BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Joint Application)	
of Westar Energy, Inc. And Kansas Gas)	Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS
and Electric Company for Approval to)	
Make Certain Changes in Their)	
Charges for Electric Service)	

NON-UNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT
OPPOSITION TESTIMONY OF
PAUL L. CHERNICK
ON BEHALF OF
SIERRA CLUB

Resource Insight, Inc.

JULY 18, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Identification & Qualifications	1
II.	Introduction and Purpose of Testimony	1
III.	I. The Settlement Revenue Requirement Includes the Costs of Continuing to	
	Operate Uneconomic Coal Units.	1
IV.	Westar's Additional Responses on Coal-Plant Capital Requirements	3
V.	Westar's Rebuttal	4
VI	Conclusions	6

- 1 I. Identification & Qualifications
- 2 Q: Mr. Chernick, please state your name, occupation, and business address.
- 3 A: My name is Paul L. Chernick. I am the president of Resource Insight, Inc., 5 Water
- 4 St., Arlington, Massachusetts.
- 5 Q: Are you the same Paul Chernick that filed direct and cross-answering
- 6 testimony in this proceeding?
- 7 A: Yes.
- 8 II. Introduction and Purpose of Testimony
- 9 Q: On whose behalf are you testifying?
- 10 A: I am testifying on behalf of Sierra Club.
- 11 Q: What is the purpose of your settlement opposition testimony?
- 12 A: This testimony addresses the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement (the
- "Settlement Agreement") filed by various parties on July 17, 2018, noting that it
- does not address the serious concerns I raised via direct testimony submitted in
- June 2018 and thus should not be approved. Moreover, this testimony explains
- that information received since my direct testimony only reinforces my original
- findings and recommendations.
- 18 III. The Settlement Revenue Requirement Includes the Costs of Continuing to
- 19 **Operate Uneconomic Coal Units.**
- 20 Q: Does the Settlement Agreement address the concerns underlying your direct
- 21 and cross-answering testimony?

1 No. The Settlement Agreement does not in any way address my concerns that the hundreds of millions of dollars in coal plant investments and expenditures at issue 2 3 in this case (Chernick Direct, pp. 4–6)—and projected going forward (Chernick Direct, pp. 14–19)—are likely to burden ratepayers. The Settlement Agreement is 4 based upon a revenue requirement that incorporates significant capital and fixed 5 O&M expenditures on coal units for which Westar has not demonstrated cost-6 effectiveness. As I demonstrate in my Direct Testimony, these coal units are likely 7 8 to be uneconomic. (Chernick Direct, pp. 6–9). As a result, the Settlement Agreement simply accepts continued and significant investments in coal units 9 without any showing that continued spending of significant levels of capital 10 expenditures on those units is reasonable and prudent.¹ 11

12 Q: Does the Sierra Club support the Settlement Agreement?

13 A: No. I have recommended that Sierra Club object to the Settlement Agreement.

14 Q: Why does Sierra Club object to the Settlement Agreement?

A: Given my prior testimony, I understand that Sierra Club objects to the aspects of the Settlement Agreement that would establish a revenue requirement and rates that reflect significant levels of capital and fixed O&M spending on Westar's coal generating units, without any showing that such units are economic or that the spending is reasonable and prudent. I understand that another witness will provide additional reasons for Sierra Club's objections.

¹ With respect to the 8% interest in Jeffrey Energy Center, the Settlement Agreement is primarily concerned with its ratemaking treatment whereas my testimony recommends that Westar decline to renew the lease or acquire the resource without a cost-effectiveness showing. (Chernick Direct, p. 12, lines 7–11; p. 40, lines 5–7).

- IV. Westar's Additional Responses on Coal-Plant Capital Requirements.
- 2 Q: Have you learned anything new about Westar's coal fleet since filing your
- **3** direct and cross-answering testimony?
- 4 A: Yes. On July 13, 2018, Westar responded to discovery propounded by Sierra Club
- (SC DRs 5.01 5.05). Each of these responses is marked confidential, so I will
- 6 not cite the values, but these detailed responses confirm that Westar plans to spend
- 7 hundreds of millions of dollars in capital additions to its coal plants over the next
- 8 five years.

1

- 9 Q: Has this new information changed your original conclusions and
- 10 recommendations?
- 11 A: No. In response to SC DR 5.04, Westar provided updated numbers for its
- Lawrence and Jeffrey capital cost projections.² Westar's projection for
- Lawrence's five-year capital costs have increased significantly, while its forecast
- for Jeffrey's capital costs have decreased very slightly. Neither of these
- adjustments alter my conclusion that the costs of keeping these two coal plants
- operating are likely to exceed the value of the plants to ratepayers.
- Further, in response to SC DR 5.01, Westar provided projected fixed O&M
- and capital costs for La Cygne, which it also marked confidential. These data also
- support my original conclusions.
- 20 Q: Have Westar's projected coal plant investments been shown to be
- 21 advantageous for ratepayers?
- 22 A: No. As I explained in detail via direct testimony, the costs of running each of
- Westar's coal plants appear to exceed the market value of the plant's energy

² Although Westar's original projections, responsive to SC DR 3.08 and summarized in my direct testimony at Table 7, were public, Westar designated its updated numbers in SC DR 5.04 as confidential.

(Chernick Direct, p. 6, lines 7–20). In other words, Westar's coal fleet is losing money, so any incremental spending on the plants are likely to increase the net cost to Westar's ratepayers, for what appear to be imprudent spending on uneconomic plants. Neither Mr. Bridson's rebuttal testimony nor the Settlement Agreement address these concerns. The Commission should order Westar to conduct a thorough cost-effectiveness accounting of the Company's coal units and an evaluation of the least-cost plan for replacing uneconomic units with purchases from existing resources and additions of renewables, efficiency, demand response, and storage.

V. Westar's Rebuttal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 11 Q: Please briefly summarize Bridson's rebuttal testimony as it concerns Sierra
- 12 Club's arguments.
- 13 A: Mr. Bridson posits that Sierra Club's arguments are "extreme," alleging that Sierra
- 14 Club's position would "impose onerous reporting and evaluation requirements on
- Westar's coal plants in order to force Westar to remove its coal plants from
- service," and further opining that Sierra Club "wants to achieve this result without
- 17 consideration of cost impacts for customers, impacts on reliability or the value of
- diversity in the generation fleet." (Bridson Rebuttal, p. 25, lines 2–10).
- 19 **Q:** Do you agree with Mr. Bridson's characterization of your prior testimony in
- 20 this case?
- 21 A: No. Mr. Bridson could have responded to my prior testimony by providing a cost-
- 22 effectiveness analysis that Westar conducted as part of its continuing obligation
- 23 to provide reliable service to its ratepayers at just and reasonable rates, providing
- a new cost-effectiveness analysis, or even pointing out any specific errors he
- detected in my analysis. He did none of these things. Instead, Mr. Bridson

mischaracterizes and dismisses my recommendations as "extreme" or "onerous." Mr. Bridson essentially erects and attacks a straw man argument that is completely divorced from my prior testimony.

For example, contrary to Mr. Bridson's assertion that I did not consider cost impacts for customers, my direct testimony was primarily concerned with comparing the costs of the coal plants (Chernick Direct, pp. 13–20) to the costs of alternatives (Chernick Direct, pp. 30–37). This is why I ultimately recommended that the Commission "carry out a comprehensive review of the *cost-effectiveness* of each of the remaining coal units and a least-cost plan for replacing the uneconomic plants" (Chernick Direct, p. 39, lines 21-23 (emphasis added)). Such an inquiry necessarily incorporates reliability and fuel diversity considerations as well.

Sierra Club has served discovery to determine how and why Mr. Bridson came to his conclusions about my testimony, and I understand that Westar's responses are due on July 20, after the deadline for this testimony. At present, I can only conclude that Westar has not conducted any comprehensive and reliable cost-effectiveness analyses of its generation units, and thus the Company has simply not supported its bid to recoup from ratepayers tens of millions of dollars of capital spending on likely uneconomic coal plants.

- Q: Mr. Bridson implies that the reporting requirements that Sierra Club seeks in this docket would be duplicative of the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) reporting framework being developed as a consequence of the merger docket.
- **Do you agree?**

A: No. I have no insight into the IRP framework that Westar, KCP&L, Staff, and CURB are developing, though I do believe that any reasonable and reliable IRP process would necessarily include analyses similar to those I recommended.

What is clear from *this* proceeding is that Westar has not completed the requisite analysis to support its coal plant investments. Since this docket is likely the last Westar rate case before the Commission for several years, the Commission should ensure that Westar is on notice that any capital additions to its coal plants, other than to address immediate health and safety concerns, are subject to retrospective prudence review.

7 VI. Conclusions.

8 Q: What else do you recommend to the Commission?

- 9 A: I incorporate by reference my direct and cross-answering testimony and recommend that:
 - The Commission initiate a proceeding to determine how much Westar should be willing to spend on each of its coal units, and what level of maintenance costs or capital additions should trigger prompt retirement of each unit. Any future capital additions to the coal plants, other than to address immediate health and safety concerns, should be subject to retrospective prudence review, with Westar bearing the burden of demonstrating that continued investments are cost effective. In conjunction with that analysis, the Commission should carry out a comprehensive review of the cost-effectiveness of each of the remaining coal units and a least-cost plan for replacing the uneconomic plants with purchases from existing resources and additions of renewables, efficiency, demand response, and storage.
 - To support rational and efficient retirement decisions, the Commission should ensure that Westar is not penalized for prudently retiring uneconomic power plants. Ratepayers are better off paying for the

- undepreciated investment in an uneconomic plant, rather than paying for operating costs and capital additions to keep the plant open, as well as the depreciation and return on that investment.
 - At this point, the portion of Jeffrey owned by Wilmington Trust appears to have a negative value; unless Wilmington Trust pays Westar to take the capacity back, Westar should not acquire that 8% entitlement.
 - Westar should stop scheduling and dispatching its coal units uneconomically.
- 9 Q: Does this conclude your opposition testimony?
- 10 A: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Joint Application Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Elec Company for Approval to Make Certa Changes in their Charges for Electric	otric) Docket No. 18-WSEE-328-RTS in)			
AFFIDAVIT OF PAUL L. CHERNICK				
County of Middlesex				
State of Massachusetts) ss)			
I, Paul L. Chernick, of lawful age and being duly sworn, state and affirm the following: that the foregoing prepared testimony in question and answer format constitutes my Non-Unanimous Settlement Opposition Testimony in the above-captioned proceeding; that the answers set forth therein were given by me and that I have knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief. Paul L. Chernick				
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before the state of the	ore me this day of July, 2018.			
ACHUSET	Notary Public			

My commission expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 18th day of July 2018, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Non-Unanimous Settlement Opposition Testimony of Paul L. Chernick on Behalf of Sierra Club was electronically delivered to the following individuals:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067 iflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

KURT J. BOEHM, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 kboehm@bkllawfirm.com

JODY KYLER COHN, ATTORNEY BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY 36 E SEVENTH ST STE 1510 CINCINNATI, OH 45202 jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com

MARTIN J. BREGMAN BREGMAN LAW OFFICE, L.L.C. 311 PARKER CIRCLE LAWRENCE, KS 66049 mjb@mjbregmanlaw.com

C. EDWARD PETERSON
C. EDWARD PETERSON, ATTORNEY AT
LAW
5522 ABERDEEN
FAIRWAY, KS 66205
ed.peterson2010@gmail.com

THOMAS J. CONNORS, Attorney at Law CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 t.love@curb.kansas.gov

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 D.NICKEL@CURB.KANSAS.GOV

SHONDA RABB CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov

DELLA SMITH
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER
BOARD
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604
d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

DANIEL R. ZMIJEWSKI DRZ LAW FIRM 9229 WARD PARKWAY STE 370 KANSAS CITY, MO 64114 dan@drzlawfirm.com DAVID BENDER EARTHJUSTICE 3916 Nakoma Road Madison, WI 63711 dbender@earthjustice.org

FLORA CHAMPENOIS EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite702 Washington, DC 20036 fchampenois@earthjustice.org

SHANNON FISK, ATTORNEY EARTHJUSTICE 1617 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD SUITE 1675 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 sfisk@earthjustice.org

MARIO A. LUNA EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 aluna@earthjustice.org

JILL TAUBER EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 itauber@earthjustice.org

NICOLAS THORPE EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 nthorpe@earthjustice.org GABRIELLE WINICK EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 gwinick@earthjustice.org

ELIZABETH A. BAKER 6610 SW 29th St. Topeka, KS 66614 betsy@bakerlawks.com

GREG WRIGHT EMG, INC. 420 NE LYMAN RD. TOPEKA, KS 66608 greg@emgnow.com

DAVID BANKS, CEM, CEP FLINT HILLS ENERGY CONSULTANT 117 S PARKRIDGE WICHITA, KS 67209 david@fheconsultants.net

MATTHEW H. MARCHANT HOLLYFRONTIER CORPORATION 2828 N HARWOOD STE 1300 DALLAS, TX 75201 matthew.marchant@hollyfrontier.com

DARIN L. RAINS HOLLYFRONTIER CORPORATION 2828 N Harwood, Ste. 1300 Dallas, TX 75201 darin.rains@hollyfrontier.com

JUSTIN WATERS, Energy Manager JUSTIN WATERS USD 259 School Serv. Cntr. 3850 N. Hydraulic Wichita, KS 67219 jwaters@usd259.net NELDA HENNING, Director of Facilities KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS 1000 SW Jackson, Ste. 520 Topeka, KS 66612 nhenning@kbor.org

PHOENIX ANSHUTZ, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 p.anshutz@kcc.ks.gov

MICHAEL DUENES, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 m.duenes@kcc.ks.gov

AMBER SMITH, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 a.smith@kcc.ks.gov

ROBERT V. EYE, ATTORNEY AT LAW KAUFFMAN & EYE 4840 Bob Billings Pkwy, Ste. 1010 Lawrence, KS 66049-3862 BOB@KAUFFMANEYE.COM

TIMOTHY MAXWELL, Vice President, Specialty Finance
KEF UNDERWRITING & PORTFOLIO MGMT.
1000 South McCaslin Blvd.
Superior, CO 80027
timothy_maxwell@keybank.com

KEVIN HIGGINS
KEVIN C. HIGGINS
PARKSIDE TOWERS
215 S STATE ST STE 200
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111
khiggins@energystrat.com

MATTHEW B. McKEON, SVP & Senior Counsel II KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE 17 Corporate Woods Blvd. Albany, NY 12211 matthew.b.mckeon@key.com

AMY G. PAINE, SVP Asset Mgmt. KEY EQUIPMENT FINANCE 1000 South McCaslin Blvd. Superior, CO 80027 amy.g.paine@key.com

ANDREW B. YOUNG, ATTORNEY MAYER BROWN LLP 1999 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ayoung@mayerbrown.com

GENE CARR, CO-CEO NETFORTRIS ACQUISITION CO., INC. 6900 DALLAS PKWY STE 250 PLANO, TX 75024-9859 gcarr@telekenex.com

ANNE E. CALLENBACH, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 acallenbach@polsinelli.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 fcaro@polsinelli.com ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 aschulte@polsinelli.com

SUNIL BECTOR, ATTORNEY SIERRA CLUB 2101 WEBSTER, SUITE 1300 OAKLAND, CA 94312-3011 sunil.bector@sierraclub.org

ANDREW J. FRENCH, ATTORNEY AT LAW SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 andrew@smizak-law.com

DIANE WALSH, PARALEGAL SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 DIANE@SMIZAK-LAW.COM

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7400 W 110TH ST STE 750 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66210-2362 jim@smizak-law.com

TOM POWELL, General Counsel-USD 259 TOM POWELL 903 S. Edgemoor Wichita, KS 67218 tpowell@usd259.net

JOHN M. CASSIDY, General Counsel TOPEKA METROPOLITAN TRANSIT AUTHORITY 201 N. Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603 jcassidy@topekametro.org AMY FELLOWS CLINE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 amycline@twgfirm.com

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM

EMILY MEDLYN, GENERAL ATTORNEY U.S. ARMY LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY REGULATORY LAW OFFICE 9275 GUNSTON RD., STE. 1300 FORT BELVOIR, VA 22060-5546 emily.w.medlyn.civ@mail.mil

KEVIN K. LACHANCE, CONTRACT LAW ATTORNEY
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
ADMIN & CIVIL LAW DIVISION
OFFICE OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE
FORT RILEY, KS 66442
kevin.k.lachance.civ@mail.mil

CATHRYN J. DINGES, SENIOR CORPORATE COUNSEL WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 S KANSAS AVE PO BOX 889 TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889 cathy.dinges@westarenergy.com

DAVID L. WOODSMALL WOODSMALL LAW OFFICE 308 E HIGH ST STE 204 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65101 david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

> /s/ Mario A. Luna Mario A. Luna