

**BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

In the Matter of the Application of	]	
Evergy Kansas Central, Inc., and	]	
Evergy Kansas South, Inc., for the	]	Docket No. 25-EKCE-294-RTS
Approval to Make Certain Changes	]	
in their Charges for Electric Service.	]	

**PREFILED TESTIMONY**

**OF**

**ADDI LOWELL**

**ON BEHALF OF UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT #259**

1   **Q:     PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

2   **A:**     My Name is Addi Lowell. My business address is Wichita Public Schools, 903 S.  
3   Edgemoor, Wichita, KS 67218.

4   **Q:     PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.**

5   **A:**     I am Chief Financial Officer of the Wichita Public Schools (USD 259).

6   **Q:     PLEASE    DESCRIBE   YOUR   EDUCATIONAL   BACKGROUND   AND**  
7   **PROFESSIONAL EXERIENCE.**

8   **A:**     This information is included in Exhibit A to my testimony.

9   **Q:     ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING?**

10  **A:**     I am appearing on behalf of Unified School District #259 ("USD 259").

11  **Q:     WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS**  
12  **PROCEEDING?**

13  **A:**     USD 259 is concerned about escalating and noncompetitive utility rates. The school  
14  district faces accelerating operating costs and increased education expectations. At the same time,  
15  the end of historical federal pandemic relief funding has left it, like many urban districts,  
16  experiencing enrollment decline with revenue shortfalls to make up for in limited budgeted funds.

17  **Q:     HOW IS THE DUTY TO EDUCATE THE STUDENTS OF USD 259 IMPACTED BY**  
18  **THESE FACTORS?**

19  **A:**     USD 259 students are still testing at or below pre-pandemic levels; continued focus of  
20  resources on learning recovery will be critical to this generation of students. Further, like most  
21  urban school districts across the nation, USD 259 is slowly declining in enrollment, meaning any  
22  increase in base state funding per pupil is eroded by flat or declining enrollment. In this

environment, any significant electric rate increase will make it even more difficult for USD 259 to fulfill its responsibilities.

**Q: HOW ARE SCHOOL DISTRICT EDUCATION GOALS DETERMINED?**

**A:** Notwithstanding each district's unique characteristics, each Kansas school district has a local school board charged with a constitutional duty to "maintain, develop and operate" local public schools. These local school boards must meet state academic standards and achieve certain outcomes, regardless of their different student populations.

Article Six of the Kansas Constitution requires public schools and other educational entities to promote "intellectual, educational, scientific and vocational improvement." In recent decades, that responsibility has become much more sharply defined. In the early 1990's, the State Board of Education - an elected constitutional body under Article Six - implemented a significant transformation of school accreditation to focus on student educational outcomes. At the same time, the State Legislature directed that accreditation be based on improvement in student outcomes and required state academic standards and statewide testing in core subjects. Since the early 2000's, the federal No Child Left Behind Act ("NCLB") required that school districts meet annually increasing targets for student proficiency rates in reading and math. In December 2015, the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) was signed into law replacing NCLB. ESSA required, for the first time, that all students be taught to high academic standards that will prepare them to succeed in college and careers. ESSA also included a new accountability system based on multiple measures including factors other than test scores. Under ESSA, Kansas schools, were required to submit goals that addressed proficiency on tests, English-language proficiency, and graduation rates. Those goals set an expectation that groups that are furthest behind close the gap in achievement and graduation rates.

1   **Q:     ARE THESE REQUIREMENTS MANDATED FOR SCHOOLS?**

2   **A:**     Yes, in the spring of 2014, the Kansas Supreme Court articulated a new set of standards  
3   called the "Rose" capacities which describe the skills students need to have to be successful adults.  
4   These include not only basic skills like communications, but "citizenship" skills in democratic  
5   participation, economics, physical and mental health and cultural appreciation, as well as  
6   preparation for postsecondary academic or vocational program. The Legislature adopted these  
7   capacities as education goals for the state and they have become the "Kansans Can" vision for  
8   educational outcomes.

9   In the spring of 2022, the Kansas Legislature passed a law requiring school boards to make  
10   resource allocation decisions within the annual budget adoption process sufficient to remove the  
11   barriers preventing all students from achieving a Level 3 or Level 4 on state academic assessments  
12   (on a scale of Levels 1 to 4, with 1 being the lowest). Further, school boards must estimate the  
13   amount of time it will take for all students to achieve proficiency above a Level 2 for grade level  
14   academic expectations on state assessments based on that resource reallocation. This requires more  
15   resources for teachers. Higher electric rates will erode our ability to address these standards.

16   **Q:     HAS SCHOOL FUNDING KEPT PACE WITH INCREASING OPERATIONAL**  
17   **COSTS?**

18   **A:**     No, operational funding has not kept up with inflation and the increased operating costs we  
19   currently face with more special needs children, more students with significant behavior  
20   challenges, and higher academic expectations. During the 2017 Kansas legislative session, a new  
21   school finance formula was signed into law which finally ended the extended period of state aid  
22   funding reductions, putting additional funds into the base aid per pupil and restoring weighted  
23   funds for student subgroups which are more expensive to educate, such as At-Risk students.

1 However, the Kansas Supreme Court, in October 2017, found the formula to be inadequate under  
2 the Kansas Constitution and gave the Kansas legislature until June 30, 2018 to submit a formula  
3 that would be constitutionally adequate. The 2018 Kansas legislature put another \$522 million into  
4 the formula. On June 25, 2018, the Kansas Supreme Court determined that the state needed to  
5 address inflation. The 2019 Kansas legislature put another \$90 million into the formula for  
6 inflation. On June 14, 2019, the Kansas Supreme Court found the revised formula substantially  
7 complied with the Court's mandate to address inflation. The Court retained jurisdiction of the case  
8 until 2024 to ensure continued implementation of the scheduled funding. Since then, the Kansas  
9 legislature has complied with the Supreme Court mandate and continued to authorize funding  
10 increases set by the school finance formula and the change to a three-year average Consumer Price  
11 Index (CPI) increase in 2022-23, 2023-24, and 2024-25. However, according to the Kansas  
12 Association of School Board's ("KASB") Kansas Education Facts, January 2023, (attached as  
13 Exhibit B), current Kansas school spending (which included \$400 million in temporary, one-time  
14 federal COVID-19 funding), when adjusted for inflation, is only slightly higher than in 2009.  
15 KASB also cites that despite increasing state aid under the state's current school finance plan,  
16 Kansas per pupil funding is more than 10 percent below the U.S. average. Our Kansas Supreme  
17 Court and Legislature have agreed to increases in the school financing formula tied to a three-year  
18 CPI average. If Evergy's requests for a rate increases in this Docket are granted, then electric rates  
19 will far exceed the three-year CPI average, which for 2025-26 is estimated to be 4.3%.

20 **Q: IF KANSAS IS INCREASING FUNDING, WHY IS FUNDING AN ISSUE FOR**  
21 **SCHOOL DISTRICTS, PARTICULARLY USD 259?**

22 **A:** The Kansas school finance formula allocates funding to school districts based on a per  
23 pupil model. School districts receive a base amount per student full-time equivalent (FTE) and

1 then receive additional weightings for FTE defined students with certain higher needs, which  
2 include At Risk students, students requiring transportation, students who are English language  
3 learners, students who qualify for pre-Kindergarten services, and students requiring Special  
4 Education services. Since 2015, USD 259 has been declining in enrollment, with the sharpest  
5 decline occurring in 2020 following pandemic-related school closures. To date, the district has  
6 contracted by about 9.6% from 2015 to 2024.

7 **Q: HOW HAS THIS DECLINE IMPACTED USD 259'S FUNDING?**

8 **A:** Fewer students means less funding, even if the base per pupil is increasing. For example,  
9 in a year that the state increases funding by 3%, USD 259 may only see an increase of 2% because  
10 of enrollment decline. USD 259 has responded and reduced staff accordingly, but many of the  
11 fixed costs of maintaining buildings and support services remain and have steadily increased.

12 **Q: ARE THERE OTHER NEEDS REGARDING THE MAKEUP OF THE STUDENTS**  
13 **THAT REQUIRE MORE FUNDING?**

14 **A:** USD 259's student makeup has continued to change, and costs related to these higher needs  
15 populations have increased at a greater rate than funding in the formula. For example, KASB  
16 reports that since 2010, the number of special education students have increased by 25%, total  
17 special education expenditures have increased by 44%, and inflation has increased by 34%, while  
18 state special education aid has increased by just 25%.

19 **Q: HOW HAS USD 259 BEEN HANDLING THESE INCREASING COSTS AND**  
20 **LOWER ENROLLMENTS?**

21 **A:** USD 259 must transfer funds from its General fund and Supplemental General fund just to  
22 cover the increasing costs of mandatory special education services. This action leaves fewer dollars  
23 to support ongoing and increasing operational costs, let alone leaving additional funds to support

1 innovation, increasing technology needs and teacher development, all of which are critical to  
2 achieving improved student outcomes. The district has needed to prioritize budgeting more funds  
3 to attract employees to education. USD 259 currently has almost 200 paraprofessional openings,  
4 55 Special Education teacher vacancies, and 125 other teacher and support staff vacancies. Urban  
5 school districts like USD 259 have students with more needs and thus have to offer better wages  
6 and benefits than suburban counterparts. Additionally, the pool of new teachers entering the field  
7 is shrinking. These challenges all combine to put a tremendous amount of pressure on the  
8 allocation of funds USD 259 receives each year.

9 **Q: IN LIGHT OF THE REDUCED STATE FUNDING AND INCREASED**  
10 **OPERATIONAL COSTS YOU HAVE DISCUSSED, HOW WILL EVERGY'S PROPOSED**  
11 **APPROXIMATE 10% RATE INCREASE TO THE SCHOOL CLASS OF CUSTOMERS**  
12 **AFFECT USD 259?**

13 **A:** As I have testified, school operational costs continue to rise and new state funding, after  
14 being cut from 2009-2015 and then frozen for 2016-17, will not be sufficient to address the  
15 tremendous need for resources which exist in all programs and services for USD 259 students.  
16 Overall, student enrollment continues to decline and high needs populations continue to increase.  
17 New funds coming to USD 259 are specifically being targeted to educational recovery programs,  
18 staff needs, and improving outcomes for high need students. A significant increase in utility costs  
19 hinders this recovery and restoration effort. The largest area of operating expenditures is personnel,  
20 so a likely consequence would be the inability to add and retain teachers and other school staff.  
21 This would lead to increasingly larger class sizes and continued reduction of student services. The  
22 second largest budget area is building operations. USD 259 already has over \$1 billion in deferred

1 maintenance needs, so increased utility costs could exacerbate these challenges, ultimately  
2 resulting in the mothballing of school buildings which cannot be maintained.

3 **Q: WHAT ARE THE SOURCES OF SCHOOL DISTRICT FUNDING GOING**  
4 **FORWARD?**

5 **A:** School districts rely on three sources of revenue: state, local and federal. Each of these  
6 sources has faced and continues to face uncertainty in the future. About 68.5% of school revenue  
7 comes from state appropriations, 17% from Local and 14.5% from Federal.

8 **Q: ARE THERE OTHER COSTS YOU ANTICIPATE THAT WOULD ERODE YOUR**  
9 **ABILITY TO FULFILL THE SCHOOL DISTRICT'S OBLIGATION TO EDUCATE**  
10 **STUDENTS?**

11 **A:** Yes, those other costs include the following:

12 (a) Other concerning uncertainties in state funding are the significant unfunded liability  
13 of the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System and growing state health care costs. These  
14 factors are expected to constrain the state's "foundational" commitment to public education.

15 (b) Local revenues (about 17% of total school revenues) are problematic because  
16 school districts have extraordinarily diverse local tax bases. Some higher wealth districts could  
17 likely raise additional revenues relatively easily, if allowed by the state. But many districts require  
18 much higher local mill levies to raise any additional funds. These disparities can be mitigated by  
19 increased state equalization aid, but the limitations on state funding have already been noted. USD  
20 259 has already maxed out the amount it can levy locally for Capital Outlay funds and is also  
21 already exercising its full Supplemental General fund authority (33% of the General fund),  
22 meaning it cannot raise more local taxes in excess of that 33% authority. That leaves no other  
23 unrestricted local tax availability for USD 259.



1 (c) Federal revenues (normally 14.5% of total school revenues) are restricted to  
2 specific programs such as student meal support, special education aid and programs for  
3 disadvantaged students; they cannot be used for basic operating costs such as electricity. While  
4 federal funding in these areas can reduce the need to supplement support from state and local  
5 funds, the most likely projection is that efforts to reduce the federal deficit will reduce or, at best,  
6 limit federal aid to K-12 education.

7 (d) Federal pandemic relief funding for school districts ended in September 2024. This  
8 left school districts across the country to evaluate huge funding deficits, as well as difficulties  
9 maintaining expenditures directly in classrooms for learning loss initiatives and mental health  
10 services. This funding deficit left Wichita Public Schools with a \$42 million budget deficit in 2024-  
11 25. In a system that has already faced major cost reductions, adding to a future budget deficit  
12 through a rate increase would undoubtedly continue the need for cost reduction measures. In short,  
13 most if not all Kansas school districts face a highly constrained financial environment for the  
14 foreseeable future. Any increase in fixed costs, such as electrical rates, will therefore reduce  
15 resources available for improving student educational outcomes.

16 **Q: DO INCREASED EDUCATIONAL STANDARDS BENEFIT KANSAS AND**  
17 **LOCAL ECONOMIES?**

18 **A:** An educated workforce is an integral component needed to attract and retain industries that  
19 require skilled educated workers. However, higher utility rates will divert operating dollars from  
20 teachers, support services, enhanced learning technology and programs to improve teacher  
21 effectiveness.

22 **Q: DOES KANSAS COMPETE WITH OTHER STATES FOR THESE SKILLED**  
23 **EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES?**

1    **A:**     Yes. Other states are also improving educational outcomes, and the United States faces  
2    rising international competition for high skill jobs. It is imperative that Kansas meet and exceed  
3    this competition. Kansas public schools, which educate over 90% of the school-aged population,  
4    must be able to focus limited resources on educating students. Increasing "overhead", costs like  
5    utility payments, especially at the levels Evergy is proposing for schools in this docket will  
6    detrimentally affect Kansas students.

7    **Q:     PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.**

8    **A:**     USD 259 provides an education which supports the state and local economic base and sets  
9    the direction for the prosperity of the state for decades to come. However, to achieve the high level  
10   of education targets which each school district must meet, they must reduce operating expenses at  
11   every opportunity to support competitive salaries for staff, buildings and extra-curricular activities  
12   as necessary to achieve our educational objectives. As I have stated, the Commission should not  
13   increase the electric rates charged to schools and certainly not at the levels requested by Evergy. If  
14   the Commission finds cause for an increase, the Commission should look at the facts and requests  
15   in the other dockets contemporaneously filed by Evergy, consider the facts and circumstances  
16   facing public schools, and then align any increase to a normalized CPI factor of 1-3%. The  
17   Commission should not approve the very burdensome rate increases being proposed by Evergy,  
18   which forces schools to choose between meeting student needs and keeping the lights on.

19   **Q:     DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

20   **A:**     Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS            )  
  ) ss:  
COUNTY OF SEDGWICK    )


Addi Lowell, of lawful age, being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am the Chief Financial Officer of Wichita Public Schools; that I have read the above Prefiled Testimony; that I know the contents thereof and declares that the statements made therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.



\_\_\_\_\_  
ADDI LOWELL

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 29th day of MAY, 2025.



  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My Appointment Expires:

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 6th day of June, 2025, to the following:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY  
ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P.  
216 S HICKORY  
PO BOX 17  
OTTAWA, KS 66067-0017  
[jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com](mailto:jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com)

ELIZABETH A. BAKER, ATTORNEY AT LAW  
BAKER, STOREY, & WATSON  
1603 SW 37TH STREET  
TOPEKA, KS 66611  
[ebaker@bakerstorey.com](mailto:ebaker@bakerstorey.com)

NICK SMITH, MANAGER OF KANSAS REGULATION  
BLACK HILLS ENERGY CORPORATION  
601 North Iowa Street  
Lawrence, KS 66044  
[nick.smith@blackhillscorp.com](mailto:nick.smith@blackhillscorp.com)

ROB DANIEL, Director of Regulatory  
BLACK HILLS/KANSAS GAS UTILITY COMPANY LLC D/B/A Black Hills Energy  
601 NORTH IOWA STREET  
LAWRENCE, KS 66044  
[rob.daniel@blackhillscorp.com](mailto:rob.daniel@blackhillscorp.com)

DOUGLAS LAW, ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL  
BLACK HILLS/KANSAS GAS UTILITY COMPANY, LLC D/B/A BLACK HILLS ENERGY  
1731 WINDHOEK DRIVE  
LINCOLN, NE 68512  
[douglas.law@blackhillscorp.com](mailto:douglas.law@blackhillscorp.com)

KURT J. BOEHM, ATTORNEY  
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY  
36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202  
[kboehm@bkllawfirm.com](mailto:kboehm@bkllawfirm.com)

JODY KYLER COHN, ATTORNEY  
BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY  
36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202  
[jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com](mailto:jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com)

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, CONSUMER COUNSEL  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Joseph.Astrab@ks.gov](mailto:Joseph.Astrab@ks.gov)

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Todd.Love@ks.gov](mailto:Todd.Love@ks.gov)

SHONDA RABB  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Shonda.Rabb@ks.gov](mailto:Shonda.Rabb@ks.gov)

DELLA SMITH  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Della.Smith@ks.gov](mailto:Della.Smith@ks.gov)

MELISSA M. BUHRIG, Exec. Vice President, Gen. Counsel & Secretary  
CVR REFINING CVL, LLC  
2277 Plaza Dr., Ste. 500  
Sugar Land, TX 77479  
[mmbuhrig@CVREnergy.com](mailto:mmbuhrig@CVREnergy.com)

JASON T GRAY, ATTORNEY  
DUNCAN & ALLEN  
1730 Rhode Island Ave., NW  
Suite 700  
Washington, DC 20036  
[jtg@duncanallen.com](mailto:jtg@duncanallen.com)

Justin Bieber  
ENERGY STRATEGIES, LLC  
PARKSIDE TOWERS  
215 S STATE ST STE 200  
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111  
[jbieber@energystrat.com](mailto:jbieber@energystrat.com)

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SR DIRECTOR & REGULATORY AFFAIRS COUNSEL  
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC  
818 S KANSAS AVE  
PO BOX 889  
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889  
[Cathy.Dinges@evergy.com](mailto:Cathy.Dinges@evergy.com)

LESLIE WINES, Sr. Exec. Admin. Asst.  
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC  
818 S KANSAS AVE  
PO BOX 889  
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889  
[leslie.wines@evergy.com](mailto:leslie.wines@evergy.com)

COLE A BAILEY, CORPORATE COUNSEL DIRECTOR  
EVERGY KANSAS SOUTH, INC. D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL  
818 S KANSAS AVE, PO Box 889  
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889  
[cole.bailey@evergy.com](mailto:cole.bailey@evergy.com)

DARRIN IVES, VP - REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
EVERGY METRO, INC D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS METRO  
One Kansas City Place  
1200 Main St., 19th Floor  
Kansas City, MO 64105  
[DARRIN.IVES@EVERGY.COM](mailto:DARRIN.IVES@EVERGY.COM)

RONALD A. KLOTE, DIRECTOR, REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
EVERGY METRO, INC D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS METRO  
ONE KANSAS CITY PLACE  
1200 MAIN, 19TH FLOOR  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105  
[ronald.klote@evergy.com](mailto:ronald.klote@evergy.com)

DAVID BANKS, CEM, CEP  
FLINT HILLS ENERGY CONSULTANT  
117 S PARKRIDGE  
WICHITA, KS 67209  
[david@fheconsultants.net](mailto:david@fheconsultants.net)

DANIEL J BULLER, ATTORNEY  
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP  
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE 1400  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041  
[dbuller@foulston.com](mailto:dbuller@foulston.com)

MOLLY E MORGAN, ATTORNEY  
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP  
1551 N. Waterfront Parkway  
Suite 100  
Wichita, KS 67206  
[mmorgan@foulston.com](mailto:mmorgan@foulston.com)

LEE M SMITHYMAN, ATTORNEY  
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP  
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE 1400  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041  
[lsmithyman@foulston.com](mailto:lsmithyman@foulston.com)

JAMES P ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY  
FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP  
7500 COLLEGE BOULEVARD, STE 1400  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66201-4041  
[jzakoura@foulston.com](mailto:jzakoura@foulston.com)

Constance Chan, Senior Category Manager - Electricity & Business Travel  
HF SINCLAIR EL DORADO REFINING LLC  
2323 Victory Ave. Ste 1400  
Dalla, TX 75219  
[constance.chan@hfsinclair.com](mailto:constance.chan@hfsinclair.com)

Jon Lindsey, Corporate Counsel  
HF SINCLAIR EL DORADO REFINING LLC  
550 E. South Temple  
Salt Lake City, UT 84102  
[jon.lindsey@hfsinclair.com](mailto:jon.lindsey@hfsinclair.com)

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Brian.Fedotin@ks.gov](mailto:Brian.Fedotin@ks.gov)

PATRICK HURLEY, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Patrick.Hurley@ks.gov](mailto:Patrick.Hurley@ks.gov)

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
[Carly.Masenthin@ks.gov](mailto:Carly.Masenthin@ks.gov)

LORNA EATON, MANAGER OF RATES AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC.  
7421 W 129TH STREET  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213  
[lorna.eaton@onegas.com](mailto:lorna.eaton@onegas.com)

LORNA EATON, MANAGER RATES & REGULATORY - OKE01026  
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC.  
7421 W 129TH STREET  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213  
[invoices@onegas.com](mailto:invoices@onegas.com)

ROBERT E. VINCENT, MANAGING ATTORNEY  
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC.  
7421 W. 129TH STREET  
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213  
[robert.vincent@onegas.com](mailto:robert.vincent@onegas.com)

VALERIE SMITH, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT  
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY  
800 SW JACKSON  
SUITE 1310  
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216  
[ysmith@morrislaing.com](mailto:ysmith@morrislaing.com)

TREVOR WOHLFORD, ATTORNEY  
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY  
800 SW JACKSON  
SUITE 1310  
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216  
[twohlford@morrislaing.com](mailto:twohlford@morrislaing.com)



GLENDA CAFER, MORRIS LAING LAW FIRM  
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY CHTD  
800 SW JACKSON STE 1310  
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216  
[gcafer@morrislaing.com](mailto:gcafer@morrislaing.com)

RITA LOWE, PARALEGAL  
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY CHTD  
300 N MEAD STE 200  
WICHITA, KS 67202-2745  
[rlowe@morrislaing.com](mailto:rlowe@morrislaing.com)

WILL B. WOHLFORD, ATTORNEY  
MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY CHTD  
300 N MEAD STE 200  
WICHITA, KS 67202-2745  
[wwohlford@morrislaing.com](mailto:wwohlford@morrislaing.com)

TIM OPITZ  
OPITZ LAW FIRM, LLC  
308 E. HIGH STREET  
SUITE B101  
JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101  
[tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com](mailto:tim.opitz@opitzlawfirm.com)

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY  
POL SINELLI PC  
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112  
[acallenbach@polsinelli.com](mailto:acallenbach@polsinelli.com)

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY  
POL SINELLI PC  
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112  
[fcaro@polsinelli.com](mailto:fcaro@polsinelli.com)

JARED R. JEVONS, ATTORNEY  
POL SINELLI PC  
900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112  
[JJEVONS@POL SINELLI.COM](mailto:JJEVONS@POL SINELLI.COM)

Greg Wright  
Priority Power Mgt.  
12512 Augusta Dr  
Kansas City, KS 66109  
[gwright@prioritypower.com](mailto:gwright@prioritypower.com)

KACEY S MAYES, ATTORNEY  
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC  
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300  
WICHITA, KS 67226  
[ksmayes@twgfirm.com](mailto:ksmayes@twgfirm.com)

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY  
TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC  
2959 N ROCK RD STE 300  
WICHITA, KS 67226  
[TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM](mailto:TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM)

JOHN J. MCNUTT, General Attorney  
U.S. ARMY LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY  
REGULATORY LAW OFFICE  
9275 GUNSTON RD., STE. 1300  
FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060-5546  
[john.j.mcnutt.civ@army.mil](mailto:john.j.mcnutt.civ@army.mil)

KEVIN K. LACHANCE, CONTRACT LAW ATTORNEY  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
ADMIN & CIVIL LAW DIVISION  
OFFICE OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE  
FORT RILEY, KS 66442  
[kevin.k.lachance.civ@army.mil](mailto:kevin.k.lachance.civ@army.mil)

/s/ Kacey S. Mayes

Kacey S. Mayes, #28224

**Exhibit A: QUALIFICATIONS OF ADDI LOWELL**

**Q: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

**A:** Addi Lowell. My business address is Wichita Public Schools, 903 S. Edgemoor, Wichita, KS 67218.

**Q: PLEASE STATE YOUR OCCUPATION.**

**A:** I am Chief Financial Officer of the Wichita Public Schools.

**Q: PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK EXPERIENCE.**

**A:** I began my tenure as the Chief Financial Officer of the Wichita Public Schools in October 2024. Prior to that date, I served as Budget & Payroll Director for the Wichita Public Schools from December 2016 to October 2024. I received a Bachelor of Science in Business, Accounting, from Wichita State University in 2012, and a Master of Accounting from Florida Atlantic University in 2015. In my current position as Chief Financial Officer, I am responsible for overall budget and financial reporting of the District in compliance with governmental accounting standards and federal and state statutory requirements. I also prepare monthly budget reports for the Board of Education (BOE), and present to the BOE during the budget preparation process. I monitor revenues, expenditures and cash balances of the Wichita Public Schools, and oversee the preparation of the State Budget Forms and the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report. I am also responsible for analyzing a variety of financial information and making recommendations to maximize use of funds by the Wichita Public Schools.