BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

In the Matter of the Joint Application of Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC, Prairie Land Electric Cooperative, Inc., Southern Pioneer Electric Company, The Victory Electric Cooperative Association, Inc., and Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc., for Approval of Individual 34.5kV Formula-Based Rates.

Docket No. 16-MKEE-____

PREFILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

DARRIN LYNCH

ON BEHALF OF

WESTERN COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.

July 15, 2015

1	Q:	Please state your name.
2	A:	My name is Darrin Lynch.
3	Q:	By whom are you employed and what is your business address?
4	A:	I am employed by Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc.
5		("Western"). My business address is 635 South 13th Street, WaKeeney,
6		Kansas. As the General Manager of Western, I also serve on the Board of
7		Directors of both Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC ("Mid-Kansas") and
8		Sunflower Electric Power Corporation ("Sunflower").
9	Q:	Would you summarize your educational background?
10	A:	I received a bachelor's degree in Business Administration from Fort Hays
11		State University in December 1996. I later received my Certified Public
12		Accountant ("CPA") Certification in December 1997. I have since
13		continued to complete CPA training and other continuing professional
14		education coursework.
15	Q:	Please summarize your work experience.
16	A:	My professional career began as a CPA in 1997, working for Kennedy and
17		Coe, LLC. Duties performed at Kennedy and Coe included mostly
18		auditing and income tax work. Five years later, I transitioned from public
19		accounting to the accounting department at Sunflower, where I worked for
20		the next 11 years until April 2013. While employed by Sunflower, I worked
21		on both routine and special projects, including the acquisition of the
22		Aquila-WPK system by Mid-Kansas. In 2013, I was named General
23		Manager of Western, the position that I still hold today.

1	Q:	Have you previously presented testimony before the Commission?	
2	A:	Yes, I have provided testimony in Docket No. 13-MKEE-447-MIS.	
3	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony today?	
4	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to provide a background of Western and	
5		confirm Western's support for this filing.	
6	Q:	Please provide a brief overview of Western.	
7	A:	Western is an electric cooperative formed in 1945 under the Kansas	
8		Electric Cooperative Act K.S.A. 17-4601 et seq. for the purpose of	
9		supplying electric energy to eight counties in Northwest Kansas: Ellis,	
10		Gove, Graham, Ness, Rooks, Rush, Trego, and Sheridan. With the Mid-	
11		Kansas purchase of Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks - WPK in April	
12		2007, Western has expanded its service into five more counties: Osborne,	
13		Russell, Barton, Lincoln, and Ellsworth. Today, Western collectively	
14		serves 12,180 meters, maintains 3,942 miles of line, and employs 57 full-	
15		time employees in its native Sunflower and acquired Mid-Kansas service	
16		areas combined. Western, therefore, has been providing electric retail	
17		service in the northwest Kansas for over 65 years.	
18	Q:	What is Western's opinion concerning the proposed 34.5kV FBR?	
19	A:	Western supports the proposed 34.5kV FBR as detailed in the Joint	
20		Application and Prefiled Direct Testimony of Richard J. Macke submitted	
21		in support of this Joint Application.	

1	Q:	What are the reasons for Western's request to implement the
2		proposed 34.5kV FBR?
3	A:	In Western's opinion, the FBR approach reduces the costs and regulatory
4		lag of a traditional rate case while ensuring the Commission and
5		interested parties are afforded a comprehensive and systematic review of
6		the resultant rates (which, by the inherent design of the proposed 34.5kV
7		FBR, remain cost-based). Prefiled Direct Testimony of Richard J. Macke
8		further highlights the advantages that a formula-based rate approach
9		offers to the Commission, affected utilities, and customers as compared to
10		a traditional rate application.
11	Q:	Has Western previously instituted a formula-based rate for any of its
12		facilities?
13	A:	No. However, Western's power suppliers, Mid-Kansas and Sunflower
14		(where, as mentioned previously, I serve as a Board Member), currently
15		have Commission-approved formula-based rates in place for their
16		transmission facilities.
17	Q:	Have Sunflower and Mid-Kansas experienced the advantages that
18		proponents of formula-based rates suggest will occur?
19	A:	Yes. By implementing formula-based rates, Sunflower and Mid-Kansas
20		have the ability to recover appropriate costs each year while eliminating
21		the regulatory lag and financial burdens of a rate case.

1	Q:	What is the basic approach utilized in Western's proposed 34.5kV
2		FBR?
3	A:	Western's proposed 34.5kV FBR calculates the annual revenue
4		requirement based upon pertinent operating expenses and margin
5		requirements.
6	Q:	What type and level of margin requirement is Western requesting be
7		used as the basis for the return requirement incorporated into its
8		34.5kV FBR?
9	A:	Western requests that a greater of a 1.8 Operating Times Interest Earned
10		Ratio ("OTIER") or a 1.8 Modified Debt Service Coverage Ratio ("MDSC")
11		be used as the margin requirement for determining the return requirement.
12	Q:	Why are those appropriate levels and types of financial ratios for
13		determination of Western's margin requirement?
14	A:	A 1.8 OTIER/MDSC would allow for a sufficient level of positive operating
15		margins to facilitate the improvement of capital structure to ensure safe
16		and reliable service, as well as help deal with unexpected contingencies.
17		Finally, the "greater of" approach—with a secondary 1.8 MDSC metric—
18		allows flexibility in choosing the most appropriate driver or the margin
19		requirement driver as debt matures.
20	Q:	Do you support the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Richard J. Macke
21		with respect to all aspects of the margin requirements and levels

1		thereof that are used to determine the revenue requirement in	
2		Western's 34.5kV FBR?	
3	A:	Yes, I support his analysis and the margin requirements he explains,	
4		which are the exact margin requirements I previously mentioned.	
5	Q:	Are you comfortable with having Mid-Kansas act as the billing agent	
6		for purposes of the formula-based rate sought in this proceeding?	
7	A:	Yes, I am. Mid-Kansas is already serving in that capacity for purposes of	
8		the Members' LAC. This is consistent with the outcome of the prior	
9		Commission dockets (09-MKEE-969-RTS and 11-GIME-597-GIE), where	
10		Mid-Kansas was designated to act as an agent for purposes of	
11		administering the local delivery service over the Mid-Kansas Members'	
12		34.5kV facilities. For a more detailed history on Mid-Kansas's role as a	
13		billing agent for the Members' LAC, see the Prefiled Direct Testimony of	
14		H. Davis Rooney.	
15	Q:	Does this conclude your testimony?	
10	۸.	Vac it doop	

16 A: Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION OF DARRIN LYNCH

STATE OF KANSA	S)
COUNTY OF The) ss: <u>40</u>)

Darrin Lynch, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Darrin Lynch referred to in the foregoing document entitled "Direct Testimony of Darrin Lynch" before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas and that the statements therein were prepared by him or under his direction and are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Darrin Lynch

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 15 day of July, 2015.

Notary Public MELISSA SHERFICK Notary Public, State of Kansas My Appointment Expires

My Appointment Expires: 3-14-16