# BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION
SOUTHWEST, LLC FOR ITS CERTIFICATE
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO
CONSTRUCT TRANSMISSION FACILITIES
IN THE STATE OF KANSAS

KCC DOCKET NO. 22-NETE-419-COC

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

**JOSH FRANTZ** 

ON BEHALF OF

THE CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 2 A. My name is Joshua (Josh) P. Frantz. My business address is 1500 SW Arrowhead Road,

  Topeka, Kansas 66604.
- 4 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 5 A. I am employed by the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) as a Senior Regulatory
  6 Analyst.
- 7 Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
- A. I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Washburn University in
  Topeka, Kansas. My undergraduate majors were finance, marketing, and management.

  Additionally, I earned a Master of Business Administration degree, also from Washburn
  University.

I have served in my current position as Senior Regulatory Analyst with CURB since April 2019.

From August 2015 through April 2019, I was employed by the Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC or "Commission"). I began my employment with the KCC in the Utilities division as a Senior Research Economist and was ultimately promoted to Managing Rate Analyst.

#### Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

Yes. I filed Cross Answering Testimony in this docket on May 27, 2022. I also testified in Docket Nos. 19-ATMG-525-RTS, 21-WCNE-103-GIE, 21-BHCG-334-GIG, 21-KGSG-332-GIG, and 21-ATMG-333-GIG on behalf of CURB. During my prior employment as a member of KCC Staff, I offered testimony in seven proceedings before the Commission as well as over thirty Report and Recommendations for the Commission's

A.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

consideration. A list of those filings is available, upon request.

#### 2 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. My testimony supports the *Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement* ("Agreement") filed within the *Joint Motion for Approval of Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement* ("Joint Motion") in this docket on June 6, 2022, regarding NextEra Energy Transmission Southwest's ("NEET-SW") application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CCN") to transact the business of a transmission-only public utility in Kansas.

#### 8 Q. Please provide a brief background of this proceeding.

Kansas law, under K.S.A. 66-131 and K.S.A. 66-136, requires any entity building an electric transmission line to obtain approval and certification from the Commission to operate as a public utility, as set forth in K.S.A. 66-104.

Through the Southwest Power Pool's (SPP) competitive Transmission Owner Solicitation Process, an independent Industry Expert Panel (IEP) evaluated project bids and recommended NEET-SW as the Designated Transmission Owner for the Wolf Creek to Blackberry 345 kV Transmission Project ("WC-BB Project" or "Project"). The WC-BB Project calls for a 94 mile long, single circuit 345 kV transmission line connecting the existing Wolf Creek substation in Coffey County, Kansas with the Blackberry substation in Jasper County, Missouri. The SPP Board of Directors accepted and approved the IEP's recommendation.

On February 28, 2022, NEET-SW — an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy, Inc., the largest public utility by market capitalization in the nation — filed a CCN application in order to transact the business of a transmission-only public

5

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

utility in Kansas. NEET-SW is requesting permission to construct, own, operate, and maintain 85 miles of bulk electric transmission facilities located within Kansas.

NEET-SW's WC-BB Project proposal contains the following key features: a preliminary, direct route between the Wolf Creek and Blackberry substations; a proposed cost of \$85.2 million in 2021 dollars (significantly below SPP's estimated costs of \$142.6 million) with cost-containment guarantees; and an in-service date of approximately January 1, 2025 (a year sooner than SPP's need by date).

#### 8 Q. Has a settlement agreement been reached regarding the Company's Financial Plan?

9 A. Yes. On June 1–2, 2022, parties to the docket held a settlement conference. A nonunanimous settlement was negotiated and the terms of that agreement were filed on June 6, 2022.

#### 12 O. Which parties are signatories to the Agreement?

13 A. The signatories to the Agreement (collectively, "Signatories") are: NEET-SW, KCC Staff;

14 CURB; Evergy Kansas Central and Evergy Kansas Metro (collectively, "Evergy");

15 Southwest Power Pool (SPP); Kansas Electric Power Cooperative (KEPCo); and

16 Sunflower Electric Power Corporation ("Sunflower").

## Q. What criteria does the Commission generally consider when reviewing nonunanimous settlement agreements?

The Commission may accept a non-unanimous settlement agreement if the following five criteria are met: 1) the agreement conforms with applicable law; 2) there was an opportunity for opposing parties to be heard on their reasons for opposition to the agreement; 3) the agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence; 4) the

A.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

agreement results in just and reasonable rates or charges; and 5) the results of the agreement are in the public interest.<sup>1</sup> I will now discuss these criteria, in detail.

#### Q. Does the Agreement conform to applicable law?

- A. I have been advised by CURB's attorneys that the Agreement conforms to applicable law.

  However, since I am not an attorney, I defer further discussion of this criterion to CURB's

  Post-Hearing Brief.
- Q. Was there an opportunity for opposing parties to be heard on their reasons for opposition to the Agreement?
  - Yes, there have been and will be opportunities for the opposing parties to be heard. All parties could file Direct Testimony in response to the Application, followed by Cross Answering Testimony addressing issues raised by other parties. All parties participated in a settlement conference held June 1–2, 2022, at the KCC Office in Topeka, with virtual participation optional. The procedural schedule allows for the filing of testimony in support of or opposition to the Agreement. A Commission Hearing and Post-Hearing Brief are also scheduled.

As a condition of the Agreement, NEET-SW has agreed it will not file a line siting application for the Project in Kansas until after the KCC issues a CCN for the Project. This should assuage any concern that the process may move forward before the opposing parties' positions regarding the CCN Application can be fully heard.

 $<sup>^1 \</sup>textit{See Order Approving Contested Settlement Agreement}, \P 11, \textit{Docket No. 08-ATMG-280-RTS (May 12, 2012)}.$ 

Α.

A.

#### Q. Is the Agreement supported by substantial competent evidence?

Yes, the Agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence in the record. The initial Application was supported by the direct testimony of several witnesses on behalf of NEET-SW, supplemented with data from SPP. There was extensive vetting of these matters through a multitude of data requests and information sharing ahead of the settlement discussions. The Agreement is supported by my testimony and, I expect, will be supported by the testimony of other Signatories.

SPP is a regional transmission organization (RTO): a <u>nonprofit</u> corporation mandated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) to ensure reliable supplies of power, adequate transmission infrastructure and competitive wholesale electricity prices on behalf of its members.<sup>2</sup> Preceding NEET-SW's CCN Application, the WC-BB Project was developed and approved by SPP based upon substantial evidence and competent review. Then, SPP awarded the Project to NEET-SW based upon substantial evidence and competent review of the project bids it received.

### Q. Will the Agreement result in just and reasonable rates/charges?

In preface, there are two points to consider. First, the Settlement Agreement does not directly establish rates: NEET-SW's costs of the Project will be recovered through NEET-SW's FERC-approved formula rate and associated customer review and challenge protocols. The prudence of NEET-SW's Project expenditures and the applicability of NEET-SW's cost containment commitments to such expenditures will be subject to FERC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.spp.org/about-us// [emphasis added], accessed Jun. 3, 2022.

review and oversight. Second, the Signatories are in agreement that FERC preempts the KCC's ratemaking authority as set forth in K.S.A. 66-101b-f, 66-117, 66-128, and 66-128a-p unless NEET-SW acts outside the conduct covered by FERC jurisdiction, at which time the KCC will decide the applicability of these statutes.

With that understanding, I do believe the Agreement will result in just and reasonable rates and charges.

Both NEET-SW and KCC Staff have estimated the bill impact from the transmission costs of the Project would be \$0.04 per month for the average residential customer.<sup>3,4</sup> This estimation is bolstered by relatively comprehensive cost containment guarantees from NEET-SW. The estimation does not consider any benefits of the Project.

Because the Project was designed by SPP from a regional perspective as part of a larger portfolio of projects, the calculation of Kansas-specific benefits is complex and challenging, particularly because each utility zone in Kansas may experience a different level of benefit. As evidenced by the various dockets before the Commission regarding the benefits of SPP, such a limitation on Kansas-specific data has not invalidated the perception that Kansas does benefit overall from its membership in the SPP. In that regard, the relatively limited projected bill impact from Project costs is generally expected to be more than offset by the benefits to customers in Kansas from the expanded transmission capacity and reduced electric generation costs in Kansas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Direct Testimony of Becky Walding on behalf of NEET-SW, pg. 35 lns. 5–8 (Feb. 28, 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Report and Recommendation from KCC Staff, pg. 11–12 (May 17, 2022).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A.

#### Q. Are the results of the Agreement in the public interest?

Yes, I believe the Agreement is in the public interest. There is a wide range of representation among the Signatories: SPP, the FERC-approved RTO; Evergy, an electric public utility; KEPCo and Sunflower, not-for-profit electric utilities; CURB, representing residential and small commercial customers; and KCC Staff, representing all interests including the public, generally.

SPP has approved the WC-BB Project and awarded the Project to NEET-SW. Both the WC-BB Project and NEET-SW's bid were thoroughly researched and reviewed by SPP prior to approval. SPP's projected 40-year adjusted production cost savings benefit-to-cost ratio for the Project ranged from 1.48 to 3.36 in the studied future scenarios.<sup>5</sup>

The WC-BB Project is designed to address the needs of the SPP region, broadly. In that same broad sense, I believe Kansas' membership in the SPP is in the public interest. Furthermore, I expect the Project will benefit customers in Kansas, generally, by way of expanded transmission capacity and reduced electric generation costs.

#### Q. What do you recommend?

I support the Agreement and believe it satisfies the Commission's established criteria for approval of a settlement agreement. Therefore, I recommend the Commission approve the Agreement as filed.

#### Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

20 A. Yes, it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SPP response to KCC Staff data request KCC-17.

#### **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF KANSAS	)	
	)	
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE	) :	ss:

I, Josh P. Frantz, of lawful age and being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am a Senior Regulatory Analyst for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board; that I have read and am familiar with the above and foregoing document and attest that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Josh P. Frantz

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this  $\underline{7^{th}}$  day of June, 2022.

Notary Public

My Commission expires: 08-03-2025.

SHONDA D. RABB

Notary Public - State of Kansas

My Appt. Expires Aug. 3, 2025

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

#### 22-NETE-419-COC

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2022, to the following:

DEREK BROWN, SR. FEDERAL REG. AFFAIRS, MANAGER EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 Derek.Brown@evergy.com

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SR DIRECTOR & REGULATORY AFFAIRS COUNSEL EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889
Cathy.Dinges@evergy.com

DENISE M. BUFFINGTON, DIR. FED REG. AFFAIRS
EVERGY METRO, INC D/B/A EVERGY
KANSAS METRO
ONE KANSAS CITY PLACE
1200 MAIN ST., 19th FLOOR
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105
DENISE.BUFFINGTON@EVERGY.COM

ANTHONY WESTENKIRCHNER, SENIOR PARALEGAL EVERGY METRO, INC D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS METRO ONE KANSAS CITY PLACE 1200 MAIN ST., 19th FLOOR KANSAS CITY, MO 64105 anthony.westenkirchner@evergy.com

JEREMY L. GRABER FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S. KANSAS AVENUE, SUITE 200 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1203 JGRABER@FOULSTON.COM

JACOB G HOLLY, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S. KANSAS AVENUE, SUITE 200 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1203 jholly@foulston.com LISA AGRIMONTI, ATTORNEY FREDRIKSON & BYRON 200 S. 6TH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402 LAGRIMONTI@FREDLAW.COM

TERRY M. JARRETT, Attorney at Law HEALY LAW OFFICES, LLC 3010 E BATTLEFIELD, SUITE A SPRINGFIELD, MO 65804 terry@healylawoffices.com

HEATHER H. STARNES, ATTORNEY HEALY LAW OFFICES, LLC 12 PERDIDO CIRCLE LITTLE ROCK, AR 72211 heather@healylawoffices.com

JAMES W. BIXBY, ATTORNEY - REGULATORY & LEGISLATIVE ITC GREAT PLAINS, LLC 601 13<sup>th</sup> ST. NW, STE 710S WASHINGTON, DC 20005 jbixby@itctransco.com

PATRICK WOODS, MANAGER OF REGULATORY STRATEGY ITC GREAT PLAINS, LLC 3500 SW FAIRLAWN RD, STE 101 TOPEKA, KS 66614-3979 cwoods@itctransco.com

DAVID COHEN, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 d.cohen@kcc.ks.gov

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov JARED JEVONS, LITIGATION ATTORNEY KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 j.jevons@kcc.ks.gov

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION
COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
c.masenthin@kcc.ks.gov

SUSAN B. CUNNINGHAM, SVP, Regulatory and Government Affairs, General Counsel KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC. 600 SW CORPORATE VIEW PO BOX 4877 TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877 scunningham@kepco.org

MARK DOLJAC, DIR RATES AND REGULATION
KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC. 600 SW CORPORATE VIEW
PO BOX 4877
TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877
mdoljac@kepco.org

REBECCA FOWLER, MANAGER, REGULATORY AFFAIRS KANSAS ELECTRIC POWER CO-OP, INC. 600 SW CORPORATE VIEW PO BOX 4877 TOPEKA, KS 66604-0877 rfowler@kepco.org

LESLIE WINES, ADMINISTRATIVE ASST. KCP&L AND WESTAR, EVERGY COMPANIES 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 Leslie.Wines@evergy.com

KELLY HARRISON, CONSULTANT KELLY HARRISON 1012 MOUNDRIDGE DRIVE LAWRENCE, KS 66049 cbmbiker@outlook.com

GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW JACKSON, SUITE 1310 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216 GCAFER@MORRISLAING.COM VALERIE SMITH, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW JACKSON, SUITE 1310 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216 vsmith@morrislaing.com

TREVOR WOHLFORD, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW JACKSON, SUITE 1310 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216 twohlford@morrislaing.com

WILLIAM P. COX, SENIOR ATTORNEY NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC 700 UNIVERSE BLVD JUNO BEACH, FL 33408 will.p.cox@nexteraenergy.com

TRACY C. DAVIS, SENIOR ATTORNEY NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC 5920 W WILLIAM CANNON DR, BLDG 2 AUSTIN, TX 78749 TracyC.Davis@nexteraenergy.com

MARCOS MORA, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT
NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC
700 UNIVERSE BLVD
JUNO BEACH, FL 33408
marcos.mora@nexteraenergy.com

BECKY WALDING, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, DEVELOPMENT NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION, LLC 700 UNIVERSE BLVD JUNO BEACH, FL 33408 becky.walding@nexteraenergy.com

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 acallenbach@polsinelli.com

ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 aschulte@polsinelli.com SEAN PLUTA, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI 100 S. 4<sup>th</sup> ST., SUITE 1000 ST. LOUIS, MO 63102 spluta@polsinelli.com

LEE M. SMITHYMAN, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W. 110<sup>th</sup> ST. OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 LEE@SMIZAK-LAW.COM

CONNOR A. THOMPSON SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W. 110<sup>th</sup> ST. OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 connor@smizak-law.com

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W. 110<sup>th</sup> ST. OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 jim@smizak-law.com

JUSTIN A. HINTON, ATTORNEY SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. 201 WORTHEN DR LITTLE ROCK, AR 72223 jhinton@spp.org TESSIE KENTNER, ATTORNEY SOUTHWEST POWER POOL, INC. 201 WORTHEN DR LITTLE ROCK, AR 72223 tkentner@spp.org

TAYLOR P. CALCARA, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN ST., STE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530 TCALCARA@WCRF.COM

JEFFREY M. KUHLMAN, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN ST., STE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530 jkuhlman@wcrf.com

JARRED J. COOLEY, DIRECTOR OF STRATEGIC PLANNING XCEL ENERGY 790 S. BUCHANAN ST. AMARILLO, TX 79101 Jarred.j.cooley@xcelenergy.com

FRANCIS W. DUBOIS, LEAD ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL XCEL ENERGY 919 CONGRESS AVE., SUITE 900 AUSTIN, TX 78701 will.w.dubois@xcelenergy.com

Shonda Rabb

Public Service Administrator