BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC, d/b/a Black Hills Energy, for Approval of the Commission to Make Certain Changes in its Rates for Natural Gas Service

Docket No. 21-BHCG-⁴¹⁸**-RTS**

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DOUGLAS N. HYATT

ON BEHALF OF

BLACK HILLS/KANSAS GAS UTILITY COMPANY, LLC, d/b/a BLACK HILLS ENERGY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	1
II.	STA	ATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS	1
III.	PUI	RPOSE OF TESTIMONY	
IV.	TES	ST YEAR REVENUES UNDER CURRENT RATES	
V.	AD.	JUSTMENTS MADE TO BILLING DETERMINANTS	5
	a.	Heating Adjustment	5
	b.	Irrigation Adjustment	15
	c.	Synchronization Adjustments	16
VI.	LO	AD FACTOR STUDY	17
VII.	CL	ASS COST OF SERVICE	
VIII.	RA	ГЕ DESIGN	
IX.	DEV	VELOPMENT OF REVENUE UNDER PROPOSED RATES	
X.	CUS	STOMER BILL IMPACTS	
XI.	CO	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	

EXHIBITS

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-1	Education, Employment History and Professional Experience
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-2	Summary of Weather Normalization Statistical Results
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-3	Test Year Weather Normalization Adjustment
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-4	Irrigation Normalization Adjustment
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5	Test Year Revenues Under Existing Rates
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-6	Revenue Synchronization
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7	Load Factor Analysis
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-8	Mains Classification and Weighting Factor Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-9	Meter Weighting Factor Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10	Service Line Cost Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-11	Mains Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-12	Functional Cost Classification
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-13	Class Cost of Service Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-14	Revenues Under Current and Proposed Rates
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15	Average Customer Bill Impacts Under Current
	and Proposed Rates

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Douglas N. Hyatt, and my business address is 1515 Arapahoe Street, Tower 1,
4		Suite 1200, Denver, CO 80202.
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
6	A.	I am employed by Black Hills Service Company, LLC ("BHSC"). I am a Principal
7		Regulatory and Finance Analyst. BHSC is a wholly owned subsidiary of Black Hills
8		Corporation ("BHC").
9	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
10	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC d/b/a Black Hills
11		Energy ("Black Hills" or "Company"). Black Hills is a wholly owned subsidiary of Black
12		Hills Utility Holdings, Inc. ("BHUH"). BHUH is a wholly owned subsidiary of BHC.
13		II. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS
14	Q.	WILL YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
14	Q.	WILL IOU FLEASE STATE TOUR EDUCATIONAL DACKGROUND AND
15		BUSINESS EXPERIENCE?
16	A.	My education, employment history, and professional experience are provided on KSG Direct
17		Exhibit DNH-1.

1 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?

A. I am responsible for gathering, researching and analyzing customer billing data, and other
 information to prepare analyses in support of internal analysis and external regulatory reports
 and filings. I am also responsible for preparing class cost of services studies and designing
 rates for the Company's rate proceedings.

6 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE ANY REGULATORY BODIES?

7 A. Yes. I have testified before the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, and prepared
8 testimony filed with the Wyoming Public Service Commission and the Nebraska Public
9 Service Commission.

10 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?

11 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following Exhibits:

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-1	Education, Employment History and
	Professional Experience
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-2	Summary of Weather Normalization
	Statistical Results
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-3	Test Year Weather Normalization
	Adjustment
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-4	Irrigation Normalization Adjustment
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5	Test Year Revenues Under Existing Rates
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-6	Revenue Synchronization
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7	Load Factor Analysis
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-8	Mains Classification and Weighting Factor
	Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-9	Meter Weighting Factor Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10	Service Line Cost Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-11	Mains Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-12	Functional Cost Classification
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-13	Class Cost of Service Study
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-14	Revenues Under Current and Proposed
	Rates
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15	Average Customer Bill Impacts Under
	Current and Proposed Rates

1	Q.	HAVE THE	FESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS THAT YOU ARE SPONSORING BEEN
2		PREPARED	BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?
3	A.	Yes.	
4			III. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>
5	Q.	WHAT IS TH	HE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
6	A.	The purpose o	f my testimony is to describe the test year adjustments to billing determinants,
7		the Class Cos	t of Service Study ("CCOSS") and proposed rate design. In my testimony I
8		sponsor the fo	llowing analyses, studies and proposals:
9		1.	The billing determinants and revenues under current rates used in the CCOSS
10			and rate design, including:
11			a. The Company's proposed weather normalization adjustment
12			("WNA") of volumes for heating by the Residential, Small
13			Commercial, Small Volume Firm, and Large Volume Firm customer
14			classes;
15			b. The adjustment to irrigation volumes to reflect normal conditions;
16		2.	The customer class load factor analysis;
17		3.	The weighting factors studies;
18		4.	The CCOSS;
19		5.	Design of the rates proposed by the Company and rate design to produce
20			revenues equal to the Company's proposed test year revenue requirement;
21			and,
22		6.	The revenue proofs and bill impact analysis.

The following sections of my direct testimony generally follow this outline.

2 IV. **TEST YEAR REVENUES UNDER CURRENT RATES** IS 3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT MEANT BY THE TERM BILLING 4 **DETERMINANTS.** 5 A. A "therm" is a unit for quantity of heat that equals 100,000 British thermal units. Billing 6 determinants include the number of therms contained within the quantity of natural gas used 7 by the customer, as well as the number of customer bills issued to the customer. These billing determinants form the bases for calculating the customers' bills. The billing determinants I 8 9 develop for Black Hills' rate application are used in the allocation of costs to each customer 10 class in the CCOSS and the determination of revenues under existing and proposed rates. 11 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED Α SUMMARY OF TEST YEAR BILLING 12 **DETERMINANTS AND REVENUES BY CUSTOMER CLASS?** 13 A. Yes. The billing determinants reflected in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 shows the base year 14 billing determinants and base rate revenues for the current customer classes including several 15 adjustments. As described in the section below, the billing determinants were adjusted for a 16 heating adjustment and an irrigation adjustment. A separate adjustment is made to 17 synchronize base year billing determinants and base year revenues.

V. ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO BILLING DETERMINANTS

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO BASE YEAR BILLING 3 DETERMINANTS AND REVENUES.

A. Adjustments to billing determinants and revenues are necessary to reflect conditions that
would be expected in a normal test year and to arrive at just and reasonable rates. As noted
above, the adjustments include the following: a) heating adjustment, b) irrigation adjustment,
and c) revenue synchronization adjustment.

8

a. <u>Heating Adjustment</u>

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RATIONALE FOR ADJUSTING VOLUMES TO 10 REFLECT NORMAL WEATHER CONDITIONS.

11 Because proposed rates are based on Test Year volumes (therms), those volumes should be A. 12 adjusted to reflect sales expected in a "normal" (typical) year. Assuming all other factors are 13 equal, if rates are based upon volume levels that are inflated due to colder-than-normal 14 weather (for example), the rates will be set too low and will only recover costs during similar 15 periods of colder-than-normal conditions. Similarly, if the weather used to set rates is 16 warmer-than-normal, rates will be set too high and will over recover costs during periods of 17 normal weather conditions. Thus, if Test Year weather conditions deviate from normal 18 conditions, it is necessary to adjust the heating load to recognize what volumes would have 19 been if conditions were normal.

Traditionally, warmer- or colder-than-normal weather is based on a comparison of actual heating degree-days during a Test Year to the heating degree-days that would be expected during a normal or typical year.

Q. PLEASE DEFINE A HEATING DEGREE-DAY.

2 A. A heating degree-day ("HDD") is calculated by subtracting the average daily temperature 3 from 65 degrees Fahrenheit. Average daily temperature equals the average of the high and low temperatures on each day. In the gas industry, 65 degrees Fahrenheit is commonly used 4 5 for this calculation as the base temperature because it is assumed that when average daily 6 temperatures reach a level below 65 degrees, heat sensitive customers will turn their heaters 7 on for space heating. If the average daily temperature exceeds 65 degrees, the HDD for that day is set equal to zero. The sum of the daily HDDs for a particular month is the monthly 8 9 HDDs. Below is how HDDs are calculated. 10 Maximum (high) Temperature = A Fahrenheit 11 Minimum (low) Temperature = B Fahrenheit 12 The sum of A and B is C. C divided by 2 is D. 13 14 65 - D = HDDs.15 PLEASE DESCRIBE THE WEATHER DATA YOU UTILIZED FOR YOUR **Q**. 16 ANALYSIS. 17 A. Black Hills used monthly actual HDD data as published by National Oceanographic and 18 Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA") for weather stations in the following cities in 19 Kansas: Goodland, Topeka, Dodge City, Wichita, and Concordia. The primary consideration 20 in my selection of these weather stations was to select NOAA stations that are in close 21 geographic proximity to the Company's load centers (the cities the Company serves). The 22 intent of Black Hills is to group the towns around NOAA weather stations where one would

23 expect weather conditions (HDDs) to be similar based on geographic proximity. Black Hills

reviewed the location of the weather stations in relationship to its cities to ensure that the
 use of those weather stations is appropriate. These weather stations differ slightly from what
 was used in the Company's last rate proceeding.

4 Q. HAVE YOU MADE CHANGES TO THE NUMBER OF WEATHER STATIONS 5 USED IN YOUR ANALYSIS AS COMPARED TO THE LAST RATE 6 PROCEEDING?

7 A. Yes. In the last rate proceeding the Company used the following weather stations: Dodge 8 City, Garden City, Goodland, Hutchinson, Liberal, Topeka, and Wichita. In this rate 9 proceeding I am proposing to use the following weather stations: Dodge City, Goodland, 10 Topeka, Wichita, and Concordia. The cities formerly included with the Garden City, Liberal, 11 and Dodge City weather stations are now included with the Dodge City weather station. The 12 cities formerly included with the Hutchinson and Wichita weather stations are now included with the Wichita and Concordia weather stations. These weather station changes resulted, in 13 part, from informal discussions between Commission Staff and Black Hills representatives. 14 15 The changes also eliminate issues with the occasional lack of complete data associated with 16 the weather stations that are no longer being used as part of Black Hills' weather 17 normalization analysis.

As in prior studies provided in past rate proceedings, the weather stations identified
above are in geographic proximity to the cities that Black Hills serves.

- 20 Q. WHAT ARE YOU USING FOR NORMAL HDDs?
- A. Black Hills used a 10-year normal based upon the last 10 years of NOAA HDD data from
 its online database.

Q. WHY ARE YOU PROPOSING TO USE A 10-YEAR AVERAGE FOR WEATHER NORMALIZATION?

A. Use of a 10-year period provides a reasonable balance between using a sufficiently long period of time to capture both warmer and colder conditions and giving recognition that the more recent past is generally a better predictor of the near future. The time period used should recognize that rates approved in this rate proceeding will be in effect over the near term.

8 Q. WHAT VOLUME AND CUSTOMER DATA HAS THE COMPANY USED FOR THE

9 CALCULATION OF THE WEATHER NORMALIZATION ADJUSTMENTS?

A. The Company used detailed historical billing records by customer class and rate schedule
 for the period of January 2011 through December 2020 as the source for monthly volumetric
 (usage) and customer data used for the calculation of the weather normalization adjustment.

13 Q. WERE ACTUAL HEATING SEASON WEATHER CONDITIONS WITHIN THE

14 COMPANY'S SERVICE TERRITORY FOR THE 12-MONTH PERIOD ENDING 15 DECEMBER 31, 2020 NORMAL?

A. No. Generally, weather conditions during that period of time were warmer than normal. Based on a comparison of actual 2020 HDDs to normal HDDs for the 10-year period ending December 31, 2020, conditions were warmer than normal. Table DNH-1 below summarizes

19 conditions at the five weather stations I propose to use in this rate application.

	2020 Actual	10-Year Normal	
Weather	Heating Degree	Heating Degree	Percent Warmer
Station	Days	Days	than Normal
Concordia	4,626	5,088	9%
Dodge City	4,519	4,742	5%
Goodland	5,497	5,600	2%
Topeka	4,587	4,700	2%
Wichita	4,193	4,221	1%

Table DNH-1: Actual and Normal HDDs

2 These deviations are significant enough that a heating adjustment to reflect normal weather conditions is warranted. 3

4

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE METHODOLOGY USED TO DETERMINE THE

5

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN USAGE AND WEATHER.

- 6 A. The Company used multiple linear regression analyses to define the relationship between 7 volumes and variables that represent weather conditions. Multiple linear regression is a 8 statistical approach commonly used to predict the value of a dependent variable (use per 9 customer) using multiple independent variables (including current month HDDs and 10 previous month HDDs). In this regard, the goal is to explain the dependent variable with 11 reasonable accuracy using as few independent variables as possible.
- Multiple regression yields an equation of the form: 12

13 $Y = B + A1X1 + A2X2 + \dots + AKXK$

14 where

15	Y	is the dependent variable
16	В	is the y-intercept (or constant)
17	X1XK	are the independent variables
18	A1AK	are the regression coefficients

1

1		With respect to the Company's use of multiple linear regression as a tool in
2		developing adjustments to reflect normal weather conditions, the dependent variable (Y) is
3		monthly use per customer and is calculated by dividing monthly volumes by monthly
4		number of customers. Monthly use per customer is used as the dependent variable instead of
5		total monthly volumes because use per customer reduces the effect of growth or decline in
6		total volumes due to changes in numbers of customers. Independent variables (X1XK) are
7		typically weather variables such as HDDs. The intercept (B) is a monthly constant. The
8		constant represents usage that is not affected by the independent variables. The coefficients
9		(A1AK) are developed from the regression analysis based on the best fit (least squares).
10	Q.	IS THIS THE SAME METHODOLOGY USED BY THE COMPANY IN THE LAST
11		RATE APPLICATION FILING?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE
13		WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE
13 14	Q.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE?
13 14 15	Q.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual
13 14 15 16	Q.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on
13 14 15 16 17	Q.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on each of the heat sensitive customer classes. The regression analysis produced coefficients
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	Q. A.	WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on each of the heat sensitive customer classes. The regression analysis produced coefficients that the Company used to determine use per customer per HDD.
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	Q. A.	 WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on each of the heat sensitive customer classes. The regression analysis produced coefficients that the Company used to determine use per customer per HDD. FOR WHICH CUSTOMER CLASSES IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	Q. A. Q.	 WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on each of the heat sensitive customer classes. The regression analysis produced coefficients that the Company used to determine use per customer per HDD. FOR WHICH CUSTOMER CLASSES IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO ADJUST VOLUMES?
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	Q. A. Q.	 WHAT DATA DID THE COMPANY USE IN PERFORMING THE MULTIPLE LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS DESCRIBED ABOVE? The analysis was based on actual monthly use per customer (dependent variable), and actual monthly HDDs (independent variables). The Company ran separate regression analyses on each of the heat sensitive customer classes. The regression analysis produced coefficients that the Company used to determine use per customer per HDD. FOR WHICH CUSTOMER CLASSES IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO ADJUST VOLUMES? The Company is proposing to adjust volumes for those classes of customers where it can be

natural gas for space heating generally use more natural gas when the weather is colder and
less when it is warmer. HDDs increase as average temperature decreases. Thus, usage and
HDDs should have a positive correlation. The variation in monthly HDDs typically explains
most of the variation in volumes used by customers who use natural gas in space heating
applications. The customer classes the Company is proposing to adjust are the Residential,
Small Commercial, Small Volume Firm, and Large Volume Firm customer classes.

7 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED SEPARATE REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS FOR EACH 8 OF THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?

9 A. No. Since customers are reassigned between the Small Commercial, Small Volume Firm, 10 and Large Volume Firm classes due to changes in their usage, more reasonable and 11 consistent results are produced over time by combining these customers for purposes of 12 determining usage and weather correlation. Therefore, the coefficients developed in weather normalization for these customer classes are based upon the regression of monthly customer 13 14 volumes to HDDs for the combined usage by Small Commercial, Small Volume, and Large 15 Volume customer classes. This approach is consistent with the approach that the Company 16 has taken in prior rate proceedings. A separate regression coefficient has been prepared for the residential customer class. 17

18 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S WEATHER NORMALIZATION 19 REGRESSION RESULTS.

A. In order to identify anomalies in usage patterns over the ten-year period, regression analyses
 in decreasing blocks of time (January 2011 - December 2020, January 2012 - December
 2020, January 2013 - December 2020, etc.) were performed for each of the customer classes.

23 KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-2 summarizes the results of each of the regression analyses. The

1 Company evaluated the results of each of these time periods using four criteria to determine 2 which period should be used to define usage characteristics. These four criteria are as 3 follows:

Consistency of predicted normal use per customer;

R squared - values in the 90% range are common; and

Average annual HDDs for the period evaluated being near normal;

- 4

1.

2.

3.

- 5
- 6

7

4. Obvious changes as reflected in coefficients.

8 KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-2 shows which regression analysis the Company chose for 9 the Residential, Small Commercial, Small Volume, and Large Volume classes. These time 10 periods satisfy the four criteria identified above and also align to the period used in the 11 calculation of normal HDDs. Based on these regression analyses, the Company concluded it 12 is reasonable to base volume adjustment for all the customer classes on a 10-year regression analysis, except as discussed below. Further, Black Hills determined that both the current 13 14 and previous month's HDD were significant independent variables, except as discussed 15 below.

16 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE EXCEPTIONS.

A. In performing the analyses of the customers assigned to the Concordia weather station, Black Hills discovered two issues. First, only seven (7) years of complete billing data was available. Second, when the regression analyses were performed using both the current and previous months' HDDs, the coefficients for the current months' HDDs were negative. This result is counterintuitive to the rationale for a heating adjustment based on the premise that customers use more gas when it is colder (thus a positive correlation between HDDs and usage). Based on a review of the Company's bill cycle information, Black Hills found that most of the customers around the Concordia NOAA weather station are billed in the first
billing cycle of the month, and therefore are closely correlated with the previous month's
HDD's while having a statistically insignificant negative correlation to the current month's
HDD's. For these reasons, the regression analyses used by Black Hills in its weather
normalization regression analysis for Concordia are based on seven (7) years and only
include the prior month's HDD's as an independent variable.

7 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE HEATING VOLUME 8 ADJUSTMENT APPLICABLE TO THE RESIDENTIAL, SMALL COMMERCIAL, 9 SMALL VOLUME FIRM, AND LARGE VOLUME FIRM CUSTOMER CLASSES?

A. This calculation is summarized in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-3 Test Year Weather
 Normalization Adjustment. The heating adjustment per customer is the difference between
 normal and actual HDDs multiplied by its respective HDD coefficients (current and prior
 months) for each month of the Test Year. The heating adjustment is determined using
 coefficients from KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-2 and the 10-year average HDD.

After the monthly heating adjustment per customer (i.e., therm/customer) was calculated, the respective number of sales customers for each month of the Test Year was multiplied by each of these figures to determine the total volumetric (therm) adjustment. The total adjustments by customer class are shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 and in Table DNH-2 below.

		Therm	Percent
Customer Class (Sales)	Total Therms	Adjustment	Adjustment
Residential	67,144,031	1,844,183	2.75%
Small Commercial	10,386,335	633,388	6.10%
Small Volume Firm	12,464,217	90,232	0.72%
Large Volume Firm	3,721,675	2,031	0.05%
Totals	131,927,769	2,569,834	1.95%



3

4

1

These adjustments result in an increase in Test Year volumes, which is consistent with the degree to which actual conditions were warmer than normal during the Test Year.

5 Q. HOW DID THE COMPANY DETERMINE THE WEATHER NORMALIZATION

6

REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS?

A. The volumetric adjustments shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-3, are detailed by customer
class and by weather station. For each customer class, the margin adjustment is determined
by multiplying the weather normalization volume times the appropriate margin rate. These
adjustments result in an increase in Test Year revenues which is consistent with the
conditions being warmer than normal during the Test Year.

12Q.WILL THE INFORMATION DEVELOPED IN YOUR ANALYSIS IN THE13CURRENT RATE APPLICATION BE USED FOR THE COMPANY'S WNA

14 CALCULATION?

A. Yes, the Company will use the coefficients resulting from the multiple linear regressionanalysis in the calculation of the WNA in future filings.

b. Irrigation Adjustment

2 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR ADJUSTING IRRIGATION 3 VOLUMES TO REFLECT NORMAL CONDITIONS.

A. The Company is proposing to adjust irrigation volumes to reflect normal conditions. Similar
to the heating adjustment discussion above, the intent of this adjustment is so that Test Year
volumes reflect sales that would be expected in an otherwise "normal" or typical year.

7 Q. DURING THE BASE YEAR, WERE IRRIGATION VOLUMES NORMAL?

A. No. KSG Direct Exhibit No. DNH-4, Line 10 shows that for the Test Year, irrigation
volumes were higher than they were for the previous four years, even though the number of
customers was relatively flat. While several factors can affect irrigation volumes, the higher
irrigation usage during the Test Year was likely the result of drier conditions that resulted in
the need for increased irrigation. Based on this abnormally high usage level, the Company
concluded that an adjustment to irrigation volumes was necessary to reflect more normal or
average conditions.

15 Q. FOR PURPOSES OF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED IRRIGATION 16 ADJUSTMENT, HOW IS NORMAL DEFINED?

A. The Company defines normal as the five-year average usage from January 2016 through
 December 2020. A five-year average takes into account multiple considerations that can
 affect irrigation usage from year-to-year, including HDDs, localized precipitation, crop
 rotations, improved efficiency, and various other factors.

1Q.HOW DIDTHECOMPANYCALCULATETHEIRRIGATION2ADJUSTMENT FOR THE BASE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020?

A. First, the Company calculated the five-year average therms for the irrigation customers, KSG
Direct Exhibit No. DNH-4, Line 13. The Company used this five-year average as the basis
for "normal." Next, the difference between the five-year average therms and the actual Test
Year therms was calculated, KSG Direct Exhibit No. DNH-4, Column C, Line 15. This
results in a total volumetric adjustment of (4,365,817) therms for Irrigation sales, and
(1,064,633) therms for Irrigation transport customers.

9 Q. HAS THE COMPANY CALCULATED THE MARGIN IMPACT OF THE
 10 PROPOSED IRRIGATION ADJUSTMENT?

- A. Yes, Line 45 of KSG Direct Exhibit No. DNH-5 shows the Company's proposed reduction
 to margin revenue to the Test Year Irrigation sales customers of \$222,657, and Irrigation
 transport customers of \$54,296, for a total adjustment to Irrigation revenue of \$276,953.
- 14

c. Synchronization Adjustments

15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHY SYNCHRONIZATION ADJUSTMENTS ARE 16 NEEDED.

A. Two adjustments are necessary to account for the difference between booked revenues and the revenues that result from applying the current rates to Test Period billing determinants. The total amount of adjustment between billed and calculated revenue based upon rates effective during 2020 is a reduction to revenue of \$161,850 as shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-6, column D. The revenue resulting from resetting base rate customer charges to reflect

the removal of Kansas State Utility Income Tax effective January 1, 2021 results in a

reduction of \$564,120 in annual revenue as shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-6, column
 F.

3

VI. LOAD FACTOR STUDY

4

Q. PLEASE DEFINE A LOAD FACTOR.

A. In the context of the CCOSS, the load factor is defined as the customer class' average daily
use divided by its peak day use. It is a measure of how effectively a customer class utilizes
the capacity needed to serve it. For example, if one customer class has a load factor of 25%,
meaning that its average daily use is 25% of its peak day use, and another customer class
has a load factor of 50%, meaning that its average daily use is 50% of its peak day use, then
the second class is utilizing the capacity required to serve that class twice as effectively as
the first class.

12

Q. HOW IS THE LOAD FACTOR USED?

13 A. The Company uses customer class load factors in its CCOSS to determine the peak day 14 requirements used for the peak day allocation. The load factors used by the Company are 15 shown on KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7 for the Residential, Small Commercial, Small Volume 16 Firm, and Large Volume Firm customer classes. The load factor for the Small Commercial, 17 Small Volume, and Large Volume customer classes were calculated based on the classes 18 relative winter period usage as a percentage of the adjusted annual volumes. The resulting 19 load factors shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7, line number 14 are Small Commercial: 20 20%, Small Volume: 25%, and Large Volume: 67%. The load factors for the Irrigation 21 classes are set to zero because the peak day is assumed to occur on the coldest winter days 22 when it is not possible to run irrigation pumps. Similarly, the load factor for interruptible

1	classes is also set equal to zero recognizing that the nature of this service is that the Company
2	can interrupt these customers during period of high demand such as those occurring at the
3	time of system peak.

4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE LOAD FACTOR FOR THE RESIDENTIAL
5 CUSTOMER CLASS WAS CALCULATED.

- A. In KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7, the load factor of 20.68% for the Residential customer class
 was developed by using the HDD statistical results, the normal annual HDD, and the
 expected design day peak HDDs for each weather station weighted by the Residential
 volumes for each weather station to develop a weighted average load factor for the class.
- 10

VII. <u>CLASS COST OF SERVICE</u>

11 Q. WHAT IS THE BASIS OF THE CCOSS?

A. The class cost of service study is based upon Black Hills gas operations for the twelve-month
 period ended December 31, 2020 as adjusted for known and measurable changes.

14 The class cost of service study I sponsor is contained in KSG Direct Exhibits DNH-

- 15 12 and DNH-13. The form and structure of these exhibits are the same as the class cost of
- 16 service studies filed in Docket No. 00-UTCG-336-RTS, Docket No. 05-AQLG-367-RTS,
- 17 Docket No. 07-AQLG-431-RTS, and Docket No. 14-BHCG-502-RTS.

Q.

PLEASE DESCRIBE KSG DIRECT EXHIBIT DNH-12.

2 In KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-12, I classify Test Period costs, as developed in the Revenue A. 3 Requirement Study, sponsored by Ms. Schuldt, into functional categories. KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-12 consists of four tables. Table 1 shows a summary of rate base and total cost 4 5 of service by functional classification. Table 2 shows the functional classification of rate 6 base. Table 3 shows the functional classification of operation and maintenance expenses. 7 Table 4 shows the functional classification of depreciation expenses, taxes other than income taxes, and other operating revenues. I classify costs in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-12 into nine 8 9 functions: 10 gas supply demand; gas supply commodity; 11 12 transmission demand: transmission commodity; 13 14 distribution demand; 15 distribution customer; 16 service lines: 17 meters and regulators; and

18 • customer accounting.

19 The classification of investment in transmission and distribution mains is based on a detailed 20 study of the Company's investment and the relative capacity of these facilities in KSG Direct 21 Exhibit DNH-11. The results of this study are shown in the table below with fixed costs 22 associated with transmission and distribution mains classified as capacity-related, 23 commodity-related, and customer-related.

1		Table DNH-3: Functional Allocators
2		Transmission – Capacity 10.70 percent
3		Transmission – Commodity 5.35 percent
4		Distribution – Capacity 31.95 percent
5		Distribution – Customer 52.00 percent
6		Costs associated with the remaining three functionalized categories, service lines,
7		meters and regulators, and customer accounting, are each categorized as described in KSG
8		Direct Exhibit DNH-8.
9	Q.	BRIEFLY DESCRIBE KSG DIRECT EXHIBIT DNH-8.
10	A.	KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-8 includes a memorandum discussing how the functional
11		classification of transmission and distribution mains was determined and how the weighting
12		factors used to assign and allocate service lines, meters and regulators, and customer
13		accounting related costs were determined. Further, KSG Direct Exhibits DNH-9 through
14		DNH-11 are discussed and explained in Exhibit DNH-8.
15	Q.	PLEASE DISCUSS THE CONTENTS OF KSG DIRECT EXHIBIT DNH-13.
16	A.	KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-13 sets forth the results of my allocation of functionally classified
17		costs to customer classes and consists of five tables. Table 1 shows the calculation of class
18		rates of return under current and proposed rates. Table 2 shows the allocation of total
19		functional cost of service to customer classes. Table 3 shows the allocation of rate base to
20		customer classes. Table 4 shows the allocation bases used to allocate total functional cost of
21		service and rate base to customer classes. Table 5 shows the unit (\$/Therm or \$/bill)
22		functionalized cost of service by customer class.

Q. HOW ARE THE CUSTOMER CLASSES ORGANIZED IN KSG DIRECT EXHIBIT 2 DNH-13?

A. For the allocation of costs, the customer classes are Residential Service, Firm and
 Transportation customers (Small Commercial, Small Volume, and Large Volume),
 Irrigation Sales and Transportation, and the Large Volume Interruptible classes.

5

6 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO ANY OF THE 7 RATE SCHEDULES?

A. Yes. As described by Black Hills' witness Mr. Daniel in his Direct Testimony, Black Hills
is proposing to eliminate the Small Volume Interruptible rate schedule and place these
customers under the Small Volume Firm rate schedule. Since all Small Volume customers
are billed the same base monthly customer charge and volumetric rates, this has no effect on
the revenue under current rates discussed above.

Q. WHY HAVE THE IRRIGATION SALES AND TRANSPORTATION CLASSES BEEN INCLUDED IN THE CLASS COST OF SERVICE?

15 A. The Irrigation Sales and Transportation customer classes are included in the CCOSS because 16 the overall rate base and cost of service in Kansas has increased since the last rate 17 proceeding. Based upon my analysis, this class is no longer recovering its allocated cost of 18 service and should therefore be included in the CCOSS to determine the classes' cost of 19 service and possible revenue deficiency.

17

20 Q. WHICH CUSTOMERS HAVE YOU EXCLUDED FROM THE ALLOCATION OF

- 21 COSTS IN THE CCOSS?
- A. It is most appropriate to treat customers who are served in competitive markets as credits to
 cost of service. The primary factor in determining the appropriate level of rates for such

1 competitive rate or alternative energy customers is the marketplace. The negotiated margin 2 large volume customers have other energy options and/or other natural gas supply options. 3 Therefore, the price for natural gas service must recognize the pricing of these other competitive options. The marketplace does not care what a cost of service study might 4 5 determine regarding rates. As long as the Company is recovering a margin above its variable 6 costs to serve these customers, the captive customers on the Company's system benefit from 7 the Company maximizing sales and margin from customers served in competitive markets. 8 Therefore, I am not including these customers as a class in the CCOSS; however, the margin 9 revenues derived from these customers is credited to the cost of service for the other 10 customer classes.

11 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE PRINCIPAL ALLOCATION BASIS YOU USE IN THE 12 CCOSS.

A. Table 4 of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-13 shows the allocation factors used to allocate
functionally classified costs to the customer classes. Firm winter peak demand represents
estimated class peak day requirements. The peak day requirements for the firm classes are
estimated based on the load factor analysis discussed in the prior section of my testimony.
Winter period throughput represents Test Period throughput for each class during the months
of November through March. The commodity allocation basis represents annual Test Period
throughput for each class.

I developed the distribution-customer, service lines, meters and regulators, and customer accounting allocation bases by weighting average number of customers. I weighted the number of customers by factors that represent the relative cost or investment associated with providing service to each class. The customer weighting factors in the meters and regulators customer weighting factor study in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-9 and the service line (and distribution-customer) weighting factor study in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10.

- Distribution customer and services cost are allocated to each customer class by the
 services allocator shown in Table 4, lines 27 and 32, respectively based on the service line
 (and distribution-customer) weighting factor study. The services (and distribution-customer)
 weighting factor for each customer class is shown in the following table:
- 7

1

2

Table DNH-4: Services and Distribution-Customer Weighting Factors

	Weighting
Customer Class	Factor
Residential	1
Small Commercial	1.25
Small Volume	2
Large Volume	4
Irrigation	3

8

9 The meters and regulators cost shown is allocated to each customer class by the 10 meters and regulator allocator in Table 4, line 7. The meters and regulators allocator for each 11 customer class is shown in the following table:

12

Table DNH-5: Meters and Regulators Weighting Factors

	Weighting
Customer Class	Factor
Residential	1
Small Commercial	2
Small Volume	10
Large Volume	25
Irrigation	9

13

1 Customer accounting functionalized cost is allocated by the customer accounting 2 allocator shown in Table 4, line 40. The customer accounting allocator for each customer 3 class is shown in the following table:

4

Table DNH-6: Customer Accounting Weighting Factors

	Weighting
Customer Class	Factor
Residential	1
Small Commercial	2
Small Volume	4
Large Volume	20
Irrigation	2

5

6 Q. HOW ARE OTHER OPERATING REVENUES FUNCTIONALIZED?

7 A. Other operating revenues are functionalized by FERC Account, with Forfeited Discounts
8 functionalized as direct, Miscellaneous Service Revenue functionalized by Supervised
9 O&M, and Negotiated Margin revenue functionalized by the Mains Allocation. Other
10 Operating Revenues are credited back to the other customers as shown in KSG Direct
11 Exhibit DNH-12, Table 1, line 10.

Q. WHAT IS THE NET REVENUE DEFICIENCY/EXCESS FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS?

A. The revenue deficiency by customer class is shown in Table 1, line 9, of KSG Direct Exhibit
 DNH-13 and represents the difference between each class's fully allocated cost of service
 and revenues under existing base rates. The customer classes have the following revenue
 deficiencies (or excess) under current rates:

7

8

9

10

11

- Residential has a deficiency of \$9,175,045;
- Small Commercial class has a revenue deficiency of \$1,272,356;
- Small Volume has a deficiency of \$235,564;
 - Large Volume has a revenue excess of \$969,644; and
 - Irrigation has a revenue deficiency of \$486,625.

12 Q. WHAT ARE THE PRINCIPAL FINDINGS OF YOUR STUDY?

A. The principal finding is that the overall rate of return on Black Hills Kansas gas utility operations under current rates amounts to 3.55 percent based on Kansas jurisdictional rate base of \$230,337,778.

For purposes of rate design (as discussed in the next section of my testimony), some of these classes are aggregated. The rate of return under current rates for the Residential and Small Commercial classes is 2.66 percent, 6.03 percent for the Small Volume Firm, 12.55 percent for the Large Volume Firm (Transportation full margin) and Interruptible classes, and for the Irrigation classes is 3.32 percent.

21 As indicated by the rates of return under current rates, current rate revenues 22 associated with service to Black Hills Kansas customers are insufficient to cover cost,

1		including an opportunity for the Company to earn a reasonable return on its investment
2		devoted to public service. In order for the Company to earn the 7.05 percent rate of return
3		requested by the Company, current Kansas rate revenues must be increased by \$10.199
4		million.
5		VIII. <u>RATE DESIGN</u>
6	Q.	WHAT GUIDELINES DID YOU FOLLOW IN THE DESIGN OF PROPOSED
7		RATES?
8	А.	The guidelines are as follows:
9		1. The overall increase should be approximately \$10.199 million.
10		2. The revenues for each class should align with the class cost of service study to
11		the extent practical.
12		3. The proposed customer charges should reflect customer related costs to the extent
13		practical.
14		4. The commodity charge for the Residential and Small Commercial rates should
15		be equal maintaining the current practice.
16		5. Maintain the existing differential between the Residential and Small Commercial
17		commodity charge and the Small Volume commodity charge to the extent
18		practical.
19		6. The customer and commodity (non-gas portion) should be the same for the Firm
20		and Transportation rates within the Small Volume and Irrigation customer
21		classes, and Firm, Interruptible, and Transportation within the Large Volume
22		customer class.

17. The Irrigation customer charge should be the same as the Small Commercial2reflecting both the current practice and due to the fact that the irrigation3customers have a significant number of months of little or no use.

4 Q. HAVE YOU APPLIED ANY OTHER CRITERIA IN ADDITION TO THE 5 GUIDELINES DESCRIBED ABOVE?

6 A. Yes. I have applied the criteria that due to the level of overall revenue deficiency; no 7 customer class should receive a decrease in base rate revenues under the proposed rates. Based on the results of the CCOSS, the Large Volume classes show a rate of return in excess 8 9 of that requested by the Company and base rates for the Large Volume classes would need 10 to be reduced to achieve the requested rate of return. Therefore, I am recommending no 11 change to the base rates for the Large Volume classes and to use the revenue decrease that 12 would otherwise result from reducing their rates be used instead to mitigate a portion of the Residential and Small Commercial customer class increases. 13

14 Q. WHAT IS THE NET REVENUE IMPACT FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS 15 UNDER PROPOSED RATES?

- A. The impact of the proposed rates by customer class is shown in Table 1, line 13, of KSG
 Direct Exhibit DNH-13.
- 18The impact to each customer class under proposed rates is an annual increase as19follows:
- Residential: \$7,779,231;

21

22

- Small Commercial: \$1,698,322;
- Small Volume: \$235,506;

- Large Commercial: \$0; and
- 2 Irrigation: \$486,692.

3 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE SPECIFIC RATES YOU ARE RECOMMENDING.

- 4 A. I'm recommending the monthly customer charge and commodity charge rates shown below
- 5 in Table DNH-8.
- 6

Table DNH-8: Proposed Rates	Table	DNH-8:	Proposed	Rates
------------------------------------	-------	---------------	----------	-------

	Customer	Commodity
	Charge -	Charge -
Customer Class	\$/month	\$/therm
Residential	\$20.00	\$0.22619
Small Commercial	\$35.00	\$0.22619
Small Volume	\$70.00	\$0.14279
Large Volume	\$333.10	\$0.06800
Irrigation	\$35.00	\$0.06187

7

8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE MONTHLY CUSTOMER CHARGE FOR EACH

9 **CUSTOMER CLASS WAS DETERMINED.**

10	A.	The Residential customer charge is designed to recover the customer-related cost (excluding
11		the distribution-customer related costs). The proposed \$20.00 per month customer charge
12		also is approximately equal to the current monthly charge paid by the residential customers
13		that includes the current Gas System Reliability Surcharge Rider ("GSRS"). The Small
14		Commercial monthly customer charge of \$35.00 recovers the customer-related cost and is
15		also approximately equal to the current monthly charge including the GSRS rider and
16		maintains the relative relationship with the Residential monthly customer charge. The Small
17		Volume monthly customer charge of \$70.00 is set to twice the Small Commercial monthly
18		customer charge maintaining the existing relationship. As discussed earlier in this section of

1		my testimony, I am proposing no change to the existing base rates for the Large Volume
2		customers. The proposed monthly customer charge of \$35.00 for the Irrigation class is set at
3		the same as the Small Commercial class as previously discussed in my testimony.
4	Q.	HOW DID YOU DETERMINE THE PROPOSED COMMODITY RATES?
5	A.	The commodity rates are set following the guidelines described above and are adjusted to
6		recover the portion of the revenue requirement not recovered in the monthly customer
7		charge.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED RATES ON RATE OF
9		RETURN.
10	A.	The recommended rate design produces an overall rate of return of 7.05%. The rate of return
11		for each class is the following:
12		• Residential and Small Commercial 6.64%;
13		• Small Volume 7.05%;
14		• Large Volume 12.55%; and
15		• Irrigation 7.05%
16		The rate of return for the Residential and Small Commercial customer classes is based upon
17		the monthly customer charges being set to recover customer-related cost plus the current
18		GSRS for each class, and then setting the commodity charge at an equal rate based upon the
19		principles described above.

IX. <u>DEVELOPMENT OF REVENUE UNDER PROPOSED RATES</u>

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOU DEVELOPED THE REVENUES UNDER 3 PROPOSED RATES.

A. The revenues under proposed rates were developed using the Test Year billing determinants
shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 and the proposed rates for each customer class as
shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-14.

7 The revenues under proposed base rates are shown in Section 5, and the difference 8 between current and proposed base rates in Section 6, of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-14. The 9 revenues are based upon the billing determinants shown in Section 1 of KSG Direct Exhibit 10 DNH-14 and the proposed rates shown in Section 4. The total of the differences by customer 11 class equals the total revenue deficiency for the Company.

12 X. <u>CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS</u>

13 Q. HAVE YOU PREPARED CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS BASED UPON THE

14 AVERAGE CUSTOMER BILL FOR EACH CUSTOMER CLASS?

A. Yes. The average customer bill impacts for each customer class are shown in Section 6 of
KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15.

17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOU DETERMINED THE AVERAGE MONTHLY 18 BILL UNDER CURRENT RATES.

A. The total average customer bill by customer class was developed by multiplying the Test
 Year billing determinants shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 by the current rates from
 the tariff including the current level of rate riders. The current rates include the monthly
 customer charge, GSRS, commodity charge, and current Purchased Gas Adjustment

1 ("PGA"). The WNA Rider rates and AVTS Rider rates are removed from this calculation 2 for simplification as these rate riders are adjusted annually and can result in either a surcharge or a surcredit from year to year. The fixed monthly customer charge and monthly 3 GSRS are added together for the fixed monthly portion of the average bill, and the other 4 5 rates are multiplied by the average therms per bill shown in Section 1 for the volumetric 6 portion of the average bill. For example, as shown on line 13, column B, the average 7 Residential bill is \$50.41. The portion of the average monthly bill for Residential customers based upon current rates includes total fixed monthly charges of \$19.33, as shown in Section 8 9 3, line 11 of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15.

10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW YOU DETERMINED THE AVERAGE MONTHLY 11 BILL UNDER PROPOSED RATES.

12 The total average customer bill by customer class was developed by multiplying the Test Α. Year billing determinants shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 by the proposed base rates. 13 14 The proposed rates shown in Section 4 of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15 includes the monthly 15 customer charge, commodity charge, and current PGA. The bill impact under proposed rates 16 does not include the current GSRS as the investment recovered under the current rider is 17 included in the proposed base rates. Similar to the calculation of the average monthly bill 18 under current rates, the WNA Rider and AVTS Rider rates are also removed from this 19 calculation. The average monthly bill under proposed rates includes the fixed monthly 20 customer charge, with the other rates being multiplied by the average therms per bill shown 21 in Section 1 for the volumetric portion of the average bill. For example, as shown on line 22, 22 column B, the average monthly Residential bill is \$54.31. The portion of the average 23 monthly bill for Residential customers based upon the proposed rates includes total fixed

1		monthly charges of \$20.00, as shown in Section 5, line 20 of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-15.
2	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE FIXED MONTHLY PORTION OF THE
3		RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMER BILL WOULD CHANGE UNDER PROPOSED
4		RATES.
5	A.	The fixed portion of Residential customer bills would increase from \$19.33 to \$20.00 under
6		current and proposed rates, respectively, for an effective increase of \$0.67 per month.
7	Q.	WHAT ARE THE AVERAGE CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS TO CUSTOMERS
8		UNDER THE PROPOSED RATES?
9	A.	The change in average monthly bill by customer class is shown on line 24, Section 6 of KSG
10		Direct Exhibit DNH-15, with the percentage change shown on line 25. These changes do not
11		include the proposed refund to customers through the Tax Adjustment Rider ("TA Rider")
12		discussed in the direct testimony of Mr. Stevens. The change in the average monthly bill by
13		customer class, excluding the TA Rider refund, are shown below:
14		Residential - \$3.89
15		Small Commercial Sales - \$8.89
16		Small Commercial Transportation - \$19.23
17		Small Volume Firm – (\$23.86)
18		Small Volume Transportation – (\$26.46)
19		Large Volume Firm – (\$279.07)
20		Large Volume Interruptible – (\$279.07)
21		Large Volume Transportation – (\$279.07)
22		Irrigation Sales - \$19.84
23		Irrigation Transportation - \$17.17

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE SMALL VOLUME AND LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS WOULD SEE A REDUCTION BASED UPON AN AVERAGE BILL.

A. There are two reasons why the customer classes have different average bill impacts under the proposed rates primarily due to how the GSRS is charged and specifically how it is charged through the fixed part of customer bills.

6 First, as described above the proposed increase/decrease for the Large Volume 7 customer class is set to zero with the benefit offsetting an equal portion of the Residential 8 customer class revenue deficiency. While a portion of the Residential customer class revenue 9 deficiency is partially offset, the net revenue deficiency allocated to the Residential customer 10 class results in an increase of 7.7% to an average bill.

11 Second, the setting of the current GSRS to zero has a disproportionate impact upon 12 the customer classes. For the Small Volume customer class, the combination of setting the 13 current Small Volume GSRS of \$37.72 to zero, an increase in the monthly customer charge 14 of \$17.03, and a small reduction of the commodity charge of \$0.00421, the class sees an 15 overall reduction in the average monthly bill for both sales and transportation customers. 16 The Large Volume customers also see a reduction in their average monthly bill under the 17 proposed rates due to the current GSRS being set to zero.

Q. WHAT ARE THE AVERAGE CUSTOMER BILL IMPACTS TO CUSTOMERS INCLUDING THE PROPOSED TA RIDER REFUNDS DISCUSSED BY MR. STEVENS IN HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. The proposed TA Rider rates reduce the average monthly bill impact for each customer class
 over the three-year proposed refund period. The change in average monthly bill by customer
 class, including the TA Rider refund, is shown on line 31, Section 8 of KSG Direct Exhibit
1		DNH-15, with the percentage change shown on line 32, Section 8. The change in the average
2		monthly bill by customer class, including the TA Rider refund, are shown below:
3		Residential - \$2.27
4		Small Commercial Sales - \$6.42
5		Small Commercial Transportation - \$15.02
6		Small Volume Firm – (\$32.67)
7		Small Volume Transportation – (\$39.63)
8		Large Volume Firm – (\$313.48)
9		Large Volume Interruptible – (\$373.32)
10		Large Volume Transportation – (\$363.02)
11		Irrigation Sales - \$13.53
12		Irrigation Transportation - \$11.57
13		
14		XI. <u>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
	0	
15	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS?
16	A.	For the reasons set forth in this testimony, my recommendation is for the Commission to
17		approve the CCOSS, weather normalization, rate design, and other proposals in the rate
18		application of Black Hills.

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes.

AFFIDAVIT OF DOUGLAS N. HYATT

State of Colorado) ss City and County of Denver

I, DOUGLAS N. HYATT, being first duly sworn on oath, depose and state that I am the same Douglas N. Hyatt identified in the foregoing Direct Testimony; that I have caused the foregoing Direct Testimony to be prepared and am familiar with the contents thereof; and that the foregoing Direct Testimony is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief as of the date of this Affidavit.

auglas A Hyatt

Douglas N. Hyatt

On April 28, 2021, appeared Douglas N. Hyatt, not in my physical presence but rather appearing remotely by means of communication technology from 8308 W 69th Way, Arvada, Colorado 80004, known to me to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that he executed the same as his free act and deed.

Clouis D. glegh Notary Public

My Commission expires: November 17, 2022

ELAINE D HEGLER Notary Public State of Colorado Notery ID # 19984031852 My Commission Expires 11-17-2022

EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT HISTORY AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

I graduated from Colorado State University with a Bachelor's degree in Political Science. Upon graduation, received a commission as an Officer in the United States Air Force Reserve, and after serving on active duty was placed on inactive individual ready reserve status for the remainder of his service commitment.

I accepted a civilian position with the United States Department of State at Embassy Budapest, Hungary. After working for three years in Budapest, I returned to Colorado in 1993, and began working towards an MBA at the University of Colorado at Denver. During a portion of my two years of studies, I accepted a civilian position in Office Automation with the Defense Finance and Accounting Service in Denver, Colorado. Upon achieving his MBA in 1995, I accepted a position as a Telecommunications Analyst with AT&T Wireless in Denver.

In April 1996, I accepted a position as a Telecommunication Analyst with First Data Corporation located in Englewood, Colorado. In that capacity, I oversaw the auditing of telecommunications services expense as well as the impact upon the unit cost to the Company of various retail customer financial transactions. I led the effort to develop a database to enable network engineers to maintain network information, to streamline the auditing of network cost, and properly account for the costs incurred for multitude of internal and external customers.

In January 2001, accepted a position as a Senior Specialist with AT&T. In this position, I championed regulatory initiatives to reduce or mitigate potential increases to expense, and supported state advocacy plans to ensure favorable State Public Utilities Commission rulings to reduce intercarrier compensation expense. I testified before the Colorado Public Utilities Commission and drafted written testimony for submission to State Utilities Commissions. I assisted in the preparation

of highly complex unit cost modeling for state regulatory proceedings throughout Qwest's 14 state territory. While at AT&T, I completed a Master of Applied Science Degree in Telecommunications at the University of Denver.

In November 2007, I accepted a position as a Lead Telecommunications Analyst with Qwest Communications. In this position, I played a lead role in auditing switched access expense to the Company. I played a role in the development of one of the largest private corporate databases in the World. During database development, I honed my expertise in compiling very large volumes of complex data into meaningful analytics for corporate decision makers.

I began his employment with Black Hills Corporation in June 2014, as Principal Regulatory Analyst. In this role, I have prepared and presented complex analyses and modelling for electric and gas utilities of Black Hills Corporation. I have prepared many studies and analyses in support of Company advocacy before the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, the Wyoming Public Service Commission, and the Nebraska Public Service Commission in gas rate proceedings.

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Summary of Weather Normalization Statistical Results

	А		в	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М
Line				10 Years	9 Years	8 Years	7 Years	6 Years	5 Years	4 Years	3 Years	2 Years	1 Year	
No 1	*			2011-2020	2012-2020	2013-2020	2014-2020	2015-2020	2016-2020	2017-2020	2018-2020	2019-2020	2020	Comments
2	Residential													
3 4 5 6 7	Weather Station - Concordia Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square						6.48486 0.11304 0.97228	6.82285 0.11263 0.97055	7.22186 0.11281 0.97275	7.85502 0.11222 0.97077	8.72986 0.11262 0.97051	8.90669 0.11411 0.98366	7.89708 0.12211 0.98948	7 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
8 9 10 11 12 13	F 10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	5,088				2,912 653.02 8.13 22.02% xxxxx	2,341 654.98 8.11 22.13%	2,107 660.66 8.13 22.25%	1,562 665.28 8.11 22.46%	1,153 677.79 8.17 22.73%	1,386 687.52 8.28 22.75%	1,036 716.09 8.81 22.28%	L5 x 12 + (L6 * Column B, L10 + L7 (L5 x 12") / 365 + (L6 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L11 / 365 / L12
14 15 16 17 18 19	Weather Station - Dodge City Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F			10.02476 0.03637 0.08209 0.97467 2,290.92285	10.09738 0.03544 0.08285 0.97363 1.976.53012	9.91789 0.03703 0.08192 0.97319 1,725.44306	9.81090 0.03685 0.08248 0.97399 1,554.86589	9.91980 0.03648 0.08320 0.97330 1,294.89192	10.13557 0.03816 0.08162 0.97748 1,281.28971	10.28941 0.03816 0.08186 0.97721 1,008.48503	10.06618 0.04263 0.07920 0.97917 823.69178	9.75707 0.04415 0.07839 0.97828 518.98253	10.67136 0.04084 0.07962 0.98061 279.12207	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
20 21 22 23 24	10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	4,742	682.06 8.62 21.67% xxxxx	682.16 8.61 21.70%	683.10 8.65 21.63%	683.63 8.68 21.59%	686.61 8.70 21.61%	689.63 8.72 21.67%	692.63 8.74 21.71%	698.55 8.86 21.60%	698.21 8.90 21.50%	699.30 8.78 21.81%	L16 x 12 + (L17 * Column B, L21 + L18 (L16 x 12") / 365 + (L17 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L22 / 365 / L23
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Weather Station - Goodland Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F 10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms	HDDs (2)	5,600	7.74336 0.01801 0.10995 0.97808 2,655 809.47 9.21	7.77970 0.01696 0.11088 0.97770 2,347 809.25 9.20	7.55692 0.01822 0.11056 0.97848 2,161 811.80 9.26	7.36612 0.01740 0.11200 0.97897 1,933 812.97 9.30	7.56342 0.01749 0.11151 0.97860 1,625 813.15 9.28	7.56172 0.01889 0.11044 0.98178 1,591 814.97 9.30	7.38195 0.01983 0.10976 0.98078 1,200 814.24 9.31	7.59472 0.02357 0.10613 0.98068 889 817.46 9.33	6.73005 0.02550 0.10548 0.97600 469 814.22 9.39	7.04552 0.02421 0.10521 0.98014 272 809.29 9.29	 10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar. L27 x 12 + (L28 * Column B, L32 + L29 (L27 x 12") / 365 + (L28 * Note(1) × Note(1))
34 35 36	Load Factor Time Period Used Weather Station - Topeka			24.07% xxxxx	24.09%	24.01%	23.95%	24.01%	24.00%	23.95%	24.01%	23.76%	23.86%	L32 / 365 / L33
37 38 39 40 41	Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F			6.87377 0.02130 0.09638 0.97790 2,633	7.06238 0.02012 0.09748 0.97726 2,301	6.83915 0.02213 0.09648 0.97776 2,089	6.69445 0.02305 0.09585 0.97741 1,797	6.94723 0.02280 0.09496 0.97649 1,475	7.23990 0.02345 0.09423 0.97922 1,391	7.15219 0.02329 0.09432 0.97726 1,011	7.01070 0.02588 0.09354 0.97860 801	6.93463 0.02531 0.09307 0.97861 527	6.73504 0.02668 0.09098 0.98374 334	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
42 43 44 45 46	10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Paek Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	4,700	635.55 8.46 20.57% xxxxx	637.41 8.46 20.63%	639.46 8.53 20.55%	639.08 8.54 20.50%	636.76 8.47 20.59%	639.94 8.48 20.69%	638.54 8.47 20.66%	645.37 8.59 20.58%	639.57 8.51 20.58%	633.80 8.46 20.53%	L38 x 12 + (L39 * Column B, L43 + L40 (L38 x 12") / 365 + (L39 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L44 / 365 / L45
47 48 49 50 51 52	Weather Station - Wichita Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F			9.88102 0.03345 0.09747 0.97884 2,753	10.01418 0.03226 0.09857 0.97806 2,386	9.82439 0.03381 0.09818 0.97812 2,124	9.77537 0.03319 0.09898 0.97875 1,912	9.85075 0.03268 0.09944 0.97745 1,540	9.93831 0.03350 0.09867 0.97828 1,329	9.62461 0.03331 0.09959 0.97649 977	9.41566 0.03652 0.09902 0.97858 800	9.48449 0.03381 0.09987 0.98048 579	9.82876 0.03158 0.09791 0.98775 445	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
53 54 55 56 57	10-Vear Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	4,221	671.17 9.49 19.38% xxxxx	672.36 9.49 19.42%	674.99 9.56 19.34%	675.14 9.57 19.32%	675.85 9.57 19.34%	677.11 9.58 19.37%	676.45 9.62 19.27%	685.07 9.80 19.16%	678.03 9.67 19.21%	664.52 9.39 19.39%	L49 x 12 + (L50 * Column B, L54 + L51 (L49 x 12") / 365 + (L50 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L54 / 365 / L55

	А		в	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М
				10 Years	9 Years	8 Years	7 Years	6 Years	5 Years	4 Years	3 Years	2 Years	1 Year	
Line No	Description			2011-2020	2012-2020	2013-2020	2014-2020	2015-2020	2016-2020	2017-2020	2018-2020	2019-2020	2020	Comments
58	Commercial (Small Commercial, Small Volume, and I	arge Volume)												
	Commercial (Sman Commercial, Sman Volume, and E	arge volume)												
59 60 61 62 63 64	Weather Station - Concordia Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHIDD Adj R Square F						1.56356 0.19418 0.93598 1,215	3.17853 0.18777 0.94212 1,157	3.97579 0.18505 0.94966 1,114	4.15979 0.18418 0.95349 965	4.32501 0.18621 0.95248 703	4.54837 0.18388 0.97024 751	8.13067 0.17955 0.97999 540	7 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
65 66 67 68 69	10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	5,088				1,006.82 13.64 20.22% xxxxx	993.59 13.25 20.55%	989.28 13.08 20.72%	987.06 13.03 20.76%	999.42 13.18 20.78%	990.24 13.02 20.83%	1,011.18 12.84 21.58%	L61 x 12 + (L62 * Column B, L66 + L63 (L61 x 12 [°]) / 365 + (L62 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L66 / 365 / L67
70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77	Weather Station - Dodge City Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F 10-Year Average Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms	HDDs (2)	4,742	48.04728 0.11177 0.31029 0.95988 1,424 2,578.14	49.42156 0.10867 0.31249 0.95698 1,191 2,590.39	49.73698 0.11428 0.30922 0.95665 1,049 2.605.27	49.94117 0.11384 0.31012 0.95508 883 2.609.90	47.02261 0.11607 0.30923 0.95054 683 2,581.18	43.17471 0.12345 0.30598 0.95250 593 2,554.59	42.00464 0.13537 0.29716 0.95007 448 2.555.30	31.42883 0.13662 0.31043 0.95367 361 2.497.23	26.35170 0.15377 0.29293 0.96971 369 2.434.64	25.97390 0.15044 0.28859 0.97057 182 2.393.74	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar. L72 x 12 + (L73 * Column B, L77 + L74
78 79 80	Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used			31.12 22.69% xxxxx	31.11 22.82%	31.28 22.82%	31.32 22.83%	31.32 22.58%	31.48 22.23%	31.66 22.11%	32.33 21.16%	32.14 20.76%	31.59 20.76%	(L72 x 12") / 365 + (L73 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L77 / 365 / L78
81 82 83 84 85 86 87	Weather Station - Goodland Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F Io-Year Average	HDDs (2)	5,600	22.45156 0.04379 0.31690 0.96406 1,597	21.58769 0.04152 0.32253 0.96452 1,455	20.34417 0.04465 0.32328 0.96451 1,292	17.93484 0.03067 0.33927 0.96976 1,332	18.41953 0.02616 0.34166 0.96864 1,097	16.77658 0.02777 0.34090 0.97024 963	15.72156 0.03333 0.33823 0.96984 757	16.55582 0.03950 0.33570 0.97226 614	13.06379 0.04961 0.33395 0.96600 328	10.46622 0.04521 0.33756 0.96795 167	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
87 88 89 90 91	Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	HDDs (2)	5,000	2,289.16 25.99 24.13% xxxxx	2,297.67 26.19 24.03%	2,304.47 26.42 23.89%	2,286.79 26.49 23.65%	2,280.67 26.35 23.71%	2,265.75 26.36 23.55%	2,269.29 26.53 23.44%	2,299.70 26.81 23.50%	2,304.62 27.28 23.15%	2,268.96 27.14 22.91%	L&3 x 12 + (L&4 * Column B, L&8 + L&5 (L&3 x 12") / 365 + (L&4 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L&9 / 365 / L90
92 93 94 95 96 97 98	Weather Station - Topeka Constant (Base Use) CHDD PHDD Adj R Square F IO-Year Average	HDDs (2)	4,700	50.05927 0.05276 0.34243 0.97037 1,950	50.80606 0.05024 0.34332 0.96961 1,708	49.94341 0.05570 0.34029 0.96874 1,473	49.89723 0.05576 0.33851 0.96800 1,256	51.58413 0.05295 0.33459 0.96599 1,009	53.21173 0.05421 0.33189 0.96588 836	55.78633 0.05067 0.33382 0.96704 691	55.76761 0.06062 0.33351 0.97248 619	54.51053 0.06629 0.33975 0.97345 423	45.80387 0.08518 0.34622 0.97416 208	10 Years has a high F-Value and a high Adjusted R-Square prefer to use a longer period of time when statistics are similar.
98 99 100 101 102	Predicted Normal Annual Use/Customer - therms Predicted Peak Day Use/Customer - therms Load Factor Time Period Used	11005 (2)	4,700	2,457.96 29.31 22.98% xxxxx	2,459.24 29.22 23.06%	2,460.32 29.36 22.96%	2,451.64 29.24 22.97%	2,440.26 28.82 23.20%	2,453.03 28.78 23.35%	2,476.38 28.75 23.60%	2,521.46 29.42 23.48%	2,562.39 30.22 23.23%	2,577.08 31.70 22.27%	L94 x 12 + (L95 * Column B, L99 + L96 (L94 x 12") / 365 + (L95 * Note(1) x Note(1)) L99 / 365 / L100

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Summary of Weather Normalization Statistical Results

A	В	С	D	Е	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
ine Io.	Customer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Curre Actual	nt Month Normal (1)	HDD Previ Actual	ous Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Total Adjustment
1	Customer Class	weather Station	WOIT	HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.	# of Cust.	therms	\$/therm	s	\$/therm	\$	Aujustinent \$
2				прр	ноо	HDD	ноо	(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	5 [J]x[M]	[L]+[N]
3								(5)		[fi]x[i]	(4)	[J]X[K]	(5)	[J]x[IVI]	[L]+[N]
4	Residential	Concordia				(2)	0.11304	(2)							
5	Residential	Concordia	Januarv	1,014	1,087	870	986	13.15	370	4.864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1.649	2,46
6			February	830	925	1,014	1.087	8.30	370		0.1683	518	0.3390	1,049	1,56
7			March	525	620	830	925	10.76	370		0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	2,02
8			April	351	349	525	620	10.70	366		0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	1,9
,			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366		0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	1,5
0			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	(2:
1			July		i	-	4	0.41	363		0.1683	25	0.3390	50	(22
2			August		0		i	0.11	366		0.1683	23	0.3390	14	
5			September	54	49		0	0.02	365		0.1683	1	0.3390	3	
			October	365	305	54	49	(0.58)	366		0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	(1
			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365		0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	(1,2
5			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366		0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	3,5
,			Total	4.626	5.088	4,615	5.088	53.50	366		0.1005	3.321	0.5570	6,688	10.0
3			roui	1,020	5,000	1,015	2,000	55150	500	19,750		5,521		0,000	10,0
)	Residential	Dodge City			0.03637	(2)	0.08209	(2)							
ŀ			January	903	972	839	957	12.19	34,524	420,763	0.1683	70,827	0.3390	142,634	213,4
			February	827	843	903	972	6.26	34,581	216,369	0.1683	36,421	0.3390	73,347	109,7
			March	523	575	827	843	3.22	34,666		0.1683	18,780	0.3390	37,819	56,5
			April	341	333	523	575	3.96	34,543		0.1683	23,049	0.3390	46,416	69,4
			May	107	118	341	333	(0.22)	34,413		0.1683	(1,260)	0.3390	(2,537)	(3,7
			June	2	4	107	118	0.99	34,467	34,135	0.1683	5,746	0.3390	11,571	17,3
			July	-	1	2	4	0.17	34,505		0.1683	1.011	0.3390	2,036	3.0
			August	-	0	-	1	0.12	34,532		0.1683	689	0.3390	1,388	2,0
			September	67	34	-	0	(1.18)	34,477		0.1683	(6,875)	0.3390	(13,846)	(20,7
			October	390	290	67	34	(6.33)	34,355		0.1683	(36,581)	0.3390	(73,668)	(110,2
			November	490	615	390	290	(3.67)	34,616		0.1683	(21,401)	0.3390	(43,098)	(64,4
			December	869	957	490	615	13.42	34,747	466,190	0.1683	78,474	0.3390	158,033	236,5
2			Total	4,519	4,742	4,489	4,742	28.93	34,536	1,003,264		168,879		340,095	508,9
	Residential	Goodland			0.01801	(2)	0.10995	(2)							
			January	984	1,038	914	1,051	15.97	2,319		0.1683	6,235	0.3390	12,556	18,7
			February	930	942	984	1,038	6.09	2,336		0.1683	2,397	0.3390	4,826	7,2
			March	644	683	930	942	1.99	2,329		0.1683	780	0.3390	1,572	2,3
:			April	490	454	644	683	3.58	2,324	8,331	0.1683	1,402	0.3390	2,824	4,2
)			May	195	214	490	454	(3.61)	2,323	(8,383)	0.1683	(1,411)	0.3390	(2,842)	(4,2
)			June	20	16	195	214	2.06	2,319		0.1683	804	0.3390	1,619	2,4
			July	-	2	20	16	(0.41)	2,314	(956)	0.1683	(161)	0.3390	(324)	(4
1			August	2	3	-	2	0.25	2,312		0.1683	99	0.3390	199	1
3			September	122	76	2	3	(0.68)	2,312		0.1683	(265)	0.3390	(534)	(
4			October	530	409	122	76	(7.22)	2,312		0.1683	(2,811)	0.3390	(5,662)	(8,4
5			November	590	713	530	409	(11.14)	2,320	(25,853)	0.1683	(4,352)	0.3390	(8,764)	(13,1
6		L	December	990	1,051	590	713	14.61	2,329	34,035	0.1683	5,729	0.3390	11,538	17,2
7			Total	5,497	5,600	5,421	5,600	21.50	2,321	50,172		8,445		17,008	25,4

F		С	D	Е	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
Custom	er Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Curre Actual	nt Month Normal (1)	HDD Previo Actual	us Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		To Adjus
				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.		therms	\$/therm	\$ []]=[[2]	\$/therm	\$ []]#[M]	ст. 1
								(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	[J]x[M]	[L]+
Residential		Concordia	Y	1.014	- 1,087	(2)	0.11304	(2)	370	4,864	0.1792	819	0.3390	1.640	
			January February	1,014 830	925	870 1.014	986 1,087	13.15 8.30	370	4,864	0.1683 0.1683	518	0.3390	1,649 1,044	
			March	525	620	830	925	10.76	370	3,982	0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	
			April	351	349	525	620	10.74	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366	(79)	0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.41	363	148	0.1683	25	0.3390	50	
			August September	- 54	0 49	-	1	0.11 0.02	366 365	41	0.1683 0.1683	7	0.3390 0.3390	14 3	
			October	365	305	- 54	49	(0.58)	366	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	
			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730		3,321		6,688	
											I	l	l		
Residential		Topeka	_		0.02130	(2)	0.09638	(2)							
			January	971	1,044	839	919	9.28	32,442	301,046	0.1683	50,675	0.3390	102,051	
			February March	862 480	866 560	971 862	1,044 866	7.15 2.12	32,478 32,498	232,112 68,782	0.1683 0.1683	39,071 11,578	0.3390 0.3390	78,683 23,316	
			April	339	293	480	560	6.72	32,498	218,374	0.1683	36,759	0.3390	74,026	
			May	129	92	339	293	(5.22)	32,431	(169,385)	0.1683	(28,513)	0.3390	(57,420)	
			June	-	1	129	92	(3.58)	32,453	(116,328)	0.1683	(19,581)	0.3390	(39,434)	
			July	-	0	-	1	0.14	32,496	4,523	0.1683	761	0.3390	1,533	
			August	-	-	-	0	0.02	33,203	640	0.1683	108	0.3390	217	
			September	55	34	-	-	(0.44)	32,487	(14,395)	0.1683	(2,423)	0.3390	(4,880)	
			October	388	283	55	34	(4.25)	32,227	(137,034)	0.1683	(23,067)	0.3390	(46,453)	
			November	485 878	607 919	388 485	283 607	(7.57)	32,422 32,615	(245,276) 412,690	0.1683 0.1683	(41,287) 69,468	0.3390 0.3390	(83,146) 139,897	(1
			December Total	4,587	4,700	485	4,700	12.65	32,615	555,750	0.1685	93,549	0.3390	139,897	
B 11 21		NY7 1 1		-	0.02245		0.00747								
Residential		Wichita	January	877	0.03345 943	(2) 804	0.09747 869	(2) 8.54	33,080	282,392	0.1683	47,535	0.3390	95,728	
			February	792	790	877	943	6.31	33,197	209,502	0.1683	35,265	0.3390	71,019	1
			March	439	499	792	790	1.80	33,282	59,777	0.1683	10,062	0.3390	20,264	
			April	296	255	439	499	4.48	33,237	148,897	0.1683	25,064	0.3390	50,475	
			May	103	75	296	255	(4.95)	33,267	(164,645)	0.1683	(27,715)	0.3390	(55,813)	
			June	-	1	103	75	(2.68) 0.13	33,376 33,429	(89,314) 4,460	0.1683 0.1683	(15,034) 751	0.3390 0.3390	(30,277) 1,512	
			July August	-	-	-	1	0.13	33,429	4,460	0.1683	111	0.3390	223	
			September	50	16	-	-	(1.14)	33,460	(38,281)	0.1683	(6,444)	0.3390	(12,977)	
			October	353	222	50	16	(7.73)	33,519	(258,964)	0.1683	(43,591)	0.3390	(87,786)	(1
			November	449	551	353	222	(9.38)	33,587	(314,911)	0.1683	(53,009)	0.3390	(106,751)	(1
			December	834 4,193	869 4,221	449 4,163	551 4,221	11.15 6.55	33,708 33,405	375,698 215,267	0.1683	63,241 36,236	0.3390	127,357 72,973	1
			Total	4,193	4,221	4,103	4,221	0.55	55,405	215,267	I	30,230		12,913	1
Small Comm	ercial	Concordia	January	1,014	- 1,087	(2) 870	0.19418 986	(2)	40	903	0.1683	152	0.3414	308	
1			January February	830	925	1,014	1,087	14.25	40	584	0.1683	98	0.3414	308 199	
			March	525	620	830	925	18.49	42	776	0.1683	131	0.3414	265	
			April	351	349	525	620	18.45	41	756	0.1683	127	0.3414	258	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.37)	41	(15)	0.1683	(3)	0.3414	(5)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(2.14)	41	(88)	0.1683	(15)	0.3414	(30)	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.70	41	29	0.1683	5	0.3414	10	
			August	- 54	0 49	-	1	0.19	41 42	8	0.1683	1	0.3414	3	
			September October	54 365	49 305	- 54	49	0.04 (0.99)	42 42	(42)	0.1683 0.1683	0 (7)	0.3414 0.3414	(14)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(0.99) (11.75)	42	(42)	0.1683	(83)	0.3414	(14) (168)	
			December	881	986	476	643	32.45	42	1,363	0.1683	229	0.3414	465	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	91.91	41	3,784		637		1,292	

	В	С	D	E	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
Custo	omer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Currer Actual	t Month Normal (1)	HDD Previou Actual	us Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		To Adjus
				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.		therms	\$/therm	\$	\$/therm	\$	\$
								(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	[J]x[M]	[L]+
Residential	1	Concordia			-	(2)	0.11304	(2)							
			January	1,014	1,087 925	870	986	13.15	370 371	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390 0.3390	1,649 1.044	
			February March	830 525	925 620	1,014 830	1,087 925	8.30 10.76	3/1 370	3,078 3,982	0.1683 0.1683	518 670	0.3390	1,044	
			April	351	349	525	620	10.76	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366	(79)	0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	
			July August	-	1	-	4	0.41 0.11	363 366	148 41	0.1683 0.1683	25 7	0.3390 0.3390	50 14	
			September	54	49	-	0	0.02	365		0.1683	1	0.3390	3	
			October	365	305	54	49	(0.58)	366	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	
			December	881	986	476	643 5,088	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730		3,321		6,688	
Small Con	nmercial	Dodge City			0.11177	(2)	0.31029	(2)			I				
			January	903	972	839	957	44.30	3,823	169,341	0.1683	28,505	0.3414	57,805	
			February March	827 523	843 575	903 827	972 843	23.23 10.84	3,766 3,801	87,491 41,188	0.1683 0.1683	14,727 6,933	0.3414 0.3414	29,865 14,060	
			April	341	333	523	575	15.18	3,793	57,583	0.1683	9,693	0.3414	19,656	
			May	107	118	341	333	(1.12)	3,781	(4,216)	0.1683	(710)	0.3414	(1,439)	
			June	2	4	107	118	3.70	3,729	13,816	0.1683	2,326	0.3414	4,716	
			July	-	1	2	4	0.62	3,752	2,333	0.1683	393	0.3414	797	
			August September	- 67	0 34	-	1	0.45 (3.63)	3,731 3,737	1,662 (13,584)	0.1683 0.1683	280 (2,287)	0.3414 0.3414	567 (4,637)	
			October	390	290	- 67	34	(21.34)	3,698	(78,927)	0.1683	(13,286)	0.3414	(26,942)	
			November	490	615	390	290	(17.08)	3,710	(63,378)	0.1683	(10,668)	0.3414	(21,634)	
			December	869	957	490	615	48.46	3,762	182,290	0.1683	30,685	0.3414	62,225	
			Total	4,519	4,742	4,489	4,742	103.60	3,757	395,600	I	66,591		135,039	
Small Com	mercial	Goodland			0.04379	(2)	0.31690	(2)							
			January	984	1,038	914	1,051	45.60	323	14,729	0.1683	2,479	0.3414	5,028	
			February March	930 644	942 683	984 930	1,038 942	17.47 5.43	315 317	5,503 1,720	0.1683 0.1683	926 289	0.3414 0.3414	1,879 587	
			April	490	454	644	683	10.62	314	3,336	0.1683	562	0.3414	1,139	
			May	195	214	490	454	(10.56)	311	(3,284)	0.1683	(553)	0.3414	(1,121)	
			June	20	16	195	214	5.97	310	1,850	0.1683	311	0.3414	632	
			July	-	2	20	16	(1.21)	310 308	(374)	0.1683	(63)	0.3414	(128)	
			August September	2 122	3 76	- 2	2	0.72 (1.59)	308	223 (488)	0.1683 0.1683	37 (82)	0.3414 0.3414	76 (166)	
			October	530	409	122	76	(19.83)	309	(6,129)	0.1683	(1,032)	0.3414	(2,092)	
			November	590	713	530	409	(33.12)	311	(10,299)	0.1683	(1,734)	0.3414	(3,516)	
			December	990	1,051	590	713	41.63	314	13,071	0.1683	2,200	0.3414	4,462	
			Total	5,497	5,600	5,421	5,600	61.13	312	19,858	I	3,343		6,778	
Small Con	mercial	Topeka			0.05276	(2)	0.34243	(2)							
			January	971	1,044	839	919	31.29	2,213	69,247	0.1683	11,656	0.3414	23,638	
			February March	862 480	866 560	971 862	1,044 866	25.29 5.69	2,227 2,226	56,327 12,662	0.1683 0.1683	9,482 2,131	0.3414 0.3414	19,227 4,322	
			April	480	293	862 480	866 560	24.94	2,226	12,662 54,565	0.1683	2,131 9,185	0.3414	4,322	
			May	129	92	339	293	(17.70)	2,180	(38,595)	0.1683	(6,497)	0.3414	(13,175)	
			June	-	1	129	92	(12.77)	2,175	(27,769)	0.1683	(4,674)	0.3414	(9,479)	
			July	-	0	-	1	0.49	2,182	1,069	0.1683	180	0.3414	365	
			August	- 55	- 34	-	0	0.07	2,154 2,130	148 (2,338)	0.1683 0.1683	25 (393)	0.3414 0.3414	50 (798)	
			September October	388	283	- 55	- 34	(1.10) (12.69)	2,130 2,115	(2,338) (26,837)	0.1683	(393) (4,518)	0.3414 0.3414	(798) (9,161)	
			November	485	607	388	283	(29.68)	2,115	(63,394)	0.1683	(10,671)	0.3414	(21,640)	
			December	878	919	485	607	44.01	2,147	94,497	0.1683	15,907	0.3414	32,257	
			Total	4,587	4,700	4,548	4,700	57.85	2,173	129,582		21,813		44,233	

	В	С	D	Е	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
e	Customer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Currer Actual	t Month Normal (1)	HDD Previou Actual	s Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Total Adjustmo
				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust. (3)		therms [H]x[I]	\$/therm (4)	\$ [J]x[K]	\$/therm (5)	\$ [J]x[M]	\$ [L]+[N
R	Residential	Concordia				(2)	0.11304	(2)							
Ê	condennar	contortaid	January	1,014	1,087	870	986	13.15	370	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1,649	
			February	830	925	1,014	1,087	8.30	371	3,078	0.1683	518	0.3390	1,044	
			March	525	620	830	925	10.76	370	3,982	0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	
			April	351	349	525	620	10.74	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366	(79)	0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.41	363	148	0.1683	25 7	0.3390	50	
			August September	- 54	0 49	-	1	0.11 0.02	366 365	41	0.1683 0.1683	/	0.3390 0.3390	14	
			October	365	305	- 54	49	(0.58)	365	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	
			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2.344	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730		3,321		6,688	1
	mall Commercial	Wichita			0.15408	(2)	0.40505	(2)							
	man Commerciai	wichita	January	877	943	804	869	36.46	2,893	105,481	0.1683	17,756	0.3414	36,006	4
1			February	792	790	877	943	26.19	2,895	76,060	0.1683	12,803	0.3414	25,963	
			March	439	499	792	790	8.37	2,933	24,547	0.1683	4,132	0.3414	8,379	
			April	296	255	439	499	18.00	2,927	52,671	0.1683	8,866	0.3414	17,980	
			May	103	75	296	255	(20.99)	2,916	(61,197)	0.1683	(10,301)	0.3414	(20,890)	(3
			June	-	1	103	75	(11.10)	2,942	(32,658)	0.1683	(5,497)	0.3414	(11,148)	(1
			July	-	0	-	1	0.56	2,873	1,601	0.1683	270	0.3414	547	
			August	-	-	-	0	0.08	2,886	234	0.1683	39	0.3414	80	
			September	50 353	16 222	- 50	- 16	(5.27) (34.08)	2,820 2,815	(14,860)	0.1683 0.1683	(2,501) (16,150)	0.3414 0.3414	(5,073) (32,751)	(4
			October November	353 449	551	353	222	(34.08) (37.42)	2,815	(95,945) (107,170)	0.1683	(16,150) (18,040)	0.3414	(32,751) (36,583)	(4
			December	834	869	449	551	46.84	2,804	135.802	0.1683	22,860	0.3414	46,356	6
			Total	4,193	4,221	4,163	4,221	27.64	2,889	84,566	0.1005	14,235	0.5414	28,867	4
s	mall Volume Firm	Concordia				(2)	0.19418	(2)							
3	man volume rinn	Concordia	January	1,014	1,087	870	986	22.58	1	23	0.1470	3	0.3378	8	
			February	830	925	1,014	1,087	14.25	1	14	0.1470	2	0.3378	5	
			March	525	620	830	925	18.49	1	18	0.1470	3	0.3378	6	
			April	351	349	525	620	18.45	1	18	0.1470	3	0.3378	6	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.37)	1	(0)	0.1470	(0)	0.3378	(0)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(2.14)	1	(2)	0.1470	(0)	0.3378	(1)	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.70	0	-	0.1470	-	0.3378	-	
			August	-	0	-	1	0.19	0	-	0.1470	-	0.3378	-	
			September October	54 365	49 305	- 54	0 49	0.04 (0.99)	0	-	0.1470 0.1470	-	0.3378 0.3378	-	
			November	476	643	365	305	(11.75)	0	-	0.1470	-	0.3378	-	
			December	881	986	476	643	32.45	ő	-	0.1470	-	0.3378	-	
				4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	91.91	1	71		10		24	
			Total	-											
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	i otai		0.11177	(2)	0.31029	(2)							
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January	903	972	(2) 839	957	(2) 44.30	520	23,034	0.1470	3,386	0.3378	7,780	1
S	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February	827	972 843	839 903	957 972	44.30 23.23	558	12,963	0.1470	1,906	0.3378	4,379	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March	827 523	972 843 575	839 903 827	957 972 843	44.30 23.23 10.84	558 562	12,963 6,090	0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895	0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April	827 523 341	972 843 575 333	839 903 827 523	957 972 843 575	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18	558 562 561	12,963 6,090 8,517	0.1470 0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895 1,252	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May	827 523 341 107	972 843 575 333 118	839 903 827 523 341	957 972 843 575 333	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12)	558 562 561 557	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621)	0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895 1,252 (91)	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210)	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June	827 523 341	972 843 575 333 118 4	839 903 827 523 341 107	957 972 843 575 333 118	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12) 3.70	558 562 561 557 557	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064	0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June June July	827 523 341 107	972 843 575 333 118 4 1	839 903 827 523 341	957 972 843 575 333	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12) 3.70 0.62	558 562 561 557 557 560	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064 348	0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303 51	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697 118	
S	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June July August	827 523 341 107 2	972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0	839 903 827 523 341 107	957 972 843 575 333 118 4 1	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12) 3.70 0.62 0.45	558 562 561 557 557 560 560	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064 348 250	0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470 0.1470	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303 51 37	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697 118 84	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June July August September	827 523 341 107 2 - 67	972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0 34	839 903 827 523 341 107 2	957 972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12) 3.70 0.62 0.45 (3.63)	558 562 561 557 557 560 560 556	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064 348 250 (2,021)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\end{array}$	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303 51 37 (297)	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697 118 84 (683)	
s	mall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June July July September October	827 523 341 107 2 - - 67 390	972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0 34 290	839 903 827 523 341 107 2 - - 67	957 972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0 34	44.30 23.23 10.84 (1.12) 3.70 0.62 0.45 (3.63) (21.34)	558 562 561 557 560 560 556 555	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064 348 250 (2,021) (11,845)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\end{array}$	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303 51 37 (297) (1,741)	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697 118 84 (683) (4,001)	
S	imall Volume Firm	Dodge City	January February March April May June July August September	827 523 341 107 2 - 67	972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0 34	839 903 827 523 341 107 2	957 972 843 575 333 118 4 1 0	44.30 23.23 10.84 15.18 (1.12) 3.70 0.62 0.45 (3.63)	558 562 561 557 557 560 560 556	12,963 6,090 8,517 (621) 2,064 348 250 (2,021)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\\ 0.1470\end{array}$	1,906 895 1,252 (91) 303 51 37 (297)	0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378 0.3378	4,379 2,057 2,877 (210) 697 118 84 (683)	1 ((1

				Е	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
	Customer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Currer Actual	t Month Normal (1)	HDD Previou Actual	is Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Tota Adjusti
T				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.		therms	\$/therm	\$	\$/therm	\$	\$
								(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	[J]x[M]	[L]+
ł	Residential	Concordia	-		-	(2)	0.11304	(2)							
			January	1,014	1,087	870	986	13.15	370 371	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1,649	
			February March	830 525	925 620	1,014 830	1,087 925	8.30 10.76	370	3,078 3,982	0.1683 0.1683	518 670	0.3390 0.3390	1,044 1,350	
			April	351	349	525	620	10.76	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366	(79)	0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	
			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.41	363	148	0.1683	25	0.3390	50	
			August	- 54	0 49	-	1	0.11	366 365	41	0.1683	7	0.3390	14	
			September October	365	305	- 54	49	0.02 (0.58)	365	(211)	0.1683 0.1683	(36)	0.3390 0.3390	3 (72)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	
			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730		3,321		6,688	
s	Small Volume Firm	Goodland			0.04379	(2)	0.31690	(2)			I	I			
f			January	984	1,038	914	1,051	45.60	39		0.1470	261	0.3378	601	
			February	930	942	984	1,038	17.47	49	856	0.1470	126	0.3378	289	
			March	644	683	930	942	5.43	49	266	0.1470	39	0.3378	90	
			April May	490 195	454 214	644 490	683 454	10.62 (10.56)	49 49	521 (517)	0.1470 0.1470	77 (76)	0.3378 0.3378	176 (175)	
			June	20	16	195	214	(10.30) 5.97	49	292	0.1470	43	0.3378	(175)	
			July	-	2	20	16	(1.21)	49	(59)	0.1470	(9)	0.3378	(20)	
			August	2	3	-	2	0.72	49	35	0.1470	5	0.3378	12	
			September	122	76	2	3	(1.59)	49	(78)	0.1470	(11)	0.3378	(26)	
			October	530	409	122	76	(19.83)	49	(972)	0.1470	(143)	0.3378	(328)	
			November	590 990	713	530	409	(33.12) 41.63	49 49	(1,623) 2,040	0.1470 0.1470	(239) 300	0.3378 0.3378	(548)	
F			December Total	5,497	1,051 5,600	590 5,421	713 5,600	61.13	49		0.1470	373	0.3378	689 858	
				.,							•				
5	Small Volume Firm	Topeka	January	971	0.05276	(2) 839	0.34243 919	(2) 31.29	325	10,170	0.1470	1,495	0.3378	3,435	
			February	862	866	971	1.044	25.29	326	8,245	0.1470	1,212	0.3378	2,785	
			March	480	560	862	866	5.69	326	1,854	0.1470	273	0.3378	626	
			April	339	293	480	560	24.94	324	8,080	0.1470	1,188	0.3378	2,729	
			May	129	92	339	293	(17.70)	323	(5,716)	0.1470	(840)	0.3378	(1,931)	
			June	-	1	129	92 1	(12.77) 0.49	321 323	(4,098) 158	0.1470 0.1470	(602)	0.3378 0.3378	(1,384)	
			July August	-	0	-	1	0.49	323	138	0.1470	23 3	0.3378	53 7	
			September	55	34	-	-	(1.10)	323	(354)	0.1470	(52)	0.3378	(120)	
			October	388	283	55	34	(12.69)	322	(4,086)	0.1470	(601)	0.3378	(1,380)	
			November	485	607	388	283	(29.68)	322	(9,557)	0.1470	(1,405)	0.3378	(3,228)	
F			December Total	878 4,587	919 4,700	485 4,548	607 4,700	44.01 57.85	325	14,304 19,023	0.1470	2,103 2,796	0.3378	4,832 6,425	
			1 otal	4,587	4,700	4,548	4,700	57.85	324	19,023	I	2,796	ļ	6,425	_
5	Small Volume Firm	Wichita	×	0.55	0.15408	(2)	0.40505	(2)		16.0-1	0.1.4=0	a /^-	0.0000		
			January February	877 792	943 790	804 877	869 943	36.46 26.19	449 463	16,371 12,127	0.1470 0.1470	2,407 1,783	0.3378 0.3378	5,530 4,096	
			March	439	499	792	943 790	8.37	463	3,900	0.1470	573	0.3378	4,096	
			April	296	255	439	499	18.00	463	8,332	0.1470	1,225	0.3378	2,814	
			May	103	75	296	255	(20.99)	464	(9,738)	0.1470	(1,431)	0.3378	(3,289)	
			June	-	1	103	75	(11.10)	466	(5,173)	0.1470	(760)	0.3378	(1,747)	
			July	-	0	-	1	0.56	465	259	0.1470	38	0.3378	88	
			August	-	-	-	0	0.08	463	38	0.1470	6	0.3378	13	
			September October	50 353	16 222	- 50	- 16	(5.27) (34.08)	463 462	(2,440) (15,747)	0.1470 0.1470	(359) (2,315)	0.3378 0.3378	(824) (5,319)	
			November	353 449	551	353	222	(34.08) (37.42)	462	(15,747) (17,251)	0.1470	(2,315) (2,536)	0.3378	(5,319) (5,827)	
			December	834	869	449	551	46.84	461	21,595	0.1470	3,175	0.3378	(3,827) 7,294	

	В	С	D	E	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
	Customer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Currer Actual	nt Month Normal (1)	HDD Previou Actual	us Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Total Adjustme
				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust. (3)		therms [H]x[I]	\$/therm (4)	\$ [J]x[K]	\$/therm (5)	\$ [J]x[M]	\$ [L]+[N
n	esidential	Concordia				(2)	0.11304								
ĸ	lesidentiai	Concordia	January	1,014	1,087	870	986	(2)	370	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1,649	
			February	830	925	1,014	1,087	8.30	371	3,078	0.1683	518	0.3390	1,044	
			March	525	620	830	925	10.76	370	3,982	0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	
			April	351	349	525	620	10.74	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	
			May June	130	119 4	351 130	349 119	(0.21) (1.24)	366 362	(79) (450)	0.1683 0.1683	(13) (76)	0.3390 0.3390	(27) (153)	
			July	-	4	-	4	0.41	363	148	0.1683	25	0.3390	(153) 50	
			August	-	0	-	1	0.11	366	41	0.1683		0.3390	14	
			September	54	49	-	0	0.02	365	8	0.1683	1	0.3390	3	
			October	365	305	54	49	(0.58)	366	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	
			November	476	643 986	365	305 643	(6.84) 18.89	365 366	(2,496)	0.1683 0.1683	(420)	0.3390 0.3390	(846) 2,344	(
\vdash			December Total	881 4,626	986 5,088	476	5,088	53.50	366	6,914 19,730	0.1683	1,164 3,321	0.3390	2,344 6,688	1
			Total	4,020	5,000	4,015	5,000	55.50	500	19,750		5,521		0,000	
L	arge Volume Firm	Concordia			-	(2)	0.19418	(2)							
Γ			January	1,014	1,087	870	986	22.58	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			February March	830 525	925 620	1,014 830	1,087 925	14.25 18.49	0	-	0.0680 0.0680	-	0.3380 0.3380	-	
			April	325	349	525	925 620	18.49	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			May	130	119	351	349	(0.37)	0	_	0.0680		0.3380	-	
			June	-	4	130	119	(2.14)	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			July	-	1	-	4	0.70	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			August	-	0	-	1	0.19	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			September October	54 365	49 305	- 54	0 49	0.04 (0.99)	1	0	0.0680 0.0680	0 (0)	0.3380 0.3380	0 (0)	
			November	476	643	365	305	(11.75)	1	(1) (12)	0.0680	(0)	0.3380	(0)	
			December	881	986	476	643	32.45	1	32	0.0680	2	0.3380	11	
			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	91.91	0	20		1		7	
	17.1 P	D. L. Cit			0.11155		0.21020								
L	arge Volume Firm	Dodge City	January	903	0.11177 972	(2) 839	0.31029 957	(2) 44.30	8	354	0.0680	24	0.3380	120	
			February	827	843	903	972	23.23	9	209	0.0680	14	0.3380	71	
			March	523	575	827	843	10.84	9	98	0.0680	7	0.3380	33	
			April	341	333	523	575	15.18	9	137	0.0680	9	0.3380	46	
			May	107	118	341	333	(1.12)	9	(10)	0.0680	(1)	0.3380	(3) 11	
			June July	2	4	107 2	118	3.70 0.62	9	33 6	0.0680 0.0680	2	0.3380 0.3380	2	
			August		0	-	1	0.45	10	4	0.0680	0	0.3380	2	
			September	67	34	-	0	(3.63)	10	(36)	0.0680	(2)	0.3380	(12)	
			October	390	290	67	34	(21.34)	10	(213)	0.0680	(15)	0.3380	(72)	
1			November	490	615	390	290	(17.08)	9	(154)	0.0680	(10)	0.3380	(52)	
⊢			December Total	869 4,519	957 4,742	490	615 4,742	48.46 103.60	10	485 913	0.0680	33 62	0.3380	164 308	
			i ulai	4,319	4,742	4,407	4,742	105.00	9	915	-	02	I	508	
L	arge Volume Firm	Goodland			0.04379	(2)	0.31690	(2)					-		
Γ			January	984	1,038	914	1,051	45.60	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
I			February March	930 644	942 683	984 930	1,038 942	17.47 5.43	0	-	0.0680 0.0680	-	0.3380 0.3380	-	
			April	490	454	644	683	10.62	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
1			May	195	214	490	454	(10.56)	0	_	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			June	20	16	195	214	5.97	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			July	-	2	20	16	(1.21)	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			August	2	3	-	2	0.72	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			September October	122 530	76 409	2 122	3 76	(1.59) (19.83)	0		0.0680 0.0680	-	0.3380 0.3380	-	
			November	590	713	530	409	(33.12)	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			December	990	1,051	590	713	41.63	0	-	0.0680	-	0.3380	-	
			Total	5,497	5,600	5,421	5,600	61.13	0					-	

А	В	С	D	Е	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
Line No.	Customer Class	Weather Station	2020 Month	HDD Curre Actual	nt Month Normal (1)	HDD Previe Actual	ous Month Normal (1)	Per Cust. Adj. Therm / Cust.	2020 # of Cust.	Volumetric Adj. Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Total Adjustment
1	Customer Chass	in culler blatton	monu	HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.	" of Cubu	therms	\$/therm	s	\$/therm	s	\$
2				1100	1100	noo	1100	(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	[J]x[M]	[L]+[N]
3								(2)		[]-[-]	(.)	[-]-[]	(*)	[-]-[]	1-1 (-1
4	Residential	Concordia			-	(2)	0.11304	(2)							
5			January	1,014	1,087	870	986	13.15	370	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1,649	2,468
6			February	830	925	1,014	1,087	8.30	371	3,078	0.1683	518	0.3390	1,044	1,562
7			March	525	620	830	925	10.76	370	3,982	0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	2,020
8			April	351	349	525	620	10.74	366	3,931	0.1683	662	0.3390	1,332	1,994
9			May	130	119	351	349	(0.21)	366	(79)	0.1683	(13)	0.3390	(27)	(40)
10			June	-	4	130	119	(1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(76)	0.3390	(153)	(228)
11			July	-	1	-	4	0.41	363	148	0.1683	25	0.3390	50	75
12			August	-	0	-	1	0.11	366	41	0.1683	7	0.3390	14	21
13			September	54	49	-	0	0.02	365	8	0.1683	1	0.3390		4
14			October	365	305	54	49	(0.58)	366	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	(107)
15			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390		(1,266)
16			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	3,507
17			Total	4,626	5,088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730		3,321		6,688	10,009
18 274	Large Volume Firm	Topeka			0.05276	(2)	0.34243	(2)				l	I	1	I
274	Large volume Firm	Горека	January	971	1,044	839	0.34243	31.29	12	375	0.0680	26	0.3380	127	152
275			February	862	866	971	1,044	25.29	12		0.0680	20	0.3380	103	132
277			March	480	560	862	866	5.69	12		0.0680	5	0.3380	23	28
278			April	339	293	480	560	24.94	12	349	0.0680	24	0.3380	118	142
279			May	129	92	339	293	(17.70)	14	(248)	0.0680	(17)	0.3380	(84)	(101)
280			June	127	1	129	92	(12.77)	14	(179)	0.0680	(17)	0.3380	(60)	(101)
281			July		0	.2/	1	0.49	13	6	0.0680	(12)	0.3380	2	3
282			August	-	-	-	0	0.07	12	1	0.0680	ů 0	0.3380	0	0
283			September	55	34	-	-	(1.10)	12	(13)	0.0680	(1)	0.3380		(5)
284			October	388	283	55	34	(12.69)	12	(152)	0.0680	(10)	0.3380	(51)	(62)
285			November	485	607	388	283	(29.68)	12	(356)	0.0680	(24)	0.3380		
286			December	878	919	485	607	44.01	12	528	0.0680	36	0.3380		214
287 288			Total	4,587	4,700	4,548	4,700	57.85	13	684		46		231	278
289	Large Volume Firm	Wichita			0.15408	(2)	0.40505	(2)							
290			January	877	943	804	869	36.46	15	547	0.0680	37	0.3380	185	222
291			February	792	790	877	943	26.19	17	445	0.0680	30	0.3380	151	181
292			March	439	499	792	790	8.37	17	142	0.0680	10	0.3380	48	58
293			April	296	255	439	499	18.00	17	306	0.0680	21	0.3380	103	124
294			May	103	75	296	255	(20.99)	17	(357)	0.0680	(24)	0.3380	(121)	(145)
295			June	-	1	103	75	(11.10)	17	(189)	0.0680	(13)	0.3380	(64)	(77)
296			July	-	0	-	1	0.56	17	9	0.0680	1	0.3380	3	4
297			August	-	-	-	0	0.08	18		0.0680	0	0.3380	0	1
298			September	50	16	-	-	(5.27)	18	(95)	0.0680	(6)	0.3380	(32)	
299			October	353	222	50	16	(34.08)	18	(614)	0.0680	(42)	0.3380	(207)	(249)
300			November	449	551	353	222	(37.42)	18	(674)	0.0680	(46)	0.3380	(228)	(273)
301			December	834	869	449	551	46.84	19		0.0680	61	0.3380	301	361
302			Total	4,193	4,221	4,163	4,221	27.64	17	414		28		140	168

June

July

August

October

September

November

December

А	В	С	D	Е	G	Н	I	I	K	L	М	Ν	0	Р	Q
Line			2020	HDD Curr		HDD Previo		Per Cust. Adj.	2020	Volumetric Adj.					Total
No.	Customer Class	Weather Station	Month	Actual	Normal (1)	Actual	Normal (1)	Therm / Cust.	# of Cust.	Therms	Margin		Cost of Gas		Adjustment
1				HDD	HDD	HDD	HDD	therm/cust.		therms	\$/therm	\$	\$/therm	\$	\$
2								(3)		[H]x[I]	(4)	[J]x[K]	(5)	[J]x[M]	[L]+[N]
3								(*)							
4	Residential	Concordia			-	(2)	0.11304	(2)		1.0.41					
5			January	1,014	1,087	870	986	13.15	370	4,864	0.1683	819	0.3390	1,649	2,468
6			February	830	925	1,014	1,087	8.30	371	3,078	0.1683	518	0.3390	1,044	1,562
/			March	525	620 349	830	925	10.76 10.74	370	3,982	0.1683 0.1683	670	0.3390	1,350	2,020
8			April	351 130	349 119	525 351	620 349	(0.21)	366 366	3,931 (79)	0.1683	662	0.3390 0.3390	1,332 (27)	1,994 (40)
10			May	150	119	130	119	(0.21) (1.24)	362	(450)	0.1683	(13) (76)	0.3390	(153)	(228)
10			June July	-	4	150	119	0.41	363	(430)	0.1683	25	0.3390	(155)	(228)
11			August		1	-	4	0.41	366	41	0.1683	23	0.3390	14	21
12			September	- 54	49	-	1	0.02	365	41	0.1683	1	0.3390	14	21
13			October	365	305	- 54	49	(0.58)	366	(211)	0.1683	(36)	0.3390	(72)	(107)
14			November	476	643	365	305	(6.84)	365	(2,496)	0.1683	(420)	0.3390	(846)	(1,266)
16			December	881	986	476	643	18.89	366	6,914	0.1683	1,164	0.3390	2,344	3,507
17			Total	4.626	5.088	4,615	5,088	53.50	366	19,730	0.1005	3,321	0.5570	6.688	10,009
18			Total	4,020	5,000	4,015	5,000	55.50	500	19,750		5,521		0,000	10,007
		1			I		1					I	1	I	
		THERMS				1	MARGIN					GAS COST			
				Small Volume	Large Volume			Small	Small Volume	Large Volume			Small	Small Volume	Large Volume
		Residential	Small Commercial	Firm	Firm		Residential	Commercial	Firm	Firm		Residential	Commercial	Firm	Firm
	January	1,046,105	359,701	51,375	1,277		176,091	60,548	7,552	87		354,618	122,785	17,353	432
	February	675,299	225,966	34,206	958		113,673	38,037	5,028	65		228,919	77,134	11,554	324
	March	248,742	80,893	12,129	308		41,871	13,617	1,783	21		84,321	27,613	4,097	104
	April	516,458	168,912	25,468	792		86,935	28,433	3,744	54		175,074	57,659	8,602	268
	May	(349,976)		(16,593)	(615)		(58,911)	(18,063)	(2,439)	(42)		(118,638)	(36,630)	(5,604)	(208)
	Terror a	(1(7,102)	(44.0.40)	((017)	(224)		(20.142)	(7.540)	(1.017)	(22)		(5((72)	(15 200)	(2.220)	(112)

(28,142)

2,387

1,013

(16,006)

(106,087)

(120,469)

218,076

310,431

(7,549) 784

383

(5,263)

(34,992)

(41,196)

71,881

106,620

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-3

(2,336)

239

116

(1,653)

(11,028)

(12,776)

21,915

30,479

(113)

7

2 (49) (331)

(404)

654

686

\$430,453

(23)

2

0

(10)

(67)

(81)

132

138

120,022

(56,673)

4,807

2,041

(32,234)

(213,641)

(242,605)

439,169

625,158

(15,309)

1,590

(10,673)

(70,960)

(83,541)

145,766

216,210

776

2,569,834

(334) 22

(144)

(980)

(1,195)

1,935

2,031

7

(6,917)

345

(4,893)

(32,650)

(37,826)

64,881

90,232

(44,848)

4,658

2,274

(31,268)

(207,880)

(244,735)

427,023

633,388

(167,182)

14,180

6,021

(95,088)

(630,230)

(715,673)

1,295,527

1,844,183

(1,017)

104

51

(719)

(4,800)

(5,560)

9,537

13,264

Commercial

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Irrigation Normalization Adjustment For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
]		Sales			Transportation			Total	
Line			Avg. Annual	Use Per		Avg. Annual	Use Per		Avg. Annual	Use Per
No.	Year	Volume	Customers	Customer	Volume	Customers	Customer	Volume	Customers	Customer
								therms		Therms/Cust
	Historical (1)									
1	2011	35,984,937	1,306	27,554	10,608,011	416	25,500	46,592,948	1,722	27,061
2	2012	35,067,016	1,285	27,290	10,861,929	438	24,799	45,928,945	1,723	26,658
3	2013	32,208,731	1,291	24,949	9,304,064	440	21,146	41,512,795	1,731	23,989
4	2014	29,665,347	1,300	22,819	8,210,304	431	19,049	37,875,651	1,731	21,878
5	2015	25,874,503	1,312	19,721	7,039,465	416	16,922	32,913,968	1,728	19,050
6	2016	25,846,220	1,313	19,685	6,712,376	394	17,036	32,558,596	1,707	19,077
7	2017	25,542,589	1,319	19,365	5,694,648	374	15,226	31,237,237	1,693	18,456
8	2018	26,432,536	1,311	20,162	5,545,796	375	14,789	31,978,332	1,686	18,962
9	2019	23,013,472	1,312	17,541	5,716,731	375	15,245	28,730,203	1,687	17,031
10	2020	30,665,975	1,332	23,023	7,248,179	368	19,696	37,914,154	1,700	22,307
11	10-yr Average	29,030,133	1,308	22,211	7,694,150	403	18,941	36,724,283	1,711	21,447
12	8-yr Average	27,406,172	1,311	20,908	6,933,945	397	17,389	34,340,117	1,708	20,094
13	5-yr. Average	26,300,158	1,317	19,955	6,183,546	377	16,398	32,483,704	1,694	19,167
14	10-yr Adjustment	(1,635,842)	1,332	(1,228)	445,971	368	1,212	(1,189,871)	1,700	(700)
15	8-yr Adjustment	(3,259,803)	1,332	(2,447)	(314,234)	368	(854)	(3,574,037)	1,700	(2,103)
16	5-yr. Adjustment	(4,365,817)	1,332	(3,278)	(1,064,633)	368	(2,893)	(5,430,450)	1,700	(3,195)

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-4	
Page 1 of 1	

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Test Year Revenue Under Current Rates For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М
Line No.	Description	Total Company	Residential	Small Commercial	Small Commercial Transportation	Small Volume Firm	Small Volume Transportation	Large Volume Firm	Large Volume Transportation	Irrigation (Interruptible)	Irrigation Transportation	Small Volume Interruptible	Large Volume Interruptible
1 2 3 4	<u>1. Number of Bills</u> For the Test Year Sales Service Distribution Transportation Service	1,382,180 13,860	1,237,769	110,073	2,517	16,666	5,352	475	1,576	15,981	4,415	992	224
5	Total For the Test Year	1,396,040	1,237,769	110,073	2,517	16,666	5,352	475	1,576	15,981	4,415	992	224
6	Average Number of Monthly Bills	116,338	103,147	9,173	210	1,389	446	40	131	1,332	368	83	19
7 8 9 10 11 12	2. Volumes - therms For the Test Year Sales Service Distribution Transportation Service Weather Normalization Irrigation Adjustment	131,927,769 56,129,005 2,569,834 (5,430,450)	67,144,031 1,844,183	10,386,335 633,388	702,645	12,464,217 90,232	7,332,862	3,721,675 2,031	40,845,319	30,665,975	7,248,179	757,497	6,788,039
13	Total For the Test Year Volumes	185,196,158	68,988,214	11,019,723	702,645	12,554,449	7,332,862	3,723,706	40,845,319	26,300,158	6,183,546	757,497	6,788,039
14 15 16	Weather Normalized Average Annual Therms per Customer Average Therms per Bill		669 56	1,201 100	3,350 279	9,040 753	16,441 1,370	94,073 7,839	311,005 25,917	23,027 1,919	19,701 1,642	9,163 764	363,645 30,304
17 18 19 20	Winter Volumes November thru March Weather Normalization Total	97,655,937 3,526,896 101,182,833	48,835,131 2,550,000 51,385,131	8,242,663 848,848 9,091,511	481,257 481,257	8,694,819 124,765 8,819,584	5,273,541	2,492,231 3,283 2,495,514	19,320,525	1,858,533	427,613	544,975	1,484,649
21 22	Number of Winter Bills Average Therms per Winter Bill	582,050	515,918 100	46,091 197	1,055 456	6,908 1,277	2,230 2,365	193 12,930	656 29,452	6,656 279	1,845 232	413 1,320	85 17,466
23 24 25 26	Summer Volumes April thru October Weather Normalization Irrigation Adjustment	90,400,837 (957,062) (5,430,450)	18,308,900 (705,817)			3,769,398 (34,533)	2,059,321	1,229,444 (1,252)	21,524,794	28,807,442 (4,365,817)	6,820,566	212,522	5,303,390
27	Total	89,443,775	17,603,083	1,928,212	221,388	3,734,865	2,059,321	1,228,192	21,524,794	28,807,442	6,820,566	212,522	5,303,390
28 29	Number of Summer Bills Average Therms per Summer Bill	813,990	721,851 24	63,982 30	1,462 151	9,758 383	3,122 660	282 4,355	920 23,397	9,325 3,089	2,570 2,654	579 367	139 38,154

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 Page 1 of 2

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Test Year Revenue Under Current Rates For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-5 Page 2 of 2

	А	В	с	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М
Line					Small Commercial		Small Volume		Large Volume	Irrigation	Irrigation	Small Volume	Large Volume
No.	Description	Total Company	Residential	Small Commercial	Transportation	Small Volume Firm	Transportation	Large Volume Firm	Transportation	(Interruptible)	Transportation	Interruptible	Interruptible
	3. Current Rates												
31	Gas Cost Adjustment (Weighted)		\$0.33899			\$0.33777		\$0.33801		\$0.15152		\$0.19008	\$0.15920
32	Distribution Charge		\$0.16833		\$0.16833	\$0.14700	\$0.14700		\$0.06800	\$0.05100	\$0.05100	\$0.14700	\$0.06800
33	Transport Delivery Charge		\$0.16833		\$0.16833		\$0.14700		\$0.06800	\$0.05100	\$0.05100	\$0.14700	\$0.06800
34	Monthly Charge		\$16.94	\$25.94	\$25.94	\$52.97	\$52.97	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$28.45	\$28.45	\$52.97	\$333.10
35	4. Revenues Under Current Rates												
36	Cost of Gas - \$												
37	Gas Cost Adjustment	37,645,532	22,761,090	3,545,409		4,210,052		1,257,981		4,646,372		143,981	1,080,646
38	Weather Normalization	872,531	625,158	216,209		30,478		687					
39	Irrigation Adjustment	(661,489)								(661,489)			
40	Total For the Test Year Cost of Gas - \$	37,856,574	23,386,248	3,761,618	0	4,240,529	0	1,258,667	0	3,984,883	0	143,981	1,080,646
41	Volumetric Charge - \$												
42	Distribution Charge	17,272,904	11,302,355	1,748,332	0	1,832,240	0	253,074	0	1,563,965	0	111,352	461,587
43	Transport Delivery Charge	4,343,346	0	0	118,276	0	1,077,931	0	2,777,482	0	369,657	0	0
44	Weather Normalization Adjustment	430,452	310,431	106,618		13,264		138					
45	Irrigation Adjustment	(276,953)								(222,657)	(54,296)		
46	Total For the Test Year Volumetric Charge -	21,769,748	11,612,786	1,854,950	118,276	1,845,504	1,077,931	253,212	2,777,482	1,341,308	315,361	111,352	461,587
47	Monthly Charge - \$	25 445 041	20.967.807	2 0 5 5 20 4		002 500		150 000		154 (50		52,546	74.614
48	Monthly Charge - Sales	25,445,941 999,359	20,967,807	2,855,294	(5.001	882,798	202.405	158,223	504.044	454,659	105 (07	52,546	/4,614
49 50	Monthly Charge - Transportation Total For the Test Year Margin Revenue- \$	26,445,300	20,967,807	2.855.294	65,291 65,291	882,798	283,495 283,495	158,223	524,966 524,966	454.659	125,607	52,546	74.614
50	Total For the Test Year Margin Revenue- \$	20,445,500	20,967,807	2,855,294	65,291	882,798	283,495	158,225	524,966	454,659	125,007	52,540	/4,014
51	Total For the Test Year Margin Revenue- \$	48,215,048	32,580,593	4,710,244	183,567	2,728,302	1,361,426	411,435	3,302,447	1,795,968	440,968	163,898	536,201
52	Total Revenue - \$												
53	For the Test Year	85,707,081	55,031,252	8,149,034	183,567	6,925,090	1,361,426	1,669,277	3,302,447	6,664,996	495,264	307,880	1,616,847
54	Weather Normalization	1,302,983	935,589	322,827	0	43,742	0	825					
55	Irrigation Adjustment	(938,442)								(884,146)	(54,296)		
56	Total For the Test Year Revenue - \$	86,071,622	55,966,841	8,471,861	183,567	6,968,831	1,361,426	1,670,102	3,302,447	5,780,851	440,968	307,880	1,616,847

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Revenue Synchronization For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

А

в	С	1)	E	F	11	н	
5	•	2	-	-	0		-

D = E - C

F = G - E

I = G + H

Line No.	Account	Description	Billed Base Rate Revenue	Synchronization Adjustment Calculated (-) Billed	Calculated Base Rate Revenue 2020	Reduction due to Removal of State Tax	Calculated Base Rate Revenue 2021 Rates w/o State Tax	Weather Normalization Adjustment	Statement I Revenue
1	480	Residential	\$32,490,334	\$163,536	\$32,653,870	(\$383,708)	\$32,270,162	\$310,431	\$32,580,593
2	481	Commercial/Industrial	\$10,654,864	(\$197,015)	\$10,457,850	(\$129,023)	\$10,328,827	\$120,022	\$10,448,849
		Total	\$43,145,199	(\$33,479)	\$43,111,720	(\$512,731)	\$42,598,989	\$430,453	\$43,029,442
3	489	Transport	\$8,313,098	(\$128,371)	\$8,184,727	(\$51,389)	\$8,133,339		\$8,133,339

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Load Factor Analysis For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-7 Page 1 of 1

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G
Line No.	Weather Station	Therms	WNA Therms	Total Therms	Percent of Total Therms	Load Factor	Weighted Average Load Factor\LF
1	Residential						
2	Concordia	242,329	19,730	262,059	0.38%	22.02%	0.08%
3	Dodge City	23,173,049	1,003,264	24,176,313	35.04%	21.67%	7.60%
4	Goodland	1,829,946	50,172	1,880,118	2.73%	24.07%	0.66%
5	Topeka	20,010,839	555,750	20,566,589	29.81%	20.57%	6.13%
6	Wichita	21,887,868	215,267	22,103,135	32.04%	19.38%	6.21%
7	Total	67,144,031	1,844,183	68,988,214	100.0%		20.68%
8	<u>Commercial</u>	SC	SV	LV	Winter Period	5	months
9	Adjusted Usage	11,722,368	19,887,311	44,569,025	Total	12	months
10	Winter Period Usage	9,572,768	14,093,125	21,816,039		41.67%	
11	Winter/Annual	81.66%	70.86%	48.95%			
12	Ratio to Average	1.96	1.70	1.17			
13	Peak to Average	5.00	4.00	1.50			
14	Load Factor - Use	20%	25%	67%			

BLACK HILLS/KANSAS GAS UTILITY COMPANY, LLC/

DBA BLACK HILLS ENERGY

MAINS CLASSIFICATION AND CUSTOMER WEIGHTING FACTOR STUDY

The purpose of this document is to describe the development of the mains classification and customer weighting factors for Black Hills Kansas gas operations. In this study the following relationships are analyzed:

- 1. Meters and Regulators Accounts 381 through 385 Development of weighting factors that recognize the relative cost of the combined meter and regulator installation for each customer class.
- 2. Services Account 380 Development of weighting factors that recognize the relative cost of service lines for each customer class.
- 3. Mains Account 367 and Account 376 Development of the classification of mains investment between capacity, commodity, and customer related cost.
- 4. Customer Accounting Development of weighting factors that recognize the relative cost of providing customer accounting, meter reading, billing, and customer service for each customer class.

The data underlying these analyses are through December 31, 2020. The mains classification and weighting factors developed in this study are intended to be used in the class cost of service study performed in connection with Black Hills 2021 Kansas rate review which is based on a test year ended December 31, 2020 as adjusted for known and measurable adjustments.

Throughout these analyses, relative relationships are developed based on original costs restated to current cost levels (2020). The original cost levels are restated using Handy-Whitman cost indices for the North Central Region. By developing relationships based on current cost levels, inflationary impacts do not affect the analyses and more stable relationships result over time since the timing of renewals and replacements do not distort the analyses.

The analyses are based on detailed plant accounting data. The exhibits to the Direct Testimony of Douglas Hyatt summarize the detailed analyses of the Company's plant accounting and customer data.

The attachments to this memorandum are as follows:

- 1. KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-9 Analysis of Meters and Regulators Accounts 381-385
- 2. KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10 Analysis of Account 380 Services
- 3. KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-11 Analysis of Mains Accounts 367 and 376

Meters and Regulators

For purposes of cost allocation, the meters and regulators FERC Accounts 381 through 385 are combined. There are several reasons why this approach is reasonable. Typically, the meters and regulators are installed as a set and the assignment of the labor costs and the various piping components may be distributed through Accounts 381 through 384. In some cases, the cost of these installations may be split or allocated between Accounts 382 and 384; sometimes these accounts may not be used at all and these installation costs are booked to either Account 381 or 383. The approaches differ between utilities and may change over time within the same company (especially if the company is an amalgamation of acquisitions). Further, the accounting label of "industrial" for Account 385 is vague in the FERC Uniform System of Accounts especially compared to the definition of industrial that may be used in the development of rates. Furthermore, rates change over time and customers migrate between rates over time, but the plant accounting is not adjusted for this, nor would it be practical to do so. Finally, meters and regulators are fungible. Unlike piping, meters and regulators are commonly removed, rehabilitated or repaired, and then reinstalled in a different location. Based on all of these factors, it is most reasonable to treat Accounts 381 through 385 as a group and assign cost responsibility based on the installed cost of the entire meter and regulator set for each customer class regardless of where a customer's specific meter may be booked.

Plant investment in meters and regulators (Accounts 381 - 385) is allocated to customer classes on the basis of the number of customers weighted to recognize relative differences in the unit investment cost of the different types and sizes of meter and regulator sets used to connect customers in that class.

The analysis primarily relies upon the data contained in the Company's customer billing system and property records which provides an inventory and original cost of each type and size of meter and regulator. For the same reasons discussed below regarding mains and service lines, the original cost data should be restated in terms of current cost using Handy-Whitman indices for meters and regulators. The Company's plant accounting records contain sufficient detail to determine which meters are used for each class of customer. Handy-Whitman indices are used to restate the original cost of this data into current cost. Dividing the total current cost by the number of meters for each customer class provides a unit cost per customer. The regulator size data is similar to the size information available for service lines and is also restated to current cost. The meter and regulator set also includes an encoder-receiver-transmitter ("ERT") that is part of the automated meter reading system. This cost is also included in the estimated unit cost of each meter and regulator set for each class. The Large Volume customers are assigned a cost of \$2,200 to account for the additional materials and equipment, such as flow computers, needed to serve these large customers. The total unit cost for each customer class is the summation of each of these components. The relative unit cost is calculated for each class as the ratio of that class's unit cost relative to the unit cost of a Residential customer. These ratios are then used to develop weighting factors for each customer class, again with consideration also given to the relative size of a typical customer in each customer class.

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-9 shows the calculations and the resulting class meters and regulators weighting factors are as follows:

Customer Class	2014	2020
Residential	1	1
Small Commercial	2	2
Small Volume	15	10
Large Volume	45	25
Irrigation	15	9

These weighting factors are applied to the number of customers for each class in the CCOSS to determine the meters and regulators allocation basis for each class. For example, a weighting factor of 10 means that the relative unit cost for that class is 10 times that of a Residential customer. The primary difference between the weighting factors used in the Company's last rate review in 2014 and the present case results from the significant increase in the investment in regulator equipment in Account 383. This unit cost of this investment was relatively uniform across customer classes thus increasing the relative unit cost of the installation for residential and small commercial customers relative to the larger customer classes, thus the decline in weighting factors.

Services

We allocate plant investment in service lines to customer classes based on number of customers weighted to recognize relative differences in the unit investment cost in service lines used to connect customers in that class. The investment incurred to connect customers is a function of 1) the average service line length and 2) the unit cost per foot. The unit cost per foot is primarily a function of the diameter of the service required.

The analyses are summarized in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10. As shown in KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10, the first step is to determine the current cost of service lines by pipe diameter for service lines of 1-inch diameter or less and service lines greater than 1-inch from information in the Company's property records. The smaller service lines are primarily used for Residential and Small Commercial customers. Next, the unit cost of each of the service line diameters was determined using the number of service lines contained in the DOT reports for each size.

As is generally the case, the number of service lines contained in the DOT report is less than the total number of customers. This is since some customers, primarily Residential, share one service line. For example, on a multi-unit residential customer, it is common that the combined unit will have one service line that splits into multiple meter and regulator sets, one for each unit. Therefore, I assume that the number of services lines for the Small Commercial, Small Volume, Large Volume, and Irrigation customer classes are equal to the number of customers with the number of Residential service lines being the remainder. The information shown at the top of KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-10 is summarized from the Company's detailed plant accounting records. Information from the Company's 2019 Annual Report to the Department of Transportation is summarized. The trended original cost is developed using trend factors based on the Handy Whitman Index for Accounts 380 for the North Central Region. Steel and Plastic services are shown separately because Handy Whitman develops separate indices for steel and plastic service lines.

Combining the property record data with the DOT reported information, we show the calculated average service line length and the calculated trended per foot cost. From these values we calculate the average cost of service lines by size of services reported.

The next step is to allocate each size of service line to each customer class based on the following assumptions:

- 1. All the Residential service lines are 1-inch or less; and
- 2. The remainder of the 1-inch or less service lines are assigned to the Small Commercial class (which is less than the total number of Small Commercial total service lines) and the remainder of the Small Commercial are assigned to the greater than 1-inch to 2-inch;
- 3. Small Volume service lines are greater than 1-inch to 2-inch;
- 4. Large Volume service lines are greater than 2-inch.
- 5. The remainder of the greater than 1-inch to 2-inch and greater than 2-inch are assigned to the Irrigation class.

Next, the number of services lines allocated to each customer class is multiplied by the applicable unit cost for each size service line, and the result is divided by the number of customers in each customer class to determine an average unit cost for a service line per customer for each class. A relative unit cost for each class is calculated as the ratio of that class's unit cost relative to the unit cost of a Residential customer. These ratios are then used to assign weighting factors to each class considering the relative size (use per customer) of a typical customer in each of the customer classes.

Customer Class	2014	2020
Residential	1	1
Small Commercial	1.3	1.25
Small Volume	2.5	2
Large Volume	5	4
Irrigation	3	3

The resulting class service line weighting factors are as follows:

These weighting factors are applied to the number of customers for each class in the CCOSS to determine the service line and customer component of mains allocation bases for each class. For example, a weighting factor of four means that the relative unit cost for that class is four times that of a Residential customer. The results of the 2014 and 2020 studies are fairly close and the small differences are attributable to the significant investment in service line replacements since the last rate case.

Mains

There are three components of cost associated with service from a gas distribution system. These cost components are capacity (peak), energy (commodity or throughput), and customer related. Investment in mains is related to all three of these cost components. We generally consider transmission mains to serve capacity and energy functions, and distribution mains to serve customer¹ and capacity functions.

As a functional classification, transmission (from an engineering, cost allocation perspective) represents the movement of natural gas from sources of supply to general areas of consumption. The distribution function on the other hand represents the movement of gas within general areas of consumption to individual customers.

The definition of the transmission and distribution function is not the same things as the FERC Uniform System of Accounts Definition of transmission and distribution. As indicated above, the transmission function for cost allocation purposes includes facilities that move gas from sources of supply to general areas of consumption. This function is generally served by higher diameter, higher pressure mains that only directly serve very large customers. Facilities that are booked to both the transmission mains account (primarily Account 367) and distribution mains (primarily Account 376) serve this function. Therefore, higher diameter, higher pressure distribution mains also serve a transmission function.

¹ The customer-related function is not the same at the customer-related cost component. Within the distribution function primary accounts are the services, meters, and regulators which are for the most part used to serve individual customers. Costs associated with these items are considered customer related. There is also a customer component of distribution mains which recognizes the cost implications of the distance between individual customers or customer density on the cost of distribution mains.

The allocation of investment in facilities serving a transmission function should recognize that these facilities are used to meet both peak and annual requirements of customers. These facilities, though sized to meet system peak requirements, are also influenced by annual requirements. To recognize this dual nature, the cost of these facilities should be allocated on a basis that recognizes both peak and annual use of the facilities. A variety of methods have been used to recognize the dual nature of these facilities. For the purpose of allocating transmission-related costs on the BH Kansas Gas system, we have historically used a weighting of 2/3 peak and 1/3 annual responsibility.

The allocation of investment in facilities serving a distribution function should recognize that the cost of these facilities is driven by two principle factors. First is the cost of extending the system to connect individual customers. Second is the cost associated with the capacity (peak day) requirements of the customers connected. Though facilities serving a distribution function are also used to meet customers' annual requirements, due to the local nature of the facilities and their customer specific cost, we do not allocate any cost associated with the distribution function on the basis of annual throughput. By allocating costs of facilities, which are functionally classified as distribution on the basis of number of customers and peak period requirements, reasonable results are achieved.

We use a classification/allocation basis for transmission and distribution mains that recognizes the functional use (transmission/distribution) of these facilities by classifying costs on a basis that recognizes the customer, capacity, and commodity related components of cost embedded in the transmission and distribution mains investment. We develop this classification in two steps. First, we define what facilities serve a transmission function (regardless of which mains FERC account is used). This definition is based on mains larger than a certain size (usually 6- or 8-inches) that serve a transmission function. In the second step we determine how the remaining investment (distribution function) should be split between customer and capacity. We typically develop this split based on examination of relative capacity and cost relationships.

In evaluating what facilities serve a distribution function, we examine the relative capacity provided by various pipe sizes. Pipeline flow formulas generally suggest that the capacity of a pipeline is proportional to its diameter to something on the order of the 2.5 power. Raising the diameter to the 2.5 power and multiplying by distance results in an indication of the relative capacity of the system. Typically, the break point between the transmission and distribution function falls at approximately the midpoint of the cumulative relative capacity, such that half of the capacity is assigned to transmission and half to distribution.

In Exhibit DNH-11, we show the analysis of mains. The original cost (Column C) and length (Column D) are summarized from the Company's detailed property accounting records. The trended original cost (Column G) is developed using trend factors based on the Handy

Whitman Index for Accounts 367 and 376 for the North Central Region. The relative capacity (as discussed above) is shown in Column E. The trended original cost per foot is shown in Column H and trended original cost per unit of relative capacity is shown in Column I. Account 367 Transmission Mains are summarized in Lines 1 through 16 and Account 376 Distribution Mains are summarized in Lines 17 through 36. The sum of the transmission and distribution mains is shown in Line 37 through 47.

As shown in the cumulative relative capacity (Column F), 50 percent of the system capacity falls between 8 and 10 inch mains. Therefore, classifying mains that are 8 inches in diameter as distribution results in approximately 48 percent of the total system capacity being classified as distribution and 52 percent as transmission. Based on the trended original cost, 16.04 percent of the mains investment is for mains over 8 inches in diameter and 83.96 percent of the mains investment is for mains 8 inches in diameter or less.

Of the mains classified as transmission (16.04 percent of cost), we classify two-thirds as capacity related and one-third as commodity related. As shown on Lines 50 and 51, this results in 10.70 percent of mains (combined Accounts 367 and 376) being classified at Transmission-Capacity and 5.35 percent as Transmission-Commodity.

The mains classified as distribution (83.96 percent of cost), we classify between capacity and customer. The portion we classify as capacity is based on the unit cost of capacity of the 8-inch mains (the largest distribution function mains) which equals \$0.39 per unit of capacity (feet times diameter to the 2.5 power). This results in 38.06 percent of the investment in distribution mains being classified as capacity related and 61.94 percent as customer related. Applying these percentages to the 83.96 percent of cost that is distribution related results in 31.95 percent of mains being classified as Distribution – Capacity and 52.00 percent as Distribution Customer related. These calculations are shown in Lines 52 through 59 of Exhibit DNH-11.

	2014	2020
Allocation		
Transmission – Capacity	12.93%	10.70%
Transmission – Commodity	6.47%	5.35%
Distribution – Capacity	40.68%	31.95%
Distribution – Customer	39.92%	52.00%

The functionalization of transmission and distribution mains is shown below:

The differences between the 2014 and 2020 studies is primarily driven by the investment and retirements that have occurred since the last rate case. Generally, most of the investment has been made in smaller diameter pipe, the largest increase being in two-inch mains. The table below compares the booked cost and length in feet of transmission and distribution mains by size. As discussed above, the mains with a diameter of 8 inches and less are classified as distribution for functionalization. Investment in 2-inch mains increased by \$18,457,351 and 464,205 feet. These smaller diameter distribution mains primarily serve a customer function. As such, if the investment in smaller diameter mains increases relative to the investment in higher diameter mains, the relative percentage of mains serving a customer function should increase and the percentage serving commodity and capacity functions should decline.

	2013		2020			
	Booked		Booked			
Diameter	Cost	Length	Cost	Length		
Inches	\$	Feet	\$	Feet		
1	\$2,691,565	336,462	\$3,238,458	350,273		
2	\$38,561,831	7,441,259	\$57,019,182	7,905,464		
3	\$1,721,740	642,941	\$1,886,135	715,950		
4	\$21,939,275	2,800,950	\$30,873,342	2,974,397		
6	\$12,215,845	1,353,127	\$21,821,393	1,587,216		
8	\$6,364,564	263,636	\$9,662,638	358,399		
10	\$4,582,624	342,984	\$5,821,085	391,649		
12	\$6,548,068	304,138	\$9,730,165	368,673		
14	\$411,805	5,257	\$79,798	638		
16	\$33,999	1,149	\$371,927	76,589		
Total	\$95,071,316	13,491,903	\$140,504,122	14,729,248		

Customer Accounting

The Customer Accounting cost function includes operation and maintenance expenses booked to FERC Accounts 901 through 916 which include Customer Accounts Expenses, Customer Service and Information Expenses, and Sales Expenses. The customer accounting weighting factors used reflect the relative cost of reading meters, customer accounting and billing, collections, and customer service for each of the customer classes. I recommend using the same weighting factors for the current study with the Irrigation class weighting factor set at the same as the Small Commercial class.

Customer Class	2014	2020
Residential	1	1
Small Commercial	2	2
Small Volume	4	4
Large Volume	20	20
Irrigation	n/a	2

The following customer accounting weighting factors are used in the CCOSS:

The weighting factors used in the current case are the same as those used in prior rate cases.

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Meters Weighting Factor Study For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020

	А	в	С	D	Е	F	G	н	I
Line						Additional Materials and Equipment (i.e. Flow	Total Meters, Regulators, Addl.	Relative Use	
No.	Customer Class	Meters	TOC	Ave TOC/Meter	Regulators	Computers)	Equipment	Factors	Use
1	Residential	103,229	19,751,648	\$191	\$218	\$0	\$409	1.0	1.0
2	Small Commercial	9,349	4,164,548	\$445	\$508	\$0	\$953	2.3	2.0
3	Small Volume	1,930	3,761,601	\$1,949	\$2,222	\$0	\$4,171	10.2	10.0
4	Large Volume	423	1,583,741	\$3,744	\$4,269	\$2,200	\$10,213	24.9	25.0
5	Irrigation	1,824	3,285,040	\$1,801	\$2,053	\$0	\$3,854	9.4	9.0
6	Totals	116,755	\$32,546,578						
7									
8									
9	Retirement Unit	Quantity	TOC						
10	Meter Bar Regulator Assembly-<2"	38,277	28,496,579						
11	Meter Bar Regulator Assembly-2"	10	17,559						
12	Regulator, Gas - Less Than 2"	114,617	6,294,845						
13	Regulator, Gas - 2"	880	838,057						
14	Regulator, Gas - >=3"	21,076	1,460,963						
15	Totals	174,860	\$37,108,004						
16									
17	Regulator as a Percent of Meter		114.02%						

Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC e Lines Weighting Factor Study e Test Year Ended December 31, 2020									KSG Direct Ex	hibit D
[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[1]	[1]	[H
	[D]	[0]		[L]	[1]	[0]	[11]	[1]	[3]	[I
Property Data										
Company	Diam	Quantity	Book Cost	TOC	Ave Cost/Foot					
Black Hills Kansas Gas, LLC	1" or less	7,881,272	\$59,359,266	\$112,278,791	\$14.25					
Black Hills Kansas Gas, LLC	>1" thru 2"	310,492	\$2,760,300	\$4,438,932	\$14.30					
Black Hills Kansas Gas, LLC	>2" thru 4"	74,934	\$651,731	\$1,236,360	\$16.50					
	Totals	8,266,698	62,771,297	117,954,082	\$14.27					
2019 DOT Report - Number of Services			:	2019 DOT Report Su	immary					
		DOT Number of	Г		DOT Number of					
Company	Diam	Services		Diameter	Service Lines		2019 PHMSA			
Black Hills Kansas Gas, LLC	Unknown	0	_	1" or less	97,083		Total Services		103,056	
	1" or less	97,083		>1" thru 2"	5,331		Avg Serv Len		70	
	>1" thru 2"	5,331	-	> 2"	642		Number of fee	et	7,213,920	
	>2" thru 4"	624	Ļ	Total	103,056					
	>4" thru 8"	18		Unknown Total w/Unknown	0 103,056					
	Average Cost						1	1		
	D '		0	TOC	Ave Cost per		Average		Relative Cost	Use
	Diameter 1" or less	Quantity - ft 7,881,272	Quantity - # 97,083	112,278,791	Foot \$14.25	Average Length 81	Cost/ Service \$1,156.52	per Foot	per Service 1.00	Ser
	>1" thru 2"	310,492	5,331	4,438,932	\$14.30	58				
	> 2"	74,934	642	1,236,360	\$16.50	117				
	Totals	8,266,698	103,056	\$117,954,082	\$10.50	,	<i>Q1,720117</i>		1107	
	· · · · ·	0,200,070	100,000	0111,501,002	1					
	Adjusted Data				13.65			_		
					Ave Cost per		Average			
	Diameter	Quantity - ft	Quantity - #	TOC	Foot	Average Length	Cost/ Service			
	1" or less	7,572,474	97,083	103,364,270	\$13.65	78				
	>1" thru 2"	586,410	5,331	12,006,745	\$20.48	110				
	> 2"	96,300	642	2,628,990	\$27.30	150	\$4,095.00	1		
	Totals	8,255,184	103,056	\$118,000,005	J					
	100015	0,000,000								
		Average Cost/								
Customer Class Weighting Factors		· · ·	\$1,064.70	\$2,252.25	\$4,095.00					
	Number of	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service				Unit Cost/	Relative Unit	0 0	ן	
Customer Class	Number of Customers	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service Lines	1" or less	\$2,252.25 >1" thru 2"	\$4,095.00 > 2"	Customer	Cost	Weighting Factor]	
Customer Class Residential	Number of Customers 103,147	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service Lines 89,857	1" or less 89,857	>1" thru 2"		Customer \$1,065	Cost 1	Factor 1		
Customer Class Residential Small Commercial	Number of Customers 103,147 9,383	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service Lines 89,857 9,383	1" or less	>1" thru 2" 2,157		Customer \$1,065 \$1,338	Cost 1.26	Factor 1 1.25		
Customer Class Residential Small Commercial Small Volume	Number of Customers 103,147 9,383 1,918	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service Lines 89,857 9,383 1,918	1" or less 89,857	>1" thru 2"	> 2"	Customer \$1,065 \$1,338 \$2,252	Cost 1.26 2.12	Factor 1 1.25 2		
Customer Class Residential Small Commercial	Number of Customers 103,147 9,383	Average Cost/ Customer Number of Service Lines 89,857 9,383	1" or less 89,857	>1" thru 2" 2,157		Customer \$1,065 \$1,338	Cost 1.26 2.12 3.85	Factor 1 1.25 2 4]	

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Mains Classifation Study

For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020

	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[1]	03
Line			Original		Relative	Cumulative Relative	Trended Original	Trended Cost per	TOC per Capacity	Cumulative Trended
No.	Description	Diameter	Cost	Length	Capacity	Capacity	Cost	Foot	Unit	Original Cost
		Inches	\$	Feet	(1)		\$	\$/ft (2)	(3)	
1	Transmission Mains - Account 367									
2	Plastic	1	17,544	4,906	4,906	0.50%	26,031	5.31	\$5.31	2.12%
3	Plastic	2	485,764	50,699	286,797	28.94%	793,925	15.66	\$2.77	64.51%
4	Plastic	4	257,259	17,608	563,456	56.86%	345,171	19.60	\$0.61	28.05%
5	Plastic	8	57,722	750	135,765	13.70%	65,486	87.31	\$0.48	5.32%
6 7	Subtotal Transmission		818,289	73,963	990,923	100%	1,230,614			
8	Steel	1	151,118	11,234	11,234	0.00%	561,353	49.97	\$49.97	0.35%
6	Steel	2	461,070	95,138	538,182	0.10%	2,147,313	22.57	\$3.99	1.34%
7	Steel	3	99,718	22,747	354,591	0.07%	784,711	34.50	\$2.21	0.49%
8	Steel	4	1,962,333	514,600	16,467,200	3.16%	12,662,795	24.61	\$0.77	7.92%
9	Steel	6	12,036,205	1,111,856	98,045,275	18.81%	74,053,738	66.60	\$0.76	46.32%
10	Steel	8	7,092,926	226,539	41,007,939	7.87%	9,581,990	42.30	\$0.23	5.99%
11	Steel	10	4,057,165	336,966	106,558,005	20.44%	24,682,440	73.25	\$0.23	15.44%
12	Steel	12	8,727,385	360,179	179,668,318	34.47%	34,852,331	96.76	\$0.19	21.80%
13	Steel	14	61,403	169	123,939	0.02%	89,226	527.96	\$0.72	0.06%
14	Steel	16	371,927	76,589	78,427,136	15.05%	442,523	5.78	\$0.01	0.28%
15	Subtotal Transmission	-	35,021,249	2,756,017	521,201,819	100%	159,858,420			
16	Total Transmission Mains - Account 367		35,839,538	2,829,980	522,192,743		161,089,034			
17	Distribution Mains - Account 376									
18	Plastic	1	2,608,326	250,313	250,313	0.25%	3,993,911	15.96	\$15.96	3.65%
19	Plastic	2	47,120,710	5,103,942	28,872,256	28.63%	68,449,601	13.41	\$2.37	62.50%
20	Plastic	3	825,021	289,154	4,507,465	4.47%	1,945,939	6.73	\$0.43	1.78%
21	Plastic	4	21,480,596	1,638,588	52,434,816	52.00%	30,090,688	18.36	\$0.57	27.48%
22	Plastic	6	4,591,882	138,566	12,218,976	12.12%	5,290,012	38.18	\$0.43	4.83%
23	Plastic	8	100,556	3,339	604,424	0.60%	-269,981	-80.86	-\$0.45	-0.25%
24	Plastic	10	14,309	6,155	1,946,382	1.93%	16,996	2.76	\$0.01	0.02%
25	Subtotal Distribution		76,741,400	7,430,057	100,834,631	100%	109,517,167			
26	Steel	1	461,470	83,820	83,820	0.07%	785,657	9.37	\$9.37 \$4.28	0.51%
27	Steel	2	8,951,637	2,655,685	15,022,823	12.53%	64,224,938	24.18		41.79%
28	Steel	3	961,396	404,049	6,298,501	5.25%	9,983,844	24.71	\$1.59	6.50%
29	Steel	-	7,173,154	803,601	25,715,232	21.45%	40,036,839	49.82	\$1.56	26.05%
30	Steel	6	5,193,306	336,794	29,699,044	24.77%	20,709,755	61.49	\$0.70	13.48%
31	Steel	8	2,411,434	127,771	23,129,022	19.29%	8,854,622	69.30	\$0.38	5.76%
32	Steel	10	1,749,611	48,528	15,345,901	12.80%	7,039,655	145.06	\$0.46	4.58%
33	Steel	12	1,002,780	8,494	4,237,067	3.53%	1,817,813	214.01	\$0.43	1.18%
34	Steel	14	18,394	469	343,948	0.29%	226,165	482.23	\$0.66	0.15%
35	Subtotal Distribution		27,923,184	4,469,211	119,875,358	1	153,679,288			

11,899,268

263,196,455

104,664,584

36 Total Distribution Mains - Account 376

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Mains Classifation Study mbar 21 2020

For th	e Test Year Ended December 31, 2020									
	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	[F]	[G]	[H]	[1]	បា
Line No.	Description	Diameter	Original Cost	Length	Relative Capacity	Cumulative Relative Capacity	Trended Original Cost	Trended Cost per Foot	TOC per Capacity Unit	Cumulative Trended Original Cost
		Inches	\$	Feet			\$	\$/ft		
37	Net Mains									
38	Plastic & Steel	1		350,273	350,273	0.05%	5,366,953	15.32	\$15.32	1.24%
39	Plastic & Steel	2		7,905,464	44,720,058	6.07%	135,615,778	17.15	\$3.03	32.70%
40	Plastic & Steel	3		715,950	11,160,556	7.57%	12,714,494	17.76	\$1.14	35.65%
41	Plastic & Steel	4		2,974,397	95,180,704	20.38%	83,135,494	27.95	\$0.87	54.93%
42	Plastic & Steel	6		1,587,216	139,963,295	39.22%	100,053,505	63.04	\$0.71	78.14%
43	Plastic & Steel	8		358,399	64,877,149	47.95%	25,087,930	70.00	\$0.39	83.96%
44	Plastic & Steel	10		391,649	123,850,288	64.63%	31,739,091	81.04	\$0.26	91.32%
45	Steel	12		368,673	183,905,386	89.38%	36,670,144	99.47	\$0.20	99.82%
46	Steel	14		638	467,887	89.44%	315,390	494.34	\$0.67	99.90%
	Steel	16		76,589	78,427,136	100.00%	442,523	5.78	\$0.01	100.00%
47	Total Distribution			14,729,248	742,902,732		431,141,302			
48	Classification of Distribution									
49	Total 10 inches and Over - Transmission Function			837,549	386,650,697		69,167,148		-	16.04%
50	Capacity Assignment				66.67%					10.70%
51	Commodity Assignment				33.33%					5.35%
52	Total 8 inches and Less - Distribution			13,891,699	356,252,035		361,974,155			83.96%
53	Distribution Capacity/Customer Assignment									
54	Relative Capacity of less than 10 inches				356,252,035	Column E, Line 56				
55	Unit TOC per Capacity of 8 inch					Column I, Line 47				
56	TOC of less than 10 inch that is Capacity Related				- , - ,	Line 58 times Line 5				
57	TOC of less than 10 inches					Sum on Column G, I	ines 42 through 47			
58	Capacity Assigment					Line 60 / Line 61				31.95%
59	Customer Assignement				61.94%	1 minus Line 62				52.00%
60	Overall Asssignment									
61	Commodity					Column J, Line 55				
62	Capacity					Column J Line 54 pl	us Column J Line 62			
63	Customer				52.00%	Column J Line 63				

KSG Direct Exhibit DNH-11

(1) Diameter (Column B) to the 2.5 power times length (Column D)
(2) Trended Original Cost (Column G) divided by length (Column D).
(3) Trended Original Cost (Column G) divided by relative capacity (Column E).

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Rate Base For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М	Ν
			Total								Meters			
Line	Acct.		Gas Utility	Gas S	upply	Transn	nission	Distrib	oution		and	Customer		
Number	No.	Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	s	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
	C DI	and in Commission												
2	Gas Pla	Int in Service Intangible Plant												
3	301	Organization	186,932	0	0	7,465	6,393	22,301	36,296	36,584	33,222	44,671	0	Supervised O&M
4	302	Franchises & Consents	74,990	0	ő	2,995	2,564	8,946	14,561	14,676	13.328	17,920		Supervised O&M
5	303	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	3,246,838	0	0	129,654	111,034	387,354	630,430	635,425	577,042	775,899		Supervised O&M
6		Total Intangible Plant	3,508,760	0	0	140,113	119,991	418,602	681,286	686,685	623,592	838,490	0	Sum of Lines 3 thru 5
7		Production & Gathering Plant												
8	336	Purification Equipment	18,719			2,002	1,001	5,981	9,735					Mains Allocation
9		Total Product. & Gather. Plant	18,719	0	0	2,002	1,001	5,981	9,735	0	0	0	0	Sum of Line 8
10		Transmission Plant												
10	365	Land & Land Rights	978.392			104.641	52,321	312,625	508,806					Mains Allocation
12	366	Structures & Improvements	214,152			22,904	11,452	68,428	111,368					Mains Allocation
13	367	Mains	44,803,950			4,791,873	2,395,936	14,316,178	23,299,962					Mains Allocation
14	368	Compressor Station Equipment	2,475			265	132	791	1,287					Mains Allocation
15	369	Measuring & Reg. Station Eq.	4,425,949			473,364	236,682	1,414,221	2,301,682					Mains Allocation
16	371	Other Equipment	108,344			11,588	5,794	34,619	56,344					Mains Allocation
17		Total Transmission Plant	50,533,262	0	0	5,404,634	2,702,317	16,146,862	26,279,449	0	0	0	0	Sum of Lines 11 thru 16
18		Distribution Plant												
19	374	Land & Land Rights	392,378			41,966	20,983	125,376	204,053					Mains Allocation
20 21	375 376	Structures & Improvements Mains	1,030,848 128,551,711			110,251 13,748,865	55,126 6,874,432	329,386 41,076,048	536,085 66,852,365					Mains Allocation Mains Allocation
21	370	Compressor Station Equipment	175,304			15,748,805	9,375	56,015	91,165					Mains Allocation
23	378	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip.	7,340,810			785,114	392,557	2,345,604	3.817.534					Mains Allocation
24	379	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip CG	204,676			21,890	10,945	65,400	106,440					Mains Allocation
25	380	Services	76,360,950							76,360,950				Services
26	381	Meters	20,990,945								20,990,945			Meters and Regulators
27	382	Meter Installations	1,828,548								1,828,548			Meters and Regulators
28	383	House Regulators	33,169,196								33,169,196			Meters and Regulators
29	385	Indust. Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip.	6,358,436								6,358,436			Meters and Regulators
30	387	Other Equipment	109,363			11,697	5,848	34,945	56,873					Mains Allocation
31		Total Distribution Plant	276,513,163	0	0	14,738,532	7,369,266	44,032,775	71,664,516	76,360,950	62,347,125	0	0	Sum of Lines 19 thru 30
32		General Plant												
32	389	Land & Land Rights	829,867	0	0	33,139	28,379	99,005	161,133	162,410	147,488	198,314	0	Supervised O&M
34	390	Structures and Improvements	11,242,251	0	ő	448,931	384,458	1,341,223	2,182,877	2,200,174	1,998,022	2,686,566		Supervised O&M
35	391	Office Furniture & Equipment	1,513,310	0	0	60,430	51,752	180,541	293,835	296,164	268,952	361,637		Supervised O&M
36	392	Transportation Equipment	8,866,331	0	0	354,054	303,207	1,057,771	1,721,551	1,735,193	1,575,763	2,118,792	0	Supervised O&M
37	393	Stores Equipment	29,525	0	0	1,179	1,010	3,522	5,733	5,778	5,247	7,056	0	Supervised O&M
38	394	Tools & Work Equipment	2,929,845	0	0	116,996	100,194	349,536	568,880	573,388	520,705	700,147		Supervised O&M
39	395	Laboratory Equipment	11,714	0	0	468	401	1,398	2,275	2,293	2,082	2,799		Supervised O&M
40	396	Power Operated Equipment	1,049,376	0	0	41,904	35,886	125,193	203,754	205,369	186,500	250,770		Supervised O&M
41	397	Communication Equipment	1,526,897	0	0	60,973	52,216	182,162	296,473	298,823	271,367	364,883		Supervised O&M
42	398	Misc. Equipment	28,848	0	0	1,152	987	3,442	5,601	5,646	5,127	6,894		Supervised O&M
43		General Plant	28,027,965	0	0	1,119,225	958,490	3,343,793	5,442,112	5,485,236	4,981,251	6,697,857	0	Sum of Lines 33 thru 42
44	118	Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Customer Count)	2,965,931									2,965,931		Customer Accounts
44		Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Customer Count)	9.826.187	0	0	392,384	336.032	1.172.284	1.907.924	1.923.042	1.746.353	2,348,169	0	Supervised O&M
			12,792,118	0	0	392,384	336,032	1,172,284	1,907,924	1,923,042	1,746,353	5,314,100	0	
						,								
46		Total Plant in Service	371,393,987	0	0	21,796,891	11,487,097	65,120,297	105,985,021	84,455,912	69,698,321	12,850,447	0	Sum of Lines 6, 9, 17, 31 and 43

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Rate Base For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν
Line	Acct.		Total Gas Utility	Gas S		Transm		Distrib			Meters and	Customer		
Number	No.	Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
47	Accun	ulated Depreciation												
48		Intangible	(2,482,885)	0	0	(99,148)	(84,909)	(296,213)	(482,095)	(485,915)	(441,269)	(593,336)		Intangible Plant
49		Production & Gathering	(13,086)	0	0	(1,400)	(700)	(4,181)	(6,805)	0	0	0	0	Prod. & Gathering Plant
50		Transmission	(11,819,459)	0	0	(1,264,115)	(632,057)	(3,776,664)	(6,146,622)	0	0	0	0	Transmission Plant
51		Distribution	(85,483,078)	0	0	(4,556,366)	(2,278,183)	(13,612,578)	(22,154,835)	(23,606,721)	(19,274,396)	0	0	Distribution Plant
52		General	(6,586,665)	0	0	(263,022)	(225,248)	(785,802)	(1,278,915)	(1,289,049)	(1,170,611)	(1,574,019)	0	General Plant
53		Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Customer Count)	(1,260,390)									(1,260,390)		Customer Accounts
54		Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Blended Ratio)	(670,840)	0	0	(26,788)	(22,941)	(80,033)	(130,255)	(131,287)	(119,225)	(160,311)		Supervised O&M
55		Total Accumulated Depreciation	(108,316,402)	0	0	(6,210,838)	(3,244,038)	(18,555,472)	(30,199,527)	(25,512,972)	(21,005,500)	(3,588,056)	0	Sum of Lines 48 thru 52
56		Net Plant	263,077,585	0	0	15,586,053	8,243,059	46,564,825	75,785,495	58,942,941	48,692,821	9,262,392	0	Line 46 - Line 55
57	Other	Rate Base Items												
58		Materials & Supplies	2,673,612	0	0	156,913	82,694	468,792	762,971	607,986	501,748	92,509	0	Plant in Service
59		Gas Storage	1,787,128	1,787,128										Gas Supply - Demand
60		Prepayments	90,098	0	0	5,338	2,823	15,947	25,955	20,187	16,676	3,172	0	Net Plant
61		Customer Advances	(114,892)	0	0	(4,588)	(3,929)	(13,707)	(22,308)	(22,485)	(20,419)	(27,456)	0	Supervised O&M
62		Customer Deposits	(1,433,558)									(1,433,558)		Customer Accounts
63		Accum. Deferred Income Taxes	(35,742,194)	0	0	(2,117,549)	(1,119,917)	(6,326,381)	(10,296,354)	(8,008,094)	(6,615,494)	(1,258,405)		Net Plant
64		Total Other Rate Base Items	(32,739,806)	1,787,128	0	(1,959,887)	(1,038,329)	(5,855,349)	(9,529,736)	(7,402,406)	(6,117,489)	(2,623,738)	0	Sum of Lines 58 thru 63
65														
66		Total Rate Base	230,337,778	1,787,128	0	13,626,167	7,204,730	40,709,476	66,255,758	51,540,534	42,575,332	6,638,653	0	Line 56 + Line 64

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Operation and Maintenance Expenses For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν
T. San			Total Gas Utility	C 6	and a last	Transn		Distrib			Meters and	Customer		
Line Number	Acct. No.	Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Distrib	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
Number	NO.	Description	S S	\$	S	\$	\$	S	S	\$	s s	\$	\$	Anotation basis of Reference
1		Expenses												
2		Transmission Expenses												
3	950	Operation	115.040			12,304	6,152	26 750	50.826					Mains Allocation
4 5	850 851	Supervision & Engineering Sys. Control & Load Dispatch.	115,040 248			12,504	248	36,759	59,826					Transmission - Commodity
6	852	Communication System Expenses	248			26	13	79	128					Mains Allocation
7	856	Mains Expenses	105,110			11,242	5,621	33,586	54,662					Mains Allocation
8	857	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Expenses	7,135			763	382	2,280	3,710					Mains Allocation
9	859	Other Expenses	157,377			16,832	8,416	50,287	81,843					Mains Allocation
10	860	Rents	21,857			2,338	1,169	6,984	11,366					Mains Allocation
11		Total Operation	407,012	0	0	43,504	22,000	129,973	211,534	0	0	0	0	Sum of Lines 4 thru 9
12	0.61	Maintenance	17 400			1.0=0	0.2-		0.005					
13	861	Supervision & Engineering	17,489			1,870	935	5,588	9,095					Mains Allocation
14 15	863 864	Mains	97,661 135			10,445 14	5,223 7	31,206 43	50,788 70					Mains Allocation Mains Allocation
15	864 865	Compressor Station Equipment Meas. & Reg. Sta. Equip.	5,743			614	307	1,835	2,986					Mains Allocation
17	867	Other Equipment	4.846			518	259	1,549	2,520					Mains Allocation
18	007	Total Maintenance	125,874	0	0	13,463	6,731	40,221	65,460	0	0	0	(Sum of Lines 13 thru 17
							0,700	,	,					
19		Total Transmission Expenses	532,886	0	0	56,967	28,732	170,194	276,995	0	0	0	0	D Line 11 + Line 18
20		Distribution Expenses												
21		Operation												
22	870	Supervision & Engineering	1,699,194			90,520	45,261	270,436	440,142	551,637	301,198			Accounts 871 - 880
23	871	Load Dispatching	5				5							Transmission - Commodity
24	872	Compressor Station Expenses	0				0							Transmission - Commodity
25	874	Mains & Services	2,451,332			164,475	82,238	491,385	799,742	913,492				Accounts 376 and 380
26 27	875 876	Measuring & Regulating Sta. Equip, - General	351,276			37,570	18,785	112,243	182,678		24.038			Account 378
27	876	Measuring & Regulating Sta. Equip, - Ind. Measuring & Regulating Sta. Equp CG	24,038 119,743			12.807	6,403	38,261	62,272		24,038			Meters and Regulators Account 379
28 29	878	Measuring & Regulating Sta. Equp CG Meters & House Regulators	610,137			12,807	6,403	38,201	62,272		610,137			Meters and Regulators
30	879	Customer Installation Expenses	477,486							477,486	010,157			Services
31	880	Other Expenses	1,677,686			89,423	44,711	267,160	434,810	463,304	378,278			Distribution Plant
32	881	Rents	5,847			312	156	931	1,515	1,615	1,318			Distribution Plant
33		Total Operation	7,416,743	0	0	395,106	197,559	1,180,416	1,921,159	2,407,533	1,314,970	0	0	Sum of Lines 22 thru 32
34		Maintenance												
35	885	Supervision & Engineering	51,130	0	0	2,081	1,040	6,216	10,117	5,555	26,121	0		Accounts 886 - 894
36	886	Structures & Improvements	3,423	0	0	366	183	1,094	1,780	0	0	0	0	Account 375
37	887	Mains	497,153			53,172	26,586	158,855	258,541					Account 376
38	888	Main. Of Compressor Sta. Eq.	58,340			6,240	3,120	18,641	30,339					Account 377
39	889	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Eq Gen.	213,297			22,813	11,406	68,155	110,924					Mains Allocation
40	890	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Eq Ind.	29,002								29,002			Meters and Regulators
41 42	891	Meas. & Reg. Sta. Eq City Gate	166,714							217.171	166,714			Meters and Regulators
42 43	892 893	Services Maters & Hauss Regulators	217,161 852,259							217,161	852,259			Services Matara and Baculators
43 44	893 894	Meters & House Regulators Other Equipment	852,259 25,009			1,333	667	3,982	6,482	6,906	852,259 5,639			Meters and Regulators Distribution Plant
44 45	094	Total Maintenance	25,009	0	0	86,003	43,002	256,943	418,182	229,622	1,079,735	0	ſ	Sum of Lines 35 thru 44
		rown maintenance	2,113,400	0	0	00,005	45,002	250,745	410,102	227,022	1,077,733	0	· · · ·	Sum of Lines 55 unu 44
46		Total Distribution	9,530,230	0	0	481,109	240,560	1,437,360	2,339,341	2,637,155	2,394,705	0	0	Line 33 + Line 45

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Operation and Maintenance Expenses For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L	М	Ν
			Total								Meters			
Line	Acct.		Gas Utility	Gas S		Transm		Distrib			and	Customer		
Number	No.	Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
47		Customer Accounts Expenses												
48	901	Supervision	192,632									192,632		Customer Accounts
49	902	Meter Reading Expenses	361,463									361,463		Customer Accounts
50	903	Customer Records & Collection	2,387,118									2,387,118		Customer Accounts
51	904	Uncollectible Accounts	654,912									654,912		Customer Accounts
52	905	Miscellaneous	85,568									85,568		Customer Accounts
53		Total Customer Accounts Expenses	3,681,694	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,681,694	0	Sum of Lines 48 thru 52
54		Customer Service & Inform. Exp.												
55	907	Supervision	44,146				22,073					22,073		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
56	908	Customer Assistance Expenses	176,517				88,259					88,259		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
57	909	Information & Instruction Exp.	16,308				8,154					8,154		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
58	910	Miscellaneous	3,945				1,972					1,972		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
59		Total Cust. Service & Inf. Exp.	240,916	0	0	0	120,458	0	0	0	0	120,458	0	Sum of Lines 55 thru 58
60		Sales Expenses												
61	911	Supervision	0				0					0		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
62	912	Demonstrating & Selling Exp.	121,843				60,921					60,921		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
63	913	Advertising Expenses	19,998				9,999					9,999		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
64	916	Miscellaneous	39				20					20		50% Trans Com., 50% Cust Accts.
65		Total Sales Expenses	141,880	0	0	0	70,940	0	0	0	0	70,940	0	Sum of Lines 61 thru 64
66		Administrative & General Expenses												
67		Operation												
68	920	A & G Salaries	6,479,803	0	0	258,754	221,594	773,054	1,258,165	1,268,135	1,151,619	1,548,482	0	Supervised O&M
69	921	Office Supplies & Expenses	1,889,648	0	0	75,458	64,621	225,439	366,908	369,815	335,837	451,570	0	Supervised O&M
70	922	Transfers	(1,226,286)	0	0	(48,969)	(41,936)	(146,298)	(238,105)	(239,991)	(217,941)	(293,046)	0	Supervised O&M
71	923	Outside Services Employed	1,136,556	0	0	45,385	38,868	135,593	220,682	222,431	201,994	271,603	0	Supervised O&M
72	924	Property Insurance	6,890	0	0	408	216	1,220	1,985	1,544	1,275	243	0	Net Plant
73	925	Injuries & Damages	576,743	0	0	23,031	19,723	68,807	111,985	112,872	102,501	137,825	0	Supervised O&M
74	926	Employee Pensions & Benefits	1,442,184	0	0	57,590	49,319	172,055	280,025	282,244	256,311	344,639	0	Supervised O&M
75	928	Regulatory Commission Expense	422,497				422,497							Transmission - Commodity
76	929	Duplicate Charges - Credit	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	Supervised O&M
77	930	Miscellaneous	378,143	0	0	15,100	12,932	45,113	73,423	74,005	67,205	90,365	0	Supervised O&M
78	931	Rents	801,070	0	0	31,989	27,395	95,569	155,541	156,774	142,370	191,432	0	Supervised O&M
79	932	Maintenance of General Plant	1,019,592	0	0	40,715	34,868	121,639	197,971	199,540	181,206	243,652		Supervised O&M
80		Total A & G Expenses	12,926,844	0	0	499,462	850,097	1,492,191	2,428,581	2,447,369	2,222,377	2,986,766	0	Sum of Lines 68 thru 78
81 82		Total Operation & Maintenance	27,054,450	0	0	1,037,538	1,310,787	3,099,745	5,044,917	5,084,524	4,617,082	6,859,858	0	Sum of Lines 19,46,53,59,65,80
82		Supervised O & M before General	13,466,847	0	0	537,764	460,534	1,606,622	2,614,820	2,635,540	2,393,386	3,218,179	0	Lines 19 + 46-32 + 53 - 51 + 59 + 65

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Other Cost of Service Components For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М	Ν
			Total								Meters			
Line	Acct.		Gas Utility	Gas Si		Transn		Distrib			and	Customer		
Numbe	r No.	Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
			\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
1	Deprec	iation Expense												
2		Intangible	112,355	0	0	4,487	3,842	13,404	21,816	21,989	19,968	26,850	0	Intangible Plant
3		Production & Gathering	517	0	0	55	28	165	269	0	0	0	0	Prod. & Gathering Plant
4		Transmission	790,428	0	0	84,538	42,269	252,565	411,056	0	0	0	0	Transmission Plant
5		Distribution	6,986,362	0	0	372,383	186,191	1,112,529	1,810,671	1,929,330	1,575,258	0	0	Distribution Plant
6		General	743,910	0	0	29,706	25,440	88,750	144,443	145,587	132,211	177,772	0	General Plant
7		Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Customer Count)	120,417									120,417	0	Customer Accounts
8		Other Utility Plant (Allocated on Blended Ratio)	1,265,059	0	0	50,517	43,262	150,924	245,633	247,579	224,832	302,312	0	Supervised O&M
9		Total Depreciation Expense	10,019,048	0	0	541,686	301,032	1,618,337	2,633,887	2,344,486	1,952,269	627,351	0	Sum of Lines 2 thru 6
10	Taxes	Other Than Income Taxes												
11		Property Taxes	5,293,400	0	0	313,608	165,859	936,934	1,524,885	1,185,995	979,751	186,369	0	Net Plant
12		Payroll Taxes	1,003,147	0	0	40,058	34,305	119,678	194,778	196,322	178,284	239,723	0	Supervised O&M
13		Miscellaneous	76,662	0	0	3,061	2,622	9,146	14,885	15,003	13,625	18,320	0	Supervised O&M
14		Total Taxes Other than Income Taxes	6,373,210	0	0	356,727	202,786	1,065,757	1,734,548	1,397,320	1,171,660	444,412	0	Sum of Lines 11 thru 13
15	Other (Operating Revenues												
16	487	Forfeited Discounts	362,722										362,722	Direct
17		Misc. Service Revenues	735,233	0	0	29,360	25,143	87,715	142,758	143,889	130,669	175,699		Supervised O&M
18	489	Negotiated Margin Revenues	2,947,731			315,266	157,633	941,887	1,532,946			- ,		Mains Allocation
19		Total Other Operating Revenues	4,045,686	0	0	344,625	182,776	1,029,601	1,675,704	143,889	130,669	175,699	362,722	Sum of Lines 16 thru 18

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Functional Classification of Cost of Service and Rate Base For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	A B	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М	Ν
Line	Acct.	Total Gas Utility	Gas Si		Transm		Distrib			Meters and	Customer		
Number	No. Description	Adjusted	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Commodity	Demand	Customer	Services	Regulators	Accounts	Direct	Allocation Basis or Reference
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
1 2	Summary Rate Base	230,337,778	1,787,128	0	13,626,167	7,204,730	40,709,476	66,255,758	51,540,534	42,575,332	6,638,653	0	Table 2 Line 66
3	Rate of Return	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	7.05%	
4	Total Cost of Service												
5	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	27,054,450	0	0	1,037,538	1,310,787	3,099,745	5,044,917	5,084,524	4,617,082	6,859,858	0	Table 3 Line 81
6	Depreciation Expenses	10,019,048	0	0	541,686	301,032	1,618,337	2,633,887	2,344,486	1,952,269	627,351	0	Table 4 Line 9
7	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	6,373,210	0	0	356,727	202,786	1,065,757	1,734,548	1,397,320	1,171,660	444,412	0	Table 4 Line 14
8	Return	16,238,813	125,993	0	960,645	507,933	2,870,018	4,671,031	3,633,608	3,001,561	468,025	0	Line 2 x Line 3
9	Income Taxes	2,775,158	21,532	0	164,171	86,804	490,476	798,263	620,971	512,956	79,984	0	Rate Base
10	Other Operating Revenues	(4,045,686)	0	0	(344,625)	(182,776)	(1,029,601)	(1,675,704)	(143,889)	(130,669)	(175,699)	(362,722)	Table 4 Line 19
11	Total Cost of Service	58,414,993	147,524	0	2,716,141	2,226,566	8,114,732	13,206,943	12,937,018	11,124,859	8,303,930	(362,722)	Sum of Lines 5 thru 10

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Rate of Return Under Current and Traditional Rate Design For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А		В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
			Total			n and Transportation	on	Irrig	ation	Interruptible	
Line			Gas Utility	Residential	Small	Small	Large			Large	
Number	Description		Adjusted \$	Service \$	Commercial §	Volume \$	Volume \$	Sales	Transportation §	Volume \$	Basis of Allocation or Reference
			3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
1	Return Under Existing Rates										
2	Rate Base		230,337,778	164,638,897	23,209,495	18,258,164	13,049,757	8,098,442	2,195,292	887,731	Table 3 Line 18
3	Sales Revenues		86,071,622	55,966,841	8,655,428	8,638,137	4,972,549	5,780,851	440,968	1,616,847	Exhibit DNH-7
4	Cost of Gas		37,856,574	23,386,248	3,761,618	4,384,511	1,258,667	3,984,883	0		Exhibit DNH-7
5	Sales Revenues Excluding Gas Cost		48,215,048	32,580,593	4,893,811	4,253,626	3,713,882	1,795,968	440,968	536,201	Line 3 - Line 4
6	Net Cost of Service		58,414,993	41,755,638	6,166,166	4,489,190	3,045,719	2,144,187	579,373	234,720	Table 2 Line 19
									486,625	(969,644)	
7	Revenue Deficiency		10,199,945	9,175,045	1,272,356	235,564	(668,163)	348,220	138,405	(301,481)	Line 6 - Line 5
8	Additional Customer Charge Revenues from Negotiated	d LV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not applicable w/o LV rate change
9	Net Revenue Deficiency		10,199,945	9,175,045	1,272,356	235,564	(668,163)	348,220	138,405	(301,481)	
10	Percent		11.85%	16.39%	14.70%	2.73%	-13.44%	6.02%	31.39%	-18.65%	Line 9 / Line 3
11	Increase Under Proposed Rates		10,199,750	7,779,231	1,698,322	235,506	0	390,558	96,133	0	
12	Percent		11.85%	13.90%	19.62%	2.73%	0.00%	6.76%	21.80%	0.00%	Line 11 / Line 3
13	Increase Under Proposed Rates (Incl LV Credit		10,199,750	7,779,231	1,698,322	235,506	0	390,558	96,133	0	Not applicable w/o LV rate change
14	Incremental Taxes at	21.00%	2,141,948	1,633,639	356,648	49,456	0	82,017	20,188	0	Line 13 x 21.00%
15	Incremental Return		8,057,803	6,145,593	1,341,674	186,049	0	308,541	75,945	0	Line 13 - Line 14
16	Return Under Proposed Rates		16,238,659	10,504,349	1,972,783	1,287,155	1,447,856	604,388	121,374	300,755	Line 15 + Line 18
17	Rate of Return Under Proposed Rates		7.05%	6.38%	8.50%	7.05%	11.09%	7.46%	5.53%	33.88%	Line 16 / Line 2
18	Return Under Current Rates		8,180,857	4,358,757	631,109	1,101,105	1,447,856	295,847	45,428	300,755	(Line 2 X 7.05%) - Line 7 X (100 - 21.00%)
19	Rate of Return Under Current Rates		3.55%	2.65%	2.72%	6.03%	11.09%	3.65%	2.07%	33.88%	Line 18 / Line 2

25	Rate of Return Under Current Rates		Rate of Return Under Proposed Rates	
26	Residential + Small Commercia	2.66%	Residential + Small Commercia	6.64%
27	Small Volume (Firm + Full Margin Trans.	6.03%	Small Volume (Firm + Full Margin Trans.	7.05%
28	Large Volume (Firm + Interruptible + Full Margin Trans.	12.55%	Large Volume (Firm + Interruptible + Full Margin Trans.	12.55%
29	Irrigation (Firm + Full Margin Trans.)	3.32%	Irrigation (Firm + Full Margin Trans.)	7.05%
30	Total	3.55%	Total	7.05%

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Allocation of Cost of Service For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
		Total		Firn	n and Transportation	on	Irrig	ation	Interruptible	
Line		Gas Utility	Residential	Small	Small	Large	-		Large	
Number	Description	Adjusted	Service	Commercial	Volume	Volume	Sales	Transportation	Volume	Basis of Allocation or Reference
	•	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
1	Total Cost of Service									
2	Gas Supply									
3	Demand	147,524	107,385	18,353	18,326	3,461	0	0		50% Peak (Sales), 50% Firm Winter Period Sale
4	Commodity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Annual Sales
5	Total Gas Supply	147,524	107,385	18,353	18,326	3,461	0	0	0	Line 3 + Line 4
6	Transmission									
7	Demand	2,716,141	1,542,583	278,504	408,193	466,474	0	0	20,388	50% Peak, 50% Winter Period Throughput
8	Commodity	2,226,566	829,428	140,935	248,207	535,842	316,200	74,343	81,611	Annual Throughput
9	Total Transmission	4,942,708	2,372,011	419,439	656,400	1,002,316	316,200	74,343	101,998	Line 7 + Line 8
10	Distribution									
11	Demand	8,114,732	4,608,614	832,057	1,219,516	1,393,636	0	0	60,910	50% Peak, 50% Winter Period Throughput
12	Customer	13,206,943	10,935,518	1,243,468	406,688	72,517	423,651	117,045	8,057	Distribution - Customer
13	Total Distribution	21,321,675	15,544,132	2,075,525	1,626,204	1,466,153	423,651	117,045	68,967	Line 11 + Line 12
14	Services	12,937,018	10,712,016	1,218,053	398,376	71,035	414,992	114,653	7,893	Services
15	Meters and Regulators	11,124,859	7,120,979	1,295,552	1,324,133	295,134	827,618	228,651	32,793	Meters & Regulators
16	Customer Accounting	8,303,930	6,261,838	1,139,244	465,751	207,621	161,726	44,681	23,069	Customer Accounting
17 18	Direct Forfeited Discounts	(362,722)	(362,722)							Direct - Residential
19	Total Cost of Service	58,414,993	41,755,638	6,166,166	4,489,190	3,045,719	2,144,187	579,373	234,720	Sum of Lines 5,9,13,14,15,16 and 18

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Allocation of Rate Base For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
		Total		Firm and Transportation			Irrig	ation	Interruptible	
Line		Gas Utility	Residential	Small	Small	Large	-		Large	1
Number	Description	Adjusted	Service	Commercial	Volume	Volume	Sales	Transportation	Volume	Basis of Allocation or Reference
	· · ·	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	·
1	Rate Base									
2	Gas Supply									
3	Demand	1,787,128	1,300,875	222,326	222,003	41,924	0	0	0	50% Peak (Sales), 50% Firm Winter Period Sale
4	Commodity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		Annual Sales
5	Total Gas Supply	1,787,128	1,300,875	222,326	222,003	41,924	0	0	0	Line 3 + Line 4
6	Transmission									
7	Demand	13,626,167	7,738,732	1,397,181	2,047,797	2,340,177	0	0	102,279	50% Peak, 50% Winter Period Throughput
8	Commodity	7,204,730	2,683,865	456,038	803,150	1,733,879	1,023,161	240,560	264,077	Annual Throughput
9	Total Transmission	20,830,897	10,422,597	1,853,219	2,850,947	4,074,057	1,023,161	240,560	366,356	Line 7 + Line 8
10	Distribution									
11	Demand	40,709,476	23,120,203	4,174,213	6,117,988	6,991,504	0	0	305,568	50% Peak, 50% Winter Period Throughput
12	Customer	66,255,758	54,860,614	6,238,150	2,040,247	363,798	2,125,346	587,183	40,422	Distribution - Customer
13	Total Distribution	106,965,234	77,980,818	10,412,362	8,158,235	7,355,302	2,125,346	587,183	345,990	Line 11 + Line 12
14	Services	51,540,534	42,676,221	4,852,674	1,587,113	282,999	1,653,312	456,771	31,444	Services
15	Meters and Regulators	42,575,332	27,252,302	4,958,135	5,067,517	1,129,491	3,167,330	875,058	125,499	Meters & Regulators
16	Customer Accounting	6,638,653	5,006,084	910,779	372,349	165,985	129,293	35,721	18,443	Customer Accounting
17	Direct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Direct
18	Total Rate Base	230,337,778	164,638,897	23,209,495	18,258,164	13,049,757	8,098,442	2,195,292	887,731	Sum of Lines 5,9,13,14,15,16 and 17

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Class Allocation Bases For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

43 Use per Customer

	Test Tear Ended December 51, 2020 a										rage i oi i
	А		В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J
T in a				Residential	Firm	and Transportation		Irrig	ation	Interruptible Large	
Line Number	Description		Gas Utility Adjusted	Service	Commercial	Volume	Large Volume	Sales	Transportation	Volume	Basis of Allocation or Reference
vanioer	Description		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	Basis of Allocation of Reference
1	Allocation Bases										
2	Firm Winter Peak Demand	Load Factor		20.68%	20.00%	25.00%	67.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	Load Factor Study
3	Peak Day - therms/Day		1,483,043	913,969	160,580	226,244	182,249	0	0		Line 15 / 365 / Line 2
4	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	61.6280%	10.8278%	15.2554%	12.2889%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	Line 3 / Line 3 Column B
5	Firm Winter Peak Demand - Sales Only										
6	Peak Day - therms/Day		1,226,035	913,969	150,955	145,884	15,227	0	0	0	Line 18 / 365 / Line 2
7	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	74.5467%	12.3125%	11.8989%	1.2420%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	Line 6 / Line 6 Column B
8	Winter Period Throughput										
9	Winter (Nov-Mar) Throughput - therms		98,896,687	51,385,131	9,572,768	14,638,100	21,816,039	0	0	1,484,649	Exhibit DNH-10
10	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	51.9584%	9.6796%	14.8014%	22.0594%	0.0000%	0.0000%	1.5012%	Line 9 / Line 9 Column B
11	Firm Winter Period Sales										
12	Winter (Nov-Mar) Sales - therms		72,336,715	51,385,131	9,091,511	9,364,559	2,495,514	0	0		Line 9 excluding interruptible and transportation
13	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	71.0360%	12.5683%	12.9458%	3.4499%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	Line 12 / Line 12 Column B
14	Commodity										
15	Annual Throughput - therms		185,196,158	68,988,214	11,722,368	20,644,808	44,569,025	26,300,158	6,183,546	- , ,	Exhibit DNH-10
16	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	37.2514%	6.3297%	11.1475%	24.0658%	14.2012%	3.3389%	3.6653%	Line 15 / Line 15 Column B
17	Commodity - Firm Sales										
18	Annual Sales - therms		97,043,589	68,988,214	11,019,723	13,311,946	3,723,706	0	0		Line 15 excluding interruptible and transportati
19	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	71.0899%	11.3554%	13.7175%	3.8371%	0.0000%	0.0000%	0.0000%	Line 18 / Line 18 Column B
20	Commodity - Sales										
21	Annual Sales - therms		130,131,786	68,988,214	11,019,723	13,311,946	3,723,706	26,300,158	0	.,	Exhibit DNH-10
22	Allocation Factor		100.0000%	53.0141%	8.4681%	10.2296%	2.8615%	20.2104%	0.0000%	5.2163%	Line 21 / Line 21 Column B
23	Distribution - Customer		116 220	102 147	0.202	1.010	171	1 222	2.00	10	
24	Average Number of Customers		116,338	103,147	9,383 1.3	1,918 2	171	1,332	368 3		Exhibit DNH-10
25 26	Weighting Factor Weighted Number of Customers		124,572	103,147	1.3	3.836	4 684	3,996	1.104		Weighting Factor Study Line 24 x Line 25
26 27	Allocation Factor		124,372	82.8013%	9.4153%	3.0793%	0.5491%	3,996	0.8862%		Line 24 x Line 25 Line 26 / Line 26 Column B
21	Anocation Factor		100.0000%	82.801376	9.413376	3.079376	0.349176	3.207876	0.880276	0.001076	Line 207 Line 20 Column B
28	Services										
29	Average Number of Customers		116,338	103,147	9,383	1,918	171	1,332	368		Exhibit DNH-10
30	Weighting Factor			1	1.3	2	4	3	3		Weighting Factor Study
31 32	Weighted Number of Customers Services Cost Allocator		124,572 100.0000%	103,147 82.8013%	11,729 9.4153%	3,836 3.0793%	684 0.5491%	3,996 3.2078%	1,104 0.8862%		Line 29 x Line 30 Line 31 / Line 31 Column B
33	Mataus & Domilators										
33 34	Meters & Regulators Average Number of Customers		116,338	103,147	9,383	1,918	171	1,332	368	19	Exhibit DNH-10
34 35	Weighting Factor		110,558	105,147	9,383	1,918	25	1,332	906 9		Weighting Factor Study
36	Weighted Number of Customers		161,143	103,147	18,766	19,180	4,275	11,988	3,312		Line 34 x Line 35
30	Meters & Regulators Cost Allocator		100.0000%	64.0096%	11.6456%	11.9025%	2.6529%	7.4394%	2.0553%		Line 36 / Line 36 Column B
38	Customer Accounting										
39	Average Number of Customers		116,338	103,147	9,383	1,918	171	1,332	368	19	Exhibit DNH-10
40	Weighting Factor		,00	1	2	4	20	2	2	20	Weighting Factor Study
41	Weighted Number of Customers		136,785	103,147	18,766	7,672	3,420	2,664	736		Line 39 x Line 40
42	Customer Accounts Cost Allocator		100.0000%	75.4081%	13.7193%	5.6088%	2.5003%	1.9476%	0.5381%	0.2778%	Line 41 / Line 41 Column B

1,592

669

1,249

10,764

260,638

19,745

16,803

357,265 Line 15 / Line 24

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Unit Cost of Service For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

										-	
	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	
		Total		Firm	n and Transportati	0.7	Irric	ation	Interruptible		
Line		Gas Utility	Residential	Small	Small	Large	11118	auon	Large	+	
Number	Description	Adjusted	Service	Commercial	Volume	Volume	Sales	Transportation	Volume	Basis of Allocation or Reference	
1	1	ŝ	S	S	S	\$	S	ŝ	S		
		*	*	*	-	Ŧ	-	÷	*		
1	Other Gas Supply										
2	Demand - \$	147,524	107,385	18,353	18,326	3,461	0	0	0	Line 3 ,Table 2	
3	\$/therm	0.00080	0.00156	0.00157	0.00089	0.00008	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	Line 2 / Line 15 ,Table 4	
4	Commodity - \$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Line 4 ,Table 2	
5	\$/therm	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000		Line 4 / Line 15 , Table 4	
6	Customer - Related										
7	Services	12,937,018	10,712,016	1,218,053	398,376	71,035	414,992	114,653	7,893	Line 14 ,Table 2	
8	\$/month		8.65	10.82	17.31	34.62	25.96	25.96	34.62	Line 7 / Line 39 ,Table 4	
9	Meters & Regulators	11,124,859	7,120,979	1,295,552	1,324,133	295,134	827,618	228,651		Line 15 .Table 2	
10	\$/month	,,,,	5.75	11.51	57.53	143.83	51.78	51.78		Line 9 / Line 39 Table 4	
11	Customer Accounting	7,941,208	5,899,116	1,139,244	465,751	207.621	161,726	44.681		Line 16 .Table 2	
12	\$/month	7,7 11,200	4.77	10.12	20.24	101.18	10.12	10.12		Line 11 / Line 39 ,Table 4	
.2	() MOINT		,	10112	20.21	101110	10.12	10.12	101110	Ente 117 Ente 59 gradie 1	
13	Distribution - Customer	13,206,943	10,935,518	1,243,468	406,688	72,517	423,651	117,045	8 057	Line 12 ,Table 2	
14	\$/bill/month	9.46	8.83	11.04	17.67	35.34	26.50	26.50		Line 13 / Line 15 , Table 4	
	() OIL IIOIL	2.10	0.05	11101	17107	55.51	20.50	20.50	55151	Line 157 Line 15 , fabre 1	
15	Trans/Distr - Demand	10,830,874	6,151,197	1,110,561	1,627,708	1,860,110	0	0	81.297	Line 7 + Line 11, Table 2	
16	\$/therm	0.05848	0.08916	0.09474	0.07884	0.04174	0.00000	0.00000		Line 15 / Line 15 , Table 4	
10	<i>y</i> , mo	0.00010	0.00910	0.09171	0107001	0.01171	0.00000	0.00000	0.01190	Line 197 Line 19 , laber	
17	Transmission - Commodity	2,226,566	829,428	140,935	248,207	535,842	316,200	74,343	81.611	Line 8 ,Table 2	
18	\$/therm	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202		Line 17 / Line 15 .Table 4	
19	Customer Costs - \$	45,210,029	34,667,629	4,896,317	2,594,948	646,307	1,827,987	505,029	71.812	Line 6 + Line 13	
20	Demand Costs - \$	10,978,398	6,258,582	1,128,914	1,646,034	1,863,571	0	0		Line 2 + Line 15	
20	Commodity Costs - \$	2,226,566	829,428	140,935	248.207	535.842	316.200	74,343		Line 17	
22	Total Cost of Service - \$	58,414,993	41,755,638	6,166,166	4,489,190	3,045,719	2,144,187	579,373	234,720	Sum of Lines 19 thru 21	
	*****		,,	.,,	.,,	-,,-	_,,				
23	Calculated Unit Rates										
24	Customer Costs - \$/bill.month		28.01	43.49	112.75	314.96	114.36	114.36	314.96	Line 8 + Line 10 + Line 12 + Line 14	
25	Demand Costs - \$/therm		0.09072	0.09630	0.07973	0.04181	0.00000	0.00000		Line 3 + Line 16	
26	Commodity Costs - \$/therm		0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202	0.01202		Line 18	
20	connoury costs @ ment		5.01202	5.01202	0.01202	0.01202	5.01202	5.01202	5.01202	Enie io	
27	Calculated Cost of Service Rates										
28	Customer Costs - \$/bill.month		28.01	43.49	112.75	314.96	114.36	114.36	314 96	Line 23	
20	Commodity Costs - \$/therm		0.10274	0.10833	0.09175	0.05384	0.01202	0.01202		Line 25 + Line 26	
27	connoury costs - ormern		0.10274	0.10035	0.07175	0.05504	0.01202	0.01202	0.02400	Line 25 · Line 20	
30	Proposed Rates										
31	Customer Costs - \$/bill.month		20.00	35.00	70.00	333.10	35.00	35.00	333.10		
32	Commodity Costs - \$/therm		0.22619	0.22619	0.14279	0.06800	0.06187	0.06187		Rate Design	
52	commonly costs where		5.22017	5.22017	0.14279	0.00000	5.00107	5.00107	5.00000	rate besign	

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Revenues Under Current and Proposed Rates For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	К	L	М
				Small Commercial		Small	Volume		Large Volume		Irrig	gation	
Line Number	Description	Total Company	Residential	Sales	Transportation	Firm	Transportation	Firm	Interruptible	Transportation	Sales	Transportation	Reference
1	1. Billing Determinants												
2	Average Number of Monthly Bills	116,338	103,147	9,173		1,472		40	19	131	1,332	368	Exhibit DNH-5
3	Total Test Period Volumes	185,196,158	68,988,214	11,019,723	702,645	13,311,946	7,332,862	3,723,706	6,788,039	40,845,319	26,300,158	6,183,546	Exhibit DNH-5
4	2. Current Rates												
5	Customer Charge - \$/month		16.94	25.94		52.97	52.97	333.10	333.10	333.10	28.45	28.45	Current Tariff
6	Commodity Charge - \$/therm		0.16833	0.16833		0.14700	0.14700	0.06800	0.06800	0.06800	0.05100	0.05100	Current Tariff
7	Cost of Gas - \$/therm		0.33899	0.34135	-	0.33777	-	0.33801	0.15920	-	0.15152	-	Exhibit DNH-5
8	3. Revenue Under Current Rates	1,747,310	1,747,310										
9	Customer Charge - \$	26,445,300	20,967,807	2,855,294	· · · ·	935,344	283,495	158,223	74,614	524,966	454,659	125,607	Exhibit DNH-5
10	Delivery Charge - \$	21,769,748	11,612,786	1,854,950		1,956,856	1,077,931	253,212	461,587	2,777,482	1,341,308	315,361	Exhibit DNH-5
11	Margin - \$	48,215,048	32,580,593	4,710,244	183,567	2,892,200	1,361,426	411,435	536,201	3,302,447	1,795,968	440,968	Line 10 + Line 11
12	Cost of Gas - \$	37,856,574	23,386,248	3,761,618	-	4,384,511	-	1,258,667	1,080,646	-	3,984,883	-	Exhibit DNH-5
13	Total - \$	86,071,622	55,966,841	8,471,861	183,567	7,276,711	1,361,426	1,670,102	1,616,847	3,302,447	5,780,851	440,968	Line + Line 13
14	4. Proposed Rates												
15	Customer Charge - \$/month		20.00	35.00	35.00	70.00	70.00	333.10	333.10	333.10	35.00	35.00	
16	Commodity Charge - \$/therm		0.22619	0.22619	0.22619	0.14279	0.14279	0.06800	0.06800	0.06800	0.06187	0.06187	
17	Cost of Gas - \$/therm		0.33899	0.34135	-	0.33777	-	0.33801	0.15920	-	0.15152	-	
18	5. Revenue Under Proposed Rates												
19	Customer Charge - \$	31,708,953	24,755,380	3,852,555	88,095	1,166,620	374,640	158,223	74,614	524,966	559,335	154,525	Line 16 x Line 19 x 12
20	Delivery Charge - \$	26,705,846	15,604,444	2,492,551	158,931	1,900,813	1,047,059	253,212	461,587	2,777,482	1,627,191	382,576	Line 17 x Line 20
21	Margin - \$	58,414,798	40,359,824	6,345,106	247,026	3,067,433	1,421,699	411,435	536,201	3,302,447	2,186,526	537,101	Line 23 + Line
22	Cost of Gas - \$	37,856,574	23,386,248	3,761,618	-	4,384,511	-	1,258,667	1,080,646	-	3,984,883	-	Line 17 x Line 21
23	Total - \$	96,271,372	63,746,072	10,106,724	247,026	7,451,944	1,421,699	1,670,102	1,616,847	3,302,447	6,171,409	537,101	Line 24 + Line 26
24	6. Difference												
25	Customer Charge - \$	5,263,653	3,787,573	997,261	22,804	231,276		-	-	-	104,676	28,918	Line 23 - Line 10
26	Delivery Charge - \$	4,936,097	3,991,658	637,601	40,655	(56,043)	(30,871)	-	-	-	285,883	67,215	Line - Line 11
27	Cost of Gas - \$	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Line 26 - Line 13
28	Total - \$ (2)	10,199,750	7,779,231	1,634,863	63,459	175,232	60,273	-	-	-	390,558	96,133	Sum of Lines 29 through 31
29	Percent Difference												
30	Customer Charge - %	19.9%	18.1%	34.9%		24.7%		0.0%			23.0%		
31	Delivery Charge - %	22.7%	34.4%	34.4%		-2.9%		0.0%			21.3%		
32	Cost of Gas - %	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%		
33	Total - %	11.9%	13.9%	19.3%	6 34.6%	2.4%	4.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	21.8%	
	N.D. D.C.		0 477 777			005.55					106 625		(0/2 / 1)
	Net Revenue Deficiency Customer Charge - \$		9,477,757 4,807,639			235,564 322,420		0			486,625 133,594		(969,644)
	Customer Charge - 5		4,007,039			522,420		-			155,394		

Black Hills/Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC Average Customer Bill Impacts Under Current and Proposed Rate Design For the Test Year Ended December 31, 2020 as Adjusted

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Ι	J	K	L
			Small Co	ommercial	Small	Volume		Large Volume		Irrig	ation	
Line Number	r Description	Residential	Sales	Transportation	Firm	Transportation	Firm	Interruptible	Transportation	Sales	Transportation	Reference
1	1. Billing Determinants											
2	Average Number of Monthly Bills	103,147	9,173	210	1,472	446	40	19	131	1,332	368	Exhibit DNH-5
3	Total Test Period Volumes	68,988,214	11,019,723	702,645	13,311,946	7,332,862	3,723,706	6,788,039	40,845,319	26,300,158	6,183,546	Exhibit DNH-5
4	Average Therms per Bill	56	100	279	754	1,370	7,758	29,772	25,983	1,645	1,400	
5	2. Current Rates											
6	Customer Charge - \$/month	\$16.94	\$25.94	\$25.94	\$52.97	\$52.97	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$28.45	\$28.45	Current Tariff
7	GSRS	\$2.39	\$5.96	\$5.96	\$37.72	\$37.72	\$279.07	\$279.07	\$279.07	\$4.60	\$4.60	Current Tariff
8	Commodity Charge - \$/therm	\$0.16833	\$0.16833	\$0.16833	\$0.14700	\$0.14700	\$0.06800	\$0.06800	\$0.06800	\$0.05100	\$0.05100	Current Tariff
9	PGA - \$/therm	\$0.38938	\$0.38938		\$0.38938		\$0.38938	\$0.24321		\$0.24321		
10	3. Average Monthly Bill (Current Rates)											
11	Monthly	\$19.33	\$31.90	\$31.90	\$90.69	\$90.69	\$612.17	\$612.17	\$612.17	\$33.05	\$33.05	
12	Volumetric	\$31.08	\$55.83	\$46.94	\$404.23	\$201.41	\$3,548.23	\$9,265.40	\$1,766.85	\$484.10	\$71.41	
13	Total Average Bill	\$50.41	\$87.73	\$78.84	\$494.92	\$292.10	\$4,160.40	\$9,877.57	\$2,379.02	\$517.15	\$104.46	
14	4. Proposed Rates											
15	Customer Charge - \$/month	\$20.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$70.00	\$70.00	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$35.00	\$35.00	
16	GSRS - \$/month	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
17	Commodity Charge - \$/therm	\$0.22619	\$0.22619	\$0.22619	\$0.14279	\$0.14279	\$0.06800	\$0.06800	\$0.06800	\$0.06187	\$0.06187	
18	PGA - \$/therm	\$0.38938	\$0.38938	0.22017	\$0.38938	\$0.112 <i>1</i> 7	\$0.38938	\$0.24321	\$0.00000	\$0.24321	\$0.00107	
19	5. Average Monthly Bill (Proposed Rates	2)										
20	<u>S. Average Monthly Bir (110)</u> Monthly	\$20.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$70.00	\$70.00	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$333.10	\$35.00	\$35.00	
20	Volumetric	\$34.31	\$61.62	\$63.07	\$401.05	\$195.64	\$3,548.23	\$9,265.40	\$1,766.85	\$501.98	\$86.63	
21	Total Average Bill	\$54.31	\$96.62	\$98.07	\$471.05	\$265.64	\$3,881.33	\$9,598.50	\$2,099.95	\$536.98	\$121.63	
	-		••••		• • • •				. ,		• • •	
23	6. Average Customer Bill Impact											
24	Change in Average Monthly Bill - \$	\$3.89	\$8.89	\$19.23	(\$23.86)	(\$26.46)	(\$279.07)	(\$279.07)		\$19.84	\$17.17	
25	Change in Average Monthly Bill - %	7.7%	10.1%	24.4%	-4.8%	-9.1%	-6.7%	-2.8%	-11.7%	3.8%	16.4%	
26	7. Average Monthly Bill (Proposed Rates	s with TA Rider	Refund)									
27	TA Rider Refund	-4.9729%	-4.2921%		-4.9582%	-4.9582%	-3.9977%	-3.9977%		-4.6074%		
28	Average Monthly Rider Refund	(\$1.62)	(\$2.47)	(\$4.21)	(\$8.81)	(\$13.17)	(\$34.41)	(\$94.25)		(\$6.30)		
29	Total Average Bill	\$52.69	\$94.15	\$93.86	\$462.25	\$252.47	\$3,846.93	\$9,504.25	\$2,016.00	\$530.68	\$116.03	
30	8. Change in Average Monthly Bill with	TA Rider Refun	d									
31	Change in Average Monthly Bill - \$	\$2.27	\$6.42	\$15.02	(\$32.67)	(\$39.63)	(\$313.48)	(\$373.32)	(\$363.02)	\$13.53	\$11.57	
32	Change in Average Monthly Bill - %	4.5%	7.3%	19.1%	-6.6%	-13.6%	-7.5%	-3.8%	-15.3%	2.6%	11.1%	