THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:	Jay Scott Emler, Chairman
	Shari Feist Albrecht
	Pat Apple
	11

In the Matter of the Joint Application of)	
ITC Great Plains, LLC, and its Parent)	
Company, ITC Holdings Corp., Together)	
with Fortis Inc., FortisUS Inc., ITC)	
Investment Holdings, Inc. and Element)	
Acquisition Sub, Inc., for an Order)	Docket No. 16-ITCE-512-ACQ
Approving the Acquisition by Fortis, Inc. of)	
the Majority of All Classes of the Stock of)	
ITC Holdings Corp., and its Subsidiary)	
Companies, Including ITC Great Plains,)	
LLC.)	

ORDER ON MERGER STANDARDS

This matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission) for consideration and decision. Having reviewed the pleadings and record, the Commission makes the following findings:

1. On May 10, 2016, ITC Great Plains, LLC (ITC), on behalf of itself and its parent company ITC Holdings Corp., and Fortis Inc. (Fortis) and its subsidiaries, including FortisUS Inc., ITC Investment Holdings Inc. and Element Acquisition Sub Inc. (Joint Applicants) filed a Joint Application for Transaction Approval and Expedited Treatment, requesting approval of Fortis's acquisition of ITC.¹

¹ Joint Application for Transaction Approval and Expedited Treatment, May 10, 2016, \P 1.

2. At its August 4th business meeting, the Commission expressed its desire to reiterate the merger standards to ensure consistent approaches in the three pending merger dockets.² Kansas statutes do not contain a specific standard for mergers.³

3. On November 14, 1991, the Commission issued an order approving the Kansas Power & Light and Kansas Gas & Electric merger in the consolidated dockets, 172,745-U and 174,155-U. In approving the merger, the Commission stated that mergers should be approved where the applicant can demonstrate that the merger "will promote the public interest."⁴ Specifically, the Order listed several factors to consider in determining whether the public interest is promoted.⁵

4. On September 28, 1999, in the 97-WSRE-676-MER Docket (97-676 Docket), which approved the merger between Western Resources (forerunner of Westar) and KCP&L, before the companies withdrew their merger, the Commission reaffirmed the merger standards, but made clear they are to be supplemented by other consideration relevant to the unique facts and circumstances of each proposed merger: Since the 97-676 Docket, the Commission has applied the merger standards in several dockets.⁶

5. The Commission reaffirms the merger standards as modified in the 97-676 Docket. The Commission's central concern is whether the merger will promote the public interest. In determining whether a proposed merger will promote the public interest, the Commission will evaluate the application under the following criteria:

 ² 16-ITCE-512-ACQ (Fortis's proposed acquisition of ITC Great Plains), 16-KCPE-593-ACQ (Great Plains' proposed acquisition of Westar), 16-EPDE-410-ACQ (Empire's proposed merger with Liberty Utilities).
³ Order, Consolidated Dockets 172,745-U and 174,155-U, Nov. 14, 1991, p. 34.

⁴ *Id.*, p. 35

⁵ *Id.*, pp. 35-36.

⁶ See 13-BHCG-509-ACQ (Black Hills' acquisition of Anadarko's HRDS holdings), 14-KGSG-100-MIS (ONEOK's separation of its natural gas utility distribution business into a stand-alone publicly traded company, ONE Gas), and 16-BHCG-144 ACQ Docket (Black Hills' acquisition of Anadarko's West HRDS holdings).

(a) The effect of the transaction on consumers, including:

(i) the effect of the proposed transaction on the financial condition of the newly created entity as compared to the financial condition of the stand-alone entities if the transaction did not occur;

(ii) reasonableness of the purchase price, including whether the purchase price was reasonable in light of the savings that can be demonstrated from the merger and whether the purchase price is within a reasonable range;

(iii) whether ratepayer benefits resulting from the transaction can be quantified;

(iv) whether there are operational synergies that justify payment of a premium in excess of book value; and

- (v) the effect of the proposed transaction on the existing competition.
- (b) The effect of the transaction on the environment.

(c) Whether the proposed transaction will be beneficial on an overall basis to state and local economies and to communities in the area served by the resulting public utility operations in the state. Whether the proposed transaction will likely create labor dislocations that may be particularly harmful to local communities, or the state generally, and whether measures can be taken to mitigate the harm.

(d) Whether the proposed transaction will preserve the jurisdiction of the KCC and the capacity of the KCC to effectively regulate and audit public utility operations in the state.

(e) The effect of the transaction on affected public utility shareholders.

- (f) Whether the transaction maximizes the use of Kansas energy resources.
- (g) Whether the transaction will reduce the possibility of economic waste.
- (h) What impact, if any, the transaction has on the public safety.
- 6. In the 97-676 Docket, the Commission made clear that the enumerated criteria

can be supplemented to account for the unique facts and circumstances of each docket.

These factors are the beginning criteria to be used when evaluating a merger application, and are to be supplemented by any other considerations that are relevant given the circumstances existing at the time of the merger proposal. In essence, the question is whether the public interest is served by approving the merger as determined by the specific facts and circumstances of each case.⁷

⁷ Order on Merger Application, 97-WSRE-676-MER, Sept. 28, 1999, ¶ 18.

7. The Commission recognizes that the 97-676 Docket allows for some flexibility in the merger standards, including modifying those standards or even adding additional standards or considerations. At the same time, the Commission will require any deviation from the standards reaffirmed in paragraph 5 of this Order to be clearly identified in the application and justified in supporting testimony. Similarly, if Staff or an intervenor believes the standards need to be modified in a particular docket, they are obligated to explain the proposed modification and provide grounds supporting the proposed modification.

THEREFORE, THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

A. The Commission will evaluate the Application under the merger standards reaffirmed in paragraph 5 of this Order. Any party to the Docket that wishes to modify those standards shall identify the proposed modifications and justify each and every modification with supporting testimony.

B. Since the applicants have already filed their Application, with supporting testimony, any modifications should be filed with the Commission within 21 days of this Order being issued. Any other party to the Docket that wishes to modify the standards shall identify the proposed modifications in their direct testimony.

C. The parties have 15 days from the date this Order was electronically served to petition for reconsideration.⁸

D. The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the purpose of entering such further orders as it deems necessary.

4

⁸ K.S.A. 66-118b; K.S.A. 77-529(a)(1).

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Emler, Chairman; Albrecht, Commissioner; Apple, Commissioner

Dated: _____AUG 0 9 2016

en

Amy L. Sredn Secretary to the Commission

BGF

EMAILED

AUG 09 2016

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

16-ITCE-512-ACQ

I, the undersigned, certify that the true copy of the attached Order has been served to the following parties by means of

Electronic Service on ______ AUG 0 9 2016

PAUL FITZPATRICK, DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE FORTIS INC. FORTIS PLACE SUITE 1100 5 SPRINGDALE STREET ST.JOHN'S, NL A1B 3T2 pfitzpatrick@fortisinc.com

STEPHEN J. VIDETO, PRACTICE GROUP LEADER & SENIOR COUNSEL-REGULATORY & LEGISLATIVE ITC HOLDINGS CORP 27175 ENERGY WAY NOVI, MI 48377-3639 svideto@itctransco.com

ANDREW FRENCH, SENIOR LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3314 a.french@kcc.ks.gov

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 Fax: 913-451-6205 acallenbach@polsinelli.com

RENEE BRAUN, CORPORATE PARALEGAL, SUPERVISOR SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION 301W. 13TH PO BOX 1020 (67601-1020) HAYS, KS 67601 Fax: 785-623-3395 rbraun@sunflower.net CHRIS WINLAND, MANAGER, REGULATORY STRATEGY ITC GREAT PLAINS, LLC 204 NORTH ROBINSON AVE SUITE 2500 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102 cwinland@itctransco.com

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3314 b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov

AMBER SMITH, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3167 a.smith@kcc.ks.gov

FRANK A. CARO, JR., ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 Fax: 816-753-1536 fcaro@polsinelli.com

JAMES BRUNGARDT, REGULATORY AFFAIRS ADMINISTRATOR SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION 301W. 13TH PO BOX 1020 (67601-1020) HAYS, KS 67601 Fax: 785-623-3395 jbrungardt@sunflower.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

16-ITCE-512-ACQ AL TAMIMI, VICE PRESIDENT, TRANSMISSION PLANNING AND POLICY SUNFLOWER ELECTRIC POWER CORPORATION 301W. 13TH PO BOX 1020 (67601-1020) HAYS, KS 67601 Fax: 785-623-3395 atamimi@sunflower.net

MARK D. CALCARA, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN ST STE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530 Fax: 620-792-2775 mcalcara@wcrf.com TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 Fax: 316-630-8101 temckee@twgfirm.com

TAYLOR P. CALCARA, ATTORNEY WATKINS CALCARA CHTD. 1321 MAIN ST STE 300 PO DRAWER 1110 GREAT BEND, KS 67530 Fax: 620-792-2775 tcalcara@wcrf.com

/S/ DeeAnn Shupe DeeAnn Shupe

EMAILED

AUG 09 2016