Exhibit No. Issue: Income Statement and Rate Base Adjustments Witness: Jayna R. Long Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Sponsoring Party: Empire District Electric Docket No. Date Testimony Prepared: October 2009

Before the Kansas Corporation Commission

Direct Testimony

of

Jayna R. Long

October 2009



SERVICES YOU COUNT ON

TABLE OF CONTENTS DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAYNA R. LONG ON BEHALF OF THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

<u>SUBJECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
L INTRODUCTION	1
II. RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	2
Allocation of Common Plant	2
Inventory	2
III. REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS	
Customer Growth Adjustment	
Weather Normalization Adjustment	5
Unbilled Revenue	
IV. EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS	6
Postage	6
Customer Deposit Interest	6
Payroll and Payroll Taxes	7
Property Taxes	7
2007 Ice Storms	7
May 2009 Wind Storm	
Maintenance Contract	8 [°]

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAYNA R. LONG THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION DOCKET NO.

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. Jayna R. Long. My business address is 602 S. Joplin Avenue, Joplin, Kansas.

4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

5 A. I am employed by Empire District Electric Company ("EDE or Company"), as a
6 Regulatory Analyst.

7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL 8 BACKGROUND.

9 A. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with majors in
10 accounting and marketing from Missouri Southern State University. I was employed by
11 Leggett & Platt, Inc. immediately following my graduation in 1993 where I held various
12 positions as an accountant at the Corporate Office and then was promoted to Division
13 Controller. I have also served as a Plant Controller for Invensys Inc. and Controller for
14 Clark Industries. In May 2001, I joined Empire as a Senior Internal Auditor where I
15 remained until October 2003. At that time, I accepted my current position.

16Q.WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE BEFORE17THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION ("COMMISSION")?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to present certain adjustments to the accounting
 schedules.

3 II. RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS

4 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS YOU ARE 5 SPONSORING.

- A. I am sponsoring five adjustments to rate base. The first adjustment is the allocation of
 common plant to EDE's natural gas business. The remaining adjustments are to
 normalize inventory.
- 9

Allocation of Common Plant

10 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE FIRST ADJUSTMENT TO ALLOCATE COMMON 11 PLANT TO EMPIRE'S NATURAL GAS BUSINESS.

- Empire records the common general plant on the electric company's books in their 12 A. 13 entirety. A rate case adjustment is required to allocate a portion of the common general 14 plant to the gas operations. The allocation is based on a three part formula, the 15 Massachusetts formula, which is described in Empire's Cost Allocation Manual filed in response to data request No. 5 from the Staff of the Kansas Corporation Commission 16 17 The result of this allocation decreases the general plant in service by ("Staff"). 18 \$2,040,458 and decreases the provision for accumulated depreciation for general plant by 19 \$1,054,165.
- 20 Inventory

21 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FIRST ADJUSTMENT TO NORMALIZE 22 INVENTORY.

23 A. The material and supplies inventory recorded on the Empire balance sheet includes

inventory for both the electric and water business. These inventories are tracked
 separately on a manual spreadsheet. An adjustment of \$36,673 was needed to reduce
 materials and supplies levels for the amount related to Empire's water business.

4 Q. PLEASE CONTINUE WITH THE SECOND ADJUSTMENT TO NORMALIZE 5 INVENTORY.

A. Empire uses a thirteen month average fuel inventory in rate base. At one point during the
thirteen months, Asbury had a surplus of coal due to an outage that resulted in a build up
of inventory. In order to normalize the inventory level, an adjustment of \$996,688 was
made to reduce the levels to normal levels.

10 Q. WHAT OTHER ADJUSTMENTS WERE MADE TO ANNUALIZE 11 INVENTORY?

A. The final two adjustments to inventory relate to the addition of Iatan II and Plum Point.
The first of these two adjustments is to include materials and supplies for these two
plants. Based on the thirteen month average materials and supplies for Iatan I, an
adjustment was made to increase materials and supplies for a total of \$1,468,570 or
\$734,285 for each new plant.

The second of these two adjustments includes the coal inventory to be maintained at the plants. The adjustment includes a 60 day inventory for each plant based on the average daily burn for Iatan II and for Plum Point less the thirteen month average inventory already recorded on the balance sheet for Iatan II. The adjustment increases coal inventory \$1,273,123.

22 III. REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS

23 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY THE REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN

MADE TO KANSAS JURISDICTIONAL REVENUE.

A. Total Company and Kansas jurisdictional revenues included in the test year have been
adjusted to reflect customer growth as of June 30, 2009 and normalized weather.
Furthermore, kilowatt-hour ("kWh") sales and revenues were adjusted to reflect the effect
of unbilled sales and revenues in order to properly align test year revenues and expenses.
In addition, miscellaneous revenues related to the water business were removed.

7 Cust

Customer Growth Adjustment

8 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO CUSTOMER 9 GROWTH.

Kansas jurisdictional revenues have been adjusted to reflect what would have been 10 A. generated if the number of Empire customers existing at June 30, 2009 had been served 11 by the Company for the entire test year. For the residential, commercial, and industrial 12 TEB and GP classes, the differences between the June 30, 2009 level of customers and 13 14 the average customers billed in each month of the test year were multiplied by the 15 average weather normalized kWh per customer for that month. The resulting change in kWh sales was then multiplied by the average class weather normalized cost per kWh to 16 obtain the revenue adjustment related to customer growth. 17

18 The industrial customer class PT was reviewed on an individual customer basis to 19 calculate the impact of customer growth on revenue. This individual customer approach 20 was used because PT customers have a high usage per customer and changes in customer 21 load patterns due to anomalies can have a significant impact on revenue.

In total, the customer growth adjustment to revenue resulted in a decrease of \$54,227 in
revenue and in sales of 925,638 kWh.

Weather Normalization Adjustment

2 Q. WAS THE REVENUE ADJUSTED FOR THE AFFECT OF WEATHER?

A. Yes. The test year sales and revenue were adjusted to account for the impact of abnormal
 weather. The calculation of the weather normalized sales is presented in the direct
 testimony of Empire witness Mr. Mark Quan of Itron, Inc.

6 Q. HOW WAS THE REVENUE ADJUSTMENT DUE TO WEATHER7 CALCULATED?

8 A. Rates were determined and then applied to the sales adjustment derived by Empire 9 witness Mr. Quan. The adjustment for weather resulted in an increase to revenue of \$104,707.

11 Unbilled Revenue

12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO UNBILLED 13 REVENUE.

A. The revenue in the test year should equal the amount actually billed to customers and the portion of sales that were used but not billed during the test year. While the amount of revenues actually billed to customers is known, the portion not yet billed to customers is not known and therefore must be estimated. This adjustment is calculated by multiplying a rate per kWh to the unbilled sales by pricing plan. The unbilled sales computation is discussed by Empire Witness Mr. Quan. This resulted in an increase to revenue of \$101,694.

A second adjustment was needed to reverse the unbilled revenue recorded on Empire's financial statement. This adjustment resulted in a decrease to rate revenue of \$118,505.

23 Other Revenue Adjustments

1	Q.	WHAT OTHER ADJUSTMENT WAS MADE TO REVENUE?
2	A	Forfeited discounts and return check fees related to the water business are recorded in
3		other revenue. In order to eliminate them, an adjustment of \$9,031 has been made.
4	<u>IV. I</u>	EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS
5	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN
6		MADE TO THE TEST YEAR.
7	A.	I am sponsoring adjustments to income statement expense for the test year as follows:
8		 Annualize Postage Increase
9		 Include Customer Deposit Interest
10		 Annualize Payroll and Payroll Taxes
11		 Annualize Property Taxes
12		 Amortize AAO for 2007 Ice Storms
13		 Amortize May 2009 Wind Storm
14		 Remove the Contract Payoff of Software Maintenance
15		Postage
16	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT FOR POSTAGE EXPENSE.
17	A.	The adjustment was made to annualize postage expense to reflect the increase in postage
18		rates which took effect May 11, 2009. The increase to expense was \$27,433.
19		Customer Deposit Interest
20	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CUSTOMER DEPOSIT INTEREST ADJUSTMENT.
21	A.	Empire's adjustment annualizes interest expense related to customer deposits. Customer
22		deposits are interest bearing so they are deducted from rate base. The associated interest
23		is included in the overall cost of service. To calculate this adjustment or reclassification,

- 1 a 1.00% interest rate was multiplied by the balance in customer deposits. The customer 2 deposit interest to be included in the cost of service is \$3,525 Kansas Jurisdictional. 3 **Payroll and Payroll Taxes** 4 0. WHAT ADJUSTMENT WAS NEEDED FOR THE PAYROLL AND PAYROLL 5 **TAXES?** 6 Α. The adjustment was made to normalize test year payroll, payroll taxes and 401k costs. 7 The adjusted expense included in the filing reflects the wages at August 30, 2009, 8 adjusted for known changes, positions currently authorized to be filled prior to the 9 effective date of new rates, and the pay increase authorized to occur prior to the effective 10 date of new rates. This adjustment increases the test year expense by \$1,915,770. 11 **Property Taxes** PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPERTY TAX. 12 Q. The property tax adjustment annualizes EDE's tax expense. The rate applied to the 13 A. 14 property plant in service is the tax rate Empire anticipates incurring for 2009. The estimated rate is based on historical rates and expected changes in assessed valuations. 15 16 The adjustment resulted in an increase to expense of \$5,915,779. 2007 Ice Storms 17 DID YOU MAKE AN ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO THE 2007 ICE STORMS 18 **Q**. 19 DISCUSSED IN THE AAO AUTHORIZED BY THE COMMISSION IN CASE 20 **NO. 08-EPDE-714-ACT?** Yes, an adjustment was made to reflect the amortization of the 2007 ice storms. The 21 Α.
- A. Tes, an adjustment was made to reflect the anortization of the 2007 ice storms. The
 adjustment increases expenses by \$124,032 Kansas Jurisdictional. The AAO is discussed
 further in the testimony of Empire witness Mr. Michael Palmer.

May 2009 Wind Storm

1

2 Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT DID EMPIRE MAKE TO EXPENSE FOR THE MAY 3 2009 WIND STORM?

A. During May 2009, the Empire service area suffered substantial damage due to severe
weather. As a result of this storm, Empire incurred significant damage to both its
distribution and transmission service. Empire Witness Michael Palmer further discusses
the Wind Storm in his testimony.

8 Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT DID EMPIRE MAKE TO THE TEST YEAR TO 9 REFLECT ITS PROPOSAL RELATING TO THE WIND STORM EXPENSES?

10 A. Empire is proposing to amortize the expense of the storm over five years commencing at 11 the time rates become effective. Empire adjusted the test year expense for one year of 12 amortization of \$134,159.

13 <u>Maintenance Contract</u>

14 Q. WHAT ADJUSTMENT DID EMPIRE MAKE FOR A MAINTENANCE 15 CONTRACT?

16 A. During the test year, the maintenance contract Empire maintained with Tomorrow Now 17 for the support of Empire's PeopleSoft software was terminated. Tomorrow Now was 18 going out of business and as a result required to pay Empire \$252,247 in order to 19 terminate the contract early. Empire replaced the contract with a similar contract but an 20 adjustment was needed to remove the non-reoccurring pay off amount from the test year.

21 Q. HOW HAS EMPIRE TREATED THE PAYMENT RECEIVED BY TOMORROW 22 NOW FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES?

1 A. The payment recorded in the test year was removed by making an adjustment reversing

2 the payment received of \$252,247.

3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

4 A Yes, it does.

AFFIDAVIT OF JAYNA R. LONG

STATE OF MISSOURI) **S**\$ COUNTY OF JASPER)

On the 29th day of October, 2009, before me appeared Jayna R. Long, to me personally known, who, being by me first duly sworn, states that she is a Regulatory Analyst of The Empire District Electric Company and acknowledges that she has read the above and foregoing document and believes that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Jayna Jong Jayna R. Long

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of October, 2009.

Dichi L. Kuna Dibson Notary Public

My commission expires: (0 - 30 - 30)

ł	VICKI I KDAAT
	VICKI L. KRAMER-GIBSON
l	
į	
l	
L	My Commission Expires Oct. 30, 2010
	Same Contraction and the States Oct. 30, 2010