THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commission	erc.	

Pat Apple, Chairman Shari Feist Albrecht Jay Scott Emler

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc., Seeking Commission Approval for 2018 Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider Tariff.)))	Docket No. 18-KGSG-230-TAR
---	-------------	----------------------------

ORDER APPROVING AD VALOREM TAX SURCHARGE RIDER UPDATE

The above-captioned matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission) for consideration and decision. Having reviewed its files and records and being duly advised in the premises, the Commission makes the following findings and conclusions:

I. BACKGROUND

- 1. On November 30, 2017, Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. (KGS) submitted a request for approval of its updated Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider. KGS indicated it would submit additional information on remaining assessments and actual November 2017 recoveries prior to Commission Staff (Staff) completing its audit. KGS submitted this information on December 11 and December 12, 2017.
- 2. Staff has prepared a Report and Recommendation (R&R) analyzing and recommending approval of KGS's 2018 property tax surcharge with conditions. Staff's R&R dated December 13, 2017, is attached hereto as "Attachment A" and is hereby adopted by the Commission and incorporated into this Order by reference.

¹ Tariff for Kansas Gas Service Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider 2018 (Nov. 30, 2017). Note: A confidential version of this filing was also submitted.

² See id. at p. 1.

³ Kansas Gas Service Amended 2018 Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge (Dec. 11, 2017). Note: A confidential version of this filing was also submitted.

II. DISCUSSION

- 3. KGS originally requested an increase of \$157,405, which consisted of the difference between KGS's 2017 total Ad Valorem tax assessments and the amount included in base rates established by the Commission in Docket No. 16-KGSG-491-RTS as well as a true-up provision comparing the amount of Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge revenue collected versus that intended to be collected during the year 2017.⁴ This requested increase was revised to an annual increase of \$122,315 (requiring a surcharge of \$0.0015/Mcf) upon receiving KGS's December 11, 2017 amendment.⁵
- 4. Staff conducted an on-site review of KGS's 2017 Ad Valorem statements. During this review, Staff discovered that two Ad Valorem tax statements (one for McPherson County and the other for Reno County) were overstated collectively by approximately \$20, thereby overstating the total 2017 Ad Valorem tax assessments used to calculate the surcharge.⁶ Upon correcting these totals, the Ad Valorem increase amounted to \$122,295.⁷ However, Staff noted the amount of this adjustment was immaterial and had no effect on the final proposed surcharge.⁸ Accordingly, Staff detailed the proposed surcharge after accounting for this modification remained \$0.0015/Mcf.⁹
- 5. Staff reviewed Ad Valorem tax statements and records supporting KGS's recovery of revenue associated with KGS's 2018 update to its Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider. As a result of this review, Staff found the information to be accurate and concluded KGS's 2018 update to its Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider is properly calculated to charge the increase in Ad Valorem taxes when compared to the amount currently embedded in KGS's base rates.¹⁰

⁴ See Staff's Report and Recommendation, pp. 1 (Dec. 13, 2017) (Staff R&R).

⁵ See id. at p. 2.

⁶ See id.

⁷ See id.

⁸ See id.

⁹ See id.

¹⁰ See Staff R&R, p. 2.

- 6. Accordingly, Staff recommended approval of KGS's revised Ad Valorem increase of \$122,295 with the following conditions:
 - A. KGS shall file an updated tariff with the Commission reflecting the revised surcharge before implementing the surcharge;
 - B. Staff will ensure that the annual true-up of amounts collected versus the actual amount of increase or reduction in Ad Valorem taxes will be reflected in the subsequent year Ad Valorem surcharge calculation; and
 - C. K.S.A. 66-117(f) requires the annual true-up of this surcharge once established. Accordingly, KGS shall file its Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider annual true-up in December of each calendar year.¹¹

III. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

7. K.S.A. 66-117(f) provides, in pertinent part:

Whenever, after the effective date of this act, an electric public utility, a natural gas public utility or a combination thereof, files tariffs reflecting a surcharge on the utility's bills for utility service designed to collect the annual increase in expense charged on its books and records for ad valorem taxes, such utility shall report annually to the state corporation commission the changes in expense charged for ad valorem taxes.

8. In Docket No. 04-KGSG-1099-TAR, the Commission approved KGS's Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider. 12 KGS submitted its present request in November 2017, with final updates and amendments submitted in December. Accordingly, the Commission finds and concludes KGS has properly submitted its annual report to the Commission regarding changes in expense charged for Ad Valorem taxes as required by K.S.A. 66-117(f) and the Commission's July 14, 2004, Order in Docket No. 04-KGSG-1099-TAR.

¹¹ See id. at p. 3.

¹² Order Approving Property Tax Surcharge; KGS Required to File Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider Annual True-Up in December of Each Calendar Year, p.3 (Jul. 14, 2004).

9. K.S.A. 66-117(f) also provides the legal standard by which the Commission should review tariffs such as KGS's property tax surcharge:

Upon a showing that the surcharge is applied to bills in a reasonable manner and is calculated to substantially collect the increase in ad valorem tax expense charged on the books and records of the utility, or reduce any existing surcharge based upon a decrease in ad valorem tax expense incurred on the books and records of the utility, the commission shall approve such tariffs within 30 days of the filing.

- 10. Upon review of Staff's R&R and the record as a whole, the Commission finds and concludes the surcharge as calculated by KGS and reviewed by Staff substantially collects the increase in Ad Valorem tax expense charged on the books of KGS. Although Staff noted a slight overstatement on tax assessments, once corrected the effect of this overstatement had no impact on the proposed surcharge amount. Accordingly, the Commission finds and concludes substantial competent evidence exists to approve KGS's request in the instant proceeding, as conditioned in Staff's R&R.
- 11. Accordingly, the Commission finds and concludes KGS's request to update its Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider should be approved. KGS shall be permitted to increase its Ad Valorem tax expense \$122,295. KGS shall be permitted to collect revenues necessary to account for this increase in Ad Valorem tax expense via a surcharge in the amount of \$0.0015/Mcf, subject to the conditions contained within Staff's R&R and as detailed in paragraph 6 of this Order. The Commission finds and concludes a surcharge in the amount of \$0.0015/Mcf will result in revenues sufficient to substantially collect the increase in Ad Valorem tax expense charged to KGS, and will therefore result in just and reasonable rates. The Commission finds and concludes KGS's surcharge will be applied to bills in a reasonable manner.

IT IS, THEREFORE, BY THE COMMISSION ORDERED THAT:

KGS's request to increase its Ad Valorem tax expense, as adjusted by Staff A.

(\$122,295), is approved.

B. KGS shall be permitted to recover revenues necessary to account for this increase in

Ad Valorem tax expense via a surcharge in the amount of \$0.0015/Mcf, subject to the conditions

contained within Staff's R&R and as detailed in paragraph 6 of this Order. KGS shall submit an Ad

Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider indicating a surcharge of \$0.0015/Mcf prior to collecting this

surcharge.

C. Parties have 15 days, plus three days if service is by mail, from the date of service

of this Order to petition the Commission for reconsideration or request a hearing, as provided in

K.S.A. 77-542.¹³

The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the D.

purpose of issuing such further order, or orders, as it may deem necessary.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Apple, Chairman; Albrecht, Commissioner; Emler, Commissioner

DEC 1 9 2017 Dated:

Secretary to the Commission

Order Mailed Date

DEC 2 0 2017

REV

¹³ See also K.S.A. 66-118b; K.S.A. 77-529(a)(1).

ATTACHMENT "A"

Utilities Division 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604-4027



Phone: 785-271-3220 Fax: 785-271-3357 http://kcc.ks.gov/

Sam Brownback, Governor

Pat Apple, Chairman Shari Feist Albrecht, Commissioner Jay Scott Emler, Commissioner

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION UTILITIES DIVISION

TO:

Chairman Pat Apple

Commissioner Shari Feist Albrecht Commissioner Jay Scott Emler

FROM:

Tim Rehagen, Senior Auditor

Brad Hutton, Auditor

Justin Grady, Chief of Accounting and Financial Analysis

Jeff McClanahan, Director of Utilities

DATE:

December 13, 2017

SUBJECT:

Docket No. 18-KGSG-230-TAR – In the Matter of the Application of Kansas Gas

Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc., Seeking Commission Approval for 2018

Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider Tariff.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Staff recommends approval of Kansas Gas Service's (KGS) 2018 Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider. This surcharge would be in effect during the calendar year 2018 and is in addition to the Ad Valorem tax that was included in base rates from KGS's 2016 rate case. The annual impact of the surcharge would be an increase of approximately \$0.11 per residential customer. Pursuant to K.S.A. 66-117(f), a Commission Order in this Docket is due by December 30, 2017.

BACKGROUND:

On November 30, 2017, KGS filed its 2018 Ad Valorem tax surcharge request with the Kansas Corporation Commission (Commission). The surcharge request was filed in accordance with K.S.A. 66-117(f), which provides for a utility to collect increases in its Ad Valorem taxes that exceed amounts currently embedded in base rates. The statute also requires a Commission Order within 30 days of the filing date which, in this Docket, is by December 30, 2017. KGS originally requested an increase of \$157,405, consisting of:

1. The difference between its 2017 total Ad Valorem tax assessments and the amount included in base rates established by the Commission in Docket No. 16-KGSG-491-RTS (16-491 Docket); and

2. A true-up provision comparing the amount of Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge revenue collected versus that intended to be collected during the year 2017.¹

ANALYSIS:

The Commission derives its authority to review Ad Valorem tariffs from K.S.A. 66-117(f), which states in part:

Whenever, after the effective date of this act, an electric public utility, a natural gas public utility or a combination thereof, files tariffs reflecting a surcharge on the utility's bills for utility service designed to collect the annual increase in expense charged on its books and records for ad valorem taxes, such utility shall report annually to the state corporation commission the changes in expense charged for ad valorem taxes...Upon a showing that the surcharge is applied to bills in a reasonable manner and is calculated to substantially collect the increase in ad valorem tax expense charged on the books and records of the utility, or reduce any existing surcharge based upon a decrease in ad valorem tax expense incurred on the books and records of the utility, the [C]ommission shall approve such tariffs within 30 days of the filing.

On December 11, 2017, KGS filed an amended Ad Valorem tax surcharge calculation that results in an annual increase of \$122,315 and a surcharge of \$0.0015/Mcf. The revised schedules include actual amounts recovered from ratepayers in November 2017 that were originally estimated, as well as tax statements that were originally estimated.

On December 12, 2017, Staff conducted an on-site review of KGS's 2017 Ad Valorem statements. During this review, Staff discovered that two Ad Valorem tax statements (one for McPherson County and the other for Reno County) were overstated collectively by approximately \$20, thereby overstating the total 2017 Ad Valorem tax assessments used to calculate the surcharge by this amount. Upon correcting these totals, the Ad Valorem increase amounted to \$122,295.² The amount of this adjustment is immaterial in that it has no effect on the final proposed surcharge. Therefore, the surcharge after accounting for this modification is still \$0.0015/Mcf.

Staff has reviewed the Ad Valorem tax statements and the records supporting KGS's recovery of revenue associated with the 2018 Ad Valorem tax surcharge. The information reviewed was found to be accurate. As a result of this review, Staff concludes that KGS's 2018 Ad Valorem tax surcharge is properly calculated to charge the increase in Ad Valorem taxes when compared to the amount currently embedded in KGS's base rates.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends the Commission approve KGS's revised Ad Valorem increase of \$122,295 with the following conditions:

¹ The initial filing contains estimated recoveries for the months of November and December 2017. *See* Tariff for Kansas Gas Service Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider 2018, Docket No. 18-KGSG-230-TAR, pg. 2 (Nov. 30, 2017).

² See Staff Exhibit 1 for a revised Ad Valorem tax surcharge calculation which incorporates this correction. Staff Exhibit 1(a) contains the Ad Valorem tax assessments by county including the corrected amounts for the McPherson and Reno counties.

- 1. KGS shall file an updated tariff with the Commission reflecting the revised surcharge before implementing the surcharge.
- 2. Staff will ensure that the annual true-up of amounts collected versus the actual amount of increase or reduction in Ad Valorem taxes will be reflected in the subsequent year Ad Valorem surcharge calculation.
- 3. K.S.A. 66-117(f) requires the annual true-up of this surcharge once established. Accordingly, KGS shall file its Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider annual true-up in December of each calendar year.

KANSAS GAS SERVICE AD VALOREM TAX SURCHARGE For the Year Ending December 31, 2017 Calculation for the 2018 Billing Year

Adjustment to Prior Year Surcharge	AMI	ENDED	
Prior Year Surcharge Total \$ (1,770,726.31) Late Assessments (300.22) True-up of Estimated Recoveries:			
Dec-16 Estimated \$ 262,727.00			
,			
Actual (196,131.21)			
Total Adjustments to Estimate \$ 66,595.79			
Total Prior Year Surcharge Adjustments	\$ (1,704,430.74)	
Current Year Recoveries - 2017			
January \$ (321,405.82) February (253,910.95) March (169,364.28) April (129,440.23) May (86,275.38) June (58,957.29) July (51,776.84) August (54,701.92) September (54,381.66) October (55,694.61) November (128,456.82) December Estimated (271,740.63) Total Recoveries	_\$ (1,636,106.43)	
Under/(Over) Recovery to Carry Forward	\$	(68,324.31)	
Current Year Assessments			
Ad Valorem Tax Assessments \$21,144,627.48 Less: Amount included in Base Rates *1 (20,954,008.00)			
Less: Amount included in Base Rates Recoverable Current Year Taxes *1 (20,954,008.00)	\$	190,619.48	
Total Recoverable Amount	\$	122,295.17	
Annual Billing Determinants			
Billing Determinants *2 80,570,937 Mcf	f]	80,570,937 Mcf	
Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rate: Surcharge of	\$	0.0015 /Mcf	

^{*1} Docket 16-KGSG-491-RTS: Unanimous Settlement Agreement, Section 18 (page 6)

^{*2} Docket 16-KGSG-491-RTS: Unanimous Settlement Agreement, Appendix B NOTE: The calculation of this rider is detailed in the KGS Tariff, section 48.1

KANSAS GAS SERVICE AD VALOREM TAX SURCHARGE 2016 AND 2017 TAXES

Anderson 136,578.50 121,316.98 (\$15,261.52) -11 Atchinson 256,889.32 266,636.84 \$9,747.52 33 Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 22 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 55 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 77 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Coffey 13,165.84 13,514.82 \$228.98 22 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 66 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 33 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elik 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 44 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 55 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$68,757.58) -566 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 33 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	
KANSAS Allen \$175,977.90 181,551.86 \$5,573.96 3 Anderson 136,578.50 121,316.98 (\$15,261.52) -11 Atchinson 266,889.32 266,636.84 \$9,747.52 3 Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 2 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 3 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 0 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 5 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) 4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78	and and
Allen \$175,977.90 181,551.86 \$5,573.96 33 Anderson 136,578.50 121,316.98 (\$15,261.52) -11 Atchinson 256,889.32 266,636.84 \$9,747.52 33 Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 22 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 55 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 77 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,23.52 \$20,189.80 44 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 33 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elik 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Elik 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,933.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,84.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 33 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	. 446
Anderson 136,578.50 121,316.98 (\$15,261.52) -11 Atchinson 256,889.32 266,636.84 \$9,747.52 3 Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 2 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 3 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 0 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 5 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$228.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elik 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 44 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -566 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	
Atchinson 256,889.32 266,636.84 \$9,747.52 33 Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 25 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 55 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 77 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 25 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 66 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Frond 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -566 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.55 33 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	3.17%
Barber 96,129.54 94,848.44 (\$1,281.10) -1 Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 22 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 55 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 66 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellisworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 55 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -566 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	11.17%
Barton 466,360.54 480,252.26 \$13,891.72 2 Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 0 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 5 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	3.79%
Bourbon 228,615.92 236,359.36 \$7,743.44 33 Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 5 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 33 Douglas 71,067.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 55 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -566 Franklin 229,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 33 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	-1.33%
Brown 146,941.25 148,379.10 \$1,437.85 00 Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 55 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 33 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 44 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -566 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	2.98%
Butler 698,996.24 734,221.12 \$35,224.88 5 Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	3.39%
Chautauqua 1,409.18 1,190.58 (\$218.60) -15 Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elik 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	0.98%
Cherokee 273,379.82 295,156.64 \$21,776.82 7 Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4	5.04%
Clark 83,193.00 79,366.15 (\$3,826.85) -4 Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5	15.51%
Clay 125,512.55 124,884.44 (\$628.11) -0 Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	7.97%
Cloud 178,700.76 181,659.66 \$2,958.90 1 Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 <t< td=""><td>-4.60%</td></t<>	-4.60%
Coffey 13,185.84 13,514.82 \$328.98 2 Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90 <td>-0.50%</td>	-0.50%
Comanche 56,789.52 57,408.66 \$619.14 1 Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	1.66%
Cowley 317,750.20 336,816.98 \$19,066.78 6 Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	2.49%
Crawford 485,033.72 505,223.52 \$20,189.80 4 Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	1.09%
Dickinson 238,436.38 225,314.76 (\$13,121.62) -5 Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	6.00%
Doniphan 87,182.66 89,803.02 \$2,620.36 3 Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	4.16%
Douglas 71,087.04 74,122.12 \$3,035.08 4 Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	-5.50%
Edwards 153,507.70 153,252.76 (\$254.94) -0 Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	3.01%
Elk 43,560.72 40,266.20 (\$3,294.52) -7 Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	4.27%
Ellis 21,622.30 22,497.72 \$875.42 4 Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	-0.17%
Ellsworth 76,544.32 80,455.10 \$3,910.78 5 Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	-7.56%
Ford 117,741.20 50,983.62 (\$66,757.58) -56 Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	4.05%
Franklin 269,894.71 279,339.29 \$9,444.58 3 Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	5.11%
Geary 315,011.81 314,051.94 (\$959.87) -0 Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	56.70%
Grant 7,578.65 719.24 (\$6,859.41) -90	3.50%
	-0.30%
Gray 17,828.94 13,369.52 (\$4,459.42) -25	90.51%
	25.01%
Greenwood 20,121.20 21,270.64 \$1,149.44 5	5.71%
Harper 57,043.01 57,816.96 \$773.95 1	1.36%
Harvey 261,557.43 280,531.38 \$18,973.95 7	7.25%
Haskell 36,693.75 32,439.46 (\$4,254.29) -11	11.59%
Jackson 90,294.94 94,588.46 \$4,293.52 4	4.75%
Jefferson 142,423.90 145,297.50 \$2,873.60 2	2.02%
Jewell 65,369.54 64,515.50 (\$854.04) -1	-1.31%
Johnson 2,749,032.24 2,700,750.91 (\$48,281.33) -1	-1.76%
Kingman 202,128.30 190,337.82 (\$11,790.48) -5	-5.83%
Kiowa 81,161.38 80,760.76 (\$400.62) -0	-0.49%
Labette 344,613.86 359,656.08 \$15,042.22 4	4.36%
Leavenworth 427,951.67 435,033.25 \$7,081.58 1	1.65%
Lincoln 61,114.84 64,773.64 \$3,658.80 5	5.99%
Linn 14,764.14 14,856.84 \$92.70 0	0.63%
Lyon 311,997.72 313,116.92 \$1,119.20 0	0.36%
	-2.88%
Marshall 175,918.37 180,701.96 \$4,783.59 2	2.72%
McPherson 381,096.62 375,410.99 (\$5,685.63) -1	-1.49%

Meade	35,884.12	33,643.90	(\$2,240.22)	-6.24
Miami ·	140,580.32	144,970.10	\$4,389.78	3.12
Mitchell	136,933.72	141,320.14	\$4,386.42	3.20
Montgomery	127,330.92	119,675.00	(\$7,655.92)	-6.01
Morris	12,177.10	13,256.46	\$1,079.36	8.86
Morton	1,392.50	1,148.49	(\$244.01)	-17.52
Nemaha	89,649.04	88,725.08	(\$923.96)	-1.03
Neosho	161,489.70	172,255.28 .	\$10,765.58	6.67
Osage	125,938.40	135,070.16	\$9,131.76	7.2
Osborne	66,775.42	69,088.08	\$2,312.66	3.46
Ottawa	64,255.58	66,267.96	\$2,012.38	3.13
Pawnee	122,683.76	123,707.74	\$1,023.98	0.83
Pottawatomie	181,540.22	184,814.44	\$3,274.22	1.80
Pratt	324,748.32	307,902.22	(\$16,846.10)	-5.19
Reno	879,871.80	869,420.72	(\$10,451.08)	-1.1
Republic	49,384.65	43,852.62	(\$5,532.03)	-11.20
Rice	124,064.40	91,879.12	(\$32,185.28)	-25.9
Riley	445,148.40	462,412.44	\$17,264.04	3.8
Rooks	130.54		(\$130.54)	-100.0
Rush	64,980.37	67,070.53	\$2,090.16	3.2
Russell	145,221.88	147,907.04	\$2,685.16	1.8
Saline	538,978.48	555,846.98	\$16,868.50	3.1
Sedgwick	3,203,645.72	3,243,549.33	\$39,903.61	1.2
Seward	5,682.34	5,844.74	\$162.40	2.8
Shawnee	2,066,522.32	2,032,303.06	(\$34,219.26)	-1.6
Smith	76,473.20	73,437.12	(\$3,036.08)	-3.9
Stafford	123,420.90	122,034.76	(\$1,386.14)	-1.1
Stanton	2,642.51	1,093.62	(\$1,548.89)	-58.6
Stevens	2,042.01	1,000.02	\$0.00	0.0
Sumner	236,239.78	247,151.70	\$10,911.92	4.6
Wabaunsee	11,968.20	13,090.10	\$1,121.90	9.3
Washington	110,610.18	107,360.85	(\$3,249.33)	-2.9
Wilson	110,010.10	107,300.00	\$0.00	0.0
Woodson	8,652.78	8,432.78	(\$220.00)	-2.5
Wyandotte	•	•	\$35,455.22	2.9
Total Kansas	1,195,746.70 \$21,502,253.97	1,231,201.92 \$21,576,263.42	\$74,009.45	2.5
	\$21,502,255.97	\$21,570,203.42	\$74,009.40	
HOMA			(40 = (0.00)	
0176 Grant (Medford, OK)	52,862.00	50,122.00	(\$2,740.00)	-5.1
8387 Woods (Alva, OK)	460.00	423.00	(\$37.00)	-8.0
Total Oklahoma	\$53,322.00	\$50,545.00	(\$2,777.00)	
Total Taxes	\$21,555,575.97	\$21,626,808.42	\$71,232.45	

Less Taxes Charged to Other Balance Sheet Accounts:

 Acct 163: Inventory
 109,498.69
 Key

 Acct 184: Fleet Clearing
 372,682.25
 note

Total Current Year Ad Valorem Expense \$21,144,627.48

riolassets estimate corrected

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, certify that the true copy of the attached C	
first class mail/hand delivered onDEC_1_9_2017	
THOMAS J. CONNORS, ATTORNEY AT LAW CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 Fax: 785-271-3116 tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov	TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 Fax: 785-271-3116 t.love@curb.kansas.gov
DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 Fax: 785-271-3116 d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov	SHONDA RABB CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 Fax: 785-271-3116 s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov
DELLA SMITH CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 Fax: 785-271-3116 d.smith@curb.kansas.gov	ROBERT VINCENT, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3354 r.vincent@kcc.ks.gov
DARCIE KRAMER, MANAGER, FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC.	EMAILED
7421W 129TH ST OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2713 Fax: 913-319-8622	DEC 1 9 2017
	/S/ DeeAnn Shupe
	DeeAnn Shupe

Order Mailed Date DEC 2 0 2017