



Bruce A. Ney
Senior Counsel

AT&T Kansas
220 SE Sixth Street
Room 515
Topeka, KS 66603-3596


T: 785.276.8413
F: 785.276.1948
bruce.ney@att.com

April 10, 2006

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

Ms. Susan K. Duffy, Executive Director
Kansas Corporation Commission
1500 SW Arrowhead Road
Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027

APR 10 2006

 Docket
Room

RE: Interconnection agreement between AT&T and Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication

Dear Ms. Duffy:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission is an original and three (3) copies of an Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement (the MFN Agreement) between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") and Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication Inc. ("Logix") executed on February 27, 2006 and supporting affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues.

Logix has chosen in the attached MFN Agreement to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement. Upon Commission approval the MFN Agreement will supersede the interconnection agreement between AT&T and Logix approved by the Commission in Docket No. 01-SWBT-353-IAT. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. AT&T files this MFN Agreement seeking Commission approval of its terms and conditions consistent with the Federal Act of 1996. Logix is registered as active and in good standing with the Kansas Secretary of State's office.


AT&T represents and believes in good faith that the implementation of this MFN Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. AT&T specifically requests that the Commission refrain from taking any action to change, suspend or otherwise delay implementation of this agreement, in keeping with the support for competition previously demonstrated by the Commission.

Contact information for Logix is listed below.

CLEC Officer Name:	CLEC Attorney Name:
Mr. Howard Siegel	
VP-Reg. and Ext. Affairs	
210 Barton Springs Road, Suite 100	
Austin, TX 78704	
E-mail: howard.siegel@logixcom.com	
Fax: 775-854-8107	

The Commission's prompt attention to this matter would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bruce A. Ney". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "B" and a stylized "Ney".

Bruce A. Ney
Senior Counsel

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Eva Powers (transmittal letter only)
Mr. Howard Siegel

**BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

APR 10 2006

Application of Southwestern Bell)
Telephone, L.P. for Approval of)
Interconnection Agreement Under the)
Telecommunications Act of 1996 With)
Logix Communications, LP d/b/a)
Logix Communications)

Docket No. 06-SWBT-1100-IAT

Susan K. Duffy

Docket
Room

**APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. FOR APPROVAL OF
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER THE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996**

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") hereby files this Application for Approval of an Interconnection Agreement ("the MFN Agreement") with Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication ("Logix"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law No. 104-104 ("Federal Act") and would respectfully show the Kansas Corporation Commission ("Commission") the following:

I. AGREEMENT REACHED

By designating in Section 2.4 of the attached MFN Agreement, Logix has chosen to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement. There are no outstanding issues between AT&T and Logix that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. The MFN Agreement was executed on February 27, 2006. Upon Commission approval the MFN Agreement will supersede the interconnection agreement between AT&T and Logix approved by the Commission in Docket No. 01-SWBT-353-IAT.

II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

AT&T seeks the Commission's approval of the MFN Agreement, consistent with the provisions of the Federal Act.

AT&T believes that the implementation of this MFN Agreement complies fully with Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because it is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The MFN Agreement promotes diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.

AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission grant expeditious approval of this MFN Agreement, without change, suspension or other delay in its implementation. This is a bilateral agreement, reached as a result of negotiation and compromise and the parties do not believe a docket or intervention by other parties is necessary or appropriate.

III. STANDARD FOR REVIEW

The statutory standards of review are set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Act which provides as follows:

(e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION

- (1) **APPROVAL REQUIRED.**--Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted to shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.
- (2) **GROUND FOR REJECTION.**--The State Commission may only reject --(A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --

- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; or

The affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues, establishes that the MFN Agreement submitted herein satisfies these standards. (Affidavit, Attachment II).


IV. KANSAS LAW

The negotiated and executed MFN Agreement is consistent with the Kansas regulatory statutes.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission approve the MFN Agreement expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted,



TIMOTHY S. PICKERING (#02003)
BRUCE A. NEY (#15554) ◀
MELANIE N. SAWYER (#19945)

220 E. Sixth Street, Room 515
Topeka, Kansas 66603-3596
(785-276-8413)
Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.

**INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER
SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996**

by and between

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. d/b/a

AT&T KANSAS

and

LOGIX COMMUNICATIONS, LP d/b/a

LOGIX COMMUNICATION

**INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252
OF THE
TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996**

This Interconnection Agreement (the "Agreement"), is being entered into by and between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Kansas¹ ("AT&T Kansas"), and Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication (f/k/a Western Communications, Inc.) ("CLEC"), (each a "Party" and, collectively, the "Parties"), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("the Act").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Act, Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication ("CLEC") has requested to adopt the Interconnection Agreement by and between AT&T Kansas and the separate CLEC designated in Section 2.4 below for the State of Kansas, which was previously approved by the Kansas Corporation Commission ("the Commission") under Section 252(e) of the Act, including any Commission approved amendments to such Agreement (the "Separate Agreement"), which is incorporated herein by reference (the "MFN Agreement"); and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual provisions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, CLEC and AT&T Kansas hereby agree as follows:

1. Incorporation of Recitals and Separate Agreement by Reference

- 1.1 The foregoing Recitals are hereby incorporated into and made a part of this MFN Agreement.
- 1.2 Except as expressly stated herein, the MFN Agreement, including any and all applicable Appendices, Schedules, Exhibits, Attachments and Commission approved Amendments thereto, are incorporated herein by this reference and form an integral part of the MFN Agreement.

2. Modifications to Separate Agreement

- 2.1 References in the Separate Agreement to "CLEC" or to "Other" shall for purposes of the MFN Agreement be deemed to refer to CLEC.
- 2.2 References in the Separate Agreement to the "Effective Date," the date of effectiveness thereof and like provisions shall for purposes of this MFN Agreement be deemed to be the date this fully executed Short Form is approved with the Commission (the "Effective Date"). In addition, this MFN Agreement shall expire on the original expiration date of the underlying Separate Agreement.
- 2.3 The Notices Section in the Separate Agreement is hereby revised to reflect that Notices should be sent to CLEC under this MFN Agreement at the following address:

NOTICE CONTACT	CLEC CONTACT
NAME, TITLE	Howard Siegel Vice President of External & Regulatory Affairs
STREET ADDRESS	210 Barton Springs Road
ROOM OR SUITE	Suite 100
CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE	Austin, TX 78704
E-MAIL ADDRESS	howard.siegel@logixcom.com
FACSIMILE NUMBER	(775) 854-8107

¹ On December 30, 2001, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (a Missouri corporation) was merged with and into Southwestern Bell Texas, Inc. (a Texas corporation) and, pursuant to Texas law, was converted to Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., a Texas limited partnership. Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. is now doing business in Kansas as "AT&T Kansas".

- 2.4 CLEC hereby designates the Separate Agreement it is adopting by way of this Short Form for purposes of this MFN Agreement by placing an "X" next to its chosen Separate Agreement immediately below:

- ☐ Docket No. 06-SWBT- - IAT, approved January , 2006 (Birch Telecom of Kansas, Inc./ionex Communications, Inc.)
- ☐ Docket No. 06-SWBT-236-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (Cox Kansas Telecom, LLC)
- ☐ Docket No. 05-NVTT-370-ARB, approved September 14, 2005 (Navigator Telecommunications, LLC)
- ☒ Docket No. 06-SWBT-237-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (NuVox Communications of Kansas, Inc.)
- ☐ Docket No. 06-SWBT-235-IAT, approved September 12, 2005 (Prairie Stream Communications, Inc.)
- ☐ Docket No. 06-SWBT-234-IAT, approved September 14, 2005 (Xspedius Communications, LLC)

2.5 Intercarrier Compensation Options

- 2.5.1 For purposes of its MFN Agreement, CLEC elects the Intercarrier Compensation Option Choice designated with an "X" immediately below:

Designate Choice with X	Option Number	Description
☐	Option 1	Contract Rates for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and FCC's Interim ISP Terminating Compensation Plan rate for ISP-Bound Traffic
☐	Option 2	All ISP-Bound Traffic and All Section 251(b)(5) Traffic at the FCC's ISP Terminating Compensation Plan Rate
☒	Option 3	Long-term local Bill and Keep as the reciprocal compensation arrangement for Section 251(b)(5) Traffic and ISP-Bound Traffic

3. Clarifications

- 3.1 In entering into this MFN Agreement, the Parties acknowledge and agree that neither Party is waiving, and each Party hereby expressly reserves, any of its rights, remedies or arguments it may have at law or under the intervening law or regulatory change provisions in this MFN Agreement (including intervening law rights asserted by either Party via written notice as to the Separate Agreement), with respect to any orders, decisions, legislation or proceedings and any remands by the FCC, state utility commission, court, legislature or other governmental body including, without limitation, any such orders, decisions, legislation, proceedings, and remands which were issued, released or became effective prior to the Effective Date of this MFN Agreement, or which the Parties have not yet fully incorporated into this Agreement or which may be the subject of further government review. If any action by any state or federal regulatory or legislative body or court of competent jurisdiction ("Government Action"), invalidates, modifies, or stays provisions of the Separate Agreement and/or otherwise affects the rights or obligations of either Party that are addressed by the Separate Agreement specifically including but not limited to those arising with respect to a Government Action, the affected provision(s) in this MFN Agreement shall be immediately invalidated, modified or stayed consistent with such Government Action as to the Separate Agreement.
- 3.2 It is AT&T Kansas' position that this MFN Agreement (including all attachments thereto) and every interconnection, service and network element provided hereunder, is subject to all rates, terms and conditions contained in the MFN Agreement (including all attachments/appendices thereto), and that all of such provisions are integrally related and non-severable.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed as of this 27th
day of February, 2006.

Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix
Communication

Signature: Howard J. Siegel

Print Name: Howard Siegel

Title: VP External & Regulatory Affairs

Date: 2/20/06

Facilities: OCN/AECN# 2826

Resale: OCN/AECN# DOB

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T
Kansas By AT&T Operations, Inc., its authorized
agent

Signature: Rebecca L. Sparks

Print Name: Rebecca L. Sparks

Title: Executive Director-Regulatory

Date: FEB 27 2006

BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Application of Southwestern Bell)
Telephone, L.P. for Approval of)
Interconnection Agreement Under the) Docket No. _____
Telecommunications Act of 1996 With)
Logix Communications, LP d/b/a)
Logix Communications)

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL SCOTT

STATE OF KANSAS)
) ss
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)

Before me, the Undersigned Authority, on the 10th day of April, 2006, personally appeared Michael Scott of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Kansas ("AT&T") who, upon being by me duly sworn on oath deposed and said the following:


1. My name is Michael Scott. I am over the age of 21, of sound mind and competent to testify to the matters stated herein. I am the Area Manager-Regulatory Issues for AT&T, and I have knowledge concerning the Interconnection Agreement ("the MFN Agreement") between AT&T and Logix Communications, LP d/b/a Logix Communication ("Logix") on behalf of AT&T. I have personal knowledge of the provisions of the MFN Agreement which was executed on February 27, 2006.
2. Logix has chosen in the MFN Agreement to adopt an interconnection agreement previously approved by the Commission in arbitrated proceedings designed to develop successor agreements for the Kansas 271 Interconnection Agreement. Upon Commission approval the MFN Agreement will supersede the interconnection agreement between AT&T and Logix approved by the Commission in Docket No. 01-SWBT-353-IAT.
3. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation and arbitration.


4. The implementation of this MFN Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The MFN Agreement will further the transition of telecommunications competition in the State of Kansas, a policy of this State and the United States. The MFN Agreement allows diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.
5. This MFN Agreement does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. It is available to any similarly situated provider in negotiating a similar agreement.
6. The MFN Agreement is consistent with Kansas law.



Michael Scott

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of April, 2006.




Notary Public

My Commission Expires: January 26, 2007