20251010092326 Filed Date: 10/10/2025 State Corporation Commission of Kansas

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the matter of the failure of Barracuda) Docket No.: 25-CONS-3360-CPEN
Operating Company (Operator) to comply with)
K.A.R. 82-3-120 and K.A.R. 82-3-133 by) CONSERVATION DIVISION
operating under a suspended license.)
	License No.: 33593

PRE-FILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CASE MORRIS

ON BEHALF OF COMMISSION STAFF

OCTOBER 10, 2025

- 1 Q. Are you the same Case Morris who pre-filed direct testimony in this docket on August
- 2 22, 2025?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this matter?
- 5 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the assertions contained in the Pre-Filed Direct
- 6 Testimony of Carolyn Gabel given on behalf of Barracuda Operating Company (Operator) in
- 7 Docket 25-CONS-3360-CPEN (Docket 25-3360).
- 8 Q. On page 4 of Ms. Gabel's testimony, she suggests that Operator has not had the best
- 9 record of compliance beginning in 2023 because of her lack of experience and lack of
- close attention to KOLAR notifications. Is that a good reason to reduce the penalty
- 11 amount in this docket?
- 12 A. No, it is not. First, I would point out that Operator has not had a great record of compliance
- even before 2023. As Exhibit CM-1 of my pre-filed direct testimony shows, between 2012
- and 2023, Operator received seven penalty orders. Second, while I am certainly sympathetic
- to Ms. Gabel's lack of oil and gas experience, I do not believe that such lack of experience is
- a good excuse for continuing to perform oil and gas activities under a suspended license. An
- operator has a duty to comply with all Commission rules, regulations, and orders, even if one
- of the operator's employees lacks experience. That is especially true in this docket given that
- the Shut-In Order was the second Shut-In Order issued to Operator within a six-month period.
- 20 Q. On page 5 of Ms. Gabel's testimony, she explains the reason Operator's license was
- suspended by Staff in Docket 25-CONS-3276-CPEN (Docket 25-3276). Does that docket
- have any relevance to the current docket?

- 1 A. No, it does not. The Commission issued a Shut-In Order in the present docket because
- 2 Operator continued to perform oil and gas operations even though Staff had suspended
- 3 Operator's license in three dockets: Docket 25-CONS-3050-CPEN, Docket 25-CONS-3223-
- 4 CPEN, and Docket 25-CONS-3242-CPEN. Staff did subsequently suspend Operator's license
- 5 in Docket 25-3276—the docket Ms. Gabel references—but that had no bearing on the
- 6 issuance of the Shut-In Order.
- 7 Q. On page 6 of Ms. Gabel's testimony, she states that oil prices need to be higher for a
- 8 positive business climate and suggests the penalty amount in this docket is excessive. Do
- 9 you believe penalty amounts should be based on current oil prices?
- 10 A. No, I do not. Oil prices change on a very frequent basis, and to base penalty amounts on oil
- prices would mean that operators might receive vastly different penalty amounts for the same
- violation based simply on what day a penalty order happened to be issued by the Commission.
- Basing penalty amounts on current oil prices would be entirely unreasonable.
- Q. Also, on page 6 of Ms. Gabel's testimony, she states that the penalty amount is not
- 15 needed as an economic deterrent and is designed only to punish Operator. Do you agree
- with those assertions?
- 17 A. I do not. On January 28, 2025, the Commission issued a Shut-In Order against Operator in
- Docket 25-CONS-3246-CPEN for conducting oil and gas operations under a suspended
- license. The penalty amount in that order was \$10,000. Less than six months later, on May 8,
- 20 2025, the Commission issued the Shut-In Order in this docket for conducting oil and gas
- operations under a suspended license. Clearly, the \$10,000 penalty amount in the first Shut-
- In Order was not enough of an economic deterrent to prevent Operator from committing the
- same violation a few months later. The Commission's decision to increase the penalty amount

- 1 in this docket to \$25,000 was necessary to provide an actual and substantial economic
- 2 deterrent to Operator.
- 3 Q. On page 7 of Ms. Gabel's testimony, she asks that the penalty amount in this docket be
- 4 reduced to an amount of no more than \$5,000. Do you think that \$5,000 is a reasonable
- 5 penalty in this docket?
- 6 A. Certainly not. As I explained in my previous answer, a \$25,000 penalty amount appears
- 7 necessary as an actual and substantial economic deterrent for this Operator.
- 8 Q. Has your recommendation changed based on Ms. Gabel's testimony?
- 9 A. No, the Shut-In Order should still be affirmed. Ms. Gabel does not dispute in her testimony
- that Operator's license was suspended and that Operator continued to perform oil and gas
- operations under a suspended license. Ms. Gabel's testimony merely asserts that the penalty
- amount is too high. Given that this is Operator's second Shut-In Order in such a short period
- of time, I believe the heightened penalty amount is entirely reasonable.
- 14 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 15 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

25-CONS-3360-CPEN

I, the undersigned, certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Testimony has been served to the following by means of electronic service on October 10, 2025.

SHANE JONES, ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE & REGULATORY SPECIALIST KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION DISTRICT OFFICE NO. 4 2301 E. 13TH STREET HAYS, KS 67601-2654 shane.jones@ks.gov

CASE MORRIS
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
DISTRICT OFFICE NO. 4
2301 E. 13TH STREET
HAYS, KS 67601-2654
case.morris@ks.gov

TIMOTHY R KEENAN, ATTORNEY KEENAN LAW FIRM, P.A. 2200 LAKIN SUITE B GREAT BEND, KS 67530 timkeenan@keenanlawyers.com TRISTAN KIMBRELL, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION CENTRAL OFFICE 266 N. MAIN ST, STE 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 tristan.kimbrell@ks.gov

JONATHAN R. MYERS, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 266 N. Main St., Ste. 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 jon.myers@ks.gov

/s/ Sara Graves

Sara Graves