BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition of Sprint) MAY 1 0 2010
Communications Company L.P., Sprint Spectrum L.P., and Nextel West Corp., d/b/a	(Sall-
Sprint, to Conduct General Investigation	Juan Taliffy
into the Intrastate Access Charges of United	Docket No. 08-GIMT-1023-GIT
Telephone Company of Kansas, United)
Telephone Company of Eastern Kansas,)
United Telephone Company of South)
Central Kansas, and United Telephone)
Company of Southeastern Kansas, d/b/a Embarq.)

SECOND PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND/OR CLARIFICATION

The Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB"), pursuant to K.S.A. 66-118b, K.S.A. 77-529, and K.A.R. § 82-1-235, hereby petitions the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC" or "Commission") for reconsideration and/or clarification of its April 23, 2010 Order on Reconsideration ("April 23rd Order"). Specifically, CURB is requesting that the Commission reconsider or clarify the portion of its April 23rd Order relating to the annual true-up of Embarq's access minutes of use ("MOU") and rates, to fully implement the intent expressed by the Commission in the April 23rd Order to: (1) allow Embarq to "receive the same amount of revenue it would have received had the Commission not ordered any access rate reductions," (2) provide "Kansas consumers with protection against paying more into the KUSF than necessary," (3) accomplish "revenue neutrality more accurately," (4) provide "better administration and oversight of the KUSF", and (5) provide Embarq "with the assurance that if Embarq's MOU's increase, Embarq would be entitled to additional funding until costs can actually be

-

¹ United Telephone Companies of Kansas, now known as CenturyLink, hereinafter referred to as Embarq.

determined."² In support of its Second Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification, CURB states as follows:

- In its Order Setting Embarg's Intrastate Access Rates to Parity and Providing for Rebalancing through the KUSF ("March 10th Order"), the Commission acknowledged CURB's request for a true-up mechanism in its Order, but did not provide language expressly denying CURB's request.³
- On March 25, 2010, CURB timely filed its Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's March 10th Order. In its Petition, CURB requested that the Commission reconsider the portions of its order that (1) failed to phase in Embarg's intrastate access rate reductions over at least a three year period, and (2) failed to order a true-up process for intrastate access volumes (resulting in a smaller amount of access dollars rebalanced to the KUSF) to reflect the fact that Embarq's intrastate access volumes are declining and will continue to decline.
- On April 23, 2010, the Commission issued its Order on Petition for 3. Reconsideration ("April 23rd Order"), granting CURB's request for reconsideration with regard to CURB's request for an annual true-up process related to Embarg's access MOU and rates.
- 4. During the implementation process ordered by the Commission in the March 10th Order, it became evident that CURB and Embarg had differing interpretations of the annual trueup process ordered by the Commission in its April 23rd Order. To be fair to Embarg, both interpretations find some support in language contained in the April 23rd Order. As a result, CURB files this Second Petition for Reconsideration and/or Clarification.

² April 23rd Order, ¶ 42 (emphasis added). ³ March 10th Order, at ¶ 190.

I. The Commission Should Reconsider or Clarify the Annual True-Up Process Required by the April 23rd Order.

5. In its April 23rd Order, the Commission noted its determination in its March 10th Order that Embarq should be made whole on a revenue-neutral basis until the Commission could address the cost basis of the KUSF in a separate docket.⁴ The Commission also noted that it had ordered Embarq to submit data reflecting rates and usage for the more current period ending December 31, 2009, to make the reimbursement to Embarq from the KUSF "more accurately reflect revenues actually lost – that is, be more accurately revenue neutral."⁵

6. The Commission further noted its March 10th Order required Embarq to submit the more recent data because, as CURB observed in its Petition for Reconsideration, substantial evidence demonstrated that Embarq's intrastate MOU are declining, which Embarq has conceded. The Commission specifically noted that "not ordering an update in data would result in inaccurate revenue neutral payments to Embarq. Over time, Embarq would likely receive more than what it would have received from access revenues had the Commission not reduced Embarq's intrastate access rates."

7. The Commission further reasoned:

<u>Making revenue neutrality more accurate</u> balances the legislative goals of universal service and support of the telecommunications infrastructure in this interim period before a cost-basis can be determined <u>while minimizing the costs to consumers</u>.

. . .

Making Embarq's KUSF draw (and related payments to competitors as required under competitive neutrality) more accurately revenue-neutral in the interim until the K.S.A. 2009 Supp. 66-2008(c) review, of course, also makes the necessary increase in the size of the KUSF no more than necessary and more accurate until Embarq's reimbursements may be made cost-based in the new docket. One of the main problems will likely be developing a cost model, which may take some time.

⁴ April 23rd Order, ¶ 35.

⁵ Id., at ¶ 36.

⁶ Id., at ¶ 37; March 20th Order, ¶ 246; Reams Direct, 9.

The fact the new docket may be complex and time-consuming supports making revenue-neutrality reasonably accurate in the interim.⁷

- 8. The Commission concluded that its March 10th Order had already ordered a true-up in ordering Embarq to true-up its data as of December 31, 2010, but determined that annual true-ups of a similar nature on an annual basis would better accomplish the Commission's objective of balancing legislative goals as discussed in the March 10th Order.⁸
- 9. The Commission intent and rationale for ordering an annual true-up is most clearly and succinctly stated in paragraph 42 of its April 23rd Order:

Such an annual true-up would not harm Embarq, as it <u>would receive the same</u> amount of revenue it would have received had the Commission not ordered any access rate reductions. At the same time, it <u>provides Kansas consumers with protection against paying more into the KUSF than necessary</u>. It accomplishes revenue neutrality more accurately. It constitutes better administration and oversight of the KUSF. Of course, it also provides Embarq with the assurance that if Embarq's MOU's increase, Embarq would be entitled to additional funding until costs can actually be determined.⁹

- 10. However, at odds with the clearly expressed intent of the Commission's rationale for ordering the annual true-up sought by CURB in its Petition for Reconsideration (described above in paragraphs 5-9), the language contained in paragraphs 47 and 48 of the April 23rd Order can be interpreted¹⁰ to deny any real true-up for the substantial amounts overpaid in the preceding year resulting from projections based on prior year MOU volumes (actual revenues during the following year when the KUSF draw is made will be less which is caused by the declining trend).
- 11. The true-up process sought by CURB at the hearing, and in its Petition for Reconsideration would operate like the true-up processes routinely performed by the

⁷ *Id.*, at ¶ 38.

⁸ *Id.*, at \P 40.

⁹ *Id.*, at ¶ 42.

¹⁰ CURB has been advised this is how Embarq interprets the annual true-up process ordered by the Commission in its April 23rd Order.

Commission, such as property tax surcharge true-ups, energy cost adjustment (ECA) true-ups, weather normalization true-ups, and energy efficiency rider (EER) true-ups. In addition, the same type of true-up process proposed by CURB is also currently used by Staff to true-up Embarq's "Federal USF offset" component when determining the annual KUSF support payable to Embarq. Therefore, even the existing annual KUSF support calculations for Embarq include a component that incorporates CURB's true-up method.

12. In these energy utility true-ups, the revenues paid to the utility are based on projections, and the true-up process simply compares the actual amount due to the utility to the amounts paid to the utility based on projections. The true-up amount (difference between the actual amount due and the payment based on projections) is added to or subtracted from the projected payments for the next period.

13. CURB's proposed true-up is illustrated in CURB True-Up Calculation, Attachment A. The illustration begins with the \$2,911,823 actual access revenue loss calculated by Embarq for year ending December 31, 2009.¹² This amount is divided by twelve to calculate Embarq's August and September 2010 KUSF draw.¹³ The actual access revenue loss for each subsequent year is then reduced by 8%,¹⁴ the average percent by which Embarq's intrastate access volumes have declined over the past four periods (2005 to 2006, 2006 to 2007, 2007 to 2008, and 2008 to 2009).¹⁵ While there is a different KUSF draw amount to Embarq for the

¹¹ See, December 18, 2009 Notice Of Filing Of Staff Report And Recommendation, Attachment A, In the Matter of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company Seeking Commission Approval for a Property Tax Surcharge Per K.S.A. 66-117(e), KCC Docket No. 10-WSEE-362-TAR.

¹² Embarq has advised Staff and CURB that based on the volumes for the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2009 and the interstate and intrastate rates in effect as of December 31, 2009, the calculated annual intrastate switched access revenue that Embarq will need to recover from the KUSF is \$2,911,823.

¹³ Staff has advised CURB it will recommend Embarq's KUSF draw to be implemented in August, 2010.

¹⁴ Actual access revenue losses for year ending September 30, 2010 is reduced by 6% instead of 8%, since it ends nine months after the actual revenue loss calculated by Embarq for December 31, 2009.

¹⁵ CURB believes the 8% average annual decline in access volumes may be understated, because it appears that the

months of October through February and March through September, ¹⁶ the true-up calculation for the subsequent year March 1st draw amount is a simple mathematical calculation based on Embarq's total KUSF access reduction draw from October 1 through September 30 and the actual lost access revenues by Embarq during that same time period. This results in compliance with the Commission's intent clearly expressed in paragraph 42 of the April 23rd Order as quoted in paragraph 9 above. ¹⁷ Kansas consumers are given credit for overpayments to Embarq in each subsequent KUSF year calculation, which will provide Embarq with exactly the amount of revenues it would have received had the Commission not ordered access rate reductions. Implementing CURB's proposed true-up process each year will be simple, easy to administer, fair, revenue neutral, and will result in Embarq fully recovering its lost revenue without receiving a windfall from Kansas ratepayers.

14. On the other hand, the calculation method Embarq urges the Commission to implement is not a true-up at all, but merely reduces the next year's KUSF access reduction draw for Embarq by the amount Embarq's access revenue declined the previous year ending September 30. This unavoidably results in significantly more overpayments each year, with no true-up of the prior year's overpayment. This is illustrated in Embarq Implementation Calculation, Attachment B. Attachment B demonstrates that Kansas consumers will be required to overpay Embarq a total of \$882,846 if the K.S.A. 66-2008(c) review is not completed in three years by September 2013. If the review is not completed until September 2015, ratepayers will have overpaid, and Embarq will have received a windfall, totaling \$1,358,490. While the exact amount of Embarq's declining volumes may differ slightly in coming years from the 8% four-

_

¹⁷ *Id.*, at 42.

decline in one period was unusually low and an anomaly, yet CURB included this period in its calculations to arrive at a conservative average percentage of 8%.

¹⁶ This occurs because the KUSF March 1 effective date does not coincide with the September 30 review period ordered by the Commission in the April 23rd Order.

period historical decline, a failure to provide a true-up for the overpayments made will result in substantial overpayment by Kansas consumers and an unjust windfall to Embarq.

- \$200,000 per year, allowing this inequitable result is inconsistent with the rationale, intent, and consumer protections clearly described by the Commission in paragraph 42 of the April 23rd Order. Specifically, Embarq's true-up interpretation will allow Embarq to receive a windfall rather than the same amount of revenue it would have received had the Commission not ordered any access rate reductions. Kansas consumers will be denied protection against paying more into the KUSF than necessary, but will instead be required to pay substantial amounts in excess of what is necessary to fairly compensate Embarq for its access revenue losses. Revenue neutrality will not be accomplished accurately and allowing this windfall and overpayments by Kansas consumers will not constitute "better administration and oversight of the KUSF." Finally, while an increase in Embarq's MOUs is unlikely and contrary to the substantial evidence in the record, Embarq's true-up interpretation will fail to provide Embarq assurance that should its MOU's increase, it will be entitled to additional funding until costs can actually be determined. 18
- 16. CURB cannot see any justification for denying CURB's request to adjust for the unavoidable overpayments that will be made by ratepayers without a real annual true-up. The Commission has clearly expressed its intent and goal to achieve revenue neutrality, prudently administer and oversee the KUSF, allow Embarq to recover only those revenues it would have received had the Commission not ordered any access rate reductions, and provide Kansas consumers with protection from paying more into the KUSF than necessary. Without the true-up proposed by CURB, this cannot be accomplished in the face of Embarq's undeniable declining access revenue losses. Without the true-up proposed by CURB, Kansas consumers will be

¹⁸ Id., at ¶ 42.

required to overpay by over \$200,000 annually. Kansas consumers should not be required to pay and Embarq should not be allowed to retain windfall overpayments of \$882,846 by September 2013, or about \$1,358,490 by September 2015.

II. Conclusion.

17. CURB respectfully requests that the Commission reconsider or clarify the portions of its April 23rd Order related to the annual true-up process to prevent Kansas consumers from substantially overpaying and prevent Embarq from receiving a windfall far in excess of amount of revenue it would have received had the Commission not ordered access rate reductions. CURB urges the Commission to carefully consider CURB's arguments and determine that reconsideration and/or clarification is necessary to protect Kansas consumers from paying more into the KUSF than necessary, accomplish revenue neutrality more accurately, and provide better administration and oversight of the KUSF.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Rarrick #13127

Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board

1500 SW Arrowhead Road

Topeka, KS 66604

(785) 271-3200

(785) 271-3116 Fax

CURB TRUE-UP CALCULATION - ATTACHMENT A

	YE	AR 1			•	YEA	R 2			YEAR 3						
2 Month Actual I	ost Access Revenue 9/	30/10 (Note 2)	\$ 456,186	12 Month Actua	al Lost Access Re	evenue	9/30/11	\$	2,518,145	12 Month Actu	al Lost Access	Reve	enue 9/30/12	\$	2,316,693	
2 Month KUSF D	Draw 9/30/10 prorated (Note 2)	485,304	12 Month KUS	F Draw 9/30/201	1			2,792,924	12 Month KUS	F Draw 9/30/20	012		_	2,436,961	
Overpayment C	redited to Ratepayers	in Year 1	\$ (29,118)	Overpayment (Credited to Rate	payer	rs in Year 3	\$	(274,779)	Overpayment	Credited to Ra	atepa	yers in Year 4	\$	(120,268)	
12 Month Actual	Lost Access Revenues	9/30/10 before prorate	\$ 2,737,114	12 Month Actua	al Lost Access Re	evenue	es 9/30/11	\$	2,518,145	12 Month Actu	al Lost Access	Reve	enues 9/30/12	\$	2,316,693	
12 Month KUSF	Draw 3/1/11		\$ 2,707,995	12 Month KUS	F Draw 3/1/12			\$	2,243,365	12 Month KUS	F Draw 3/1/13			\$	2,196,425	
Monthly KUSF	Draw 3/1/11		\$ 225,666	Monthly KUSI	F Draw 3/1/12			\$	186,947	Monthly KUS	F Draw 3/1/13			\$	183,035	
	KUSF	Actual Lost	Amount		KUSF	A	ctual Lost		Amount		KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount	
Month:	Draw	Revenue	Overpaid	Month:	Draw	1	Revenue	(Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue		Overpaid	
Oct-09	\$	-	\$ -	Oct-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Oct-11	225,666	\$	193,058	\$	(32,609)	
Nov-09		-	•	Nov-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Nov-11	225,666	\$	193,058	\$	(32,609)	
Dec-09		-	-	Dec-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Dec-11	225,666	\$	193,058	\$	(32,609)	
Jan-10		-	-	Jan-11	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Jan-12	225,666	\$	193,058	\$	(32,609)	
Feb-10		-	-	Feb-11	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Feb-12	225,666	\$	193,058	\$	(32,609)	
Mar-10		-	-	Mar-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Mar-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
Apr-10		-	-	Apr-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Apr-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
May-10		-	-	May-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	May-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
Jun-10		-	-	Jun-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Jun-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
Jul-10		-	-	Jul-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Jul-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
Aug-10	242,652	228,093	(14,559)	Aug-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Aug-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
Sep-10	242,652	228,093	(14,559)	Sep-11	225,666	\$	209,845	\$	(15,821)	Sep-12	186,947	\$	193,058	\$	6,111	
		•	, , ,						, ,	_						
Annual Total	\$ 485,304 \$	456,186	\$ (29,118)	Annual Total	\$ 2,792,924	\$	2,518,145	\$	(274,779)	Annual Total	\$ 2,436,961	\$	2,316,693	\$	(120,268)	

		YEA	R 4					YE	EAR 5			AR 6					
12 Month Actual I	ost Access Revenu	ie 9/3	30/13	\$	2,131,358	12 Month Actua	l Lost Access Re	ve	nue 9/30/14	\$	1,960,849	12 Month Actua	al Lost Access	Reve	nue 9/30/15	\$	1,803,981
12 Month KUSF D	0raw 9/30/2013				2,215,983	12 Month KUSF	F Draw 9/30/2014	4			2,109,104	12 Month KUS			1,910,151		
Overpayment Cre	edited to Ratepay	ers in	Year 5	\$	(84,626)	Overpayment (redited to Rate	pa	yers in Year 6	\$	(148,255)	Overpayment (Credited to Ra	atepa	yers in Year 7	\$	(106,170)
12 Month Actual L	ost Access Revenu	ies 9/	/30/13	-\$	2,131,358	12 Month Actua	l Lost Access Re	ve	nues 9/30/14	\$	1,960,849	12 Month Actua	al Lost Access	Reve	enues 9/30/15	-\$	1,803,981
12 Month KUSF D	0raw 3/1/14			\$	2,046,732	12 Month KUSF	F Draw 3/1/15			-\$	1,812,594	12 Month KUS	F Draw 3/1/16			-\$	1,697,811
Monthly KUSF D	raw 3/1/14			\$	170,561	Monthly KUSF	Draw 3/1/15			\$	151,050	Monthly KUSI	F Draw 3/1/16			\$	141,484
	KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount	-	KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount	·	KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount
Month:	Draw		Revenue	(Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue		Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue	- (Overpaid
Oct-12	186,947	\$	177,613	\$	(9,334)	Oct-13	183,035	\$	163,404	\$	(19,631)	Oct-14	170,561	\$	150,332	\$	(20,229)
Nov-12	186,947	\$	177,613	\$	(9,334)	Nov-13	183,035	\$	163,404	\$	(19,631)	Nov-14	170,561	\$	150,332	\$	(20,229)
Dec-12	186,947	\$	177,613	\$	(9,334)	Dec-13	183,035	\$	163,404	\$	(19,631)	Dec-14	170,561	\$	150,332	\$	(20,229)
Jan-13	186,947	\$	177,613	\$	(9,334)	Jan-14	183,035	\$	163,404	\$	(19,631)	Jan-15	170,561	\$	150,332	\$	(20,229)
Feb-13	186,947	\$	177,613	\$	(9,334)	Feb-14	183,035	\$	163,404	\$	(19,631)	Feb-15	170,561		150,332	\$	(20,229)
Mar-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Mar-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Mar-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Apr-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Apr-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Apr-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
May-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	May-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	May-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Jun-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Jun-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Jun-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Jul-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Jul-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Jul-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Aug-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Jul-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Aug-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Sep-13	183,035	\$	177,613	\$	(5,422)	Sep-14	170,561	\$	163,404	\$	(7,157)	Sep-15	151,050	\$	150,332	\$	(718)
Annual Total	\$ 2,215,983	\$	2,131,358	\$	(84,626)	Annual Total	\$ 2,109,104	\$	1,960,849	\$	(148,255)	Annual Total	\$ 1,910,151	\$	1,803,981	\$	(106,170)

Note 1 - Each full year assumes an 8% reduction in access rebalance revenues based on an average annual reduction of 8% in access volumes (based on the most recent four year periods 2005 to 2006, 2006 to 2007, 2007 to 2008, and 2008 to 2009.

Note 2 - Year 1 KUSF draw is Dec. 31, 2009 actual rebalance of \$2,911,823 divided by 12 months.

The Year 1 Actual Lost Revenues must be prorated to reflect 9/12 of a period, so an annual decline of 6% is used instead of 8%.

EMBARQ IMPLEMENTATION CALCULATION - ATTACHMENT B

	YE			YE.	AR 2			YEAR 3								
2 Month Actual La	ost Access Revenue 9	/30/10 (Note 2)	\$ 456,186	6 12 Month Actual Lost Access Revenue 9/30/11 \$ 2,5						5 12 Month Actual Lost Access Revenue 9/30/12 \$ 2						2,316,693
2 Month KUSF Di	raw 9/30/10 prorated	(Note 2)	485,304	12 Month KUS	F Draw 9/30/201	11			2,809,909	12 Month KUSF	Draw	9/30/2012				2,609,382
Amount Overpai	id by Ratepayers in '	Year 1	\$ (29,118)	Amount Over	aid by Ratepay	ers	in Year 2	\$	(291,765)	Amount Overpa	aid by	Ratepayers	in Y	ear 3	\$	(292,689)
	KUSF	Actual Lost	Amount	1	KUSF		Actual Lost	7-2-10	Amount			KUSF	A	ctual Lost		Amount
Month:	Draw	Revenue	Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue		Overpaid	Month:		Draw]	Revenue	(Overpaid
Oct-09	\$	•	\$ -	Oct-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Oct-11		228,093	\$	193,058	\$	(35,035)
Nov-09		•		Nov-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Nov-11		228,093	\$	193,058	\$	(35,035)
Dec-09			•	Dec-10	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Dec-11		228,093	\$	193,058	\$	(35,035)
Jan-10				Jan-11	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Jan-12		228,093	\$	193,058	\$	(35,035)
Feb-10		•		Feb-11	242,652	\$	209,845	\$	(32,807)	Feb-12		228,093	\$	193,058	\$	(35,035)
Mar-10		-	•	Mar-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Mar-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
Apr-10			-	Apr-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Apr-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
May-10		•	•	May-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	May-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
Jun-10		-	•	Jun-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Jun-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
Jul-10		•	-	Jul-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Jul-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
Aug-10	242,652	228,093	(14,559)	Aug-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Aug-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
Sep-10	242,652	228,093	(14,559)	Sep-11	228,093	\$	209,845	\$	(18,247)	Sep-12		209,845	\$	193,058	\$	(16,788)
	***********													********	******	
Annual Total \$	485,304 \$	456,186	\$ (29,118)	Annual Total	\$ 2,809,909	\$	2,518,145	\$	(291,765)	Annual Total	\$	2,609,382	\$	2,316,693	\$	(292,689)

		YEAI	R 4					YE	AR 5					YE	AR 6	 	
12 Month Actual Los	st Access Reven	ue 9/3	30/13	\$	2,131,358	12 Month Actua	l Lost Access R	eve	nue 9/30/14	\$	1,960,849	12 Month Actual L	ost Access Reve	nue	9/30/15	\$ 1,803,981	
12 Month KUSF Dra	w 9/30/2013				2,400,631	12 Month KUS	F Draw 9/30/20:	14			2,208,581	12 Month KUSF D	raw 9/30/2015			 2,031,894	
Amount Overpaid I	by Ratepayers i	n Yea	ar 4	\$	(269,274)	Amount Overp	aid by Ratepay	ers	in Year 5	S	(247,732)	Amount Overpaid	l by Ratepayers	in '	Year 6	\$ (227,913)	ĺ
	KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount		KUSF		Actual Lost		Amount	1	KUSF	A	ctual Lost	 Amount	1
Month:	Draw		Revenue		Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue		Overpaid	Month:	Draw		Revenue	Overpaid	1
Oct-12	209,845	\$	177,613	\$	(32,232)	Oct-13	193,058	\$	163,404	\$	(29,654)	Oct-14	177,613	\$	150,332	\$ (27,281)	1
Nov-12	209,845	\$	177,613	\$	(32,232)	Nov-13	193,058	\$	163,404	\$	(29,654)	Nov-14	177,613	\$	150,332	\$ (27,281)	1
Dec-12	209,845	\$	177,613	\$	(32,232)	Dec-13	193,058	\$	163,404	\$	(29,654)	Dec-14	177,613	\$	150,332	\$ (27,281)	
Jan-13	209,845	\$	177,613	\$	(32,232)	Jan-14	193,058	\$	163,404	\$	(29,654)	Jan-15	177,613	\$	150,332	\$ (27,281)	
Feb-13	209,845	\$	177,613	\$	(32,232)	Feb-14	193,058	\$	163,404	\$	(29,654)	Feb-15	177,613	\$	150,332	\$ (27,281)	
Mar-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Mar-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Mar-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	TOTAL AMOUNT
Apr-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Apr-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Apr-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	OVERPAID BY
May-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	May-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	May-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	RATEPAYERS
Jun-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Jun-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Jun-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	USING
Jul-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Jul-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Jul-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	EMBARQ'S
Aug-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Jul-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Aug-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	PROPOSED
Sep-13	193,058	\$	177,613	\$	(15,445)	Sep-14	177,613	\$	163,404	\$	(14,209)	Sep-15	163,404	\$	150,332	\$ (13,072)	TRUE-UP
																	METHOD
Annual Total \$	2,400,631	\$	2,131,358	5	(269,274)	Annual Total	\$ 2,208,581	\$	1,960,849	\$	(247,732)	Annual Total	\$ 2,031,894	\$	1,803,981	\$ (227,913)	\$ (1,358,490

Note 1 - Each full year assumes an 8% reduction in access rebalance revenues based on an average annual reduction of 8% in access volumes (based on the most recent four year periods 2005 to 2006, 2006 to 2007, 2007 to 2008, and 2008 to 2009.

Note 2 - Year 1 KUSF draw is Dec. 31, 2009 actual rebalance of \$2,911,823 divided by 12 months.

The Year 1 Actual Lost Revenues must be prorated to reflect 9/12 of a period, so an annual decline of 6% is used instead of 8%.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS)	
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE	}	SS:
I, C. Steven Rarrick, of lawful ag	ge, being fir	irst duly sworn upon his oath states:
		ned petitioner; that he has read the above and belief, states that the matters therein appearing
	C. Ste	even Rarrick
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to	o before me	ne this 10th day of May, 2010.
	Notary	feller June
My Commission expires: 1-26-2013	3	DELLA J. SMITH Notary Public - State of Kansas My Appt. Expires January 26, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

08-GIMT-1023-GIT

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was placed in the United States mail, postage prepaid, electronic service, or hand-delivered this 10th day of May, 2010, to the following:

* DAVID BREVITZ BREVITZ CONSULTING SERVICES 3623 SW WOODVALLEY TERRACE TOPEKA, KS 66614 davidbrevitz@att.net

ANDREW FISHER
COMCAST PHONE OF KANSAS LLC
D/B/A COMCAST DIGITAL PHONE
ONE COMCAST CENTER
50TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103
Fax: 215-286-5039
andrew fisher@comcast.com

MARK E. CAPLINGER, ATTORNEY JAMES M. CAPLINGER, CHARTERED 823 W 10TH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66612 Fax: 785-232-0724 mark@caplinger.net

- * ROBERT LEHR, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD ROAD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3354 b.lehr@kcc.ks.gov **** Hand Deliver ****
- * MARK P. JOHNSON, ATTORNEY SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 4520 MAIN STREET SUITE 1100 KANSAS CITY, MO 64111 Fax: 816-531-7545 mjohnson@sonnenschein.com
- * DIANE C. BROWNING, ATTORNEY/KSOPHN0314-3A459
 SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P.
 6450 SPRINT PKWY
 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251
 Fax: 913-523-0571
 diane.c.browning@sprint.com

* GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY CAFER LAW OFFICE, L.L.C. 3321 SW 6TH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66606 Fax: 785-271-9993 gcafer@sbcglobal.net

* KEVIN ZARLING, ATTORNEY/KSOPKJ04-4013 EMBARQ COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A CENTURYLINK COMMUNICATIONS 5454 W 110TH STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66211-1204 Fax: 913-345-7955 kevin.k.zarling@embarq.com

JAMES M. CAPLINGER, JR., ATTORNEY JAMES M. CAPLINGER, CHARTERED 823 W 10TH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66612 Fax: 785-232-0724 jrcaplinger@caplinger.net

RACHEL LIPMAN REIBER, ATTORNEY
MARTIN PRINGLE OLIVER WALLACE & BAUER LLP
6900 COLLEGE BLVD STE 700
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66062
Fax: 913-491-3341
rlreiber@martinpringle-kc.com

- * BRUCE A. NEY, ATTORNEY, ROOM 515 SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE CO. D/B/A AT&T 220 EAST SIXTH STREET TOPEKA, KS 66603 Fax: 785-276-1948 bruce.ney@att.com
- * KENNETH A. SCHIFMAN, ATTORNEY/MS: KSOPHN314-3A753
 SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY L.P. 6450 SPRINT PKWY
 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251
 Fax: 913-523-9827
 kenneth.schifman@sprint.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

08-GIMT-1023-GIT

GREG GIERCZAK, EXEC DIR EXTERNAL RELATIONS SUREWEST KANSAS LICENSES, LLC 200 VERNON STREET P O BOX 969 (95661) ROSEVILLE, CA 95678 Fax: 916-786-1877 g.gierczak@surewest.com

* TORRY SOMERS, ATTORNEY AT LAW/NVLSVB0207 UNITED TELEPHONE CO. OF KANSAS D/B/A CENTURYLINK 330 S VALLEY VIEW BLVD LAS VEGAS, NV 89107 Fax: 702-244-7775 torry.r.somers@centurylink.com * ZSUZSANNA BENEDEK, ATTORNEY UNITED TELEPHONE CO. OF KANSAS D/B/A CENTURYLINK 240 N 3RD STREET, STE 201 HARRISBURG, PA 17101-1521 sue.e.benedek@embarq.com

Della Smith

* Denotes those receiving the Confidential version