BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

REBECCA A. FOWLER

WESTAR ENERGY

DOCKET NO. 18-WSEE-328-RTS

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
3	Α.	Rebecca A. Fowler.
4	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME REBECCA FOWLER WHO PROVIDED
5		DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS MATTER?
6	Α.	I am.
7	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
8	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to testimony from Staff
9		and Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) regarding expense
10		associated with the recent Wolf Creek outage; respond to testimony
11		from Staff and CURB regarding expenses associated with Westar's
12		Prepay Pilot Program; and respond to testimony from KIC and Staff
13		regarding various changes to Westar's Retail Energy Cost
14		Adjustment (RECA) tariff.

1		II. WOLF CREEK OUTAGE
2	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S AND CURB'S ADJUSTMENTS
3		TO THE WOLF CREEK OUTAGE?
4	Α.	I agree with CURB witness Ms. Crane's proposal on the Wolf Creek
5		Outage in that she proposed the Company be allowed to include final
6		costs related to the recently completed refueling outage which are
7		known and measurable throughout this proceeding. The amount
8		related to outage costs incurred in May 2018 are now known. May
9		was the final month of the refueling outage. Westar's proposal is to
10		include May outage costs of \$1,259,814. The annual amortization
11		expense would then be \$12,458,732 compared to our original
12		projected annual amortization \$13,298,608. This results in a
13		decrease to our filed revenue requirement of \$839,876.
14		Staff witness Mr. Unrein updated the Wolf Creek Outage
15		amount through April 30, 2018, thus excluding the final cost to wrap-
16		up the outage. The Company believes that rates should reflect the
17		full annualized cost of the most recent refueling, and since the May
18		31, 2018 costs are now known it would be appropriate to include
19		these dollars to better reflect the actual costs associated with the
20		Wolf Creek outage.
21		III. PREPAY PROGRAM
22	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S AND CURB'S ADJUSTMENTS

23TO REMOVE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PREPAY24PROGRAM FROM THIS DOCKET?

A. No. Staff's argument that no cost/benefit analysis was done to justify
costs related to this program being eliminated in this docket is flawed.
The Company filed in Docket No. 14-WSEE-148-TAR with the KCC
for approval of a tariff to implement this program. Staff agreed with
the program and did not require a cost/benefit analysis when signing
off on this program.

CURB witness Ms. Crane suggests the program costs should
be eliminated because it was not implemented on a permanent basis,
is non-recurring and a disallowance will not harm the financial
integrity to Westar is also flawed. Even though the pilot program was
cancelled upon Staff's recommendation, the costs of the program to
Westar do not disappear. These costs were incurred during the test
year relating to an approved KCC tariff and program.

Whether or not Westar's financial integrity is harmed is not
relevant to the question of removing costs that were legitimately
incurred to provide a program approved by the Commission.

IV.

17

18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN STAFF'S AND KIC'S PROPOSALS RELATED 19 TO THE MKEC WHOLESALE CONTRACT.

RECA ISSUES

A. Staff proposes to revise the RECA to allow Westar to recover the
loss of revenue from the MKEC contract through the RECA but not
allow all changes in non-requirements wholesale contract revenue to
flow through the RECA, as proposed by Westar.

KIC proposes to remove the revenue credit associated with
 the MKEC contract from base rates in step one of the rate change in
 this docket and credit that revenue to customers through the RECA
 until the MKEC contract expires.

5 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH KIC'S PROPOSAL TO REMOVE THE 6 MARGIN RELATED TO THE MKEC WHOLESALE CONTRACT 7 FROM BASE RATES AND ALLOW WESTAR A TEMPORARY 8 CREDIT TO THE RECA?

A. No. KIC's proposal overly-complicates the process of returning the
MKEC contract revenue to customers by changing the process for
this item in the last few months of its existence. Westar's retail
customers are benefiting from the MKEC revenue in base rates and
should continue to receive the benefit in base rates until the contract
has expired. Complicating the process of removing the rate credit
serves no purpose.

 16
 Q.
 DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S BELIEF THAT FLOW-THROUGH

 17
 OF LOST CONTRACT REVENUE IN THE RECA SHOULD BE

 18
 LIMITED TO MKEC?

A. No. In the Settlement Agreement in Docket No. 18-KCPE-095-MER
(Merger Settlement Agreement), Staff agreed to support a revision
to the WR factor in the RECA to allow the MKEC wholesale revenue
expiration to be reflected in the WR factor when the RECA is truedup in April 2020. Expiration of Westar's other long-term wholesale

contracts were not addressed in the Merger Settlement Agreement
 or the Commission's Order approving that Agreement.

Also, as part of the Merger Settlement Agreement, Westar agreed to a five-year rate moratorium (if Westar's authorized ROE is set at or above 9.3% in this case). Westar has a major wholesale contract that will expire in 2022. Without a provision for recovery of the revenue loss in the RECA, Westar's shareholders will bear 100% of the burden of the lost revenue until the end of the rate moratorium.

9 Q. IF THE CONTRACT IS RENEWED, WILL WESTAR'S PROPOSAL
 10 PREVENT THE RETAIL RATEPAYERS FROM BENEFITING
 11 FROM THE NEW CONTRACT REVENUE?

12 Α. No. Westar is proposing that the RECA change include all changes 13 - increases or decreases - in revenue from wholesale contracts 14 greater than one year. If the change is not made and Westar enters 15 into new non-requirements wholesale contracts (i.e., wholesale 16 customers taking service pursuant to a contract with an initial term of 17 one-year or greater and not subject to a fuel clause) before the end 18 of the rate moratorium, retail customers will not enjoy the reduction 19 in fuel costs provided by the additional contract revenue. Making the 20 change proposed by Westar will ensure that the Company will neither 21 over-recover or under-recover on the value of wholesale contracts.

1Q.PLEASE DISCUSS STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO2ESTABLISH A NUCLEAR DECOMMISSIONING TRUST (NDT)3FUNDING VARIABLE IN THE RECA.

A. Staff proposes to add a variable to the RECA tariff to account for the
difference between the level of nuclear decommissioning funding
included in base rates and the actual annual funding levels, as reset
by the Commission every three years.

Q. IS THIS RECOMMENDATION AN APPROPRIATE CHANGE TO THE RECA TARIFF?

10 Α. Yes. Mr. Grady's assessment that base rate cases and 11 determination of the annual decommissioning funding levels are not 12 always in-sync is correct, and his proposal would allow for better 13 match of revenues with costs in this case. For instance, Docket 18-14 WCNE-107-GIE, Wolf Creek Decommissioning Financing Plan, is 15 currently open with the Commission. The outcome of that docket will 16 determine the level of annual decommissioning funding for the Wolf 17 Creek Generating Station until the order on the next triennial filing.

As discussed above, Westar has agreed not to seek a change in base rates for five years and an order in Docket 18-WCNE-107-GIE is not due until August 9, 2018, well beyond any true-up in this case. This will make it difficult to address in base rates resulting from this docket any change in funding levels that results from Docket 18-WCNE-107-GIE and impossible to address any required funding

- change resulting from the next triennial filing until after the five-year
 moratorium. As a result, Westar agrees with Staff's recommendation
 that Westar should recover any change in expense associated with
 funding the nuclear decommissioning trust fund through the RECA,
 ensuring that customers pay no more and no less than the actual
 expense incurred.
- 7 Q. THANK YOU.

STATE OF KANSAS COUNTY OF SHAWNEE

) ss:

VERIFICATION

Rebecca Fowler, being duly sworn upon her oath deposes and states that she is the Regulatory Analyst Lead for Westar Energy, Inc., that she has read and is familiar with the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony, and attests that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Ubice Fowler

Subscribed and sworn to before me this $3r_{day}^{4}$ of July, 2018.

Sistic R. Almes,

My Appointment Expires: 5/30/2022

NOTARY PUBLIC - State of Kansas ane un LESLIE R. WINES My Appt. Exp. 5/30/22