# BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Transfer of Ownership

		om, LLC.  Docket No. 26-LKI - 109 - ACQ  Om, LLC.
		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DANIEL FRIESEN ON BEHALF OF IDEATEK TELCOM, LLC. October 1, 2025
1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q:	Please state your name, your position and your business address.
3	A:	Daniel Friesen. I am the Co-Founder and Chief Innovation Officer of IdeaTek Telcom, LLC
4		("IdeaTek") and my business address is 111 Old Mill Lane, Buhler, KS 67522.
5	Q:	On whose behalf is your testimony offered?
6	A:	My testimony is offered on behalf of IdeaTek in support of its joint application with Epic
7		Touch Co. ("Epic Touch") for IdeaTek's purchase of Epic Touch's stock and subsequent
8		acquisition of Elkhart Telephone Company ("Elkhart Telephone").
9	Q:	Please describe the corporate structure of IdeaTek.
10	A:	IdeaTek is a Kansas limited liability company. IdeaTek is a wholly owned subsidiary of
11		IdeaTek Holdings. IdeaTek Holdings is a wholly owned subsidiary of Last Dance
12		Intermediate III, LLC ("LDI"), which itself is indirectly and wholly owned by Delaware
13		limited partnership Last Dance Holdings, L.P. ("LDH").
14	Q:	Who is IdeaTek?
15	A:	IdeaTek is a competitive local exchange carrier in Kansas certificated by the Kansas
16		Corporation Commission ("Commission" or "KCC") that provides voice, data, transport,

1		and broadband services to customers across Kansas (Docket No. 06-WLDT-1005-COC).	
2		The KCC has also granted IdeaTek a certificate to provide interexchange ("IXC") operator	
3		services (Docket No. 08-WLDT-1077-COC) and has issued orders granting IdeaTek	
4		eligible telecommunications carrier status (Docket No. 19-WLDT-102-ETC) and high-cost	
5		ETC designation expansion (Docket No. 21-WLDT-343-ETC).	
6	Q:	Are you aware of a joint application filed in this docket?	
7	A:	Yes, I am aware of the application, I have reviewed its contents, and I am familiar with the	
8		underlying transaction.	
9	Q:	What is your understanding of the nature of the transaction for which Commission	
10		approval is sought?	
11	A:	Epic Touch and IdeaTek have entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement by which IdeaTek	
12		would acquire all shares of Epic Touch and thus ownership of Epic Touch's two	
13		subsidiaries. It is my understanding that Commission approval is sought because Epic	
14		Touch's subsidiary, Elkhart Telephone, provides telecommunications services in various	
15		Kansas communities, and IdeaTek requests permission from the Commission to continue	
16		to provide these services.	
17	Q:	Does the transaction require other additional steps be taken?	
18	A:	The transfer of Elkhart Telephone's domestic 214 license requires approval by the Federal	
19		Communications Commission ("FCC"). Otherwise, no additional steps are necessary.	
20	Q:	Are you familiar with the standards followed by the KCC in evaluating whether a	
21		merger or acquisition should be approved?	
22	A:	Yes. I understand that the Commission must determine whether the proposed acquisition	
23		will "promote the public convenience and necessity" as provided in K.S.A. 66-131. To	

1	conduct this analysis, the Commission reviews applications such as ours by applying its
2	Merger Standards, as confirmed in Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ, "Order on Merger
3	Standards" issued August 9, 2016. The Merger Standards include the following <sup>1</sup> :
4	(a) The effect of the transaction on consumers, including:
5	(i) the effect of the proposed transaction on the financial condition of the newly
	created entity as compared to the financial condition of the stand-alone entities
6 7 8	if the transaction did not occur;
8	(ii) reasonableness of the purchase price, including whether the purchase price
9	was reasonable in light of the savings that can be demonstrated from the merger
10	and whether the purchase price is within a reasonable range;
11	(iii) whether ratepayer benefits resulting from the transaction can be quantified;
12	(iv) whether there are operational synergies that justify payment of a premium
13	in excess of book value; and
14	(v) the effect of the proposed transaction on the existing competition.
15	
16	(b) The effect of the transaction on the environment.
17	
18	(c) Whether the proposed transaction will be beneficial on an overall basis to state and
19	local economies and to communities in the area served by the resulting public utility
20	operations in the state. Whether the proposed transaction will likely create labor
21	dislocations that may be particularly harmful to local communities, or the state
22	generally, and whether measures can be taken to mitigate the harm.
23	
24	(d) Whether the proposed transaction will preserve the jurisdiction of the KCC and the
25	capacity of the KCC to effectively regulate and audit public utility operations in the
26	state.
27	
28	(e) The effect of the transaction on affected public utility shareholders.
29	
30	(f) Whether the transaction maximizes the use of Kansas energy resources.
31	
32	(g) Whether the transaction will reduce the possibility of economic waste.
33	(1) What impact if any that the matter has an the matter and a section
34	(h) What impact, if any, the transaction has on the public safety.
35	In addition accoming of a CLEC antificate movet demonstrate they access the technical
36	In addition, acquirers of a CLEC certificate must demonstrate they possess the technical,
37	managerial and financial ability to meet the Commission's Quality of Service (QOS)
38	standards pursuant to K.S.A. 66-2005(w).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Commission has noted that each transaction is fact dependent, and some factors may be expanded or removed depending on the circumstances.

1		II. MERGER STANDARDS
2 3	(a)	The effect of the transaction on consumers:
4	Q:	Will this transaction affect the scope or nature of consumer choice available to Kansas
5		consumers?
6	A:	No, consumers will have the same choices currently available to them. The transaction will
7		not adversely impact competition because it will not remove a market participant. Elkhart
8		Telephone will continue to operate as the incumbent local exchange carrier in its service
9		area.
10	Q:	Will this transaction affect the Kansas Universal Service Fund support presently
11		authorized for Elkhart Telephone?
12	A:	No. Elkhart Telephone's KUSF rates were implemented in Docket No. 25-ELKT-273-TAR
13		and will not be altered as a result of this transaction. Elkhart is not a current recipient to
14		KUSF, and IdeaTek expects a material reduction to federal universal service funding
15		needed due to synergies and cost reductions because of the transaction.
16	Q:	Will IdeaTek seek recovery of an acquisition premium from the KUSF or from
17		customers?
18	A:	No, the purchase does not include an acquisition premium, and I commit that IdeaTek will
19		not seek such recovery from rate payers or the KUSF.
20	Q:	What about the effect of the proposed transaction on the financial condition of the
21		newly created entity?
22	A:	IdeaTek commits to providing the necessary capital required to continue the operations and
23		maintenance necessary to operate Elkhart Telephone's 100% fiber network as well as

1		funding its plans for the upgrade of the fiber network to 10 gigabit fiber and eventually 40	
2		gigabit fiber capacity. <sup>2</sup>	
3	Q:	How will Kansas consumers' rates be affected by the transaction?	
4	A:	The transaction will have no impact on rates, terms, conditions, or quality of service.	
5		Additionally, Elkhart Telephone's IXC customers will not be impacted; they will continue	
6		to receive the exceptional service that is currently provided.	
7	Q:	What impact is the transaction expected to have on the quality of service for Kansas	
8		consumers?	
9	A:	There is no anticipated change in the quality of service to Kansas customers as a result of	
10	the transaction.		
11 12	(b)	The effect of the transaction on the environment.	
13	Q:	Will there be any effect on the environment as a result of the transaction?	
14 15	A:	The transaction is not expected to have any material impact on the environment.	
16 17 18 19 20	(c)	Whether the proposed transaction will be beneficial on an overall basis to state and local economies and to communities in the area served by the resulting public utility operations in the state. Whether the proposed transaction will likely create labor dislocations that may be particularly harmful to local communities, or the state generally, and whether measures can be taken to mitigate the harm.	
21 22	Q:	Do the parties anticipate a reduction in personnel, company headquarters, payment	
23		centers, customer service locations, or associated personnel costs because of the	
24		transaction?	
25	A:	No, the parties do not intend or expect there to be any material changes in personnel levels,	
26		the location of Elkhart Telephone's headquarters, payment centers, or customer service	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 10 gigabit equals 10,000 megabits per second and is over 33 times faster than the current average speed in Kansas of 295 megabits per second. *See* average speeds cited from <a href="https://www.speedtest.net/performance/united-states/kansas">https://www.speedtest.net/performance/united-states/kansas</a> (9/24/25).

locations. Personnel costs, including employee salaries, are similarly expected to be unaffected by the transaction.

#### O: Will it benefit local economies and communities?

A:

A:

Yes. First, the transaction is structured to avoid negative impacts on customers, local economies and Kansas communities. Specifically, the change in ownership will involve the sale of holding company stock, which will leave the overall structure of the company intact below the holding company level.

The transaction will be beneficial to state and local economies in Kansas by providing stable, efficient, and economic communication services to the communities served by Elkhart Telephone. Further there is the potential for future financial investment and expansion of services through IdeaTek's financial security and managerial expertise. Additionally, the transaction increases the likelihood of expansion in advanced communications capabilities as economic and regulatory circumstances permit. Savings resulting from increased efficiency and economies of scale will increase company resources available to expand and improve advanced services available to consumers. Such expansion and improvement could provide support for business retention and expansion in rural areas, with resulting opportunities for remote employment and increased earnings. Expanded business and employment opportunities in turn can provide generally an improved tax base supporting local and state government and education operations.

### **Q:** What about employment?

After the transaction is closed, it is anticipated that, except for certain discrete upper management, Elkhart Telephone's existing workforce will be maintained. As a result, there will be no major workforce downsizing that may be harmful to local communities or the

1		state generally, which might otherwise be required should a different purchaser acquire
2		Elkhart Telephone.
3	Q:	Has either party conducted specific analysis quantifying the predicted operational
4		efficiencies related to this transaction?
5	A:	Yes, as is customary in all substantial transactions such as this, Ideatek performed an
6		analysis of the potential efficiency of the transaction and believes there is good potential to
7		reduce operational costs and dependency on governmental subsidies. However, there are
8		no anticipated reductions in personnel or customer service.
9	Q:	Does IdeaTek intend to remain involved in the communities served by Elkhart
10		Telephone?
11	A:	Yes. IdeaTek plans to remain fully involved and supportive of the territories it serves,
12		including Elkhart Telephone's territory.
13 14	(d)	Whether the proposed transaction will preserve the jurisdiction of the KCC and the capacity of the KCC to effectively regulate and audit public utility operations in the state.
15 16	Q:	Will the Commission's jurisdiction be impacted by the transaction?
17	A:	No. The transaction will not cause any change to Commission jurisdiction over any of the
18		entities involved.
19	(e)	The effect of the transaction on affected public utility shareholders.
20 21	Q:	What is the effect of the transaction on shareholders?
22	A:	Neither IdeaTek nor Elkhart Telephone are publicly owned companies. However, the
23		impact on the owners of the companies will be positive. First, the owners of Elkhart
24		Telephone have decided to end their involvement in providing communications and related
25		service in Kansas and this transaction will allow that to happen for them. Second, it will
26		allow IdeaTek to expand its operations in Kansas. Ideatek is well capitalized and confirms

1		it has the necessary capital via available cash, debt financing, and equity or a combination
2		thereof to fund the acquisition.
3	(f)	Whether the transaction maximizes the use of Kansas energy resources.
4 5	Q:	Does the transaction maximize the use of energy resources?
6	A:	There will be no material impact on Kansas' energy resources. IdeaTek does not believe
7		this Merger Standard is really applicable to the analysis in this case.
8	(g)	Whether the transaction will reduce the possibility of economic waste.
9	Q:	Will the transaction reduce the possibility of economic waste?
10	A:	There is no likelihood of economic waste because of the transaction. As previously discussed,
11		with only a few high-level exceptions, IdeaTek intends to retain all current employees at their
12		current locations. Further, the company anticipates operational efficiencies, and savings will
13		occur as a result of the transaction.
14	(h)	What impact, if any, the transaction has on public safety.
15	Q:	Will the transaction impact public safety?
16	A:	The transaction is a cash-for-stock transaction that does not change how Elkhart Telephone
17		interacts with the Public Safety Answering Points within its service areas for 911/E911
18		purposes.
19		III. TECHNICAL, MANAGERIAL AND FINANCIAL ABILITY
20	Q:	Does IdeaTek possess the technical, managerial and financial ability to meet the
21		Commission's QOS standards?
22	A:	Definitely. IdeaTek's experience in providing telecommunications services is discussed
23		above, and the background of its management team is set forth in Exhibit B to the
24		Application. IdeaTek has operated telecommunications networks in Kansas since 2005.
25		Currently. IdeaTek holds certificate of convenience as a competitive local exchange carrier

in Kansas (Docket No. 06-WLDT-1005-COC) and a certificate allowing it to provide interexchange and operator services (Docket No. 08-WLDT-1077-COC). The KCC has also issued orders granting eligible telecommunications carrier status (Docket No. 19-WLDT-102-ETC) and granting high-cost ETC designation expansion (Docket No. 21-WLDT-343-ETC) to IdeaTek. IdeaTek's executives and employees have decades of experience in the telecommunications industry, and particularly with providing telecommunications services to consumers in rural Kansas.

Q:

A:

IdeaTek's financials are included as Confidential Exhibit C to the Application. The transaction is a cash-for-stock transaction that does not change how Elkhart Telephone interacts and will have no direct impact on the company's obligation to comply with the Commission's Quality of Service standards pursuant to K.S.A. 66-2005(w).

# Can you summarize why you believe the proposed transaction is reasonable?

Yes, the proposed transaction is reasonable for several reasons. First, the transaction will positively benefit Elkhart Telephone customers by increasing efficiency without any impact on quality of service or rates. Second, the transaction poses no threat to local economies as no personnel changes are anticipated. Third, the transaction ensures that Elkhart Telephone will continue its impressive tenure of serving customers of Kansas, which would not necessarily be the case if it were purchased by another company. IdeaTek also has a demonstrated interest in providing these services to rural Kansas communities, and this transaction will ensure that both the Elkhart Telephone name and quality of service will live on even as ownership structure changes, with IdeaTek providing added efficiency. Finally, the transaction maintains the Commission's jurisdiction over Elkhart Telephone's Kansas operations.

1 Q: What are the parties' expectations regarding operational efficiencies resulting from 2 the transaction? 3 The parties anticipate that the transaction will increase operational efficiencies, as IdeaTek A: 4 will bring its added technological and economic capabilities and experience to the current 5 operations. 6 Q: Aside from that which you have already testified about, are there any other changes to Elkhart Telephone's certifications or tariffs being sought by this application? 7 8 A: No, there are no other planned changes to tariffs or certifications. 9 Q: Does this conclude your testimony? 10 Yes, it does, but I wish to reserve the right to revise my testimony should I become aware A:

of additional information that would affect the accuracy of my given responses. Thank you.

11

# **VERIFICATION**

STATE OF KANSAS	)
	) ss
COUNTY OF Reno	)

The undersigned, Daniel P. Friesen, upon oath first duly sworn, states that he is Chief Innovation Officer of IdeaTek Telecom, LLC, that he has reviewed the foregoing direct testimony, that he is familiar with the contents thereof, and that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Daniel P. Friesen

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24th day of September, 2025.

	OTADY DUDI IO Chate of Venena
自	OTARY PUBLIC - State of Kansas
144.7	AMY PHILBRICK
	My Appt. Exp. 12-1-27

Notary Public

My appointment expires:

12-1-27