THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:

Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair

Jay Scott Emler Dwight D. Keen

In the Matter the Application of IGWT,) Docket No: 18-CO	NS-3383-CWLE
Inc., for the assignment of and a location)	
exemption for the Varner Farms #1 located) CONSERVATION	N DIVISION
990' FNL and 1500' FWL of Section 33,		
Township 25 South, Range 4 East, Butler	License No: 3167	
County, Kansas.)	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF BILL JACKSON ON BEHALF OF IGWT, INC.

1 Q: Would you please state your name, position, employer, and office address? 2 My name is Bill Jackson. I am a self-employed petroleum geologist consulting with A: 3 IGWT, Inc. My business office address is 315 Main, El Dorado, Kansas. 4 Q: Would you please relate your educational background? 5 I received Bachelor's Degree in 1973 and a Master's degree in geology in 1979 from A: 6 Wichita State University 7 O: Do you have experience in the geology of the area covered by the application in this 8 docket? 9 A: Yes, I have approximately 30 years of experience in this area. 10 Q: What has been your involvement in this Application? 11 IGWT, Inc., the Applicant, has an oil and gas lease covering the intended drill site in the A: 12 Northeast quarter of the Northwest quarter of Section 33, Township 25 South, Range 4 13 East. They have an issue with surface contour and drainage at a location that would be 330

- feet from the West line of their lease and asked me to review the geology to see where the
- 2 wellsite could be moved that would be clear of the surface problem but also retain the
- 3 likelihood of a successful well.
- 4 Q: Did you reach a conclusion?
- 5 A: Yes.
- 6 Q: Can you explain your conclusion?
- 7 A: Yes. This proposed well is a Mississippian Chert objective. It is my opinion that the Chert
- 8 is present in Section 33, but thins out as you move East through the Section. In my opinion,
- 9 the farther you move East from the original planned drill site, the more you reduce your
- 10 chances of a successful well, and therefore from a geologic perspective you should stay as
- far West as permitted.
- 12 Q: Do you sponsor any Exhibits of the area?
- 13 A: Yes.
- 14 Q: Was that Exhibit or Exhibits prepared by you or under your supervision?
- 15 A: Yes.
- 16 Q: Can you explain your Exhibit or Exhibits?
- 17 A: Yes. My Exhibit A shows IGWT's original proposed location in the NE/4 NW/4 of Section
- 18 33, and the proposed location just to the West., as well as the wells on the Jones lease and
- the plugged #1 Varner Farms well. It also shows the remainder of Section 33 and the line
- where it appears that the Chert is lost. We know that the Chert was present in the Jones
- wells in the NW/4 NW/4 of Section 33, and was present in the plugged #1 Varner well in
- 22 the NE/4 NW/4 of Section 33. We also know that the Chert was not present in the Gray
- well in the NW/4 NE/4 of Section 33.

- 1 Q: What do you conclude from that information?
- 2 A: It is my conclusion that the farther you move to the East in Section 33, the lower the chance
- 3 that you will achieve a successful completion in the Chert, and that therefore moving to the
- 4 West is the better location.
- 5 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 6 A: Yes.

