysis consists of compiling historical salvage and removal data by functional group to determine values and trends in gross salvage and removal cost. This information was then carried forward into phase 3 for the evaluation process.

Phase 3 is the evaluation process which synthesizes analysis, interviews, and operational characteristics into a final selection of asset lives and net salvage parameters. The historical analysis from phase 2 is further enhanced by the incorporation of recent or future changes in the characteristics or operations of assets that were revealed in phase 1. Phases 2 and 3 allow the depreciation analyst to validate the asset characteristics as seen in the accounting transactions with actual Company operational experience.

Finally, Phase 4 involved the calculation of accrual rates, making recommendations and documenting the conclusions in a final report. The calculation of accrual rates is found in Appendix B. Recommendations for the various accounts are contained within the Detailed Discussion of this report. The depreciation study flow diagram shown as Figure 1¹ documents the steps used in conducting this study. <u>Depreciation Systems</u>, page 289 documents the same basic processes in performing a depreciation study which are: Statistical analyses, evaluation of statistical analysis, discussions with management, forecast assumptions, write logic supporting forecasts and estimation, and write final report.

¹ Public Utility Finance & Accounting, A Reader



Book Depreciation Study Flow Diagram

Figure 1

SHARED SERVICES DEPRECIATION STUDY PROCESS

Depreciation Rate Calculation

Annual depreciation expense amounts for the depreciable property accounts of Shared Services were calculated by the straight line, equal life group, and remaining-life system. With this approach, remaining lives were calculated according to standard ELG group expectancy techniques, using the Iowa Survivor Curves noted in the calculation. For each plant account, the difference between the surviving investment, adjusted for estimated net salvage and the allocated book depreciation reserve, was divided by the average remaining life to yield the annual depreciation expense. These calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Remaining Life Calculation

The establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions for each account within a functional group was based on engineering judgment that incorporated available accounting information analyzed using the actuarial methods. After establishment of appropriate average service lives and retirement dispersions, remaining lives were computed for each account. The theoretical depreciation reserve with zero net salvage (used in calculating remaining life) was calculated using theoretical reserve ratios as defined in the theoretical reserve portion of the general discussion section. The difference between plant balance and theoretical reserve was then spread over the ELG depreciation accruals. After accumulating the ELG accruals across each vintage, the annual accrual was divided into the net balance to compute remaining life. Details of the theoretical reserve computations, ELG accruals, and remaining life are found by account within each division in the study workpapers.

Calculation Process

Annual depreciation expense amounts for all accounts were calculated by the straight line, remaining life procedure.

In a whole life representation, the annual accrual rate is computed by the

following equation,

Annual Accrual Rate = $\frac{(100\% - \text{Net Salvage Percent})}{\text{Average Service Life}}$

Use of the remaining life depreciation system adds a self-correcting mechanism, which accounts for any differences between theoretical and book depreciation reserve over the remaining life of the group. With the straight line, remaining life, average life group system using lowa Curves, composite remaining lives were calculated according to standard broad group expectancy techniques, noted in the formula below:

Composite Remaining Life =
$$\frac{\sum \text{Original Cost} - \text{Theoretical Reserve}}{\sum \text{Whole Life Annual Accrual}}$$

For each plant account, the difference between the surviving investment, adjusted for estimated net salvage, and the allocated book depreciation reserve, was divided by the composite remaining life to yield the annual depreciation expense as noted in this equation where the net salvage percent represents future net salvage.

Annual Depreciation Expense = $\frac{\text{Original Cost} - \text{Book Reserve} - (\text{Original Cost}) * (1 - \text{Net Salvage \%})}{\text{Composite Remaining Life}}$

Within a group, the sum of the group annual depreciation expense amounts, as a percentage of the depreciable original cost investment summed, gives the annual depreciation rate as shown below:

Annual Depreciation Rate = $\frac{\sum \text{Annual Depreciation Expense}}{\sum \text{Original Cost}}$

These calculations are shown in Appendix B. The calculations of the theoretical depreciation reserve values and the corresponding remaining life calculations are shown in workpapers. Book depreciation reserves were allocated to individual accounts and the theoretical reserve computation was used to compute a composite remaining life for each account.

LIFE ANALYSIS

The retirement rate actuarial analysis method was applied to all accounts for Shared Services. For each account, an actuarial retirement rate analysis was made with placement and experience bands of varying width. The historical observed life table was plotted and compared with various Iowa Survivor Curves to obtain the most appropriate match. A selected curve for each account is shown in the Life Analysis Section of this report. The observed life tables for all analyzed placement and experience bands are provided in workpapers.

For the overall band (i.e. placement from earliest vintage year which varied for each account through 2014) for each account, various dispersion curves were plotted. Frequently, visual matching would confirm one specific dispersion pattern (i.e. L, S. or R) as a better match than others. The next step would be to determine the most appropriate life using that dispersion pattern. Then, after looking at the overall experience band, different experience bands were plotted and analyzed, for instance 1950-2014, 1985-2014, etc. Next placement bands of varying width were plotted with each experience band discussed above. Repeated matching usually pointed to a focus on one dispersion family and small range of service lives. The goal of visual matching was to minimize the differential between the observed life table and lowa curve in top and mid range of the plots. These results are used in conjunction with all other factors that may influence asset lives.

NET SALVAGE CONSIDERATIONS

When a capital asset is retired, physically removed from service and finally disposed of, terminal retirement is said to have occurred. The residual value of a terminal retirement is called gross salvage. Net salvage is the difference between the gross salvage (what the asset was sold for) and the removal cost (cost to remove and dispose of the asset).

Net Salvage Characteristics

The net salvage analysis, for each account, is shown in Appendix D. Moving averages for intervals are also included in Appendix D. The assets of Shared Services generally do not incur cost of removal and salvage has declined in recent years. In this study a zero percent net salvage is recommended for each account, with the exception of Account 392, Transportation Equipment.

Account Life and Net Salvage Analysis

39000 – Structures & Improvements

This account includes the cost of buildings and improvements including the Greenville operations center and the Charles K. Vaughn training center. The account balance is \$33.5 million. The average age of investment is 4.47 years, Due to the young age of the surviving investment, no curve fits were possible. Based on judgment and type of assets this study recommends a 40 year life with the R2 dispersion pattern. No graph is provided. Little to no salvage is expected. However, some cost of removal at end of life is expected for some of the assets but none has been recorded. Therefore, a zero percent net salvage is recommended at this time.

39009 – Improvements to Leased Premises

This account includes the cost of improvements to leased premises such as the Dallas office and call centers. The balance is \$13.1 million. Assets in this account are tied to the lease term, which is about 20 years. This study recommends retaining the 20 R4 at this time. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. No salvage or removal cost is currently expected for these improvements, therefore a zero percent net salvage is recommending for this account.



39100 – Office Furniture and Equipment

This account consists of modular furniture, desks, chairs, bookcases, credenzas, file cabinets, office machines and other miscellaneous equipment. The balance is \$12.8 million. The currently approved dispersion pattern is 22 L4. An expected life range for the assets in this account is 20 to 25 years. However, the current study analysis indicates a shorter life. Discussions with Company personnel indicated some offices had been renovated and more retirements were made than would typically occur. Based on the Company input, the analysis, and future expectations, this study recommends retaining the existing 22 L4 dispersion pattern. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. There is no cost of removal and salvage has declined to a negligible level. A zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



39200 – Transportation Equipment

This account consists of all transportation equipment. The balance is \$103 thousand. The currently approved dispersion pattern is unknown. Depending on the type and mix of assets, this account can range from 5-15 years. No curve fits were possible. The current average age of investment is 4.33 years. Only one retirement has been recorded. The Company leases most of its vehicles and surviving assets are golf carts, a trailer, and other miscellaneous equipment. Based on the surviving assets, this study recommends a 10 L2. No graph is provided. There is no cost of removal and salvage has declined to a negligible level. However, some salvage is expected and a 10 percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.

39400 – Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment

This account consists of various small tools and equipment used in an office. The balance is \$264 thousand in this account. The average age of investment is 3.59 years. Due to the type and use of the assets and the analysis, this study recommends retention of the 11 S6 life and dispersion pattern. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. There is generally little or no salvage and no cost of removal related to the equipment in the account. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.

39500 – Laboratory Equipment

This account consists of laboratory equipment. The balance is \$24 thousand in this account. The average age of investment is 3.01 years. Assets are young, 3.01 years and no retirement activity has been recorded so no curve fits were made. Based on the type and use of the assets, this study recommends a 10 R2. No graph is provided. There is generally little or no salvage and no cost of removal related to the equipment in the account. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.

39700 – Communications Equipment

The communications equipment account includes communication, computer hardware, telephone, and radio equipment. The balance is \$4.7 million in this account. The current average age of assets is 6.46 years. Within 6-9 months, all switches for call center will be split between Greenville Data center (primary) and Lincoln (backup). All were replaced within last 3 years (as well as Lincoln telephone switch). Call center switches were 10-15 years old at retirement. A 15 year life is reasonable and the Company will replace pieces under O&M in the interim. Based on the analysis, the best fits were indicating a life between 7-9 years, which is due to large level of retirements in last few years. Based on all the information and judgment, a 15 year life with the R5 dispersion is recommended. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. There has been no recent salvage and removal cost experience. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39800 - Miscellaneous Equipment

This account consists of various small office equipment items, such as kitchen appliances, televisions and audio/video equipment that are not homogeneous with other plant accounts. The balance is \$510 thousand. The majority of the fits, except the most recent bands, indicated a life around 15 years. The 15 year average service life with the S3 dispersion for assets in this account is a good fit and is recommended. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39900 – Other Tangible Property

The other tangible property account holds some computer hardware and communication equipment. The account balance is \$889 thousand. The average age of the investment is 2.31 years and average age of retirements is 7.34 years. Best fits indicate a 7 year life, which is consistent with the expectations for this type of asset. The study recommends a 7 year life with the R5 dispersion for this account. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39901 – Servers Hardware

This account consists of assets such as the HP 9000 RP 8420 servers, Oracle server, EMC DMX 3 disk array, Banner server, Markview servers and other server hardware and equipment. The balance is \$38 million. Discussions with Company personnel indicated some older equipment may stay for an extended time – but newer assets are replaced closer to a 7 years cycle. Based on the analysis and Company input, this study recommends the R4 9 for this account. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. No salvage or cost of removal is expected and a zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



Account 39902 – Servers Software

This account consists of assets such as the Banner, Oracle, VMWare, Appwork scheduling, Witness, Networker, and other server attendant software for billing and software licenses. The balance is \$18.1 million. The average age of investment is 4.84 years. The average age of retirements is 11.75 years. The Company lengthened the lives of some assets due to the CSS project but now is in "catch-up" mode. Based on discussions with Company personnel software is not necessarily tied to servers. They purchase data center licenses but when a server is replaced, they don't necessarily have to replace software. In 2014 purchased Windows server 2012 to replace the 2003 version. Technology changes are a driver for retirement and replacement. Although the Company believes a 7 year life is reasonable, based on all the information, this study recommends a 9 year average service life with and S5 dispersion pattern for this account. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. No salvage or cost of removal is expected and a zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



Account 39903 – Network Hardware

This account consists of assets related to networking activities such as routers, switches and miscellaneous networking equipment. The balance is \$4 million. The average age of retirements is 8.78 years and the average age of investment is 6.33 years. Based on discussions with Company personnel 10 years is reasonable. Currently, there is a major effort to replace all network hardware. The Company may upgrade firmware more frequently as part of expense or no charge due to maintenance contract. The analysis indicates best fits between 10-13 years. Based on all the information, this study recommends the 10 SQ, which is slightly longer than server hardware. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. No salvage or cost of removal is expected and a zero percent net salvage rate is recommended for this account.



Account 39906 – PC Hardware

This account consists of costs for computer hardware, desktop and laptop computers, PC's for the call center, servers, and some costs associated with software licenses for PC's and servers. The balance is \$3.8 million. The average age of investment is 4.45 years and average age of retirements is 7.35 years. The life indications in the actuarial analysis suggest a life between 6-7 years. Based on discussions with Company personnel, they are holding closer to a refresh cycle. There may be some delays in retiring off the books but the analysis should see a shorter life than in the past. The average pcs/person has decreased from 1.5 to 1.2 per person. Therefore, using the most recent bands, Company input, and judgment, this study recommends a 6 year life with the S3 dispersion. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. Generally, the Company will pay a third party to pick up old PCs but at a nominal cost. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39907 – PC Software

The PC software account holds booked investment and retirement activity for software assets including operating system software such as Windows 2000 or Windows XP, Microsoft Office, call center, Verizon dialer software, Genesys upgrade, MS Project and other related application software. The balance is \$1.6 million. The average age of investment is 7.46 years and average age of retirements is 9.12 years. Based on discussions with Company personnel the PC Software should be tied to the PC Hardware although a few software assets may have longer life e.g., Office. The Company indicated 10 years is probably at the top of the live range. There has been retirement activity in this account and the majority of the life indications in the actuarial analysis are between 9-10 years. Based on the analysis, Company input, type of assets, and judgment, this study recommends using a 10 year average service life with the R3 dispersion. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39908 – Application Software

The applications software account holds booked investment and retirement activity for software assets including billing system software, electronic mapping and training software applications, Oracle upgrade, Banner, Data Mart System, PowerPlant System, Advantage System application and the Waco Call Center IT build. The balance is \$205 million. The average age of investment is 6.55 years and average age of retirements is 10.14 years. Based on discussions with Company personnel, a new CSS application is in service. A 15-20 year life for the large enterprise systems is reasonable. Smaller systems would have a shorter life. Oracle Financial 2012 was put in last year. When upgraded, the Company will capitalize upgrades but not retire original platform. Based on the analysis, numerous fits are around 12 years. Based on all the information and judgment, this study recommends a 15 year average service life with the L1.5 dispersion for this account. A graph of the observed life table and the recommended life and curve are shown below. This study recommends a zero percent net salvage rate for this account.



Account 39909 – Main Frame Software

This account consists of costs related to Oracle, assembler language, security control package, natural VSAM and other related software. The balance is \$1.0 million and is fully depreciated. The assets will be retired and not replaced due to the use of server technology in place.

APPENDIX A

Annual Rate and Accrual

Appendix A

Atmos Energy - Shared Services At September 30, 2014 Depreciation Study Annual Depreciation Rates and Accruals

				Annual		
		Plant Balance	Accrual		Accrual	
Account	Description	09/30/2014	Rate		Amount	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)		(e)	
DIVISION 00	2 - SSU GENERAL OFFICE				• •	
39000 Structu	ire & Improvements \$	1,309,245,93	3.01%	\$	39,432,12	
39005 Structu	ire & Improvements	9,199,400,51	3.01%	·	277.069.34	
39009 Improv	ements - Leased	8,856,029.45	3.25%		287,646.34	
39100 Office	Furniture & Equipment	10,496,896.14	3.96%		416,169.19	
39104 Office	Furniture & Equipment	63,740.85	3.96%		2,527.13	
39200 Transp	ortation Equipment	103,415.63	8.34%		8,621.95	
39400 Tools,	Shop, & Garage Equipment	264,475.83	8.37%		22,130.70	
39500 Labora	tory Equipment	23,632.07	10.05%		2,374.04	
39700 Comm	unication Equipment	2,448,692.24	5.85%		143,284.81	
39800 Miscell	aneous Equipment	481,520.80	5.29%		25,465.39	
39900 Other 7	Fangible Equipment	168,103.30	13.06%		21,957.94	
39901 Server	s-Hardware	29,891,192.11	9.48%		2,835,048.87	
39902 Server	s-Software	16,346,607.65	8.93%		1,460,379.34	
39903 Networ	k Hardware	3,560,450.29	6.99%		248,985.80	
39906 Pc Har	dware	2,696,309.27	10.49%		282,780.48	
39907 Pc Sof	tware	1,029,795.48	6.63%		68,226.99	
39908 Applica	tion Software	95,314,476.75	6.52%		6,210,612.92	
	Total SSU General Office	182,253,984.30	6.78%		12,352,713.36	
DIVISION 012	- SSU CUSTOMER SUPPORT					
39000 Structu	re & Improvements	12,583,274.85	3.01%		378,985.53	
39009 Improv	ements - Leased	4,298,434.33	3.25%		139,614.36	
39010 CKV-S	tructures & Improvements	10,419,806.71	3.01%		313,825.77	
39100 Office I	Furniture & Equipment	2,303,598.12	3.96%		91,330.48	
39103 Office I	Machines	4,057.89	3.96%		160.88	
39700 Comm	unication Equipment	1,962,784.81	5.85%		114,852.02	
39710 CKV-C	ommunication Equipment	271,621.22	5.85%		15,893.87	
39800 Miscell	aneous Equipment	28,617.03	5.29%		1,513.42	
39900 Other 7	Fangible Equipment	629,166.46	13.06%		82,182.80	
39901 Server	s-Hardware	7,924,716.14	9.48%		751,624.67	
39902 Server	s-Software	1,786,301.86	8.93%		159,585.30	
39903 Networ	k Hardware	494,406.42	6.99%		34,574.33	
39906 Pc Har	dware	872,782.54	10.49%		91,534.70	
39907 Pc Soft	ware	499,710.36	6.63%		33,107.28	
39908 Applica	ition Software	109,873,866.14	6.52%		7,159,290.76	
39910 CKV-O	ther Tangible Equipment	91,992.46	13.06%		12,016.21	
39916 CKV-P	c Hardware	194,015.41	10.49%		20,347.73	
39917 CKV-P	c Software	90,540.56	6.63%		5,998.58	
	Total Customer Support	154,329,693.31	6.10%		9,406,438.72	
	Total Plant in Study	336 583 677 61	6 46%	\$	21,759,152,08	

Notes:

1. Accounts 39101, 39102, and 39103 are combined with Account 39100.

2. Account 39809 is combined with Account 39800.

APPENDIX B

Remaining Life Calculations

Appendix B

Atmos Energy - Shared Services At September 30, 2014 Calculation of Depreciation Accrual Remaining Life With Reserve Reallocation

				Net				Annual	
	Description	Dis et Dislama	Allocated	Salvage	Net Salvage	Unaccrued	Remaining	Accrual	Accrual
Account	Description	Plant Balance	BOOK Reserve	<u>%</u>	Amount	Balance	Lite	Amount	Rate
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(i)
39000 Stri	uctures & Improvements	\$ 33,511,728.00	\$ 5,387,689.67	0%	\$-	\$ 28,124,038.33	27.86	\$ 1,009,312.76	3.01%
39009 Imp	provements - Leased	13,154,463.78	10,101,312.09	0%	-	3,053,151.69	7.15	427,260.70	3.25%
39100 Offi	ice Furniture & Equipment	12,868,293.00	6,988,475.63	0%	-	5,879,817.37	11.52	510,187.68	3.96%
39200 Tra	nsportation Equipment	103,415.63	51,767.92	10%	10,341.56	41,306.14	4.79	8,621.95	8.34%
39400 Too	ols Shop And Garage	264,475.83	102,156.77	0%	-	162,319.06	7.33	22,130.70	8.37%
39500 Lab	poratory Equipment	23,632.07	9,147.89	0%	-	14,484.18	6.10	2,374.04	10.05%
39700 Cor	mmunication Equipment	4,683,098.27	2,379,742.87	0%	-	2,303,355.40	8.41	274,030.70	5.85%
39800 Mis	cellaneous Equipmeent	510,137.83	287,538.91	0%	-	222,598.92	8.25	26,978.82	5.29%
39900 Oth	er Tangible Equipment	889,262.22	380,313.34	0%	-	508,948.88	4.38	116,156.96	13.06%
39901 Ser	vers-Hardware	37,815,908.25	21,091,805.13	0%	-	16,724,103.12	4.66	3,586,673.55	9.48%
39902 Ser	vers-Software	18,132,909.51	11,337,185.90	0%	-	6,795,723.61	4.19	1,619,964.65	8.93%
39903 Net	work Hardware	4,054,856.71	3,012,739.55	0%	-	1,042,117.16	3.68	283,560.13	6.99%
39906 PC	Hardware	3,763,107.22	2,877,983.27	0%	-	885,123.95	2.24	394,662.92	10.49%
39907 PC	Software	1,620,046.40	1,182,030.73	0%	-	438,015.67	4.08	107,332.85	6.63%
39908 App	olication Software	205,188,342.89	94,601,556.77	0%	-	110,586,786.12	8.27	13,369,903.68	6.52%
	Total Depreciable Plant	\$ 336,583,677.61	\$ 159,791,446.44		\$ 10,341.56	\$ 176,781,889.61		\$ 21,759,152.08	6.46%
APPENDIX C

Mortality Characteristics

Appendix C

Atmos Energy - Shared Services Unit Depreciation Study as of September 30, 2014 Proposed Depreciation Mortality Characteristics

Account	Description	ASL	Curve	Gross Salvage	Cost of Removal	Net Salvage
DIVISIO	ON 002 - SSU GENERAL OFFICE					
39000	Structure & Improvements	40	R2	0%	0%	0%
39005	Structure & Improvements	40	R2	0%	0%	0%
39009	Improvements - Leased	20	R4	0%	0%	0%
39100	Office Furniture & Equipment	22	L4	0%	0%	0%
39101	Office Furniture & Equipment	22	L4	0%	0%	0%
39102	Remittance Processing	22	L4	0%	0%	0%
39103	Office Machines	22	L4	0%	0%	0%
39104	Office Furniture & Equipment	22	L4	0%	0%	0%
39200	Transportation Equipment	10	L2	10%	0%	10%
39400	Tools, Shop, & Garage Equipment	11	S6	0%	0%	0%
39500	Laboratory Equipment	10	R2	0%	0%	0%
39700	Communication Equipment	15	R5	0%	0%	0%
39800	Miscellaneous Equipment	15	S3	0%	0%	0%
39809	Inserter	15	S3	0%	0%	0%
39900	Other Tangible Equipment	7	R5	0%	0%	0%
39901	Servers-Hardware	9	R4	0%	0%	0%
39902	Servers-Software	9	S 5	0%	0%	0%
39903	Network Hardware	10	SQ	0%	0%	0%
39906	Pc Hardware	6	S3	0%	0%	0%
39907	Pc Software	10	R3	0%	0%	0%
39908	Application Software	15	L1.5	0%	0%	0%
DIVISION	012 - SSU CUSTOMER SUPPORT					
39000	Structure & Improvements	40	R2	0%	0%	0%
39009	Improvements - Leased	20	R4	0%	0%	0%
39010	CKV-Structures & Improvements	40	R2	0%	0%	0%
39100	Office Furniture & Equipment	18	L4	0%	0%	0%
39101	Office Furniture & Equipment	18	L4	0%	0%	0%
39102	Remittance Processing	18	L4	0%	0%	0%
39103	Office Machines	18	L4	0%	0%	0%
39700	Communication Equipment	15	R5	0%	0%	0%
39710	CKV-Communication Equipment	15	R5	0%	0%	0%
39800	Miscellaneous Equipment	15	S3	0%	0%	0%
39900	Other Tangible Equipment	7	R5	0%	0%	0%
39901	Servers-Hardware	9	R4	0%	0%	0%
39902	Servers-Software	8	S5	0%	0%	0%
39903	Network Hardware	10	SQ	0%	0%	0%
39906	Pc Hardware	6	S3	0%	0%	0%
39907	Pc Software	10	R3	0%	0%	0%
39908	Application Software	15	L1.5	0%	0%	0%
39910	CKV-Other Tangible Equipment	7	R5	0%	0%	0%
39916	CKV-Pc Hardware	6	S3	0%	0%	0%
39917	CKV-Pc Software	10	R3	0%	0%	0%

APPENDIX D

Net Salvage Analysis

I

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 1 of 9

			_				2- yr	3- yr	4- yr	5- yr	6- yr	7- yr	8- yr	9- yr	10- yr
	Activity	D-41	Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net
ACCT 20000	1ear	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Saiv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %
39000	2007	0	-	-	U		МА								
30000	2000	0		-	0			NIA							
30000	2008	0	-	-	0				NIA						
39000	2010	0	-	-	0					NA					
39000	2011	0	-	_	0		NΔ	NΔ			ΝΑ				
30000	2012	0	-	_	ő	NΔ	ΝA	ΝA	NΔ		N/A	NΛ			
39000	2010	0		-	0	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ		MΔ		
03000	2014	0	_	_	U		11/1		IN/N			104	NA.		
00005	0007				•	210									
39005	2007	U			0	INA NA	NIA								
39005	2000	0	-	**	0	NA NA		NIA							
39005	2009	0	-	-	0	NA NA	NA NA		NA						
30005	2010	0	-	-	0			N/A	NA NA	NA					
39005	2011	0	-	-	0	NA NA			NA NA		ΝΑ				
39005	2012	ů n	_	-	0	NA NA			NA NA		NA NA	NΔ			
39005	2013	ů N	-	_	0		NΔ		NA NA	NA NA		NA NA	NΙΔ		
00000	2.014	Ŭ	-	-	0	14/5		11/5	114	nA.			INPA		
39009	2000	270 911	-	-	Ο	0.0%									
39009	2000	270,311	-	_	0	0.075 NA	0.0%								
39009	2001	Ő	_	_	0	NΔ	0.070 NA	0.0%							
39009	2003	Ö	-	-	0	NΔ	NΔ	0.070 ΝΔ	0.0%						
39009	2004	0	-	-	ů N	NA	NA	NA	0.070 ΝΔ	n n%					
39009	2005	Ő	-	-	ů N	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%				
39009	2006	178.757	-	-	Ő	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			
39009	2007	0	•	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39009	2008	Õ	-	-	Ő	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39009	2009	Ö	-	-	Ő	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39009	2010	Ō	-	-	Ō	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39009	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39009	2012	35.417	-	-	Ď	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39009	2013	0	-	-	Ő	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39009	2014	126,214	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	1993	83,992	200	-	200	0.2%									
39100	1994	7,848	-	-	0	0.0%	0.2%								

	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	2- yr Net	3- yr Net	4- yr Net	5- yr Net	6- yr Net	7-yr Net	8-yr Net	9- yr Net	10- yr Net
Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv, %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %
 39100	1995	852	••	_	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%							
39100	1996	92,361	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%						
39100	1997	0	-	(5,108)	5,108	NA	5.5%	5.5%	5.1%	2.9%					
39100	1998	6,852	-	•	0	0.0%	74.5%	5.1%	5.1%	4.7%	2.77%				
39100	1999	0	-		0	NA	0.0%	74.5%	5.1%	5.1%	4.73%	2.77%			
39100	2000	0		~	0	NA	NA	0.0%	74.5%	5.1%	5.10%	4.73%	2.77%		
39100	2001	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	74.5%	5.15%	5.10%	4.73%	2.77%	
39100	2002	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	74.55%	5.15%	5.10%	4.73%	2.77%
39100	2003	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	74.55%	5.15%	5.10%	4.73%
39100	2004	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	74.55%	5.15%	5.10%
39100	2005	0	••	**	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	74.55%	5.15%
39100	2006	1,420,965	-	" ``	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.36%
39100	2007	75,094	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2009	225,893	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2010	95,413		**	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2012	788,808	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2013	1,602,991	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39100	2014	1,163	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
20101	2007	0	_		0	NA									
30101	2007	0	_		0	NΔ	NΔ								
20101	2000	0	_	_	0	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ							
30101	2000	0	_	_	Ő	NΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ	NΔ						
39101	2010	0	-	_	0	NA	ΝA	NA	NΔ	NΔ					
39101	2012	0 0	-	_	0	NA	ΝA	ΝA	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ				
39101	2013	Ő	-	~	õ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΑ			
39101	2014	Ő	-	-	ñ	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ		
00101	2013	Ŭ			Ū	1471	10.		101		11/1		1474		
39102	2007	0	-	-	0	NA									
39102	2008	0			0	NA	NA								
39102	2009	Ō			0	NA	NA	NA							
39102	2010	25,380	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
39102	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
39102	2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				
39102	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 3 of 9

		Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	2- yr Net	3- yr Net	4- yr Net	5- yr Net	6- yr Net	7- yr Net	8- yr Net	9- yr Net	10- yr Net
A	cct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %
	39102	2014	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
3	39103	2007	387,812	_	-	0	0.0%									
3	39103	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
3	39103	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%							
3	39103	2010	48,493	-	~	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						
3	39103	2011	0		-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
3	39103	2012	0	-	~	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				
3	39103	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			
3	39103	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
3	39104	2010	0	-	-	0	NA									
3	39104	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA								
3	39104	2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA							
3	39104	2013	0	••	**	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
3	39104	2014	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
:	39200	2007	18,885			0	0.0%									
:	39200	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
:	39200	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%							
:	39200	2010	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%						
:	39200	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
:	39200	2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%				
3	39200	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%			
3	39200	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%		
:	39300	2007	0	-	-	0	NA									
:	39300	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA								
:	39300	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA							
:	39300	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
;	39300	2011	0	~	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
;	39300	2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
:	39300	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
:	39300	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 4 of 9

Acct	Activity Year	Retirement	Gross Salvage	Cost of Removal	Net Salvage	Net Salv. %	2- yr Net Salv. %	3- yr Net Salv. %	4- yr Net Salv. %	5- yr Net Salv. %	6- yr Net Salv. %	7- yr Net Salv. %	8- yr Net Salv. %	9- yr Net Salv. %	10- yr Net Salv. %
20400	2007	7 693			0	A 0%									
39400	2007 0 2008	7,003	-		0	0.076 NA	0.0%								
39400) 2000	0	-	-	0		0.076 NA	0.0%							
39400) 2010	0	_	-	ő	NΔ	NA NA	0.070 NA	0.0%						
39400) 2011	Ő	_	-	0 0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
39400	2012	ő	-	-	Ő	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%				
39400	2013	Õ	_	-	Ő	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%			
39400	2014	0	-	-	Ō	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%		
		-			-										
39500	2007	0	-	-	0	NA									
39500) 2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA								
39500) 2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA							
39500) 2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39500) 2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39500) 2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
39500) 2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
39500) 2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
		0.004				0.004									
39700) 1993	8,091	-	-	0	0.0%	0.00/								
39700	1994	U	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.00/							
39700	1995	U	-	-	0	NA NA	NA NA	U.U%	0.00/						
39700	1990	0	-	~	0		IN/A NA		0.0%	0.0%					
39700	1008	0			0	MΔ				0.076 NA	0.00%				
39700	1000	0	-	-	0 0	NΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ			0.0076 NIA	0.00%			
39700	> 2000	0	_		0	NΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ		0.0070 NA	0.00%		
39700) 2000	0	_	_	ő	NA	NA	NA	NA	NΔ	NΔ	NΔ	0.0078 NA	n nn%	
39700) 2002	ő	-	-	Ő	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%
39700) 2003	Ő	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
39700	2004	34.015	26.609	3.107	23.502	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.09%	69.09%	69.09%	69 09%	69 09%
39700	2005	0	-	-,	,	NA	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.1%	69.09%	69.09%	69.09%	69.09%	69.09%
39700	2006	792,568	-	-	Ő	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%
39700) 2007	0	-	-	. 0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%	2.84%
39700	2008	16,530	-	-	Ō	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%
39700	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 5 of 9

	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	2- yr Net	3- yr Net	4- yr Net	5- yr Net	6- yr Net	7- yr Net	8- yr Net	9- yr Net	10- yr Net
 Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Saiv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %
 39700	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%	2.79%
39700	2011	0	**		0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	2.79%	2.79%	. 2.79%
39700	2012	24,247,440		-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	0.09%
39700	2013	118,856	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%
39700	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	1996	149,090	9,000		9,000	6.0%									
39800	1997	0	-	- 125	0	NA	6.0%								
39800	1998	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	6.0%							
39800	1999	0	-	~	0	NA	NA	NA	6.0%						
39800	2000	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.0%					
39800	2001	0	_	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.04%				
39800	2002	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.04%			
39800	2003	56,637	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	4.37%		
39800	2004	0	-	•	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.37%	
39800	2005	0	••		0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	4.37%
39800	2006	0	**		0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2007	0			0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00% .	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2008	419,274			0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2009	0	**	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2011	0	**	~	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2012	25,971	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39800	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39809	2007	0	-	-	0	NA									
39809	2008	0	-	••	0	NA	NA								
39809	2009	0	**	-	0	NA	NA	NA							
39809	2010	· 0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39809	2011	0	-	**	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39809	2012	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA				
39809	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA			
39809	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
39900	1994	219,471	-	-	0	0.0%									

ATMOS ENERGY - SHARED SERVICES UNIT Depreciation Study as of September 30, 2014 Net Salvage Analysis

e of a present of a state of the second s

							2-yr	3- yr	4- yr	5- yr	6- yr	7-yr	8- yr	9- yr	10- yr
	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net										
Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %									
39900	1995	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
39900	1996	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%							
39900	1997	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%						
39900	1998	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
39900	1999	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%				
39900	2000	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%			
39900	2001	0	~	-	0	NA	0.00%								
39900	2002	8,143	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39900	2003	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2004	0	-	-	0	ŃA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2005	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2006	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2007	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2008	224,866	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2011	0		••	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2012	0			0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2013	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39900	2014	0	-	-	0	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%						
39901	2007	0	-	- 、	0	NA									
39901	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA								
39901	2009	0		~	0	NA	NA	NA							
39901	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39901	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39901	2012	10,873,205	-	(129)	129	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				
39901	2013	3,585,984	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			
39901	2014	452,050	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	~~~~	_			~										
39902	2007	0	-	-	0	NA									
39902	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA								
39902	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA							
39902	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA						
39902	2011	0	-	-	0 Î	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39902	2012	6,624,796	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				

	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	2- yr Net	3- yr Net	4- yr Net	5- yr Net	6- yr Net	7- yr Net	8- yr Net	9- yr Net	10- yr Net
Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv, %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Saiv. %
39902	2013	1,467,368	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			
39902	2014	497,701	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39903	2006	11,472		-	0	0.0%									
39903	2007	0	-		0	NA	0.0%								
39903	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%							
39903	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%						
39903	2010	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
39903	2011	Ó	-	-	Ó	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA					
39903	2012	886.044	-	1,278	(1.278)	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.14%				
39903	2013	110.059	-	-	0	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.13%	-0.13%			
39903	2014	237,149	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.10%	-0.10%	-0.10%		
30004	2007	Û	_		0	MΔ									
30004	2008	0	_	_	0	MΔ	NΔ								
30004	2000	0	_	_	0	MΔ	ΝΔ	NΔ							
30004	2010	0	_		0	NA	NA	NΔ	NΔ						
30004	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NΔ	. NA	NΔ					
39904	2012	1 095 465	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	n nn%				
39904	2013	1,000,400	-		Ő	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	n nn%			
39904	2014	Ő	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
00001	0007	0			0	NIA									
39905	0 2007	0	-	-	0		<b>N1</b> A								
39905000	2000	0	-	-	0	NA NA	INA NA	NIA							
39905000	2009	0	-	-	0	NA NA		IN/A NIA	N1A						
39905000	2010	0	-	-	0	NA NA		NA NA	NA NA	81.0					
39905000	2011	1 450 004	-	-	0	NA 0.0%			NA 2 00/	NA 0.00/	0.000/				
39905000	2012	1,159,964	**	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.000/			
39905000	2013	0		~	0	NA NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.000/		
39905000	2014	U	**	-	U	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39906	i 1994	97,832	-	-	0	0.0%									
39906	1995	. 0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
39906	1996	116,913	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%							
39906	i 1997	0	-		0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%						

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 8 of 9

#### ATMOS ENERGY - SHARED SERVICES UNIT Depreciation Study as of September 30, 2014 Net Salvage Analysis

-----

							2- yr	3- yr	4- yr	5- yr	6-yr	7-yr	8- yr	9- yr	10- yr
	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net										
Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %									
39906	1998	0	**	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
39906	1999	0	**		0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				
39906	2000	2,832	3,000	45	2,955	104.3%	104.3%	104.3%	104.3%	2.5%	2.47%	1.36%			
39906	2001	0	-	-	0	NA	104.3%	104.3%	104.3%	104.3%	2.47%	2.47%	1.36%		
39906	2002	6,189,732	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	
39906	2003	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%
39906	2004	0	**	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%
39906	2005	0	~	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%	0.05%
39906	2006	2,632,955	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%
39906	2007	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%
39906	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%	0.03%
39906	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.03%
39906	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2011	2,825,516	~		0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2012	4,649,967	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2013	217,744	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39906	2014	162,562	250	-	250	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	1994	38,759	-	-	0	0.0%									
39907	1995	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
39907	1996	0	~	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%						•	
39907	1997	0	-	~	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%						
39907	1998	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
39907	1999	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%				
39907	2000	0		-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%			
39907	2001	0	-	-	0	NA	0.00%								
39907	2002	861,539	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39907	2003	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2004	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2005	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2006	16,495	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2007	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Exhibit DAW-3 Appendix D Page 9 of 9

			_				2- yr	3- yr	4- yr	5- yr	6- yr	7- yr	8- yr	9- yr	10- yr
	Activity		Gross	Cost of	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net	Net
Acct	Year	Retirement	Salvage	Removal	Salvage	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %	Salv. %
39907	2012	2,918,743	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2013	366,151	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39907	2014	599,561	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	1995	5,256	-	-	0	0.0%									
39908	1996	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%								
39908	1997	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%							
39908	1998	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%						
39908	1999	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%					
39908	2000	8,032,596	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%				
39908	2001	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%			
39908	2002	9,573,067	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
39908	2003	0	-	-	0	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
39908	2004	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2005	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2006	731,136	-	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2007	0		-	0	• NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2008	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2009	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2010	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2011	0	-	-	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2012	2,603,072	~	-	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2013	60,097,599	-	206	(206)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
39908	2014	-68,545	-	-	Ó	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30000	2007	n	-	-	0	NΔ									
20000	2007	0	-	-	0	NΔ	NΔ								
30000	2000	0	-	-	0			NA							
30000	2009	0	-	-	0		NA NA	NA NA	NA						
29908	2010	0	~		U	NA	МĄ	INA	NA						