BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company to Make Certain Changes in Its Charges for Electric Service.

Docket No.: 17-KCPE-201-RTS

JOINT MOTION TO APPROVE UNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MODIFY PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

COMES NOW the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Commission") ("Staff"), Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "Company"), and the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB") (collectively referred to as the "Joint Movants"), and respectfully move the Commission for an Order approving the Settlement Agreement ("Settlement"). The Settlement is attached hereto as **Attachment A**. In support of their Joint Motion, the Joint Movants state the following:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

A. Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application

1. On November 9, 2016, KCP&L filed an Application with the Commission to make certain changes in its rates and charges for electric service, which was docketed as the above-captioned proceeding. The filing was made in accordance with Kansas Statutes Annotated ("K.S.A.") § 66-117, and Kansas Administrative Regulations ("K.A.R.") § 82-1-231(b)(3). KCP&L was granted permission by the Commission in Docket No. 15-GIME-025-MIS ("15-025 Docket") to file the Application pursuant to K.A.R. 82-1-231(b)(3) to address true-up to actual cost for the La Cygne Environmental Project and Wolf Creek Capital Additions.¹ Additional items to include in KCP&L's abbreviated rate case, including termination of several regulatory

¹ 15-025 Docket, Order Approving Joint Application, Ordering ¶ C (issued Nov. 9, 2014) ("15-025 Order").

asset/liability amortizations as well as adjustment for customer migration, were identified and approved by the Commission in Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS ("15-116 Docket").² By Commission Order issued in this Docket No. 17-KCPE-201-RTS ("17-201 Docket") on November 22, 2016, the effective date of the Application was suspended until July 7, 2017.³

2. The schedules filed with KCP&L's Application supported a decrease to the Company's revenue requirement of \$2,829,191.

3. In support of its Application, KCP&L submitted the direct testimony of four (4) witnesses and the schedules required by K.A.R. 82-1-231. KCP&L also submitted the rebuttal testimony of two (2) witnesses on April 18, 2017.

B. Staff and Other Parties' Pre-Filed Positions

4. CURB filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding, which was granted by the Commission.

5. On April 6, 2017, Staff filed its responsive direct testimony in the above docket, wherein it recommended a rate decrease for KCP&L of approximately \$4,192,681.

6. Also on April 6, 2017, CURB filed responsive direct testimony in which it recommended the Commission decrease KCP&L's annual revenue requirement by roughly \$3,792,805.

7. On April 18, 2017, KCP&L filed rebuttal testimony in which it accepted some of the adjustments recommended by Staff and CURB and provided adjustments related to customer migration based on actual data through March 31, 2017. KCP&L's rebuttal testimony recommended a revenue requirement decrease of \$3,585,582.

² 15-116 Docket, *Order on KCP&L's Application for Rate Change* ("15-116 Order"), Ordering ¶s B and E, (issued Sep. 10, 2015).

³ Suspension Order, Protective Order, and Discovery Order, ¶ 3, Ordering Clause A (issued Nov. 22, 2016).

8. Subsequently, on April 20, 2016, all parties met collectively to discuss the possible settlement of specific contested issues in this matter.

9. As a result of the settlement discussions, Joint Movants reached a Unanimous Settlement, which has been reduced to writing, is attached to this Joint Motion as **Attachment A**, and is incorporated herein by reference. Pursuant to the Settlement, the Joint Movants recommend the Commission approve a decrease of \$3,557,588 to KCP&L's revenue requirement.

II. <u>THE UNCONTESTED UNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RESULTS</u> IN JUST AND REASONABLE RATES AND IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

10. Joint Movants recognize that the law generally favors the good faith settlement of disputed issues,⁴ and the Commission will evaluate a stipulated agreement to determine whether (a) it is supported by substantial competent evidence in the record as a whole, (b) it results in just and reasonable rates, and (c) it is in the public interest.⁵

11. The Commission must make an independent finding that approval of the Settlement is supported by substantial competent evidence in the record as a whole and that the Settlement will establish just and reasonable rates.⁶

A. The Settlement is supported by substantial competent evidence in the record as a whole.

12. All items agreed to and included in the Settlement are supported by substantial competent evidence in the record as a whole. KCP&L witnesses filed direct and/or rebuttal testimony in support of its Application. Staff and CURB have filed the direct testimony of witnesses. The terms of the Settlement reflect a compromise of the positions taken by the various parties in their pre-filed testimony.

⁴ Krantz v. University of Kansas, 271 Kan. 234, 241-242, 21 P.3d 561, 567 (2001).

⁵ Order on KCP &L's Application for Rate Change, Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS, issued Sep. 10, 2015, ¶ 15-16.

⁶ Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board v. State Corp. Comm'n, 28 Kan.App.2d 313, 316, 16 P.3d 319 (2000).

B. The Settlement results in just and reasonable rates.

13. In accordance with K.S.A. 66-101b, every electric public utility is required to furnish reasonably efficient and sufficient service at just and reasonable rates. Case law indicates that the "just and reasonable" standard coincides with the "zone of reasonableness" test as adopted by Kansas courts. The "just and reasonable" standard was first outlined by the United States Supreme Court.⁷ The Court emphasized that when evaluating whether rates are just and reasonable, the focus of inquiry is properly on the end result or "total effect" of the rate order, rather than on the specific rate-setting method employed. In addition, the *Hope* case was followed by another Supreme Court case,⁸ which found that the Natural Gas Act's articulated "just and reasonable" standard coincides with the applicable constitutional standards and that any rate selected by a regulatory commission within the "broad zone of reasonableness" cannot properly be attacked as confiscatory.

14. Applying these standards to the Settlement, the agreed-upon terms represent a compromise between the positions proposed by the Joint Movants on the items addressed. Although this by itself is not conclusive evidence of the reasonableness of the Settlement provisions, Kansas law does indicate that the Commission's goal in a ratemaking case should be to determine a rate that falls within a "zone of reasonableness" after applying a balancing test in which the interests of all concerned parties are considered.⁹ In addition, the Kansas Supreme Court has discussed the "zone of reasonableness" as it applies to the Commission's ratemaking function as follows:

There is an elusive range of reasonableness in calculating a fair rate of return. A court can only concern itself with the question as to

⁷ Federal Power Comm'n v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591, 64 S.Ct.281, 88 L.Ed 333 (1944).

⁸ Permian Basin Area Rate Cases, 390 U.S. 747, 770, 88 S. Ct. 1344, 20 L.Ed 2d 312, reh. denied 392 U.S. 917, 88 S. Ct 2050 (1968).

⁹ Kansas Gas and Elec. Co. v. State Corp. Comm'n, 239 Kan 483, 488-92, 720 P.2d 1063 (Kan. 1986).

whether a rate is so unreasonably low or so unreasonably high as to be unlawful. The in-between point, where the rate is most fair to the utility and its customers, is a matter for the State Corporation Commission's determination.¹⁰

The Settlement falls within the zone of reasonableness when the Settlement takes into account the interests of all parties involved. This Settlement considers all such interests. Further, the Settlement terms are within the range of the original positions of the parties. The Settlement also satisfies the balancing test aspect of the zone of reasonableness evaluation because the Settlement necessarily represents the parties' recognition of the litigation risk that a party will not prevail on every element of its pre-filed case.

15. The parties to this docket represent a variety of interests. The cost elements of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and fully supported by the evidence and by all parties to the case. The Settlement provisions were fully and fairly negotiated by the parties in conjunction with the acknowledgement that it is unlikely the Commission would accept wholesale any party's pre-filed position. Therefore, the Joint Movants submit that evidence in the record in this docket demonstrates that the provisions of the Settlement will result in just and reasonable rates.

16. In summary, the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and fully supported by the record evidence as a whole, and the resulting rates are just and reasonable.

C. The results of the Settlement are in the public interest.

17. Joint Movants concur that the Settlement is in the public interest and should be approved in its entirety and without modification by the Commission. Each party to this proceeding advocated on behalf of the interests of the party it represents. KCP&L has a duty to both its customers and shareholders. CURB represents the interests of residential and small commercial customers. The Staff represents the public interest generally, placing Staff in the

¹⁰ Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. v. State Corp. Comm'n, 192 Kan. 39, 41, 386 P.2d 515 (1963).

unique position of being required to weigh and balance the interests of the Company, all classes of the Company's customers, and any other party to the proceeding. Consistent with the Court's statements in *Kansas Gas and Electric*, as discussed above, "the focus of the inquiry (in setting "just and reasonable rates") is properly on the end result or "total effect" of the rate order, rather than upon the rate-setting method employed."¹¹ The Joint Movants represent to the Commission that the "total effect" of the terms of the Settlement will result in just and reasonable rates and represents an equitable balancing of the interests of all parties. It is also in the public interest to avoid a portion of the cost of litigation in this matter and the Settlement is in the public interest, and should be adopted by the Commission in its entirety.

18. The Settlement conforms to Kansas law and assists the Commission and parties in their efforts to establish just and reasonable rates for KCP&L's customers. The parties' ability to address certain issues outside of the hearing reduces ratepayer expense. The fact that the Settlement was achieved by collaboration of the diverse parties involved in this docket supports the finding that the Settlement is in the public interest.

D. Request for Cancelation of Hearing

19. Due to the nature of this case as an abbreviated filing, there are a limited number of issues before the Commission. Furthermore, because of the Settlement, there are no contested issues that need to be resolved. As such, Joint Movants request the Commission cancel the Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for May 2-3, 2017, the Prehearing Conference scheduled for April 26, 2017, and the post-hearing briefing, and issue its decision based upon the written record.

¹¹ Kansas Gas and Elec., 239 Kan at 489.

The Joint Movants request the Commission issue its order on this Settlement by June 7, 2017,

which would facilitate a June 28, 2017, rates-effective date."

WHEREFORE, the Joint Movants respectfully request the Commission grant this Joint Motion for Approval of the Settlement Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

[s] Roger W. Steiner

Robert J. Hack (#12826) Corporate Counsel Roger W. Steiner (#26159) Corporate Counsel Kansas City Power & Light Company One Kansas City Place 1200 Main, 19th Floor Kansas City, MO 64105 Telephone: (816) 556-2314 Facsimile: (816) 556-2110 E-mail: rob.hack@kcpl.com roger.steiner@kcpl.com E-mail:

ATTORNEYS FOR KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

<u>|s| Andrew French</u>

Andrew J. French (#24680) Senior Litigation Counsel Telephone: (785) 271-3361 Robert Elliot Vincent (#26028) Litigation Counsel Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604 Telephone: (785) 271-3361 Facsimile: (785) 271-3167 E-mail: a.french@kcc.ks.gov E-mail: r.vincent@kcc.ks.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR COMMISSION STAFF

<u>|s| David W. Nickel</u>

David W. Nickel, Consumer Counsel (#11170) Thomas J. Connors, Attorney (#27039) Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604 Telephone: (785) 271-3200 Facsimile: (785) 271-3116 E-mail: d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov E-mail: tj.connors@curb.kansas.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand delivered, emailed or mailed, postage prepaid, this 25th day of April, 2017, to all counsel of record.

<u>|s| Roger W. Steiner</u>

Roger W. Steiner

Attorney for Kansas City Power & Light Company

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas)
City Power & Light Company to Make)
Certain Changes in Its Charges for Electric)
Service.)

Docket No. 17-KCPE-201-RTS

UNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

As a result of discussions between the parties to this docket, the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Staff" and "Commission," respectively), Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "the Company"), and the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB") (referred to collectively as the "Signatories" or the "Signatory Parties"), hereby submit to the Commission for its consideration and approval the following Unanimous Settlement Agreement ("Settlement"):

I. <u>KCP&L'S APPLICATION</u>

1. On November 9, 2016, KCP&L filed an Application with the Commission to make certain changes in its rates and charges for electric service, which was docketed as the above-captioned proceeding. The filing was made in accordance with Kansas Statutes Annotated ("K.S.A.") § 66-117, and Kansas Administrative Regulations ("K.A.R.") § 82-1-231(b)(3). KCP&L was granted permission by the Commission in Docket No. 15-GIME-025-MIS ("15-025 Docket") to file the Application pursuant to K.A.R. 82-1-231(b)(3) to address true-up to actual cost for the La Cygne Environmental Project and Wolf Creek Capital Additions.¹ Additional items to include in KCP&L's abbreviated rate case, including termination of several regulatory asset/liability amortizations as well as adjustment for customer migration, were identified and approved by the Commission in Docket No. 15-KCPE-116-RTS

¹ 15-025 Docket, Order Approving Joint Application, Ordering ¶ C (issued Nov. 9, 2014) ("15-025 Order").

("15-116 Docket").² By Commission Order issued in this Docket No. 17-KCPE-201-RTS ("17-201 Docket") on November 22, 2016, the effective date of the Application was suspended until July 7, 2017.³

2. The schedules filed with KCP&L's Application indicated a gross revenue overage of \$2.829 million related to the specific items identified for review in this abbreviated rate case including actual plant investment made through August 31, 2016, with projections for plant additions for September 1, 2016, through February 28, 2017, for the pre-approved La Cygne Environmental Project⁴ and Wolf Creek Capital Addition Projects.

3. The supporting testimony for the Application of Ms. Linda J. Nunn explained that KCP&L made an adjustment to the cost of service that removes four regulatory assets and one regulatory liability⁵ because these assets/liability are expected to be fully amortized on or about the time this proceeding concludes.⁶

4. The Company's Application also included recommendations for adjustments related to customer migration resulting from the last full general rate case (the 15-116 Docket) and application of the revenue requirement decrease to the various customer classes. The supporting testimony for the Application of Ms. Marisol E. Miller discusses the customer migration adjustments made in accordance with the Commissions Orders in the 15-116 Docket.⁷

² 15-116 Docket, *Order on KCP&L's Application for Rate Change* ("15-116 Order"), Ordering ¶s B and E, (issued Sep. 10, 2015).

³ Suspension Order, Protective Order, and Discovery Order, ¶ 3, Ordering Clause A (issued Nov. 22, 2016).

⁴ The Commission approved the La Cygne Environmental Project in Docket No. 11-KCPE-581-PRE ("11-581 Docket").

⁵ Nunn Direct, pp. 4-5.

⁶ Nunn Direct, pp. 13-15, and 16.

⁷ 15-116 Order, Ordering ¶ E; Order Granting Joint Motion for Approval of Process to Implement Migration Adjustment, issued Oct. 27, 2015; Order Granting Joint Motion for Approval of Modified Process to Implement Migration Adjustment, issued Mar. 3, 2016.

II. STAFF AND OTHER PARTIES' PRE-FILED POSITIONS

5. On April 6, 2017, Staff filed its direct testimony in this matter, recommending a revenue decrease of \$4,192,681.⁸ Staff updated KCP&L's plant balances for the La Cygne Environmental Project to actuals as of February 28, 2017, and accepted KCP&L's proposed adjustments for the identified regulatory assets and liabilities. Staff rejected KCP&L's proposed adjustment for La Cygne Station obsolete inventory⁹ and revised the calculation of the interest expense for customers related to the La Cygne Environmental Project and the Wolf Creek Capital Addition Project.¹⁰ Staff also reversed KCP&L's adjustments once the actual data was available.

6. Also on April 6, 2017, CURB filed its direct testimony recommending a revenue reduction of \$3,792,805.¹¹ CURB also rejected KCP&L's proposed adjustment for La Cygne Station obsolete inventory¹² but accepted all of KCP&L's other regulatory asset/liability amortization adjustments. CURB did not recommend any adjustments to KCP&L's proposed allocation methodology for rates.¹³

7. Consistent with the Commission's Procedural Order, KCP&L filed rebuttal testimony on April 18, 2017. The parties held settlement discussions on April 20, 2017, and reached a unanimous settlement.

⁸ Jackson Direct, p. 7.

⁹ Jackson Direct, pp. 21-22.

¹⁰ Jackson Direct, pp. 19-20.

¹¹ Crane Direct, p. 3; *also see* Schedule ACC-1.

¹² Crane Direct, pp. 5-8.

¹³ Crane Direct, p. 10.

III. <u>TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT</u>

8. The Signatory Parties have settled all revenue requirement issues and other matters related to this docket, with such settlement provisions providing no precedent for future cases, as outlined below. In the event the Commission either does not approve this Settlement in total, or materially changes the Settlement terms, then the Settlement Agreement shall be voidable by any Signatory negatively affected by such modification. If the Commission accepts the Settlement in its entirety and incorporates the same into a final order without material modification, the terms as to all issues addressed herein and, in accordance with the terms hereof, will not appeal the Commission's order on these issues. The following terms were agreed:

A. Revenue Requirement

9. The Signatory Parties agree that KCP&L's overall annual revenue requirement decrease will be \$3,557,588. Such revenue requirement decrease includes an adjustment to accept Staff and CURB's position to remove obsolete inventory amortization of \$82,699, Staff's adjustments to update plant balances to February 28, 2017, for the La Cygne Environmental Project and Wolf Creek Plant Additions, KCP&L's updated customer migration adjustments, and an adjustment based on Staff's position on Interest Sync.

10. Staff and KCP&L disagree on the definition and application of the term "after-tax weighted average cost of capital ("WACC")" as included in the Commission-approved Joint Application filed in the 15-025 Docket to which the Signatory Parties were all parties. This definition affects Staff adjustment IS-4. For purposes of this Settlement, the Signatory Parties agree to a revenue requirement adjustment halfway between Staff and KCP&L's positions on this particular issue. However, although CURB did not include this issue in its testimony, all

4

Parties reserve their rights to argue their respective positions on after-tax WACC in future cases and no precedent should be assigned to this issue in this instant docket.

11. The Signatory Parties agree that KCP&L's revenue requirement is based on the cost of capital established by the Commission in the 15-116 Docket.

12. The Signatory Parties agree to implement the following adjustments for customer migration:

- Rate Migration Revenue: (\$436,964)
- Migration Regulatory Asset Amortization: \$127,417

The Signatory Parties disagree on the exact methodology and application of the customer migration adjustment but agree that the effect of the disagreement in this case is de minimus. However, the Parties reserve their rights to argue their respective positions on customer migration and weather-normalization methodology in future cases and no precedent should be assigned to this issue in this instant docket.

B. Allocation of Revenue Requirement

13. The Signatory Parties agree that the revenue requirement decrease described above in Section A, will be allocated among the customer classes as follows:

Customer Class	<u>Revenue Allocation (\$millions)</u>
Large General Service	(1,037,038)
Medium General Service	(381,589)
Small General Service	(208,442)
Residential	(1,874,761)
Lighting	(55,758)
TOTAL	(3,557,588)

Additionally, the Parties agree that the Company's retail rates for each customer class will be adjusted as shown in the attached schedules.

C. Modification to the Procedural Schedule.

14. Due to the nature of this case as an abbreviated filing, the fact that few contested issues resulted, and the presentation of this unanimous settlement agreement that resolves the few contested issues, the Signatories agree to recommend to the Commission that the prehearing conference scheduled for April 26, 2017, the evidentiary hearings scheduled for May 2-3, 2017, and post-hearing briefing of this matter be cancelled and the Commission issue its decision based upon the written record, including prefiled testimony submitted on or before April 26, 2017, and this Unanimous Settlement Agreement. If the Commission accepts this recommendation, then this matter will be deemed submitted to the Commission and the record closed effective April 26, 2017. The initial procedural schedule called for a Commission order on July 7, 2017, which would allow for rates to go into effect in late July allowing for filing and approval of compliance tariffs and implementation of the changes in the Company's CIS system. The Signatories agree

to request the Commission issue its order on this Settlement by June 7, 2017, which would facilitate a June 28, 2017, rates-effective date.

IV. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. The Commission's Rights

15. Nothing in this Settlement is intended to impinge or restrict, in any manner, the exercise by the Commission of any statutory right, including the right of access to information, and any statutory obligation, including the obligation to ensure that KCP&L is providing efficient and sufficient service at just and reasonable rates.

B. Parties' Rights

16. The Signatory Parties shall have the right to present pre-filed testimony in support of this Settlement. Such testimony shall be filed formally in the docket by April 26, 2017, and presented by witnesses at a hearing on this Settlement, should the Commission elect to conduct such a hearing. In the event the Commission elects to not conduct a hearing on this Settlement, the Parties agree all pre-filed testimony shall be considered entered into the record.

C. Waiver of Cross-Examination

17. In the event the Commission conducts a hearing, the Signatory Parties waive cross-examination on all testimony filed prior to the filing of this Settlement. The Signatory Parties agree that all such pre-filed testimony may be admitted into the record without objection.

D. Negotiated Settlement

18. This Settlement represents a negotiated settlement that fully resolves the issues raised in this proceeding. The Signatory Parties represent that the terms of this Settlement constitute a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed herein. Except as specified herein, the Signatory Parties shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the

7

terms of this Settlement (a) in any future proceeding; (b) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (c) in this proceeding should the Commission decide to not approve this Settlement in the instant proceeding. If the Commission accepts this Settlement in its entirety and incorporates the same into a formal order without material modification, the Signatory Parties shall be bound by its terms and the Commission's order incorporating its terms as to all issues addressed herein and in accordance with the terms hereof, and will not appeal the Commission's order on these issues.

E. Interdependent Provisions

19. The provisions of this Settlement have resulted from negotiations among the Signatory Parties and are interdependent. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of this Settlement in total or materially changes the Settlement terms, the Settlement shall be voidable and no Signatory Party hereto shall be bound, prejudiced, or in any way affected by any of the agreements or provisions hereof. Further, in the event the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of this Settlement in total, this Settlement shall be considered privileged and not admissible in evidence or made a part of the record in any proceeding. In the event of a termination pursuant to this Section, the Settlement shall be null and void and of no further effect, with all rights, duties, and obligations of the Signatory Parties thereafter restored as if this Settlement had never been executed; provided, that the Signatory Parties may, in the sole discretion of each Party, agree to attempt to modify the Settlement in a manner that would resolve the adverse effect of the material change of condition.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the Signatory Parties have executed and approved this Settlement Agreement, effective as of the 25th day of April 2017, by subscribing their signatures below.

By: Is Darrin R. Ives

DARRIN R. IVES Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Kansas City Power & Light Company One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street – 31st Floor Kansas City, MO 64105 Telephone: (816) 556-2522 Facsimile: (816) 556-2110 darrin.ives@kcpl.com

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

By: Isl Andrew French

ANDREW FRENCH, #24680 Senior Litigation Counsel 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66044 Telephone: (785) 271-3361 Facsimile: (785) 271-3167 a.french@kcc.ks.gov

STAFF OF THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

By: Is David W. Nickel

DAVID W. NICKEL, #11170 Consumer Counsel Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS Telephone: (785) 271-3200 Facsimile: (785) 271-3116 <u>d.nickel@CURB.kansas.gov</u>

CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

	Α	В	С	D
1	KANSAS RESIDENTIAL GENERAL SEI	RVICE		
2	SETTLEMENT Docket #17-KCPE-201-R	TS		
3				
4	INPUT FOI	R MODEL		
_	Billing Charges	Present Rates		Proposed Rates
5			0 70 40 40/	
7	Increase (%) or Factor CUSTOMER CHARGE (All less RTOD)		-0.72484%	
9	One Meter	14.00	14.00	14.00
10	Two Meters	14.00	14.00	14.00
11 12	ENERGY CHARGE			
13	Summer Rates			
14 15	All Rates less Other Use 0-1000	0.10829	0.10751	0.10751
16	1000+	0.10829	0.10751	0.10751
17				
18 19	Other Use (ROU) All kWh	0.12643	0.12551	0.12551
20		0.12040	0.12001	0.12001
	Winter Rates			
22 23	General Use (RESA) 0-600	0.08361	0.08300	0.08300
24	601-1000	0.08361	0.08300	0.08300
25	1000+	0.08361	0.08300	0.08300
26 27	General & S/H - 1 Mtr (RESC)			
28	0-600	0.07529	0.07474	0.07474
29	601-1000	0.07529 0.06575	0.07474	0.07474
30 31	1000+	0.06575	0.06527	0.06524
32	General Use (RESD)			
33 34	0-600 601-1000	0.07529 0.07529	0.07474 0.07474	0.07474 0.07474
35	1000+	0.06575	0.06527	0.06527
36				
37 38	Other Use (ROU) All kWh	0.09934	0.09862	0.09862
39		0.00004	0.00002	0.00002
	Separately Metered Space Heat (RESD)			
41 42	Summer Rate Winter Rate	0.10829 0.06575	0.10751 0.06527	0.10751 0.06524
43		0.00010	5.000E1	0.00024
	Time Of Day (RTOD)	00.00	20.00	00.00
45 46	Customer Charge Summer On-Peak	20.00 0.17750	20.00 0.17621	20.00 0.17621
47	Summer Off-Peak	0.07424	0.07370	0.07370
48 49	Winter	0.07761	0.07705	0.07705
	ENERGY COST REMOVAL			
51	Summer Energy	0.00000	-	-
52 53	Winter Energy Factor RESA Gen	0.00000	- -0.63%	- 100.00%
54	Factor RESA Gen - Winter		-0.03%	100.00%
55	Factor RESC Gen+ S/H (1 M)		-0.65%	99.99%
	Factor RESC Gen+ S/H (1 M) - Winter Factor RESD Gen + S/H (2M)		-0.66%	<u> </u>
58	Factor RESD Gen + S/H (2M) - Winter			99.98%
59	Factor RTOD		-0.60%	
	Factor ROU Overall Change (*)	0.000%	-0.50% -0.640%	
62	Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM	18.0%	18.0%	
63		293,967,898		292,091,654
64 65		Reve	nue per Summary Variance	292,093,137 (1,483)
00			variance	(1,-03)

	A B	С	D	E
1	KANSAS SMALL GENERAL SERVICE			
2	SETTLEMENT Docket #17-KCPE-201-R	RTS		
3		D.110051		
4	INPUT FO	R MODEL	Rates w/	PROPOSED
5	Cust Chg	Current Rates	Increase	RATES
6			0.5402959/	
7	INCREASE (%)		-0.519285%	
9	A: CUSTOMER CHARGE			
10 11	Metered Service: 0-24 KW	18.46	18.46	18.30
12	25-199 KW	48.24	48.24	47.99
13	200-999 KW	48.24	48.24	47.99
14 15	1001+ KW Unmetered Service	48.24 7.92	48.24 7.92	47.99
16	Separately Metered Space Heat	2.18	2.18	2.1
17				
18 19	B: FACILITIES CHARGE SECONDARY:			
20	0-25 KW	-	-	-
21	26+ KW PRIMARY:	2.843	2.843	2.828
22 23	0-26 KW		-	
24	27+ KW	2.405	2.405	2.393
25 26	C: ENERGY CHARGE			
27	SECONDARY-SUMMER:			
28	0-180 hrs use per month	0.14504	0.14504	0.1442
29 30	181-360 hrs use per month 361+ hrs use per month	0.06369 0.05692	0.06369 0.05692	0.0633
31	SECONDARY-WINTER:			
32 33	0-180 hrs use per month	0.11544 0.05441	0.11544 0.05441	0.1148 0.0541
34	181-360 hrs use per month 361+ hrs use per month	0.05441	0.05441	0.0541
35				
36 37	PRIMARY-SUMMER: 0-180 hrs use per month	0.14140	0.14140	0.1406
38	181-360 hrs use per month	0.06194	0.06194	0.0616
39	361+ hrs use per month	0.05543	0.05543	0.0551
40 41	PRIMARY-WINTER: 0-180 hrs use per month	0.11249	0.11249	0.1119
42	181-360 hrs use per month	0.05307	0.05307	0.0527
43 44	361+ hrs use per month	0.04172	0.04172	0.0415
44 45	SECONDARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC			
46	0-180 hrs use per month	0.07850	0.07850	0.0780
47 48	181-360 hrs use per month	0.04764 0.04162	0.04764 0.04162	0.0473
40	361+ hrs use per month PRIMARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC	0.04102	0.04102	0.0414
50	0-180 hrs use per month	0.07661	0.07661	0.0762
51 52	181-360 hrs use per month 361+ hrs use per month	0.04641 0.04032	0.04641 0.04032	0.0461
53		0.04002	0.04002	0.0401
	D: SEPARATELY METERED S/H-WINTER	0.04462	0.04462	0.0414
55 56	SECONDARY PRIMARY	0.04162 -	0.04162	0.0414
57				
58 59	E: REACTIVE DEMAND ADJUSTMENT	0.668	0.668	0.66
	F. ENERGY COST REMOVAL			
61	Summer Energy	-	0.0000	
62 63	Winter Energy SGS Secondary	- 100.00%	0.0000	- 99.48
64	SGS Primary	100.00%	100.00%	99.48
	SGS Overall Change (*) SGA Secondary	0.00%	0.00%	-0.52 99.48
	SGA Secondary SGA Primary	100.00%	100.00%	99.48 99.47
68	SGA Winter Energy Overall Change		0.00%	-0.53
	SGA Overall Change (*) SGS Secondary - Space Heat	0.00%	0.00% 100.00%	-0.52 99.48
71	SGS Space Heat Overall Change (*)	0.00%	0.00%	-0.52
	SGS Secondary - Unmetered	100.00%	100.00%	99.49
	SGS Unmetered Overall Change (*) Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM	0.00%	0.00% 12.6%	-0.51 12.6
75	Overall Change	0.00%	0.00%	
76	Revenue per Summary	40,140,252	T	\$ 39,931,40
77 78			Target	\$ 39,931,81
79				
80 81			Variance	(40
			valialice	(40

-				
3 4	INPUT FOR	MODEL		
5	Cust Chg	Current Rates	Rates w/Rate Design	PROPOSED RATES
6	Cust city	Current Rates	Design	RAILS
7	INCREASE (%)		-0.54429%	
8				
9 10	A: CUSTOMER CHARGE			
11	0-24 KW	49.84	49.84	49.5
12	25-199 KW	49.84	49.84	49.5
13 14	200-999 KW 1001+ KW	49.84 49.84	49.84 49.84	49.5 49.5
15	Separately Metered Space Heat	2.33	2.33	2.3
16				
17 18	B: FACILITIES CHARGE SECONDARY:	2.909	2.909	2.89
18	PRIMARY:	2.909	2.909	2.69
20			-	
	C: DEMAND CHARGE		1.076	
22 23	SECONDARY-SUMMER: SECONDARY-WINTER	4.070 2.062	4.070 2.062	4.04 2.05
24	PRIMARY-SUMMER	3.983	3.983	3.96
25	PRIMARY-WINTER	2.022	2.022	2.01
26 27	SECONDARY-WINTER - ELEC ONLY PRIMARY-WINTER - ELEC ONLY	2.828 2.767	2.828 2.767	2.81 2.75
28		2.707	2.101	2.75
29	D: ENERGY CHARGE			
30	SECONDARY-SUMMER:	0.00228	0 00228	0.0017
31 32	0-180 hrs use per month 181-360 hrs use per month	0.09228 0.05785	0.09228 0.05785	0.0917 0.0575
33	361+ hrs use per month	0.05854	0.05854	0.0582
34	SECONDARY-WINTER:			
35 36	0-180 hrs use per month 181-360 hrs use per month	0.08263 0.04639	0.08263 0.04639	0.0821 0.0461
37	361+ hrs use per month	0.03904	0.03904	0.0388
38				
39 10	PRIMARY-SUMMER:	0.09009	0.09009	0.0904
+0 41	0-180 hrs use per month 181-360 hrs use per month	0.08998 0.05605	0.08998 0.05605	0.0894 0.0557
12	361+ hrs use per month	0.05356	0.05356	0.0532
13 14	PRIMARY-WINTER:	0 00075	0.00075	0.0000
+4 15	0-180 hrs use per month 181-360 hrs use per month	0.08075 0.04530	0.08075 0.04530	0.0803 0.0450
16	361+ hrs use per month	0.03566	0.03566	0.0354
17				
48 19	SECONDARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC 0-180 hrs use per month	0.04873	0.04873	0.0484
50	181-360 hrs use per month	0.02951	0.02951	0.0293
51	361+ hrs use per month	0.02565	0.02565	0.0255
52 53	PRIMARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC 0-180 hrs use per month	0.04738	0.04738	0.0471
53 54	181-360 hrs use per month	0.04738	0.04738	0.0471
55	361+ hrs use per month	0.02495	0.02495	0.0248
56	E: SEPARATELY METERED S/H-WINTER			
58	E: SEPARATELY METERED 5/H-WINTER SECONDARY	0.02565	0.02565	0.0255
59	PRIMARY	-	-	-
60		0.071	0.074	0.07
51 52	F: REACTIVE DEMAND ADJUSTMENT	0.674	0.674	0.67
	G: ENERGY COST REMOVAL			
64	Summer Energy	-	0	0.000
65 66	Winter Energy MGS Secondary	- 100.00%	0 100.00%	0.000
	MGS Primary	100.00%	100.00%	99.46
68	MGS Overall Change (*)	0.00%	100.00%	99.45
	MGA Secondary MGA Primary	100.00% 0.00%	100.00% 100.00%	99.46 99.45
	MGA Primary MGA Winter Energy Overall Change	0.00%	100.00%	99.45 99.45
72	MGA Overall Change (*)	0.00%	100.00%	99.46
	MGS Secondary - Space Heat	100.00%	100.00%	99.46
74 75	Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM Overall Change	12.2% 0.0%	12.2% 0.00%	<u>12.2</u> -0.55
76		70,107,345	3.0070	69,725,11
77	Revenue per Summary		Target	69,725,75

	АВ		D D	E
1	KANSAS LARGE GENERAL SERVICE		ΙΑ	
2	SETTLEMENT Docket #17-KCPE-201-R	TS		
3				
4	INPUT FOR	R MODEL		
5	Cust Cha	Current Rates	Rates w/Rate	PROPOSED RATES
6	Cust Chg	Current Rates	Design	RATES
7	INCREASE (%)		-0.629039%	
8				
-	A: CUSTOMER CHARGE			
10	For Transmission/Substation	768.70	768.70	763.86
11 12	0-24 KW 25-199 KW	105.28 105.28	105.28 105.28	104.62 104.62
13	200-999 KW	105.28	105.28	104.62
14	1001+ KW	720.07	720.07	715.54
15	Separately Metered Space Heat	2.32	2.32	2.31
16				
17 18	B: FACILITIES CHARGE SECONDARY:	3.049	3.049	2.020
18	PRIMARY:	3.049 2.560	3.049 2.560	3.030 2.544
20	SUBSTATION:	0.812	0.812	0.807
21	TRANSMISSION:	-	-	-
22				
	C: DEMAND CHARGE	0.577	0.577	0.500
24 25	SECONDARY-SUMMER: SECONDARY-WINTER	6.577 3.339	6.577 3.339	6.536 3.318
25	PRIMARY-SUMMER	6.455	6.455	6.414
27	PRIMARY-WINTER	3.266	3.266	3.245
28	SECONDARY-WINTER - ELEC ONLY	3.039	3.039	3.020
29	PRIMARY-WINTER - ELEC ONLY	2.983	2.983	2.964
30				
31 32	<u>SUBSTATION-SUMMER</u> First 2520 kw	11.183	11.183	11.113
33	Next 2520 kw	10.445	10.445	10.379
34	Next 2520 kw	7.692	7.692	7.644
35	All kw over 7560 kw	5.614	5.614	5.579
36	SUBSTATION-WINTER	7 004	7 004	7.550
37 38	First 2520 kw Next 2520 kw	7.601 6.930	7.601 6.930	7.553 6.886
39	Next 2520 kw	5.371	5.371	5.337
40	All kw over 7560 kw	4.133	4.133	4.107
41				
42	TRANSMISSION-SUMMER			
43 44	First 2541 kw Next 2541 kw	11.083 10.352	11.083 10.352	11.013 10.287
44 45	Next 2541 kw Next 2541 kw	7.648	7.648	7.600
46	All kw over 7623 kw	5.583	5.583	5.548
47	TRANSMISSION-WINTER			
48	First 2541 kw	7.533	7.533	7.486
49	Next 2541 kw	6.868	6.868	6.825
50 51	Next 2541 kw All kw over 7623 kw	5.341 4.110	5.341 4.110	5.307 4.084
52		4.110	4.110	4.004
	D: ENERGY CHARGE			
54	SECONDARY-SUMMER:			
55	0-180 hrs use per month	0.06923	0.06923	0.06879
56	181-360 hrs use per month	0.04947	0.04947	0.04916
57 58	361+ hrs use per month SECONDARY-WINTER:	0.02829	0.02829	0.02811
59	0-180 hrs use per month	0.06939	0.06939	0.06895
60	181-360 hrs use per month	0.04216	0.04216	0.04189

	AB	С	D	E
61	361+ hrs use per month	0.03150	0.03150	0.03130
62				
63	PRIMARY-SUMMER:			
64	0-180 hrs use per month	0.06723	0.06723	0.06682
65	181-360 hrs use per month	0.04798	0.04798	0.04769
66	361+ hrs use per month	0.02722	0.02722	0.02705
67	PRIMARY-WINTER:	0.00700	0.00700	0.00004
68 69	0-180 hrs use per month 181-360 hrs use per month	0.06723 0.04118	0.06723 0.04118	0.06681 0.04092
70	361+ hrs use per month	0.04118	0.03071	0.04092
71		0.03071	0.03071	0.03032
72	SECONDARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC			
73	0-180 hrs use per month	0.04842	0.04842	0.04812
74	181-360 hrs use per month	0.03021	0.03021	0.03002
75	361+ hrs use per month	0.02481	0.02481	0.02465
76	PRIMARY-WINTER - ALL ELECTRIC			
77	0-180 hrs use per month	0.04714	0.04714	0.04684
78	181-360 hrs use per month	0.02921	0.02921	0.02903
79	361+ hrs use per month	0.02404	0.02404	0.02389
80				
81	SUBSTATION-SUMMER		o o	0.077/-
82	0-180 hrs use per month	0.05753	0.05753	0.05717
83	181-360 hrs use per month	0.03487	0.03487	0.03465
84 85	361+ hrs use per month SUBSTATION-WINTER	0.02018	0.02018	0.02005
86	0-180 hrs use per month	0.05381	0.05381	0.05347
87	181-360 hrs use per month	0.03800	0.03800	0.03347
88	361+ hrs use per month	0.02745	0.02745	0.02728
89		0.027 10	0.02110	0.02120
90	TRANSMISSION-SUMMER			
91	0-180 hrs use per month	0.05681	0.05681	0.05645
92	181-360 hrs use per month	0.03444	0.03444	0.03422
93	361+ hrs use per month	0.01973	0.01973	0.01961
94	TRANSMISSION-WINTER			
95	0-180 hrs use per month	0.05324	0.05324	0.05291
96	181-360 hrs use per month	0.03757	0.03757	0.03733
97	361+ hrs use per month	0.02700	0.02700	0.02683
98				
	E: SEPARATELY METERED S/H-WINTER	0.00404	0.00404	0.00405
100	SECONDARY	0.02481	0.02481	0.02465
101 102	PRIMARY	-	-	-
	F: REACTIVE DEMAND ADJUSTMENT	0.692	0.692	0.688
103		0.032	0.032	0.000
	G: ENERGY COST REMOVAL			
106	Summer Energy	-	-	-
107	Winter Energy	-	-	-
108	LGS Secondary	100.00%	100.00%	99.37%
109	LGS Primary	100.00%	100.01%	99.38%
	LGS Overall Change (*)	0.00%	0.00%	-0.63%
	LGA Secondary	100.00%	100.00%	99.37%
	LGA Primary	100.00%	100.14%	99.38%
	LGA Winter Energy Overall Change		0.00%	-0.63%
	LGA Overall Change (*)	0.00%	0.00%	-0.63%
	LGS Substation Voltage	100.00%	100.00%	99.37% 00.27%
	LGS Transmission Voltage LGS Overall Change (*)	100.00% 0.00%	100.00% 0.00%	99.37% -0.63%
	Winter Price Below Summer (SUM-WIN)/SUM	12.3%	12.3%	
	Overall Change	0.00%	0.00%	-0.63%
120	oronan-ondingo	164,860,776	164,863,870	\$163,823,341
121	Revenue per Summary		Target	\$ 163,823,738
122			<u> </u>	
123			Variance	\$ (396)
				· · · ·

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М
		Tariff				Increase w/ EC							
1	Tariffs	Rate #	Tariff Description	Rate Group	Current Rate	Removal				New Rate			
2						-0.63774%							
3													
	LS	OFF-PFAK	LIGHTING SERVICE		\$0.05835	-\$0.0004				\$0.05798			
5		0			•••••••	\$0.000				\$ 01001.00			
6													
0		Tariff					Increase w/ EC						
7	Tariffs	Rate #	Tariff Description	Rate Group	Current Rate	Avg. Month KWH	Removal			New Rate			
8	Tanns	Rate #	Tailli Description	Rate Group	Current Kate	Avg. Month Rwit	-0.63774%			New Kale			
-							-0.03114/0						
9													
	MOL		L ORNAMENTAL STREET LIGHTING SERVICE		\$00 OT	40.000	60 10			* ••• • •			
11			9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium (under sod) 9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium (under concrete)	09OS 09OC	\$63.27 \$92.47	49.333 49.333	-\$0.40 -\$0.59			\$62.87 \$91.88			
12			16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium (under concrete)	16OS	\$92.47 \$64.31	49.333 66.583	-\$0.59 -\$0.41			\$91.80			
14			16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium (under sod)	160C	\$93.83	66.583	-\$0.41 -\$0.60			\$93.23			
14			roood Eumen high Pressure Sourdin (under concrete)	1000	\$55.05	00.000	-40.00			φ 3 3.23			
12 13 14 15 16	AI	PRIVATE U	INMETERED PROTECTIVE LIGHTING SERVICE										
17			BASE CHARGE:										
18			5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	S058	\$14.62	34.250	-\$0.09			\$14.53	;		
19			8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor	M086	\$15.28	71.083	-\$0.10			\$15.18	;		
20			16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	H160	\$24.22	66.667	-\$0.15			\$24.07			
21			22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor	M225	\$24.63	157.250	-\$0.16			\$24.47			
22			22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor	V225	\$26.21	157.250	-\$0.17			\$26.04			
23			50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium	H500	\$41.27	162.167	-\$0.26			\$41.01			
24			63000 Lumen Mercury Vapor	V630	\$44.82	372.417	-\$0.29			\$44.53	6		
25			ADDITIONAL CHARGES:										
26			Each 30-foot ornamental steel pole installed		\$11.01	0.000	-\$0.07			\$10.94			
27			Each 35-foot ornamental steel pole installed		\$12.09	0.000	-\$0.08			\$12.01			
28			Each 30-foot wood steel pole installed		\$6.81 \$7.89	0.000	-\$0.04 -\$0.05			\$6.77 \$7.84			
29			Each 35-foot wood steel pole installed Each overhead span of circuit installed		\$7.89 \$2.12	0.000 0.000	-\$0.05 -\$0.01			\$7.84 \$2.11			
30			Each overhead span of circuit installed		ə2.12	0.000	-a0.01			\$2. 11			
32			First 300 feet for underground lighting		\$2.99	0.000	-\$0.02			\$2.97			
33			Over 300 feet for underground lighting		\$2.99	0.000	-\$0.02 -\$0.02			\$2.97			
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34					φ2.55	0.000	-\$0.02			φ2.51			
54	I												

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М
										New Annual			
		Tariff					Increase w/ EC		Monthly New	Rate			
35	Tariffs	Rate #	Tariff Description	Rate Group	Current Rate	Avg. Month KWH	Removal	New Annual Rate	Rate	(Mthly * 12)			
36													
37	ML		L STREET LIGHTING SERVICE (ANNUAL RATES)										
38		INCANDES											
39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46			2500 Lumen	125X	\$126.12		-\$0.80		\$10.44				
40			4000 Lumen	140W	\$213.36	91.917	-\$1.36		\$17.67				
41			6000 Lumen	160W	\$238.44	115.083	-\$1.52		\$19.74				
42			4000 Lumen	140S	\$334.80	91.917	-\$2.14	\$332.66	\$27.72	\$332.64			
43		CUSTOME		0.401			6 4.64	* ~~ * ~	A 1 7 00	AAA 4 = 0			
44			16000 Lumen Limited Maintenance	C16L	\$206.04	66.583	-\$1.31	\$204.73	\$17.06				
45			27500 Lumen Limited Maintenance	C27L	\$270.00	108.583	-\$1.72	\$268.28	\$22.36	\$268.32			
46		MERCURY		MOOD	6004 C4	74 000	6 4 00	#000 00	* ****	\$000 · ·			
47				M08P	\$291.24	71.083	-\$1.86	\$289.38	\$24.12	\$289.44			
48			VAPOR/HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM	14000	6 40 00	74.000	* 0.00	¢ 45 70	* 0.00	¢45.04			
49			8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M08S	\$46.08	71.083	-\$0.29		\$3.82				
50			8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge	M08S	\$209.52		-\$1.34		\$17.35				
51			12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M12S M12S	\$64.56 \$228.00	101.083	-\$0.41	\$64.15	\$5.35				
52			12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge		• • • • •		-\$1.45		\$18.88				
53			22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M22S	\$122.76	157.083	-\$0.78		\$10.17				
54			22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge	M22S	\$286.20	157.083	-\$1.83		\$23.70				
55			5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S05S	\$32.28 \$195.72	34.167 34.167	-\$0.21	\$32.07	\$2.67				
50			5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S05S		49.333	-\$1.25		\$16.20				
5/			9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge 9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S09S S09S	\$46.44 \$209.88	49.333	-\$0.30		\$3.85 \$17.38				
50			16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge				-\$1.34						
59			16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge 16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S16S S16S	\$65.16	66.583	-\$0.42 -\$1.46		\$5.40				
00					\$228.60				\$18.93				
60			27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S27S S27S	\$123.24 \$286.68	108.583 108.583	-\$0.79		\$10.20 \$23.73				
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65			27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge 50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S27S S50S	\$286.68 \$287.52		-\$1.83 -\$1.83						
64			50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge 50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S50S S50S	\$287.52 \$450.96	162.333 162.333	-\$1.83 -\$2.88		\$23.81 \$37.34				
04 65			Base Unit Charge	5005	\$450.96 \$163.44	0.000	-\$2.88 -\$1.04	\$448.08 \$162.40	\$37.34 \$13.53				
05			Ornamental steel pole		\$163.44	0.000	-\$1.04		\$13.53 \$3.78				
66 67			Urnamental steel pole		\$45.60 \$95.76		-\$0.29 -\$0.61	\$45.31 \$95.15	\$3.78 \$7.93				
68			•		\$95.76		-\$0.60						
00			Aluminum pole		\$93.72 \$80.16	0.000 0.000	-\$0.60 -\$0.51	\$93.12 \$79.65	\$7.76 \$6.64				
69 70 71			Underground service extension - under sod Underground service extension - under concrete		\$434.40	0.000	-\$0.51		\$0.04 \$35.97				
70			Underground service extension - under concrete Breakaway base		\$434.40 \$42.00	0.000	-\$2.77 -\$0.27	\$431.63 \$41.73	\$35.97 \$3.48				
71			5		\$42.00		-\$0.27		\$3.40 \$7.64	•			
72		9.7 3	Special black square luminaire		\$92.28	0.000	-\$0.59	\$91.69	\$7.64	\$91.68			
13	l												

	Direct Filing Docket #17-KCPE-201-RTS										
	A B C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М
74	8.1 8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge - Twin	M08T	\$92.16	142.166				\$91.68			
75	8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M08T	\$337.13	142.166				\$335.22			
76	8.2 12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge - Twin	M12T	\$129.12	202.166				\$128.40			
77	12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M12T	\$374.27	202.166				\$371.94			
76 77 78	8.3 22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge - Twin	M22T	\$245.52	314.166				\$244.08			
79	22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M22T	\$490.58	314.166				\$487.62			
80 81	8.5 5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge - Twin	S05T	\$64.56	68.334				\$64.08			
81	5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S05T	\$309.54	68.334				\$307.62			
82	8.6 9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge - Twin	S09T	\$92.88	98.666				\$92.40			
83	9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S09T	\$337.91	98.666				\$335.94			
0.0	8.7 16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge - Twin	S16T	\$130.32	133.166				\$129.60			
84 85	16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S16T	\$375.30	133.166				\$373.14			
86	8.8 27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge - Twin	S27T	\$246.48	217.166				\$244.80			
87		S27T	\$491.61	217.166				\$488.34			
88	27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin										
88	8.9 50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge - Twin	S50T	\$575.04	324.666				\$571.44			
89	50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S50T	\$820.17	324.666				\$814.98			
90	T										
	Tariff Tariff Description	Data Creat									
91	Tariffs Rate # Tariff Description	Rate Group								CL rates	
92										Monthly New	Annual
02									New Date		
93									New Rate	Rate	New Rate
94	INCANDESCENT	1051/	¢400 70						407.04	644.40	\$407 TO
95	1.2 2500 Lumen	125X	\$138.73						137.81	\$11.48	\$137.76
96	2.1 4000 Lumen	140W	\$234.70						233.24	\$19.44	\$233.28
97	2.2 6000 Lumen	160W	\$262.28						260.57	\$21.71	\$260.52
98	4.1 4000 Lumen	140S	\$368.28						365.90	\$30.49	\$365.88
99	CUSTOMER OWNED										
100	6.4 16000 Lumen Limited Maintenance	C16L	\$226.64						225.19	\$18.77	\$225.24
101	6.5 27500 Lumen Limited Maintenance	C27L	\$297.00						295.15	\$24.60	\$295.20
102	MERCURY VAPOR										
103	7.1 8600 Lumen	M08P	\$320.36						318.38	\$26.53	\$318.36
104	MERCURY VAPOR/HIGH PRESSURE SODIUM										
105	8.1 8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M08S	\$50.69						50.42	\$4.20	\$50.40
106	8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge	M08S	\$230.47						229.02	\$19.09	\$229.08
107	8.2 12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M12S	\$71.02						70.62	\$5.89	\$70.68
108	12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge	M12S	\$250.80						249.22	\$20.77	\$249.24
109	8.3 22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor - Lumen Charge	M22S	\$135.04						134.24	\$11.19	\$134.28
110	22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge	M22S	\$314.82						312.84	\$26.07	\$312.84
111	8.5 5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S05S	\$35.51						35.24	\$2.94	\$35.28
112	5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S05S	\$215.29						213.84	\$17.82	\$213.84
113	8.6 9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S09S	\$51.08						50.82	\$4.24	\$50.88
114	9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S09S	\$230.87						229.42	\$19.12	\$229.44
102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117	8.7 16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S16S	\$71.68						71.28	\$5.94	\$71.28
116	16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S16S	\$251.46						249.88	\$20.82	\$249.84
117	8.8 27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S27S	\$135.56						134.64	\$11.22	\$134.64
118	27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S27S	\$315.35						313.24	\$26.10	\$313.20
119	8.9 50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium - Lumen Charge	S50S	\$316.27						314.29	\$26.19	\$314.28
120	50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge	S50S	\$496.06						492.89	\$41.07	\$492.84
121	8 (1) Base Unit Charge		\$179.78						178.60	\$14.88	\$178.56
122	9.1 Ornamental steel pole		\$50.16						49.90	\$4.16	\$49.92
123	9.2 Laminated wood pole		\$105.34						104.68	\$8.72	\$104.64
124	9.3 Aluminum pole		\$103.09						102.43	\$8.54	\$102.48
125	9.4 Underground service extension - under sod		\$88.18						87.65	\$7.30	\$87.60
126	9.5 Underground service extension - under sou		\$477.84						474.80	\$39.57	\$474.84
127	9.6 Breakaway base		\$46.20						45.94	\$3.83	\$45.96
128	9.7 Special black square luminaire		\$101.51						100.85	\$8.40	\$100.80
120			φισι.σι						100.05	ψ0.40	φ100.00
130	8.1 8600 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M08T	\$370.84						368.74	\$30.73	\$368.76
131	5.1 0000 Lutter Mercury vapor-rotal Charge - TWIT	INICO I	<i>φ</i> 370.04						500.74	<i>4</i> 50.75	φ300.70
101	8.2 12100 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M12T	\$411.70						409.13	\$34.09	\$409.08
132	0.2 12100 Lumen Mercury vapor-rotal Charge - TWIT		\$411.7U						409.13	\$34.09	409.08
118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 1301 131 132 133 134 135	8.3 22500 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Charge - Twin	M22T	\$539.64						536.38	\$44.70	\$536.40
134	0.0 22000 Lumen Mercury Vapor-Total Gharge - Twill		<i>4</i> 333.04						000.00	φ 44 .70	φ 330.4 0
100											

							-						
	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н		J	K	L	М
136		8.5	5 5800 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S05T	\$340.49						338.38	\$28.20	\$338.40
136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144		8.6	6 9500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S09T	\$371.70						369.53	\$30.79	\$369.48
140		8.7	7 16000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S16T	\$412.83						410.45	\$34.20	\$410.40
142		8.8	3 27500 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S27T	\$540.77						537.17	\$44.76	\$537.12
144 145		8.9	9 50000 Lumen High Pressure Sodium-Total Charge - Twin	S50T	\$902.19						896.48	\$74.71	\$896.52
145		Tariff									1		
146	Tariffs	Rate #	Tariff Description	Rate Group									
147											-		
148 N	IL-LED		LIGHT EMITTING DIODE										
		82	2 Small LED (≤ 7000 lumens) - Single - Lumen Charge		\$22.92	71.083	-\$0.1	5 \$22.77	\$1.90	\$22.80			
150		82	2 Small LED (≤ 7000 lumens) - Single - Total Charge		\$186.36	71.083			\$15.43				
151			2 Large LED (> 7000 lumens) - Single - Lumen Charge		\$49.68	101.083		\$49.36	\$4.11	\$49.32			
152			2 Large LED (> 7000 lumens) - Single -Total Charge		\$213.12	101.083			\$17.64	\$211.68			
153			2 Small LED (≤ 7000 lumens) - Twin - Total Charge		\$291.00	34.167	-\$1.8	\$289.14	\$24.10	\$289.14			
154			2 Large LED (> 7000 lumens) - Twin -Total Charge		\$344.52	49.333							
155			. . .										
156		82	2 Base Unit Charge		\$163.44	0.000	-\$1.0	94 \$162.40	\$13.53	\$162.36			
157		82	2 Ornamental steel pole		\$45.60	0.000	-\$0.2	9 \$45.31	\$3.78	\$45.36			
158		82	2 Aluminum pole		\$93.72	0.000	-\$0.6	\$93.12	\$7.76	\$93.12			
159		82	2 Underground service extension - under sod		\$80.16	0.000	-\$0.5	51 \$79.65	\$6.64	\$79.68			
160			2 Underground service extension - under concrete		\$434.40	0.000							
161			2 Breakaway base		\$42.00	0.000							
149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162													

	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	J	К	L	<u> </u>	М
		Tariff					Increase w/ EC						
163	Tariffs	Rate #	Tariff Description	Rate Group	Current Rate	Avg. Month KWH	Removal		New Rate				
164			•	•		0							
165	ΓR	MUNICIPAL	TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL SERVICE										
166		BASIC INST	TALLATION										
167		1 li	ndividual Control	1CTL	\$166.19	212.917	-\$1.06		\$165.13				
168		3a F	Flasher Control - 1-Way, 1-Light Signal Unit	1W1L	\$39.23	50.250	-\$0.25		\$38.98				
169		3b F	Flasher Control - 4-Way, 1-Light Signal Unit-Suspension	4W1L	\$48.88	100.833	-\$0.31		\$48.57				
168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175		4 F	Pedestrian Push Button Control	BUTN	\$139.49	220.500	-\$0.89		\$138.60				
171		7 F	Four-phase electronic controller	4PEC	\$499.97	54.833	-\$3.19		\$496.78				
172			Eight-phase electronic controller	8PEC	\$574.61	54.833	-\$3.66		\$570.95				
173			Eight-phase electronic controller w/pre-empt capabilities	8PEP	\$680.51	54.833	-\$4.34		\$676.17				
174			NTAL EQUIPMENT										
175			3-Light Signal Unit	3LTU	\$23.89		-\$0.15		\$23.74				
176			2-Light Signal Unit	2LTU	\$22.98		-\$0.15		\$22.83				
176 177 178 179 180			-Light Signal Unit	1LTU	\$7.04		-\$0.04		\$7.00				
178			Push Buttons, Pair		\$3.19		-\$0.02		\$3.17				
179			2-inch Round Lens	12RD	\$5.56		-\$0.04		\$5.52				
180			2-inch Square Lens	12SQ	\$8.90		-\$0.06		\$8.84				
181			9-Inch Square Lens	09IN	\$6.23		-\$0.04		\$6.19				
182			Fiber Optic Arrow Lens	FOAL	\$35.56		-\$0.23		\$35.33				
182 183 184			Directional Louvre		\$1.45		-\$0.01		\$1.44				
184			/ehicle Actuation Units - Loop Detector-Single	LP01	\$29.39		-\$0.19		\$29.20				
185			/ehicle Actuation Units - Loop Detector-Double	LP02	\$46.59		-\$0.30		\$46.29				
186			Flasher Equipment	FLEQ	\$8.50	13.917	-\$0.05		\$8.45				
187			Mast Arm - Style 2		\$38.13		-\$0.24		\$37.89				
188			/last Arm - Style 3		\$38.43		-\$0.25		\$38.18				
189 190			Mast Arm - Style 4		\$51.91	0.000	-\$0.33		\$51.58				
190			Back Plate		\$1.72		-\$0.01		\$1.71				
191			Vood Pole Suspension		\$18.12		-\$0.12		\$18.00				
192 193 194 195			raffic Signal Pole		\$12.44	0.000	-\$0.08		\$12.36				
193			Preemption Control for Emergency Equipment		\$54.19	0.000	-\$0.35		\$53.84				
194			Optically Activated Traffic Signal Priority Control	OAPC	\$159.76		-\$1.02		\$158.74				
195			Audio Warning System - Standard Intersection	AWSY	\$348.90	87.333	-\$2.23		\$346.67				
196			Audio Warning System - Non-Standard Intersection	AWCN	\$165.62		-\$1.06		\$164.56				
197			Audio Warning System - Non-Standard-per station	AWST	\$22.92		-\$0.15		\$22.77				
198		23 0	Camera Video Detection System	CVDS	\$1,076.64	661.960	-\$6.87		\$1,069.77				