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## I. INTRODUCTION

## Q. Please state your name and business address.

A. My name is Glenn A. Watkins. My business address is 1503 Santa Rosa Road, Suite 130, Richmond, Virginia

## Q. What is your professional and educational background?

A. I am a Principal and Senior Economist with Technical Associates, Inc., which is an economics and financial consulting firm with offices in Richmond, Virginia. Except for a six month period during 1987 in which I was employed by Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, as its forecasting and rate economist, I have been employed by Technical Associates continuously since 1980.

During my career at Technical Associates, I have conducted marginal and embedded cost of service, rate design, cost of capital, revenue requirement, and load forecasting studies involving numerous electric, gas, water/wastewater, and telephone utilities. I have provided expert testimony on more than 200 occasions in Alabama, Arizona, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, South Carolina, Washington, and West Virginia.

I hold an M.B.A and B.S in economics from Virginia Commonwealth University and am a Certified Rate of Return Analyst. A more complete description of my education and experience as well as a list of my prior testimonies is provided in my Schedule GAW-1.

## Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. Technical Associates, Inc. ("TAI") has been engaged by the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB") to investigate and evaluate Kansas Gas Service's ("Company" or "Kansas Gas") class cost of service studies ("CCOSS"), class revenue allocations, and proposed residential rate design. The purpose of my testimony is to present the findings of my investigation and offer recommendation to the Commission in these areas.

## II. CLASS COST OF SERVICE

Q. Please briefly explain the concept of a CCOSS and its purpose in a rate proceeding.
A. Generally there are two types of cost of service studies used in public utility ratemaking: marginal cost studies and embedded (or fully-allocated) cost studies. Kansas Gas has utilized a traditional embedded cost of service study for purposes of establishing the overall revenue requirement in this case, as well as for class cost of service purposes.

Because the majority of a public utility's plant investment and expense is incurred to serve all customers in a joint manner, most costs cannot be specifically attributed to a particular customer or group of customers. Therefore, the costs jointly incurred to serve all or most customers must be allocated across specific customers or customer rate classes. To the extent that certain costs can be specifically attributed to a particular customer or group of customers, these costs are directly assigned in the CCOSS.

It is generally accepted that to the extent possible, joint costs should be allocated to customer classes based on the concept of cost causation. That is, costs are allocated to customer classes based on analyses that measure the causes of the incurrence of costs to the utility. Although the cost analyst strives to abide by this concept to the greatest
extent practical, some categories of costs, such as corporate overhead costs, cannot be attributed to specific exogenous measures or factors, and must be subjectively assigned or allocated to customer rate classes. With regard to those costs to which causation can be attributed, there is often disagreement among cost of service experts on what is an appropriate cost causation measure or factor; e.g., peak demand, energy or throughput usage, number of customers, etc.
Q. In your opinion, how should the results of a CCOSS be utilized in the ratemaking process?
A. Although certain principles are used by all cost of service analysts, there are often significant disagreements on the specific factors that drive individual costs. These disagreements can and do arise as a result of the quality of data and level of detail available from financial records. There are also fundamental differences in opinions regarding the cost causation factors that should be considered to properly allocate costs to rate schedules or customer classes. Furthermore, and as mentioned previously, cost causation factors cannot be realistically ascribed to some costs such that subjective decisions are required.

In these regards, two different cost studies conducted for the same utility and time period can, and often do, yield different results. As such, regulators should consider CCOSS only as a guide, with the results being used as one of many tools to assign class revenue responsibility.
Q. Have the higher courts opined on the usefulness of cost allocations for purposes of establishing revenue responsibility and rates?
A. Yes. In an important regulatory case involving Colorado Interstate Gas Company and the Federal Power Commission (predecessor to FERC), the United States Supreme Court stated:

But where as here several classes of services have a common use of the same property, difficulties of separation are obvious. Allocation of costs is not a matter for the slide-rule. It involves judgment on a myriad of facts. It has no claim to an exact science. ${ }^{1}$
Q. Does your opinion, and the findings of the U.S. Supreme Court, imply that cost allocations should play no role in the ratemaking process?
A. Not at all. It simply means that regulators should consider the fact that cost allocation results are not surgically precise and that alternative, yet equally defensible, approaches may produce significantly different results. In this regard, when all cost allocation approaches consistently show that certain classes are over- or under-contributing to costs and/or profits, there is a strong rationale for assigning smaller or greater percentage rate increases to these classes. On the other hand, if one cost allocation approach shows dramatically different results than another approach, caution should be exercised in assigning disproportionately larger or smaller percentage increases to the classes in question.
Q. Please explain the basic concepts of cost allocation for public utilities and natural gas distribution companies ("NGDCs").
${ }^{1}$ Colorado Interstate Gas Co. v. Federal Power Commission, 324 U.S. 581, 590 (1945).
A. As I mentioned earlier, the majority of a NGDC's plant investment serves customers in a joint manner. In this regard, the NGDC's infrastructure is a system benefiting all customers. If all customers were the same size and had identical usage characteristics, cost allocation would be simple (even unnecessary). However, in reality, a utility's customer base is not so simple. There are small usage customers and large usage customers, and these customers (or customer groups) tend to vary greatly in the amount of service required throughout the year. Therefore, differences in usage should be considered. Because different groups of customers also utilize the system at varying degrees during the year, consideration should also be given to the demands placed on the system during peak usage periods.

## Q. With regard to NGDCs, is there any aspect of class cost allocations that tends to overshadow other issues or is often controversial?

A. Yes. For virtually every NGDC, the largest single rate base item (account) is distribution mains. Furthermore, several other rate base and operating income accounts are typically allocated to classes based on the previous assignment of distribution mains. As such, the methods and approaches used to allocate distribution mains to classes are usually by far the most important (in terms of class rate of return ["ROR"] results) and tend to be the most controversial.

## Q. What methods are commonly used to allocate natural gas distribution mains?

A. While a myriad of cost allocation methods and approaches have been developed, three (3) methods predominate in the NGDC industry: "Peak Responsibility," "Peak and

Average" ("P\&A") (also known as "Demand/Commodity"), and "Customer/Demand," which I will address shortly in more detail. These methods differ in the criteria used to allocate mains, as cost allocation analysts do not universally agree on the cost causative factors or drivers influencing mains investments. There are three (3) criteria generally considered when selecting a mains cost allocation method: peak demand (whether coincident, non-coincident, actual or design day); annual (average day) usage; and, number of customers. Because a NGDC system must be capable of supplying gas to its firm customers during peak demand periods (i.e., on very cold days), relative class peak day demands are often considered a good proxy for measuring the cost causation of mains investment. ${ }^{2}$ Annual (or average day) throughput is also often used to allocate mains as this factor reflects the utilization of a utility's mains investment. Number of customers is also sometimes considered when allocating mains. That is, customer counts by class serve as a basis for allocation of mains. Even though annual levels of usage and peak load requirements vary greatly between customer classes (residential versus large industrial), some analysts are of the opinion that customer counts should be considered because at least some infrastructure investment in mains is required simply to "connect" every customer to the system. With these three criteria identified, various methods weight and utilize these criteria differently within the cost allocation process. In other words, some methods rely on only one criterion while others consider two or more criteria with varying weights given to each factor utilized.

[^0]As mentioned previously, the three most common NGDC cost allocation methods are the "Peak Responsibility" method (whether coincident or class non-coincident), in which peak day demands are the only factor utilized to allocate mains; the "P\&A" or "Demand/Commodity" approach, in which both peak day and annual (average day) throughput is reflected within the allocation of mains; ${ }^{3}$ and the Customer/Demand method, which utilizes a combination of peak day demands and customer counts to assign mains cost responsibility.

Under the Customer/Demand method, the weights given to class customer counts and peak day demands are determined from a separate analysis using one of two approaches: minimum-size and zero-intercept. The "minimum-size" approach prices the entire system footage of mains at the cost per foot of the smallest diameter pipe installed. This "minimum-size" cost is then divided by the actual total investment in mains to determine the weight given to customer counts. One (1) minus the customer percentage is then given to the peak day demand within the allocation process. Under the zerointercept approach, statistical linear regression techniques are used to estimate the cost of a theoretical "zero size" main. Similar to the minimum-size approach, the cost of this estimated zero size pipe per foot is multiplied by the total system footage and is then divided by total mains investment to arrive at a customer weighting.

## Q. On pages 23 and 24 of his direct testimony, Company witness Paul Raab claims that there are two very important factors that drive a natural gas utility's cost of service.

[^1]These include the fact that NGDC's are a capital intensive enterprise and that the system must be sized in order to meet customers' demands during peak periods. Do you agree with this assertion?
A. Not in the context in which Mr. Raab draws his conclusions. That is, Mr. Raab states on page 24: "this combination of capital intensity and sizing to meet peak day demands dictates the prominence of the physical connection and the 'rate of use' customer demand characteristic." In other words, Mr. Raab claims that cost causation is related to number of customers and peak demand. With regard to the customer component, Mr. Raab opines that because NGDCs are capital intensive and customers must be physically connected to the distribution system, there must therefore be a "customer" component associated with cost incurrence.

In this regard, there is not a single customer that connects to a natural gas system simply to be connected. Rather, natural gas customers connect to a system in order to consume natural gas for their energy needs. While it is obvious that customers must be physically connected to an NGDC's system, this of course is the very purpose for the existence of Kansas Gas; i.e., an infrastructure system of pipes to distribute natural gas to its consumers to meet their energy needs. NGDCs do not wantonly install mains throughout their service territory if there is no anticipated natural gas to be distributed through those mains. Indeed, the Company's current tariff concerning its extension of mains requires that there be enough revenue (natural gas usage) to warrant the economic investment required to extend the Company's distribution system.
Q. In your opinion, is there a preferred method to allocate natural gas distribution mains costs?
A. Yes. In my opinion, the P\&A approach is the fairest and most equitable method to assign natural gas distribution mains costs to the various customer classes. This method recognizes each class' utilization of the Company's facilities throughout the year, and also recognizes that some classes rely upon the Company's facilities (mains) more than others during peak periods.
Q. Earlier you indicated that some analysts prefer to employ the Peak Responsibility method in which mains are allocated solely on the basis of peak loads. In your opinion, why is this method generally inferior to the P\&A method to allocate mains?
A. While it is appropriate to consider and reflect class peak demands when allocating distribution mains, it should not be the only criterion. A NGDC system is constructed and is in existence in order to serve the natural gas energy needs of its customers throughout the year. If Kansas Gas' (or any NGDC's) customers only demand gas for one day of the year (the so-called peak day), the costs to deliver gas throughout the system would be prohibitively high such that a system would never exist. In other words, Kansas Gas' customers demand and utilize natural gas every day of the year, not just one day out of 365 days. If by chance, a customer did require gas for only one day a year, it would be prohibitively expensive to the Company (and ultimately the customer) to provide service; Kansas Gas would have to recover the investment in mains from a
very small amount of natural gas energy (usage), which would be economically infeasible.

The major shortcoming of the Peak Responsibility method (which allocates mains entirely on peak day demand) is that it is premised on the incorrect assumption that there is a direct and perfectly linear relationship between peak loads, system capacity, and costs. In fact, there is no direct relationship between peak loads (capacity requirements) and the cost incurred to install mains. With regard to system capacity, the amount of gas that can be delivered throughout a NGDC system is not only a function of the size of pipe(s) but also pressurization of gas within these pipes, and the presence or absence of looping various segments of the distribution system as well. For example, if the peak load on one line segment of mains is double that of another line segment, the cost of mains for the higher capacity pipe may be higher, but it is not double that of the lower capacity. In very simple terms, and all else constant, the capacity of pipes increase by a factor of exactly 4 to 1 as the diameter of pipe increases. ${ }^{4}$ Therefore, if the size of a pipe is doubled, the capacity of the pipe increases by a factor of four. At the same time, the cost of this additional capacity is far less than four times as much. ${ }^{5}$

Additionally, and as important as the geometric capacity of pipe at a given pressure, the amount of gas required to be pushed through a distribution system can be met with larger pipes at lower pressures or smaller pipes at higher pressures. With improvements in materials, technology, and pipe coupling, we are seeing that NGDCs

[^2]are replacing their systems with smaller plastic pipes operated at higher pressures. Because the allocation of mains only concerns the assignment of the pipes costs, there is not a clear relationship between a main segment's capacity (peak load ability) and the cost of that pipe. The relevance of this is that an allocation method that only considers peak load assumes there is a direct and perfectly linear relationship between load (capacity) and the cost of mains. As demonstrated above, this assumption is clearly not accurate.
Q. The third allocation method you mentioned earlier allocates mains partially on some measure of peak demand and partially on number of customers. What rationale is used to allocate mains investment, at least partially, based on customer counts?
A. I am aware of two rationales, or arguments, used to advocate the allocation of natural gas distribution mains based partially on number of customers. While the conceptual argument has no economic or practical logic in my opinion, the second rationale may produce reasonable results in some instances, but is rarely applicable to NGDCs.

The first rationale used by some analysts is that because every customer (regardless of size) must be physically connected to the utility's distribution network, there is some minimum level of investment required to simply connect customers to the distribution system. It is certainly true that, unless natural gas is delivered in a portable tank or cylinder, some form of physical "plumbing" is required to deliver natural gas to each and every end-user. ${ }^{6}$ Indeed, this is the very purpose of the distribution system. However, no customer connects to a NGDC system simply to be connected but never

[^3]utilize natural gas, nor do NGDCs haphazardly install natural gas mains where no usage is present or anticipated. Because there is no economic utility (benefit) derived from simply being connected to a system, there is no economic (or cost causative) basis for assigning some value of a NGDC's distribution mains required to simply connect customers.

The second rationale used to consider number of customers within the allocation of mains relates to customer densities and differences in the mix of customers (by class) throughout a utility's service area. Possibly the best way to explain why customer densities may be relevant in the assignment of distribution costs to individual classes is by way of example. Consider two different utilities: an electric utility with urban, suburban, and rural service areas and another electric utility with only urban and suburban customers. With respect to the electric utility with a rural service area, many miles of conductors and associated plant must be installed in order to serve the demands of relatively few customers. Conversely, many more customers are served on a per mile basis for the urban/suburban utility. With respect to the utility with a rural service area, an allocation based on usage or demand may be unfair if some classes are located mainly in urban or suburban areas, while other classes of customers are located in rural areas. As a result, some cost studies classify distribution plant as partially demand-related and partially customer-related.
Q. In the above example, you referred to electric utilities instead of natural gas utilities. Is there a reason why you selected the electric utility industry for your example?


#### Abstract

A. Yes. Although the concepts are the same between electric and natural gas distribution facilities (e.g., conductors are synonymous with mains), electric utilities are required to serve rural (sparsely populated) areas. NGDCs, however, have no such requirement. Moreover, electric utilities are required to connect all consumers regardless of density or usage. That is not the case for NGDCs: their tariffs allow them to only connect those customers in areas with sufficient customer densities and usage.

As a general matter, a Customer/Demand classification of electric distribution facilities may be appropriate given the characteristics of a utility's service area, but is rarely appropriate for NGDCs with more densely populated service areas and that are not required to serve all potential residences and businesses.


Q. Which method did the Company use to allocate costs to customer classes for this case?
A. Company witness Raab utilized the Customer/Demand approach to allocate mains. He classified and allocated distribution mains $53.5 \%$ based on number of customers and $46.5 \%$ based on monthly coincident peak ("CP") demand.
Q. Please explain the importance of Mr. Raab's classification and allocation of distribution mains based $\mathbf{5 3 . 5 \%}$ on number of customers and $\mathbf{4 6 . 5} \%$ based on CP demands.
A. As indicated earlier, the Company's investment in distribution mains represents its single largest investment in rate base. Furthermore, because of the use of internal (or composite) allocators, many other expense and rate base items are also directly or
indirectly allocated based on the mains allocation. By allocating more than half of the Company's mains investment (53.5\%) based simply on customer counts, Mr. Raab has assigned the same cost responsibility to a small apartment-dwelling customer that uses natural gas only for cooking as he does to a very large industrial customer that uses millions of MCF per year - 53.5\%.
Q. Is there a simple way to show the bias and over-assignment of costs to small volume user classes under Mr. Raab's cost allocation approach?
A. Yes. Mr. Raab's classification process results in an ultimate allocation of $67.4 \%$ of the Company's total requested non-gas revenue requirement based on number of customers. ${ }^{7}$ As a result of his classification of distribution mains as partially customer-related, Mr. Raab has assigned $\$ 303,723,800$ of gross distribution mains plant to the Residential class and only $\$ 47,390$ to the LVT-T4 (t plus k systems) class. When his allocated distribution mains investment costs are compared to the annual throughput for these classes of 42,284,167 MCF and $10,312,812$ MCF respectively, we see that Mr. Raab's allocation approach assigns a distribution mains cost of $\$ 7.18 / \mathrm{MCF}$ to Residential customers and less than one-half of one cent to the LVT-T4 class (\$0.0046/MCF).
Q. Is Mr. Raab's allocation of distribution mains cost responsibility to the LVT-T4 class within any range of reasonableness?
A. Of course not. The 90 LVT-T4 customers are large industrial customers that utilize an average of $114,587 \mathrm{MCF}$ per year. These large customers depend and rely upon the

[^4]Company's distribution mains to supply their natural gas needs each and every day of the year. Yet, under Mr. Raab's cost allocation approach, they are assigned less than $\$ 50,000$ in distribution mains investment $(\$ 47,390)$.

## Q. Have you conducted CCOSS utilizing the P\&A method?

A. Yes. Although I will recommend additional adjustments to Mr. Raab's CCOSS later in my testimony, I have utilized Mr. Raab's approach and choice of allocators for all accounts except for transmission and distribution mains costs wherein I utilized the P\&A method. ${ }^{8}$ A comparison of Mr. Raab's calculated RORs at current rates to those obtained using the P\&A method to allocate mains is provided below:

TABLE 1
Comparison of CCOSS Results At Current Rates

| Class | ROR |  | Relative ROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Raab CCOSS |  | Raab CCOSS |  |
|  |  | But for P\&A |  | But for P\&A |
|  | Raab | To Allocate | Raab | To Allocate |
|  | CCOSS | Mains | CCOSS | Mains |
| RS | 2.31\% | 3.80\% | 47\% | 78\% |
| GSS | 9.01\% | 9.80\% | 184\% | 200\% |
| GSL | 8.48\% | 4.36\% | 173\% | 89\% |
| GSTE | 9.77\% | 3.03\% | 199\% | 62\% |
| SGS | 28.18\% | 42.41\% | 574\% | 864\% |
| GIS | 112.12\% | 31.04\% | 2,285\% | 633\% |
| KGSSD | 7.85\% | 9.60\% | 160\% | 196\% |
| SSRk | 99.67\% | 99.30\% | 2,031\% | 2,024\% |
| STk | 24.78\% | 10.74\% | 505\% | 219\% |
| STt | 18.18\% | 9.35\% | 370\% | 191\% |
| CNG | 17.48\% | 1.47\% | 356\% | 30\% |
| GIT | 133.06\% | 27.49\% | 2,712\% | 560\% |
| LVTk-T1 | 25.83\% | 8.76\% | 526\% | 179\% |

[^5]| LVTk-T2 | $20.45 \%$ | $4.60 \%$ | $417 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LVTk-T3 | $24.04 \%$ | $5.03 \%$ | $490 \%$ | $103 \%$ |
| LVTk-T4 | $31.68 \%$ | $6.10 \%$ | $646 \%$ | $124 \%$ |
| LVTt-T1 | $22.54 \%$ | $9.26 \%$ | $459 \%$ | $189 \%$ |
| LVTt-T2 | $16.39 \%$ | $5.83 \%$ | $334 \%$ | $119 \%$ |
| LVTt-T3 | $20.80 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ | $424 \%$ | $139 \%$ |
| LVTt-T4 | $26.75 \%$ | $7.74 \%$ | $545 \%$ | $158 \%$ |
| WTt | $27.94 \%$ | $26.14 \%$ | $569 \%$ | $533 \%$ |

Total
4.91\%
4.91\%
$100 \%$
$100 \%$

As can be seen above, there are significant differences in several class' RORs at current rates based on different approaches to allocate mains costs. Most notably, these differences can be seen for the Residential (RS), General Service-Large (GSL), and CNG Transport (CNG) classes.

## Q. In addition to utilizing the $\mathrm{P} \& A$ method to allocate mains, do you recommend other adjustments to Mr. Raab's CCOSS?

A. Yes. I recommend several other adjustments to Mr. Raab's CCOSS as they relate to his selection of allocation factors for specific rate base and expense accounts.

With regard to rate base, Mr. Raab has allocated general plant stores, tools, shop and garage equipment, laboratory equipment, power operated equipment, communication equipment, and miscellaneous equipment based on his allocation of labor costs. In my opinion, these accounts are better allocated based on plant-in-service (production, storage, transmission, and distribution). It should be noted that this adjustment has a relatively minor impact on class RORs. The next rate base item concerns prepayments. Mr. Raab has allocated this rate base item based totally on number of customers. A more appropriate allocation is based upon O\&M expense less other gas supply costs.

With regard to operating expenses, Mr. Raab has allocated distribution load dispatching expense based upon CP monthly demand. A more appropriate allocation is based on retail MCF throughput. Similarly, Mr. Raab has allocated distribution maintenance of structures and improvements based on CP monthly demand wherein a more appropriate allocator is distribution mains investment.

My final adjustment relates to the calculation of income taxes. Even though Mr. Raab's Excel model shows the deductibility of interest in determining income tax responsibility, he ignores this very important deduction in calculating individual class income tax expenses. As such, I have recognized the deductibility of interest expense in determining class income tax responsibility.
Q. Please provide a summary of class RORs at current rates under your recommended CCOSS.
A. The following table provides a comparison of Mr. Raab's and my recommended RORs at current rates. The details of my recommended CCOSS are presented in my Schedule GAW-2.

TABLE 2
Comparison of CCOSS Results At Current Rates

| Class | ROR |  | Relative ROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raab } \\ \text { CCOSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CURB } \\ \text { CCOSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Raab } \\ \text { CCOSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CURB } \\ & \text { CCOSS } \end{aligned}$ |
| RS | 2.31\% | 4.03\% | 47\% | 82\% |
| GSS | 9.01\% | 9.09\% | 184\% | 185\% |
| GSL | 8.48\% | 4.46\% | 173\% | 91\% |
| GSTE | 9.77\% | 3.28\% | 199\% | 67\% |
| SGS | 28.18\% | 35.65\% | 574\% | 727\% |
| GIS | 112.12\% | 26.65\% | 2,285\% | 543\% |
| KGSSD | 7.85\% | 9.18\% | 160\% | 187\% |
| SSRk | 99.67\% | 81.22\% | 2,031\% | 1,655\% |
| STk | 24.78\% | 9.52\% | 505\% | 194\% |
| STt | 18.18\% | 8.36\% | 370\% | 170\% |
| CNG | 17.48\% | 1.37\% | 356\% | 28\% |
| GIT | 133.06\% | 23.29\% | 2,712\% | 475\% |
| LVTk-T1 | 25.83\% | 7.89\% | 526\% | 161\% |
| LVTk-T2 | 20.45\% | 4.54\% | 417\% | 92\% |
| LVTk-T3 | 24.04\% | 4.88\% | 490\% | 99\% |
| LVTk-T4 | 31.68\% | 5.72\% | 646\% | 117\% |
| LVTt-T1 | 22.54\% | 8.02\% | 459\% | 163\% |
| LVTt-T2 | 16.39\% | 5.31\% | 334\% | 108\% |
| LVTt-T3 | 20.80\% | 5.95\% | 424\% | 121\% |
| LVTt-T4 | 26.75\% | 6.71\% | 545\% | 137\% |
| WTt | 27.94\% | 21.76\% | 569\% | 443\% |
| Total | 4.91\% | 4.91\% | 100\% | 100\% |

As shown above, Mr. Raab's study indicates that the Residential class is significantly deficient compared to the system average ROR (below parity), but my study indicates that the Residential class is only slightly below parity. Similarly, Mr. Raab's study indicates that the GSL, GSTE, and CNG classes are contributing significantly more to profits than the system average, my study indicates that these class' RORs are below parity at current rates.
Q. What are your findings and recommendations concerning class cost allocations in this case?
A. I have shown that Mr. Raab's CCOSS is significantly biased against small volume user classes in it unfairly burdens these classes with an excessive level of mains investment cost, while large industrial classes are significantly under-assigned mains investment cost. As a result, I recommend that this Commission give no weight or consideration to Mr. Raab's CCOSS and instead rely upon my study for purposes of evaluating class revenue responsibility.

## III. CLASS REVENUE DISTRIBUTION

Q. How does the Company propose to allocate, or assign, its requested as-filed $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 . 4 4 5}$ million base rate increase?
A. Company witness Raab sponsors Kansas Gas’ class revenue allocations and rate design. Mr. Raab proposes to assign the entire requested increase to the Residential class.

## Q. Is Mr. Raab's class revenue allocation reasonable?

A. No. In its application, the Company indicates that in the intervening four-year period since the Company's last rate case, it has made significant additional capital investments of approximately $\$ 230$ million. In addition, the Company claims that it has experienced increases in employee wages and benefits and in material and supplier costs. These capital expenditures and increased expense levels have been incurred to serve all customers, not simply the residential class. Furthermore, it is important to note the fact that more reasonable class cost allocations do not support the residential class absorbing the entire increase requested by the Company.
Q. Do you recommend an alternative class revenue distribution to that proposed by Mr. Raab?
A. Yes. I recommend a class revenue distribution that reflects the fact that under the Company's proposed revenue requirement, virtually all of its costs have increased since the last rate case to serve all customers as well as recognition of class cost of service.

In developing my class revenue distributions, I have placed the following constraints on individual rate class revenue changes: first, no class should receive a rate reduction; second, my class cost of service study serves as a guide in evaluating class revenue responsibility; and, third, class increases should be limited to $150 \%$ of the system average percentage increase in base rates. The table below presents the development of my recommended class revenue increases at the Company's requested base rate revenue requirement:


As shown in the table above, those classes that exhibit an exceptionally high ROR are assigned no increase. Those classes with RORs less than $200 \%$ of the system average,
but more than $150 \%$, are assigned $50 \%$ of the system average percentage increase (6.49\%). Classes that are between $120 \%$ and $150 \%$ relative ROR are assigned $75 \%$ of the system average percentage increase ( $9.73 \%$ ). Classes that are reasonably close to the system average ROR are assigned the system average ROR of $12.97 \%$. Classes that are deficient, but within $50 \%$ of parity, are assigned $125 \%$ of the system average percentage increase ( $16.22 \%$ ). Classes that are significantly deficient (less than $50 \%$ of the system ROR) are assigned $150 \%$ of the system average percentage increase (19.46\%). Finally, the Residential class serves as the residual such that this class receives a $14.62 \%$ increase ( $113 \%$ of the system average percentage increase).
Q. In the event that the Commission authorizes an overall increase less than the amount requested by Kansas Gas, do you recommend an alternative class revenue

## allocation?

A. Yes. If the Commission authorizes an overall increase in the base rate revenue requirement less than that requested by the Company, I recommend that the authorized overall increase be allocated in proportion to my recommended class increases shown above.

## IV. RESIDENTIAL RATE DESIGN

Q. Please explain Kansas Gas' current and proposed Residential rate structure.
A. The Company's Residential (Rate RS) base rates are structured with a fixed monthly customer (service) charge plus a flat monthly delivery charge per MCF. Mr. Raab proposes to increase the fixed monthly service charge from $\$ 15.35$ per month to $\$ 20.45$
per month which represents a $33.2 \%$ increase. Because of the exceptionally large increase proposed to the fixed Residential customer charge, Mr. Raab proposes a negligible rate reduction to the volumetric delivery charge from the current level of $\$ 2.1267$ to $\$ 2.1262$. In essence, the Company proposes that its entire requested overall base rate increase of $\$ 35.445$ million be collected from increases to the Residential fixed monthly customer charge.

## Q. What rationale does the Company provide for the very large percentage increase to the Residential customer charge?

A. On page 38 of his direct testimony, Mr. Raab indicates that $98.1 \%$ of the Company's total cost of delivering natural gas reflects fixed costs and that only $48 \%$ of its total cost to serve customers is currently collected from fixed service charges. As a result, Mr. Raab opines that:
"this mismatch has a number of consequences, the most significant of which is the creation of intra-class subsidies between higher volume users within a particular customer class and lower volume users. These subsidies can influence a residential consumer to make uneconomic energy consumption decisions relative to alternative fuels or significantly impact a larger user's decision to expand operations or locate its operations within the service territory."

## Q. Are Kansas Gas' proposed increases to Residential fixed monthly charges reasonable or in the public interest?

A. No. Kansas Gas' objective to collect a large percentage of its sunk investment costs (aka fixed costs) through fixed charges, as well as its proposed increases to such charges, violate the regulatory principle of gradualism, violate the economic theory of efficient competitive pricing, and are contrary to effective conservation efforts.
Q. Does Kansas Gas' proposal to collect a substantial portion of Residential base rate revenue from fixed monthly charges comport with the economic theory of competitive markets or the actual practices of such competitive markets?
A. No. The most basic tenet of competition is that prices determined through a competitive market ensure the most efficient allocation of society's resources. Because public utilities are generally afforded monopoly status under the belief that resources are better utilized without duplicating the fixed facilities required to serve consumers, a fundamental goal of regulatory policy is that regulation should serve as a surrogate for competition to the greatest extent practical. ${ }^{9}$ As such, the pricing policy for a regulated public utility should mirror those of competitive firms to the greatest extent practical.
Q. Please briefly discuss how prices are generally structured in competitive markets.
A. Under economic theory, efficient price signals result when prices are equal to marginal costs. ${ }^{10}$ It is well known that costs are variable in the long run. Therefore, efficient pricing results from the incremental variability of costs even though a firm's short-run cost structure may include a high level of sunk or "fixed" costs or be reflective of excess capacity. Indeed, competitive market-based prices are generally structured based on usage; i.e. volume-based pricing. A colleague of mine often uses the following analogy:

[^6]an oil refinery costs well over a billion dollars to build such that its cost structure is largely comprised of sunk, or fixed, costs, but these costs are recovered one gallon at a time.
Q. Please briefly explain the economic principles of efficient price theory and how short-run fixed costs are recovered under such efficient pricing.
A. Perhaps the best known micro-economic principle is that in competitive markets (i.e., markets in which no monopoly power or excessive profits exist), prices are equal to marginal cost. Marginal cost is equal to the incremental change in cost resulting from an incremental change in output. A full discussion of the calculus involved in determining marginal costs is not appropriate here. However, it is readily apparent that because marginal costs measure the changes in costs with output, short-run "fixed" costs are irrelevant in efficient pricing. This is not to say that efficient pricing does not allow for the recovery of short-run fixed costs. Rather, they are reflected within a firm's production function such that no excess capacity exists and that an increase in output will require an increase in costs -- including those considered "fixed" from an accounting perspective. As such, under efficient pricing principles, marginal costs capture the variability of costs, and prices are variable because prices equal these costs.
Q. Please explain how efficient pricing principles are applied to the natural gas distribution industry.
A. Universally, utility marginal cost studies include three separate categories of marginal costs: demand, energy, and customer. Consistent with the general concept of marginal
costs, each of these costs varies with incremental changes. Marginal demand costs measure the incremental change in costs resulting from an incremental change in peak load (demand). Marginal energy (commodity) costs measure the incremental change in costs resulting from an incremental change in MCF (energy) consumption. Marginal customer costs measure the incremental change in costs resulting from an incremental change in number of customers.

Particularly relevant here is understanding what costs are included within, and the procedures used to determine, marginal customer costs. Since marginal customer costs reflect the measurement of how costs vary with the number of customers, they only include those costs that directly vary as a result of adding a new customer.

## Q. Please explain how this theory of competitive pricing should be applied to regulated public utilities such as Kansas Gas.

A. Due to Kansas Gas' investment in system infrastructure, there is no debate that many of its short-run costs are fixed in nature. However, as discussed above, efficient competitive prices are established based on long-run costs, which are entirely variable in nature.

Marginal cost pricing only relates to efficiency. This pricing does not attempt to address fairness or equity. Fair and equitable pricing of a regulated monopoly's products and services should reflect the benefits received for the goods or services. In this regard, those that receive more benefits should pay more in total than those who receive fewer benefits. Regarding natural gas usage, the level of consumption is the best and most direct indicator of benefits received. Thus, volumetric pricing promotes the fairest pricing mechanism to customers and to the utility.

The above philosophy has consistently been the belief of economists, regulators, and policy makers for generations. For example, consider utility industry pricing in the 1800 s, when the industry was in its infancy. Customers paid a fixed monthly fee and consumed as much of the utility commodity/service as they desired (usually water). It soon became apparent that this fixed monthly fee rate schedule was inefficient and unfair. Utilities soon began metering their commodity/service and charging only for the amount actually consumed. In this way, consumers receiving more benefits from the utility paid more, in total, for the utility service because they used more of the commodity.

## Q. Is the natural gas distribution industry unique in its cost structures, which are comprised largely of fixed costs in the short-run?

A. No. Most manufacturing and transportation industries are comprised of cost structures predominated with "fixed" costs. These fixed costs, also called "sunk" costs, are primarily comprised of investments in plant and equipment. Indeed, virtually every capital-intensive industry is faced with a high percentage of so-called fixed costs in the short run. Prices for competitive products and services in these capital-intensive industries are invariably established on a volumetric basis, including those that were once regulated, e.g., motor transportation, airline travel, and rail service.

Accordingly, Kansas Gas' position that its fixed costs should be recovered through fixed monthly charges is incorrect. Pricing should reflect the Company's longrun costs, wherein all costs are variable or volumetric in nature, and users requiring more of Kansas Gas' products and services should pay more than customers who use less of these products and services. Stated more simply, those customers who conserve or are
otherwise more energy efficient, or those who use less of the commodity for any reason, should pay less than those who use more natural gas.

## Q. How are high fixed customer charge rate structures contrary to effective conservation efforts?

A. High fixed charge rate structures actually promote additional consumption because a consumer's price of incremental consumption is less than what an efficient price structure would otherwise be. A clear example of this principle is exhibited in the natural gas transmission pipeline industry. As discussed in its well-known Order 636, the FERC's adoption of a "Straight Fixed Variable" ("SFV") pricing method " was a result of national policy (primarily that of Congress) to encourage increased use of domestic natural gas by promoting additional interruptible (and incremental firm) gas usage. The FERC's SFV pricing mechanism greatly reduced the price of incremental (additional) natural gas consumption. This resulted in significantly increasing the demand for, and use of, natural gas in the United States after Order 636 was issued in 1992.

FERC Order 636 had two primary goals. The first goal was to enhance gas competition at the wellhead by completely unbundling the merchant and transportation functions of pipelines. ${ }^{12}$ The second goal was to encourage the increased consumption of natural gas in the United States. In Order 636's introductory statement, FERC stated:

The Commission's intent is to further facilitate the unimpeded operation of market forces to stimulate the production of natural gas... [and thereby] contribute to reducing our Nation's dependence upon imported

[^7]oil.... . ${ }^{13}$

With specific regard to the SFV rate design adopted in Order 636, FERC stated:


#### Abstract

Moreover, the Commission's adoption of SFV should maximize pipeline throughput over time by allowing gas to compete with alternate fuels on a timely basis as the prices of alternate fuels change. The Commission believes it is beyond doubt that it is in the national interest to promote the use of clean and abundant gas over alternate fuels such as foreign oil. SFV is the best method for doing that. ${ }^{14}$


Recently, some public utilities have begun to advocate SFV residential pricing, claiming a need for enhanced fixed charge revenues. To support their claim, the companies argue that because retail rates have been historically volumetric-based, there has been a disincentive for utilities to promote conservation or encourage reduced consumption. However, the FERC's objective in adopting SFV pricing suggests the exact opposite. The price signal that results from SFV pricing is meant to promote additional consumption, not reduce consumption. Thus, a rate structure that is heavily based on a fixed monthly customer charge sends an even stronger price signal to consumers to use more energy.
Q. As a public policy matter, what is the most effective tool that regulators have to promote cost effective conservation and the efficient utilization of resources?
A. Unquestionably, one of the most important and effective tools that this, or any, regulatory Commission has to promote conservation is developing rates that send proper price signals to conserve and utilize resources efficiently. A pricing structure that is largely fixed, such that customers' effective prices do not properly vary with consumption,

[^8]promotes the inefficient utilization of resources. Pricing structures that are weighted heavily on fixed charges are much more inferior from a conservation and efficiency standpoint than pricing structures that require consumers to incur more cost with additional consumption.
Q. A customer's total natural gas bill is comprised of a base rate component and a purchased gas clause component. The purchased gas clause is volumetrically-priced and represents a significant portion of a customer's total bill. Does the volumetric pricing of these components eliminate the need for a proper pricing signal?
A. No, certainly not. The fact that significant revenue may be collected volumetrically does not lessen the need for a reasonable rate design.
Q. Notwithstanding the efficiency reasons as to why regulation should serve as a surrogate for competition, are there other relevant aspects to the pricing structures in competitive markets vis $a$ vis those of regulated utilities?
A. Yes. In competitive markets, consumers, by definition, have the ability to choose various suppliers of goods and services. Consumers and the market have a clear preference for volumetric pricing. Utility customers are not so fortunate in that the local utility is a monopoly. The only reason utilities are able to seek pricing structures with high fixed monthly charges is due to their monopoly status. In my opinion, this is a critical consideration in establishing utility pricing structures. Competitive markets and consumers in the United States have demanded volumetric-based prices for generations. A regulated utility's pricing structure should not be allowed to counter the collective
wisdom of markets and consumers simply because of its market power.
Q. Please comment on Mr. Raab's opinion that lower fixed monthly customer charges result in the creation of intra-class subsidies between higher volume users within a particular customer class and lower volume users.
A. It is well known that Residential heating customers have a significantly lower load factor than non-heating customers. ${ }^{15}$ This is because non-heating customers tend to not be nearly as weather sensitive as heating customers and so their usage is rather constant throughout the year. On the other hand, Residential heating customers demand more and more of the Company's facilities as cold weather and natural gas usage requirements increase. Because high load factor customers evenly spread their demands throughout the year, these customers are cheaper to serve (on a per unit of consumption basis) than low load factor customers. As such, it cannot be said that high usage customers subsidize low usage customers due to a predominant volumetric pricing schedule.
Q. Please comment on Mr. Raab's opinion that "these subsidies can influence a residential consumer to make uneconomic energy consumption decisions relative to alternative fuels."
A. I strongly disagree with Mr. Raab's opinion. The price advantage of natural gas over alternative energy fuels (electricity and oil) is substantial. Indeed, due to the abundance of natural gas in our Country, this price advantage is as high, or higher, than it has ever been. Therefore, as a matter of simple economics, a residential customer has a proper

15 Load factor is defined as average daily usage divided by peak day usage wherein average daily usage is annual throughput divided by 365 days.
economic incentive to use natural gas and/or switch from alternative fuel sources to the extent it is practical and affordable. In fact, the study of economics is defined as the efficient allocation of society's scare resources. There is no doubt that the consumption of natural gas is more efficient from a pricing and societal perspective than is electricity or oil. ${ }^{16}$
Q. Please comment on Mr. Raab's opinion that lower customer charges may significantly impact a large user's decision to expand operations or locate its operations within the service territory.
A. While the absolute pricing of natural gas (delivery plus gas costs) may indeed impact some large industrial customers' decisions to locate, or relocate, its operations, this certainly cannot be said for residential customers and which my testimony addresses. Moreover, it must be remembered that Mr. Raab proposes to assign the Company's entire requested base rate revenue increase to the Residential fixed monthly customer charge.
Q. How should the level of fixed monthly customer charges be evaluated?
A. Fixed monthly charges should only reflect the direct costs to connect and maintain a customer's account. As such, customer charges should only reflect the costs of service lines, meters, meter reading, customer records and billing. Customer charges should not include any overhead costs, as these are simply the cost of doing business, nor should they include any costs of mains.
Q. Have you conducted an analysis of the appropriate level of Residential customer

[^9] charges for Kansas Gas?
A. Yes. I have conducted a direct customer cost analysis for Kansas Gas' Residential customers, which is provided in my Schedule GAW-3. In developing my Residential customer cost, I have utilized the CURB's recommended cost of capital. However, because customer charges reflect guaranteed revenue recovery to the Company, there is virtually no business risk associated with customer charges such that the true cost of capital for fixed charges is substantially less than the cost of equity recommended by Dr. Woolridge. Nonetheless, I have utilized Dr. Woolridge's recommended cost of equity of $8.50 \%$, which tends to overstate the true direct customer cost. As indicated in my Schedule GAW-3, I have determined that the direct customer cost for Rate RS is $\$ 13.24$ per month.
Q. What is your recommendation regarding fixed monthly customer charges for Kansas Gas' Residential customers?
A. Even though my calculated Residential customer charge of $\$ 13.24$ per month is less than the current rate of $\$ 15.35$ per month, I recommend that the existing Residential customer charge be maintained at its current level.
Q. Do you have any recommendations concerning billing determinants as it relates to this case?
A. Yes. It is my understanding that usage and revenue adjustments are often contentious in cases involving Kansas Gas. To the extent the Commission adopts additional revenues at current rates due to additional usage levels advocated by another party, these additional

4 Q. Does this complete your testimony?
5 A. Yes.
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## BACKGROUND \& EXPERIENCE PROFILE GLENN A. WATKINS VICE PRESIDENT/SENIOR ECONOMIST TECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

## EDUCATION

1982-1988
1980-1982
1976-1980
M.B.A., Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia
B.S., Economics; Virginia Commonwealth University
A.A., Economics; Richard Bland College of The College of William and Mary, Petersburg, Virginia

## POSITIONS

Mar. 1993-Present
Apr. 1990-Mar. I993
Aug. 1987-Apr. 1990
Feb. 1987-Aug. 1987
May 1984-Jan. 1987
May 1982-May 1984
Sep. 1980-May 1982

Vice President/Senior Economist, Technical Associates, Inc. (Mar. 1993-June 1995 Traded as C. W. Amos of Virginia)<br>Principal/Senior Economist, Technical Associates, Inc.<br>Staff Economist, Technical Associates, Inc., Richmond, Virginia<br>Economist, Old Dominion Electric Cooperative, Richmond, Virginia<br>Staff Economist, Technical Associates, Inc.<br>Economic Analyst, Technical Associates, Inc.<br>Research Assistant, Technical Associates, Inc.

## EXPERIENCE

## I. Public Utility Regulation

A. Costing Studies -- Conducted, and presented as expert testimony, numerous embedded and marginal cost of service studies. Cost studies have been conducted for electric, gas, telecommunications, water, and wastewater utilities. Analyses and issues have included the evaluation and development of alternative cost allocation methods with particular emphasis on ratemaking implications of distribution plant classification and capacity cost allocation methodologies. Distribution plant classifications have been conducted using the minimum system and zerointercept methods. Capacity cost allocations have been evaluated using virtually every recognized method of allocating demand related costs (e.g., single and multiple coincident peaks, noncoincident peaks, probability of loss of load, average and excess, and peak and average).

Embedded and marginal cost studies have been analyzed with respect to the seasonal and diurnal distribution of system energy and demand costs, as well as cost effective approaches to incorporating energy and demand losses for rate design purposes. Economic dispatch models have been evaluated to determine long range capacity requirements as well as system marginal energy costs for ratemaking purposes.
B. Rate Design Studies -- Analyzed, designed and provided expert testimony relating to rate structures for all retail rate classes, employing embedded and marginal cost studies. These rate structures have included flat rates, declining block rates, inverted block rates, hours use of demand blocking, lighting rates, and interruptible rates. Economic development and special industrial rates have been developed in recognition of the competitive environment for specific customers. Assessed alternative time differentiated rates with diurnal and seasonal pricing structures. Applied Ramsey (Inverse Elasticity) Pricing to marginal costs in order to adjust for embedded revenue requirement constraints.
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C. Forecasting and System Profile Studies -- Development of long range energy (Kwh or Mcf) and demand forecasts for rural electric cooperatives and investor owned utilities. Analysis of electric plant operating characteristics for the determination of the most efficient dispatch of generating units on a system-wide basis. Factors analyzed include system load requirements, unit generating capacities, planned and unplanned outages, marginal energy costs, long term purchased capacity and energy costs, and short term power interchange agreements.
D. Cost of Capital Studies -- Analyzed and provided expert testimony on the costs of capital and proper capital structures for ratemaking purposes, for electric, gas, telephone, water, and wastewater utilities. Costs of capital have been applied to both actual and hypothetical capital structures. Cost of equity studies have employed comparable earnings, DCF, and CAPM analyses. Econometric analyses of adjustments required to electric utilities cost of equity due to the reduced risks of completing and placing new nuclear generating units into service.
E. Accounting Studies -- Performed and provided expert testimony for numerous accounting studies relating to revenue requirements and cost of service. Assignments have included original cost studies, cost of reproduction new studies, depreciation studies, lead-lag studies, Weather normalization studies, merger and acquisition issues and other rate base and operating income adjustments.

## II. Transportation Regulation

A. Oil and Products Pipelines -- Conducted cost of service studies utilizing embedded costs, I.C.C. Valuation, and trended original cost. Development of computer models for cost of service studies utilizing the "Williams" (FERC 154-B) methodology. Performed alternative tariff designs, and dismantlement and restoration studies.
B. Railroads -- Analyses of costing studies using both embedded and marginal cost methodologies. Analyses of market dominance and cross-subsidization, including the implementation of differential pricing and inverse elasticity for various railroad commodities. Analyses of capital and operation costs required to operate "stand alone" railroads. Conducted cost of capital and revenue adequacy studies of railroads.

## III. Insurance Studies

Conducted and presented expert testimony relating to market structure, performance, and profitability by line and sub-line of business within specific geographic areas, e.g. by state. These studies have included the determination of rates of return on Statutory Surplus and GAAP Equity by line - by state using the NAIC methodology, and comparison of individual insurance company performance vis a vis industry Country-Wide performance.

Conducted and presented expert testimony relating to rate regulation of workers compensation, automobile, and professional malpractice insurance. These studies have included the determination of a proper profit and contingency factor utilizing an internal rate of return methodology, the development of a fair investment income rate, capital structure, cost of capital.

Other insurance studies have included testimony before the Virginia Legislature regarding proper regulatory structure of Credit Life and $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{C}$ insurance; the effects on competition and prices resulting from proposed insurance company mergers, maximum and minimum expense multiplier limits, determination of specific class code rate increase limits (swing limits); and investigation of the reasonableness of $\mathrm{NCCI}=\mathrm{s}$ administrative assigned risk plan and pool expenses.
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## IV. Anti-Trust and Commercial Business Damage Litigation

Analyses of alleged claims of attempts to monopolize, predatory pricing, unfair trade practices and economic losses. Assignments have involved definitions of relevant market areas(geographic and product) and performance of that market, the pricing and cost allocation practices of manufacturers, and the economic performance of manufacturers' distributors.

Performed and provided expert testimony relating to market impacts involving automobile and truck dealerships, incremental profitability, the present value of damages, diminution in value of business, market and dealer performance, future sales potential, optimal inventory levels, fair allocation of products, financial performance; and business valuations.

## MEMBERSHIPS AND CERTIFICATIONS

Member, Association of Energy Engineers (1998)
Certified Rate of Return Analyst, Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts (1992)
Member, American Water Works Association
National Association of Business Economists
Richmond Association of Business Economists
National Economics Honor Society

## KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY <br> CURB Class Cost of Service Study

 Summary of Results|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Residential } \\ & \text { RS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | Small Generator SGS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Irrigation } \\ & \text { Sales } \\ & \text { GIS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Kansas Gas Supply KGSSD | Sales for <br> Resale SSRk | Small Transport STk | Small Transport STt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues | \$287,931,413 | \$207,282,464 | \$21,879,986 | \$16,545,048 | \$2,618,965 | \$435,298 | \$361,829 | \$33,071 | \$90,791 | \$11,395,151 | \$4,413,276 |
| Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating \& Maintenance | \$149,697,241 | \$116,626,129 | \$9,850,706 | \$8,111,448 | \$1,324,364 | \$105,849 | \$90,962 | \$10,577 | \$8,786 | \$3,900,607 | \$1,543,100 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | \$49,009,931 | \$35,889,357 | \$2,937,037 | \$3,122,347 | \$558,986 | \$26,661 | \$32,093 | \$3,939 | \$1,404 | \$1,712,484 | \$691,983 |
| Taxes Other Than Income | \$25,015,511 | \$18,484,350 | \$1,539,140 | \$1,588,866 | \$282,081 | \$13,890 | \$16,496 | \$2,422 | \$791 | \$810,244 | \$346,295 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$223,722,683 | \$170,999,836 | \$14,326,883 | \$12,822,661 | \$2,165,430 | \$146,400 | \$139,551 | \$16,937 | \$10,981 | \$6,423,336 | \$2,581,378 |
| Adjustments to Pre-Tax Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Long-Term Debt | \$18,707,717 | \$13,543,459 | \$1,259,335 | \$1,266,704 | \$228,638 | \$9,513 | \$14,142 | \$1,898 | \$514 | \$643,080 | \$277,541 |
| Other | -\$154,716 | -\$120,928 | -\$10,601 | -\$8,467 | -\$1,341 | -\$113 | -\$95 | -\$13 | -\$8 | -\$3,939 | -\$1,585 |
| Income Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current State Income Taxes | \$505,673 | \$253,193 | \$70,933 | \$27,292 | \$2,506 | \$3,096 | \$2,306 | \$158 | \$878 | \$47,988 | \$17,233 |
| Current Federal Income Taxes | \$2,351,377 | \$1,177,349 | \$329,840 | \$126,909 | \$11,652 | \$14,395 | \$10,724 | \$734 | \$4,084 | \$223,143 | \$80,135 |
| Deferred Income Tax Expense | \$17,246,330 | \$8,635,341 | \$2,419,233 | \$930,826 | \$85,461 | \$105,580 | \$78,659 | \$5,382 | \$29,957 | \$1,636,656 | \$587,753 |
| Total Income Taxes | \$20,103,380 | \$10,065,883 | \$2,820,007 | \$1,085,028 | \$99,618 | \$123,070 | \$91,690 | \$6,274 | \$34,920 | \$1,907,787 | \$685,121 |
| Adjustments to After-Tax Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of ITC | -\$201,384 | -\$147,161 | -\$12,121 | -\$13,130 | -\$2,371 | -\$105 | -\$135 | -\$20 | -\$6 | -\$6,790 | -\$2,926 |
| Total Adjustments to After-Tax Income | -\$201,384 | -\$147,161 | -\$12,121 | -\$13,130 | -\$2,371 | -\$105 | -\$135 | -\$20 | -\$6 | -\$6,790 | -\$2,926 |
| Net Operating Income | \$44,306,734 | \$26,363,906 | \$4,745,218 | \$2,650,489 | \$356,288 | \$165,933 | \$130,723 | \$9,880 | \$44,897 | \$3,070,818 | \$1,149,703 |
| Total Rate Base | \$902,967,733 | \$653,806,211 | \$52,180,466 | \$59,412,432 | \$10,860,785 | \$465,418 | \$490,564 | \$107,641 | \$55,277 | \$32,246,083 | \$13,744,854 |
| Rate of Return - Existing Rates | 4.91\% | 4.03\% | 9.09\% | 4.46\% | 3.28\% | 35.65\% | 26.65\% | 9.18\% | 81.22\% | 9.52\% | 8.36\% |
| Relative Rate of Return | 100\% | 82\% | 185\% | 91\% | 67\% | 727\% | 543\% | 187\% | 1655\% | 194\% | 170\% |


| CURB Proposed Rate Levels: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue increase | \$35,444,845 | \$28,755,159 | \$1,346,727 | \$2,036,716 | \$402,997 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,036 | \$0 | \$701,398 | \$271,648 |
| Revenue Conversion Factor | 0.604531095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Increase | \$21,427,511 | \$17,383,388 | \$814,138 | \$1,231,258 | \$243,624 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,231 | \$0 | \$424,017 | \$164,219 |
| Rate of Return | 7.28\% | 6.69\% | 10.65\% | 6.53\% | 5.52\% | 35.65\% | 26.65\% | 10.32\% | 81.22\% | 10.84\% | 9.56\% |
| Relative Rate of Return | 100\% | 92\% | 146\% | 90\% | 76\% | 490\% | 365\% | 142\% | 1116\% | 149\% | 131\% |

## KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY <br> URB Class Cost of Service Study

Summary of Results

|  | Total | CNG Transport CNG | Irrigation Transport GIT | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTk - T1 | Large Voi Transport-T2 LVTk-T2 | Large Vol Transport - T3 LVTk - T3 | Large Vol Transport -T4 LVTk-T4 | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTt-T1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport - T2 } \\ & \text { LVTt-T2 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Large Vol Transport-T3 LVTt-T3 | Large Vol Transport - T4 LVTt - T4 | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues | \$287,931,413 | \$130,883 | \$1,741,932 | \$1,334,702 | \$1,913,786 | \$1,932,027 | \$6,355,395 | \$436,702 | \$956,622 | \$1,275,985 | \$5,406,407 | \$1,391,094 |
| Operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating \& Maintenance | \$149,697,241 | \$72,399 | \$352,978 | \$473,490 | \$812,035 | \$793,108 | \$2,437,704 | \$148,022 | \$379,852 | \$482,135 | \$1,943,316 | \$229,674 |
| Depreciation \& Amortization | \$49,009,931 | \$35,192 | \$151,915 | \$222,719 | \$405,903 | \$402,911 | \$1,259,364 | \$70,729 | \$186,235 | \$238,545 | \$961,286 | \$98,842 |
| Taxes Other Than Income | \$25,015,511 | \$17,258 | \$76,637 | \$104,224 | \$188,121 | \$186, 172 | \$579,916 | \$35,049 | \$92,348 | \$117,800 | \$474,788 | \$58,624 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$223,722,683 | \$124,849 | \$581,529 | \$800,433 | \$1,406,059 | \$1,382,191 | \$4,276,984 | \$253,800 | \$658,436 | \$838,480 | \$3,379,390 | \$387,139 |
| Adjustments to Pre-Tax Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| interest on Long-Term Debt | \$18,707,717 | \$14,180 | \$60,919 | \$83,130 | \$150,962 | \$149,845 | \$468,613 | \$28,403 | \$74,958 | \$96,131 | \$387,763 | \$47,989 |
| Other | -\$154,716 | -\$61 | -\$371 | -\$466 | -\$776 | -\$748 | -\$2,257 | -\$140 | -\$362 | -\$439 | -\$1,761 | -\$245 |
| income Taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current State Income Taxes | \$505,673 | -\$90 | \$12,182 | \$5,002 | \$3,960 | \$4,438 | \$17,855 | \$1,713 | \$2,476 | \$3,786 | \$18,176 | \$10,591 |
| Current Federal Income Taxes | \$2,351,377 | -\$416 | \$56,645 | \$23,259 | \$18,414 | \$20,639 | \$83,025 | \$7,964 | \$11,515 | \$17,604 | \$84,516 | \$49,247 |
| Deferred Income Tax Expense | \$17,246,330 | -\$3,054 | \$415,467 | \$170,592 | \$135,060 | \$151,378 | \$608,949 | \$58,415 | \$84,460 | \$129,119 | \$619,889 | \$361,206 |
| Total Income Taxes | \$20,103,380 | -\$3,560 | \$484,294 | \$198,853 | \$157,434 | \$176,455 | \$709,829 | \$68,092 | \$98,452 | \$150,509 | \$722,581 | \$421,044 |
| Adjustments to After-Tax Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of ITC | -\$201,384 | -\$150 | -\$643 | -\$884 | -\$1,611 | -\$1,599 | -\$5,000 | -\$301 | -\$796 | -\$1,020 | -\$4,115 | -\$501 |
| Total Adjustments to After-Tax Income | -\$201,384 | -\$150 | -\$643 | -\$884 | -\$1,611 | -\$1,599 | -\$5,000 | -\$301 | -\$796 | -\$1,020 | -\$4,115 | -\$501 |
| Net Operating Income | \$44,306,734 | \$9,744 | \$676,751 | \$336,299 | \$351,904 | \$374,980 | \$1,373,582 | \$115,111 | \$200,530 | \$288,016 | \$1,308,551 | \$583,411 |
| Total Rate Base | \$902,967,733 | \$712,600 | \$2,905,901 | \$4,263,114 | \$7,755,356 | \$7,689,621 | \$24,028,204 | \$1,435,759 | \$3,773,936 | \$4,841,738 | \$19,510,117 | \$2,681,658 |
| Rate of Return - Existing Rates | 4.91\% | 1.37\% | 23.29\% | 7.89\% | 4.54\% | 4.88\% | 5.72\% | 8.02\% | 5.31\% | 5.95\% | 6.71\% | 21.76\% |
| Relative Rate of Return | 100\% | 28\% | 475\% | 161\% | 92\% | 99\% | 117\% | 163\% | 108\% | 121\% | 137\% | 443\% |
| CURB Proposed Rate Levels: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenue Increase | \$35,444,845 | \$24,168 | \$0 | \$82,154 | \$235,596 | \$237,842 | \$586,784 | \$26,880 | \$117,765 | \$117,810 | \$499,166 | \$0 |
| Revenue Conversion Factor | 0.604531095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income Increase | \$21,427,511 | \$14,611 | \$0 | \$49,665 | \$142,425 | \$143,783 | \$354,729 | \$16,250 | \$71,192 | \$71,220 | \$301,761 | \$0 |
| Rate of Return | 7.28\% | 3.42\% | 23.29\% | 9.05\% | 6.37\% | 6.75\% | 7.19\% | 9.15\% | 7.20\% | 7.42\% | 8.25\% | 21.76\% |
| Relative Rate of Return | 100\% | 47\% | 320\% | 124\% | 88\% | 93\% | 99\% | 126\% | 99\% | 102\% | 113\% | 299\% |


|  | Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS-Small } \\ \text { GSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS- Large } \\ \text { GSL } \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Generator } \\ \text { SGS } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation <br> Sales <br> GIS | Kansas Gas Supply KGSSD | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport STk | $\underset{\substack{\text { Small } \\ \text { Transport }}}{\text { Stit }}$ STt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intangible Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organization |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchises and Consents | 115 | \$6,045 | \$4.417 | 5364 | \$394 | \$71 | \$3 | \$4 | \$1 | so | \$204 | \$88 |
| Miscellaneous Intangible Plant | 115 | \$52,535 | \$38,390 | \$3.162 | \$3,425 | \$619 | \$27 | \$35 | \$5 | \$1 | \$1.771 | 5763 |
| Total Intangible Plant |  | \$58,580 | \$42,807 | \$3,526 | \$3,819 | \$690 | \$31 | \$39 | \$6 | \$2 | \$1,975 | \$851 |
| Production Plant | 139 | \$852,915 | \$667,949 | \$65,126 | \$97,038 | \$19,949 | \$149 | \$1,052 | \$559 | \$1,094 | \$0 | so |
| Storage Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 148 | \$826,609 | \$579,395 | \$56,836 | \$84,030 | \$17,131 | so | \$826 | \$489 | \$0 | so | \$20,261 |
| Rights-of-way | 148 | \$12,318,682 | \$8,634,532 | \$847,006 | \$1,252,268 | \$255,295 | so | \$12,311 | \$7,289 | \$0 | so | \$301,947 |
| Structures and imp. - compressor stations | 148 | \$4,627,325 | \$3,243,430 | \$318,165 | \$470,395 | \$95,898 | so | \$4,625 | \$2,738 | s0 | \$0 | \$113,422 |
| Structures and imp. - meas. \& rep. stations | 148 | \$1,208,818 | \$847,297 | \$83,116 | \$122,884 | \$25,052 | so | \$1,208 | \$715 | so | \$0 | \$29,630 |
| Mains | 148 | \$206,084,926 | \$144,451,073 | \$14,169,950 | \$20,949,771 | \$4,270,943 | so | \$205,963 | \$121,942 | so | \$0 | \$5,051,407 |
| Compressor station equipment | 148 | \$17,858,542 | \$12,517,585 | \$1,227,914 | \$1,815,428 | \$370,104 | so | \$17,848 | \$10,567 | \$0 | \$0 | \$437,736 |
| Measuring and regulating station equip. | 148 | \$20,212,351 | \$14,167,440 | \$1,389,757 | \$2,054,707 | \$418,885 | \$0 | \$20.200 | \$11,960 | $\$ 0$ | \$0 | \$495,431 |
| Other Equipment | 148 | \$37,350 | \$26,180 | \$2,568 | \$3,797 | \$774 | so | 537 | \$22 | \$0 | \$0 | $\$ 916$ |
| Total Transmission Plant |  | \$263,174,604 | \$184,466,932 | \$18,095,311 | \$26,753,280 | \$5,454,080 | \$0 | \$263,019 | \$155,722 | so | \$0 | \$6,450,749 |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 141 | \$154,887 | \$114,087 | \$9,060 | \$8,982 | \$1,550 | \$97 | \$93 | \$0 | $\$ 5$ | \$6.253 | \$1,948 |
| Rights-of-way | 141 | \$2,218,741 | \$1.634.280 | \$129,783 | \$128,670 | \$22,203 | \$1,387 | \$1,337 | \$5 | \$72 | \$89,570 | \$27,905 |
| Structures and improvements | 140 | \$855,549 | \$502,153 | \$49,183 | \$72,859 | \$14,885 | \$111 | \$735 | so | \$0 | \$56,965 | \$17,622 |
| Mains |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 4 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | 50 | so | so | so | \$0 |
| Demand | 140 | \$314,807,496 | \$184.771.999 | \$18,097.239 | \$26.809,197 | \$5.477.211 | \$40,964 | \$270,475 | \$0 | 50 | \$20,960,878 | S6,484,067 |
| Mains - Metallic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 4 | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 |
| Demand | 140 | \$267,619,077 | \$157,075,396 | \$15,384,533 | \$22,790.803 | \$4,656,198 | \$34,824 | \$229,932 | so | so | \$17,818,924 | \$5,512,131 |
| Mains - Cathodic Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 4 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so |
| Demand | 140 | \$39,858,984 | \$23,394,691 | \$2,291,361 | \$3,394,415 | \$693,491 | \$5,187 | \$34.246 | so | s0 | \$2,653,937 | \$820,973 |
| Meas. and reg, sta, equip. - general | 19 | \$23,613,076 | \$14,682.827 | \$1,509,237 | \$2,100,717 | \$399,385 | \$3,137 | \$3,651 | so | s0 | \$1.471,514 | \$457.694 |
| Meas. and reg. sta. equip. - city gate | 140 | \$7,595,613 | \$4.458,142 | \$436.647 | \$646,847 | \$132,153 | 5988 | \$6,526 | \$0 | \$0 | \$505,740 | \$156.448 |
| Services | 34 | \$402,687,194 | \$365.818,743 | \$23,400,153 | \$7,886,782 | \$436,559 | \$409,319 | \$129,337 | \$1,391 | \$15,777 | \$2,687,400 | \$948.056 |
| Services - Metallic | 34 | \$31,989,526 | \$29,060,691 | \$1,858,911 | \$626,527 | \$34.680 | \$32.516 | \$10,275 | \$110 | \$1,253 | \$213,487 | \$75,314 |
| Meters | 36 | \$110,320,497 | \$88,300,560 | \$7,494,851 | \$7,254,860 | \$772.124 | \$154.249 | \$76.556 | \$618 | \$11,328 | \$3,817,441 | \$1,157,651 |
| Meters - AMR | 38 | \$20,289,237 | \$18,710,500 | \$1,181,010 | \$284,701 | \$4,014 | \$22,139 | \$5,826 | \$0 | \$129 | \$48,182 | \$18,313 |
| Meter installations | 42 | \$94,719,499 | \$76,651,491 | \$5,918,949 | \$5,479,908 | \$738,065 | \$127,753 | \$34.288 | 8807 | \$13,491 | \$3,619,851 | \$1,041,060 |
| House regutators | 40 | \$20,722,674 | \$19,925,311 | \$472,159 | \$86,082 | \$3,50日 | \$3.531 | \$2,580 | \$13 | \$1,207 | \$50,075 | \$106,588 |
| Other Property on Customer Premises | 4 | \$224,125 | \$204,457 | \$13,000 | \$4,200 | \$200 | \$229 | \$79 | \$0 | so | \$1,187 | \$394 |
| Other Equipment |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | so | s0 |
| Total Distribution Plant |  | \$1,337,676,176 | \$985,305,330 | \$78,246,075 | \$77,575,151 | \$13,386,227 | \$836,433 | \$805,938 | \$2,943 | \$43,262 | \$54,001,385 | \$16,824,173 |
| General Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 115 | \$1,471,358 | \$1,075,190 | \$88,561 | \$95,927 | \$17,325 | \$769 | \$983 | \$146 | $\$ 41$ | \$49,607 | \$21,381 |
| Structures and improvements - owned | 115 | \$35,391,223 | \$25,862,031 | \$2,130, 197 | \$2,307,384 | \$416,736 | \$18,485 | \$23,643 | \$3.518 | \$980 | \$1,193,214 | \$514,282 |
| 5 tructures and improvements - leasehold | 115 | \$2,694,235 | \$1,968,804 | \$162,166 | \$175,655 | \$31,725 | \$1,407 | \$1,800 | \$268 | \$75 | \$90,836 | \$39,151 |
| Office furniture and equipment - computers | 146 | \$5,014,496 | \$3,919,404 | \$343,587 | \$274,427 | \$43,468 | \$3,648 | \$3,081 | \$418 | \$262 | \$127,679 | \$51,379 |
| Computers and other electronic equipment | 146 | \$9,571,166 | \$7,480,964 | \$655,804 | 8523,799 | \$82,987 | \$6,962 | \$5,880 | \$797 | 8500 | \$243,701 | \$98.068 |
| Transportation equipment | 115 | \$26,849,935 | \$19,620,510 | \$1,616,097 | \$1,750,522 | \$316,161 | \$14,024 | \$17,937 | \$2,669 | \$744 | \$905,245 | \$390,166 |
| Stores equipment | 115 | \$113,367 | \$82,843 | \$6,824 | \$7,391 | \$1,335 | $\$ 59$ | \$76 | \$11 | \$3 | \$3,822 | \$1,647 |
| Tool, shop and garage equipment | 115 | \$8,463,128 | \$6,184,406 | \$509,396 | \$551,766 | \$99,654 | \$4,420 | \$5,654 | \$841 | \$234 | \$285,334 | \$122,981 |
| Laboratory equipment | 115 | \$72,377 | \$52,889 | \$4,356 | \$4,719 | \$852 | \$38 | \$48 | \$7 | \$2 | \$2,440 | \$1,052 |
| Power operated equipment | 115 | \$11,793,107 | \$8,617.778 | \$709,827 | \$768,869 | \$138,865 | \$6,160 | 87,878 | \$1,172 | \$327 | \$397,604 | \$171,370 |
| Communication equipment | 115 | \$5,416,063 | \$3,957,772 | \$325,993 | \$353,108 | \$63,775 | \$2,829 | \$3,618 | \$538 | \$150 | \$182,602 | \$78,703 |
| Miscellaneous equipment | 115 | \$360,557 | \$263,476 | \$21,702 | \$23,507 | \$4,246 | \$188 | \$241 | \$36 | \$10 | \$12,158 | \$5,239 |
| Total General Plant |  | \$107,211,011 | \$79,086,066 | \$6,574,508 | \$6,837,075 | \$1,217,109 | \$58,988 | \$70,838 | \$10,422 | \$3,327 | \$3,494,241 | \$1,495,419 |
| Corporate Allocated Plant | 115 | \$61,525,376 | \$44,959,485 | \$3,703,211 | \$4,011,239 | \$724,469 | \$32,135 | \$41,102 | \$6,116 | \$1,704 | \$2,074,328 | \$894,047 |
| TOTAL PLANTIN SERVICE |  | \$1,770,498,662 | \$1,294,528,569 | \$106,687,757 | \$115,277,602 | \$20,802,524 | \$927,735 | \$1,181,988 | \$175,768 | \$49,389 | \$59,571,927 | \$25,665,238 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cestudy |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc <br> Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation <br> Transport GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-TI } \\ \text { LVTk.T1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport - T2 } \\ \text { LVTk.T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport } \cdot T 4 \\ \text { } \begin{array}{c} \text { VTk.T4 } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 1 \\ \text { } 1 \text { vit }-T 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transpor-T2 } \\ \text { LVTR-T2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Voi } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { WVT-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport - T4 } \\ & \text { ivme-T4 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| intangible Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organization |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchises and Consents | 115 | \$6,045 | \$5 | \$19 | \$27 | \$48 | \$48 | \$150 | \$9 | \$24 | \$31 | \$124 | \$15 |
| Miscellaneous Intangible Plant | 115 | \$52,535 | 539 | \$168 | \$230 | \$420 | \$417 | \$1,304 | \$79 | \$208 | \$266 | \$1,073 | \$131 |
| Total Intangible Plant |  | \$58,580 | \$44 | \$187 | \$257 | 5459 | 5465 | \$1,454 | 588 | \$231 | \$297 | \$1,197 | \$146 |
| Production Plant | 139 | \$852,915 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | 30 | \$0 | \$0 | so | ss |
| Storage Plant |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 148 | \$826,609 | \$1,184 | \$4,489 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$2,273 | \$6,267 | \$8,152 | \$33,137 | \$12,139 |
| Rights-of-way | 148 | \$12,313,682 | \$17,639 | \$66,900 | so | \$0 | so | 50 | \$33,873 | \$93,397 | \$121,493 | \$493,826 | \$180,907 |
| Structures and imp. - compressor stations | 148 | \$4,627,325 | \$6,626 | \$25,130 | so | so | 50 | \$0 | \$12,724 | \$35,083 | \$45,637 | \$185,498 | \$67,955 |
| Structures and imp. - meas. \& reg. stations | 148 | \$1,208,818 | \$1,731 | \$6,565 | \$0 | \$0 | so | 30 | \$3,324 | \$9,165 | \$11.922 | \$48,459 | \$17,752 |
| Mains | 148 | \$206,084,926 | \$295,088 | \$1,119,206 | so | 50 | so | \$0 | \$566,673 | \$1.562,480 | \$2,032,515 | \$8,251,444 | \$3,026,472 |
| Compressor station equipment | 148 | \$17,858,542 | \$25,571 | \$96,986 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$49,106 | \$135,399 | \$476,130 | \$715,906 | \$262,263 |
| Messuring and regulating station equip. | 148 | \$20,212,351 | \$28,942 | \$109,769 | so | so | \$0 | so | \$56.578 | \$153,245 | \$199,345 | \$810,264 | \$296,830 |
| Other Equipment | 148 | \$37,350 | \$53 | \$203 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$103 | \$283 | \$368 | \$1,497 | \$549 |
| Total Transmission Plant |  | \$263,174,504 | \$376,833 | \$1,429,248 | \$0 | 50 | 50 | 50 | \$723,653 | \$1,995,318 | \$2,595,563 | \$10,550,030 | \$3,866,866 |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 141 | \$154,887 | \$95 | \$426 | \$814 | \$1,484 | \$1,473 | \$4,604 | \$194 | \$502 | \$639 | \$2.568 | \$14 |
| Rights-of-way | 141 | \$2,218,741 | \$1,359 | \$6,106 | \$11,655 | \$21,252 | \$21.098 | \$65,957 | \$2,773 | \$7,186 | 59.157 | \$36,784 | \$291 |
| 5tructures and improvements Mains | 140 | \$855,549 | \$1.040 | 54,006 | 57,997 | \$16,139 | \$16,326 | \$51,966 | \$1.982 | \$5,454 | 57,113 | \$29.012 | \$0 |
| Customer | 4 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | so | 50 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Demand | 140 | \$314,807,496 | \$382,508 | \$1,474,080 | \$2,942,559 | 85,938,507 | 56,007,486 | \$19,121,379 | \$729,326 | \$2,007,012 | \$2,617,440 | \$10,675,165 | so |
| Mains - Metalluc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 4 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | \%0 | \$0 | 50 | so | so | so |
| Demand | 140 | \$267,619,077 | \$325,172 | \$1,253,121 | \$2,501,481 | \$5,048,348 | 55,106,987 | \$16,255,159 | \$620,003 | \$1,706,168 | \$2,225,096 | 59,075,000 | so |
| Mains - Cathocic Protection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer | 4 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | so | 50 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 |
| Demand | 140 | \$39,858,984 | \$48,431 | \$186.639 | \$372.569 | \$751,897 | \$760,631 | \$2,421,031 | \$92,343 | \$254,116 | \$331,404 | \$1,351,624 | \$0 |
| Meas, and reg, sta equip. - general | 19 | \$23,613,076 | \$17.556 | 59,215 | \$190,332 | \$407,734 | \$382.212 | \$1,055,183 | \$46,580 | \$138,155 | \$163,307 | \$574,639 | \$0 |
| Meas. and reg. sta. equip. - city gate | 140 | \$7,595,613 | \$9,229 | \$35,566 | \$70,997 | \$143,283 | \$144,947 | \$461,357 | \$17,587 | \$48,425 | \$63,153 | \$257,568 | \$0 |
| Services | 34 | \$402,687,194 | \$5.276 | \$284,971 | \$235,018 | \$119,558 | \$68.944 | \$64,825 | \$46,452 | \$36,053 | \$23,108 | \$43,047 | \$27,525 |
| Services - Metalic | 34 | \$31,989,526 | \$419 | \$22,638 | \$18,670 | \$9.418 | \$5.469 | \$5,150 | \$3,690 | \$2,864 | \$1,836 | \$3,420 | S2,187 |
| Meters | 36 | \$110,320,497 | \$13.956 | \$246,868 | \$340.911 | \$178,137 | \$102.885 | \$131,006 | \$54,626 | \$62,306 | \$38,885 | \$64,470 | \$46,410 |
| Meters - AMR | 38 | \$20,289,237 | so | \$15,407 | \$1,036 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | \$0 | so | \$0 |
| Meter instalations | 42 | \$94,719,499 | \$14.254 | \$100,083 | \$324,739 | \$174,942 | \$100,005 | \$125.921 | \$52,471 | \$61,153 | 537,444 | \$60,690 | \$42,132 |
| House regulators | 40 | \$20,722,674 | \$199 | \$42,156 | 58,777 | \$2,951 | \$1.731 | \$1.816 | \$3,529 | \$3,064 | \$1,950 | \$3,157 | \$2,879 |
| Other Property on Customer Premises | 4 | \$224,125 | \$1 | 5184 | \$66 | \$39 | \$22 | \$22 | \$12 | \$13 | 58 | \$10 | \$0 |
| Other Equipment |  | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | s0 | so | so |
| Total Distribution Plant |  | \$1,337,676,176 | \$819,496 | \$3,681,468 | 57,027,022 | \$12,812,688 | \$12,72a,118 | \$39,765,377 | \$1,671,577 | \$4,332,472 | \$5,520,541 | \$22,177,154 | \$121,347 |
| General Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights | 115 | \$1,471,358 | \$1,099 | \$4,695 | \$6,455 | \$11.770 | \$11,685 | \$36,529 | \$2,200 | \$5,813 | \$7,456 | \$30,064 | \$3,662 |
| Structures and improvements - owned | 115 | \$35,391,223 | \$26,434 | \$112,926 | \$155,269 | \$283,109 | \$281,064 | \$878,655 | \$52,925 | \$139,819 | \$179,333 | \$723,139 | \$88,079 |
| Structures and improvements - leasehold | 115 | \$2,694,235 | \$2,042 | \$8,597 | \$11.820 | \$21,552 | \$21,397 | \$66,890 | \$4,029 | \$10.644 | \$13,652 | \$55,051 | 56,705 |
| Office furniture and equipment - computers | 146 | \$5,014,496 | \$1,965 | \$12,027 | \$15,104 | \$25,148 | \$24,229 | \$73, 137 | \$4,553 | \$11,722 | 514,228 | \$57,088 | \$7,944 |
| Computers and other electronic equipment | 146 | \$9,571,166 | \$3,751 | \$22,956 | \$28,828 | \$47,999 | \$46,247 | \$139,596 | \$8.691 | \$22,374 | \$27,156 | \$108,963 | \$15,163 |
| Transportation equipment | 115 | \$26,849,935 | \$20,054 | \$85,673 | \$117,796 | \$214,784 | \$213.232 | \$666,601 | \$40,152 | \$106,075 | \$136,053 | \$548,618 | \$66,822 |
| Stores equipment | 115 | \$113,367 | \$85 | \$362 | \$497 | \$907 | 5900 | \$2,815 | \$170 | 5448 | 5574 | \$2,316 | \$282 |
| Tool, shop and garage equ/pment | 115 | \$8,463,128 | 56,321 | \$27,004 | \$37,130 | \$67,700 | \$67,211 | \$210,113 | \$12,656 | \$33,435 | \$42,884 | \$172,925 | \$21,062 |
| Laboratory equipment | 115 | \$72,377 | \$54 | \$231 | \$318 | \$559 | \$575 | \$1,797 | \$109 | \$286 | \$367 | \$1.479 | \$180 |
| Power operated equipment | 115 | \$11,793,107 | \$8,808 | \$37,629 | \$51,739 | \$94,338 | \$93.656 | \$282,787 | \$17,636 | \$46,591 | \$59,758 | \$240,965 | \$29,350 |
| Communication equipment | 115 | \$5,416,063 | \$4,045 | \$17,282 | \$23,761 | \$43,325 | \$43,012 | \$134,464 | \$8.099 | \$21,397 | \$27,444 | \$110,665 | \$13,479 |
| Miscellaneous equipment | 115 | \$360,557 | \$269 | \$1.450 | \$1.582 | \$2,884 | \$2,963 | \$8,952 | \$539 | \$1,424 | \$1.827 | 57,367 | 5897 |
| Total General Plant |  | \$107,211,011 | \$74,899 | \$330,532 | \$450,299 | \$814,095 | \$806,071 | \$2,512,335 | \$151,759 | 5400,027 | \$510,732 | 52,058,640 | \$253,627 |
| Corporate Allocated Plant | 115 | \$61,525,376 | \$45,954 | \$196,315 | \$269,925 | \$192,167 | \$488,611 | \$1,527,485 | \$92,007 | \$243,066 | 8311,760 | \$1,257,132 | 5153,120 |
| total plantin service |  | \$1,770,498,662 | \$1,317,225 | \$5,637,750 | \$7,747,503 | \$14,119,418 | \$14,015,266 | \$43,806,652 | \$2,639,084 | \$6,971,114 | \$8,938,892 | \$36,044,153 | 54,393,107 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  | Rate Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alloc } \\ & \text { factor } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irrigation } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { GIT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transpor }- \text { T1 } \\ \text { CVIk }-T 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport-T2 } \\ & \text { LVTk-T2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vot } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { IVTk-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T4 } \\ \text { ivkt-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport-Ti } \\ & \text { ivit-T1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tz} \\ & \text { IVTt-T2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Voi } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVt.T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T4 } \\ \text { LVTt-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wholesale } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { WTt } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Accumulated Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intangitle Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Organization |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellineous Intangible Plant | 115 | \$41,434 | \$31 | \$132 | 3182 | 5331 | 5329 | \$1.029 | \$62 | \$164 | \$210 | 5847 | 5103 |
| Leasencld improvements | 115 | \$2,752,808 | \$2,056 | 58,794 | \$12.077 | \$22,021 | 321,862 | 56, 3 , 34 | 84,117 | \$10,875 | 813,949 | 856,247 | ¢6,851 |
| Total Intangitle Plant |  | \$2,794,242 | \$2,087 | 58,916 | \$12,259 | 522,352 | \$22,191 | 569,372 | 54,179 | \$11,039 | \$14,159 | 557,094 | 56,954 |
| Production Plant | 139 | \$628,534 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | so | so | $\$ 0$ | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Storage Plant | 0 | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right-of.way | ${ }^{4} 48$ | \$3,292,730 | 94,715 | 317,882 | so | so | so | 90 | \$9,054 | \$24.965 | \$32,475 | \$131,998 | \$48,356 |
| Structures and imp. - compressor staions | ${ }^{4} 8$ | \$3,941,468 | \$5,644 | \$21.405 | so | so | so | 80 | \$10,938 | 529.883 | \$38,873 | \$158,004 | \$57.883 |
| Structures and imp. meas. \& reg. stations | 148 | \$996,712 | \$1,427 | \$5,413 | \$0 | so | so | $\$ 0$ | \$2,74 | \$7.557 | \$9,830 | \$39,956 | \$14.637 |
| Mains | 248 | \$53,189,079 | \$76,153 | \$288,832 | ${ }^{50}$ | so | so | so | \$146,241 | \$103,227 | \$524,529 | \$2,132,020 | 5781.038 |
| compressor station equipment | 148 | \$13,882,256 | \$19,978 | 975,392 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$38,172 | \$105, 251 | \$136,914 | \$556,506 | 5209.869 |
| Measuring and regulating station equiprnent | 148 | \$5,445,817 | \$7,798 | \$29.575 | so | \$0 | so | 50 | \$14,974 | 54.289 | \$53,709 | \$218,310 | \$79,975 |
| Other Equip nent | 148 | \$4,019 | ${ }^{66}$ | \$22 | so | so | so | so | S11 | 830 | \$40 | \$161 | ${ }^{599}$ |
| Total Transmi ssion Plant |  | 580,747,081 | 5115,620 | \$438,521 | so | 50 | so | so | \$222,031 | \$612,202 | 5796,369 | \$3,236,954 | \$1,185,876 |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights-of-way | 141 | \$478,914 | ${ }_{2293}$ | \$1,318 | \$2.518 | \$4,587 | \$4.554 | \$14,237 | 4598 | \$1,551 | \$1.976 | \$7.940 | \$43 |
| structures and improvements | 140 | \$389,816 | 9474 | \$1,825 | \$3,644 | 37,353 | \$7,439 | \$23.677 | 5903 | \$2,485 | \$3,241 | \$13.219 | 50 |
| Mans | 142 | \$103,688,735 | \$125,988 | \$485,521 | \$989,196 | \$1.955.977 | \$1,978.697 | \$6,298,045 | \$240,220 | \$661,053 | \$882,111 | \$3,566,099 | so |
| Mains - Metalic | ${ }^{24} 2$ | \$89,481,730 | \$108,725 | \$418,997 | \$836,401 | \$1,687,977 | \$1,707 584 | 55.435.112 | \$207, 308 | 5570,478 | 5743,988 | \$3,034,338 | 50 |
| Mains - Cathotit Protection | 142 | \$5,092,547 | \$6,188 | \$23,846 | \$47,604 | \$96.065 | \$97.181 | \$309.321 | \$11,798 | \$32,467 | \$42,342 | \$172,689 | so |
| Meas. and reg. sta equip. - general | 19 | \$10,274,540 | 57,639 | \$4,010 | 582,817 | \$177,414 | 5166,308 | 5459.132 | \$20.268 | \$60,114 | \$71,058 | \$250,037 | 50 |
| Meas, and reg, sta, equip. . city gate | 140 | 54,040,582 | \$4,910 | \$18,920 | \$37,768 | \$76,221 | ${ }^{877.107}$ | \$245,425 | \$9,361 | \$25,760 | \$33.595 | \$137,017 | so |
| Services | 34 | \$171,719,843 | \$2.250 | \$121.522 | \$100.220 | \$50,557 | \$29,358 | \$27.644 | 819.809 | \$15,374 | 59.854 | \$18,357 | \$11,738 |
| Services - Metaic | ${ }^{34}$ | \$12,910,704 | ${ }^{3169}$ | s9,137 | 57.535 | \$3,801 | \$2,207 | \$2,078 | \$1,489 | \$1,156 | ${ }^{\$ 741}$ | \$1,380 | 5882 |
| meters | 36 | \$23,871,489 | \$3,020 | 553,418 | 573,767 | \$39.546 | \$22,262 | \$28,348 | \$11,820 | \$13,482 | \$8,414 | \$13,950 | \$10,042 |
| Meters - Amr | 39 | \$3,912,736 | so | \$2.974 | 5200 | so | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | ${ }^{90}$ | so |
| Meter instalations | 42 | \$28,285,160 | \$4,257 | \$29,987 | \$96,974 | \$52,241 | \$29.864 | \$37,603 | \$15,669 | \$18,262 | \$11, 182 | \$18,123 | \$12.581 |
| House eegulators | 40 | \$6,86, 157 | 566 | \$13,960 | \$2,708 | 5977 | \$573 | 3601 | 51,168 | 51.015 | \$646 | \$1,045 | ${ }^{3953}$ |
| Other Property Customer Premise | 4 | \$218,684 | \$1 | \$179 | \$65 | \$38 | \$22 | 521 | \$12 | \$13 | s8 | \$10 | so |
| Other Equipment | 14 | -52,614 | -52 | -841 | -524 | - $\$ 45$ | - 541 | s120 | -56 | - 315 | - 519 | -667 | so |
| $\overline{\text { Total Distribution Plant }}$ |  | \$461,225,023 | 5263,976 | \$1,185,468 | \$2,261,387 | \$4,151,711 | 54,123,115 | \$12,881,123 | 5540.415 | S1,403,196 | \$1,789,138 | 57,184,138 | \$36,240 |
| General Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | 115 | - 514,378 | - 811 | -846 | . 63 | - 8115 | . 5114 | . 3557 | -922 | -557 | -573 | -5294 | \$36 |
| Structures and improvements - owned | 115 | \$11,687,351 | 58,729 | 537.292 | \$51.275 | 593.492 | \$92, 816 | \$290,161 | \$17,478 | 546,173 | 859,222 | \$238,805 | \$29,087 |
| Office furriture and equipment | 146 | \$2,99,379 | 5823 | \$5,035 | \$6, 323 | \$10.528 | \$10,144 | \$30,619 | \$1,906 | \$4,908 | 55,957 | 323,901 | ${ }^{53} 326$ |
| Computers and other electronic equipment | 146 | \$7,451,704 | \$2,920 | ${ }^{\mathbf{8 1 7} 8783}$ | \$22,444 | 837,370 | 536,006 | \$108,683 | 86,766 | \$17,419 | \$21,443 | 584,834 | \$11,805 |
| Transporration equipment | 115 | \$11,786,260 | 88,803 | \$37,608 | \$51.709 | \$94,283 | 593,602 | \$292,617 | \$17.625 | \$46,564 | 959,723 | \$240,826 | ${ }^{29} 9.333$ |
| Stores ecuipment | 115 | \$93,230 | . 970 | -5297 | S409 | -8746 | -5740 | -52.315 | - 139 | $-5368$ | -8472 | - 51.905 | - 8232 |
| Tools Shop and Garage Equipment | 115 | \$932,211 | \$696 | \$2.975 | 54,090 | 57,457 | 97,403 | \$23,144 | 51,394 | s3.683 | 54.724 | \$19.048 | \$2,320 |
| taboratory equipment | 115 | -525,091 | -5183 | -5782 | \$1,075 | - $\mathbf{5 1 , 9 6 1}$ | - 8 , ,946 | -56.085 | \$367 | -968 | - \$1,242 | -55.008 | - 610 |
| Power operated equipment | 115 | \$6,180,446 | ${ }^{54,616}$ | \$19,721 | \$27,145 | \$49,440 | \$49,083 | \$153,441 | \$9,242 | \$24,417 | \$31.317 | S126,283 | \$15.381 |
| Communication equipment | 115 | \$2,170,605 | \$1.621 | 56.926 | ${ }^{59,523}$ | \$17,364 | \$17,238 | \$53.889 | \$3,246 | 58,575 | \$10,999 | \$44,351 | \$55402 |
| Miscellaneous equipment | 115 | \$95,697 | 571 | \$305 | \$420 | \$766 | \$760 | \$2.376 | \$143 | \$378 | \$485 | \$1,955 | \$238 |
| Toutal General Plant |  | \$42,050,954 | \$28,018 | \$126,608 | 5171,352 | \$307, 87 | 5304,251 | \$996, 175 | \$57,279 | \$150,723 | 5191,781 | \$772,796 | 596.015 |
| Corporate Alocated Plant | 115 | \$16,693,239 | \$12,488 | 553,265 | \$73.237 | \$133,536 | \$132,571 | 5414.442 | \$24,964 | \$65.949 | \$84,587 | \$341,088 | \$41,545 |
| Total accumulateo depreciation |  | \$604, 139,074 | \$422,169 | \$1,812,779 | 52,518,234 | 54,615,478 | 54,582,129 | \$14,311,112 | \$848,862 | \$2,243,109 | \$2,876,035 | \$11,592,070 | ${ }_{51,366,571}$ |
| Other fate Ease tiems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Working Capital: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prepayments-Misc. |  | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | \$0 | ${ }^{30}$ |
| Prepayments | 149 | \$5,053,080 | \$2,481 | \$12.098 | \$16,228 | \$27.831 | \$27, 182 | 593.547 | 55.073 | \$13.019 | \$16,524 | \$66,003 | 87,872 |
| Naterials and Supplies | 119 | \$9,054,838 | \$4,347 | \$20.690 | \$28,146 | 548,235 | \$46:991 | \$143,827 | 98,664 | \$22,735 | \$28.886 | \$116,079 | \$13.008 |
| Gas Storaze Inventory \& Line Pack | ${ }^{30}$ | \$30,779,589 | 533,395 | \$25.083 | \$312,942 | \$577,004 | \$560.764 | \$1,788,284 | \$80,239 | \$190,773 | \$248,142 | \$988,727 | \$390.410 |
| Cash Woorking Capital |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Working Capital |  | 544,887,507 | 540,23 | 557,871 | \$357,316 | S647,070 | \$634,936 | \$1,975,657 | \$94,176 | \$226,527 | \$293,552 | ${ }^{51,171,009}$ | 5412,289 |
| Hate ease Adjustments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accurmulated Delerred Income Taxes | 111 | \$280,766,637 | \$215,458 | \$920,749 | 51.258,792 | 52.287 .793 | 52,270,749 | \$7.100.180 | \$430,943 | \$1,138,128 | \$1,459,454 | \$5,886,117 | \$728.549 |
| Investment Tax Credit Aliustment Customer Deposits | 44 | \$0 \$20,122,287 | \$2.694 | \$35.854 | \$25,856 | \$37.074 | 337,427 | 5123.116 | s8.460 | \$18.532 | S24,718 | \$104,732 | \$26.948 |
| Clac-Reimbursables |  | so |  |  | so |  | \$0 | 50 | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | so | so | so |
| Customer Advances for Construction | 78 | \$7,390,439 | 54,528 | \$20,339 | \$38,823 | 570,788 | 870, 277 | \$219,697 | 59,235 | \$23,936 | \$30.500 | \$122,525 | 5670 |
| Other |  | so | so | \$0 | S0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Total Rate \#ase Adjustments |  | \$308,279,363 | \$222,680 | \$976,942 | \$1,373,471 | \$2,395,655 | 52,375,153 | 57,442,994 | \$448,638 | \$1,180,596 | \$1,514,672 | \$5,113,374 | 5756,168 |
| TTTAL OTHER Rb |  | - $5263,391.856$ | -5182,457 | \$919,071 | . 5966,155 | - $51,748,585$ | -51,743,515 | -55,46,336 | - 5354,462 | -5954,069 | - $51,221,120$ | -54,941,965 | -5344,878 |
| Total Rate Pase |  | 5902,967,733 | \$712,600 | \$2,005,901 | \$4,26, 114 | \$7,755,356 | 57,689,621 | $524,028,204$ | 51,435,759 | 53,773,936 | \$9,841,738 | \$19,510,117 | \$2,681,658 |


| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Allos Factor | Total | Residential RS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS-Small } \\ \text { GSS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Generator } \\ \text { SGS } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kansas Gas } \\ & \text { Supply } \\ & \text { KGSsD } \end{aligned}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { STk } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { STt } \end{gathered}$ |
| Production \& Gathering: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Maps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Lines Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Station Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Sta. Fuel \& Pwr. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Meas. \& Regul. Station Exp |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Line Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip. Maint. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Processed By Others |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Production \& Gathering |  | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas processed by others | 21 | \$181,122 | \$141,727 | \$12,752 | \$21,034 | \$4,770 | \$33 | \$491 | \$105 | \$210 |  | \$0 | so |
| Purchased Gas Expenses | 21 | \$1,260,012 | \$985,947 | \$88,712 | \$146,329 | \$33,185 | \$232 | \$3,413 | \$731 | \$1,462 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gas Delivery Processing Credit |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Used for Compressor Sta. Fuel | 21 | -\$248,553 | -\$194,490 | -\$17,500 | -\$28,865 | -\$6,546 | -\$46 | -\$673 | -\$144 | -\$288 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gas Used for Production Ext | 21 | -\$181,122 | -\$141,727 | -\$12,752 | -\$21,034 | -\$4,770 | -\$33 | -\$491 | -\$105 | -\$210 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gas Used for Other Utility Ops | 21 | -\$10,195 | - $\mathbf{7 , 9 7 7}$ | -5718 | -\$1.184 | -\$269 | -\$2 | -\$28 | -\$6 | -\$12 |  | \$0 | $\$ 0$ |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses | 21 | \$1,258,778 | \$984,982 | \$88,626 | \$146,186 | \$33,153 | \$231 | \$3,410 | \$730 | \$1.460 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Of Purch. Gas Meas. Sta. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Other Gas Supply Expenses |  | \$2,260,043 | \$1,768,462 | \$159,121 | \$262,466 | \$59,524 | \$416 | \$6,122 | \$1,311 | \$2,622 |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Underground Storage: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lines Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor 5tation Fuel \& Power | 16 | \$85,509 | \$67,004 | \$6,887 | \$9,586 | \$1,823 | \$14 | \$17 | \$61 | \$117 |  | so | \$0 |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exploration \& Development |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Losses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses | 16 | \$253 | \$198 | \$20 | \$28 | \$5 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 |  | so | \$0 |
| Storage Well Royalties |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rents |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs \& Wells Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Underground Storage Expense |  | \$85,762 | \$67,202 | \$6,908 | \$9,615 | \$1,828 | \$14 | \$17 | \$61 | \$117 |  | \$0 | so |

KANSAS GAS SERVIIEE COMPANY
curb Class Cost of Serice Stud

|  | Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alloc } \\ & \text { factor } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \substack{\text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation Transport GIT |  | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTk-T1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \text { LVTk }- \text { T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVk-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Large Vol Transport-T4 LVTk-T4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T_{1} \\ t \mathrm{VTt}-\mathrm{TI}^{2} \end{gathered}$ |  | Large Vol Transport. T2 LVTt.T2 |  | Large Vol Transport - T3 LVTt. 3 T |  | Large Vol Transport $-T 4$ IVTt $-T 4$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| $\bar{P}$ Production \& Gathering: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Maps \& Records |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Lines Expenses |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Station Expense |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Sta. Fuel \& Pwr. |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Meas. \& Regul. Station Exp |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and lmprovements |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Line Maintenance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip. Maint. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Processed By others |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Production \& Gathering |  | \$0 | so | 50 |  | 50 |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | 50 |  | 50 |  | 50 | so | 50 |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas processed by others | 21 | \$181,122 | so | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | \$0 |  | so | s0 | so |
| Purchased Gas Expenses | 21 | \$1,260,012 | so | so |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | so | so | so |
| Gas Delivery Processing Credir |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Used for Compressor Sta. Fuel | 21 | - $\$ 288,553$ | so | so |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | so | so | s0 |
| Gas Used for Production Ext | 21 | - 5181,122 | \$0 | so |  | 50 |  | \$0 |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | so | so | so |
| Gas Used for Other Utitity Ops | 21 | - 510,195 | so | so |  | so |  | 50 |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | 30 |  | \$0 | 90 | ${ }^{0}$ |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses | 21 | \$1,258,778 | so | so |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | \$0 |  | so |  | so |  | so | so | 30 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Of Purch. Gas Meas. Sta. |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totai Other Gas Suply Expenses |  | \$2,260,043 | so | so |  | 50 |  | so |  | \$0 |  | \$0 |  | 50 |  | so |  | 50 | so | 50 |
| Underground Storage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op, sup., \& Eng. |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells Expense |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lines Expense |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Expense |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Fuel \& Power | 16 | 585,509 | 30 | so |  | 80 |  | \$0 |  | \$0 |  | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ |  | so |  | \$0 |  | \$0 | so | so |
| Meas. \& Regul Station Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exploration \& Development |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Losses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses | 16 | \$253 | so | so |  | so |  | so |  | so |  | ${ }^{1}$ |  | 80 |  | so |  | so | so | so |
| Storage Well Royalties |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and improvements |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs \& Wells Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas, \& Fegut Station Equip Maint |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Mtintenance Other Equirment Maintenance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Other Equipment Maintenance }}{\text { Total U Underground Storage Expense }}$ |  | \$885,762 | so | S0 |  |  |  | So |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |

CURB Class Cost of Service Study
Expenses

| Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc <br> Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | $\qquad$ | Irrigation Sales GIS | Kansas Gas Supply KGSSD | Sales for Resale SSRK | Small Transport STk |
| Transmission: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation supervision and engineering | 123 | \$277,983 | \$192,583 | \$18,488 | \$28,098 | \$5,897 | \$0 | \$376 | \$157 | \$0 | \$0 |
| System control and load dispatching | 25 | \$1,777,052 | \$1,191,283 | \$107,188 | \$176,804 | \$40,097 | \$0 | \$4,124 | \$883 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Communication system expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor station labor and expense | 148 | \$744,030 | \$521,513 | \$51,158 | \$75,635 | \$15,419 | \$0 | \$744 | \$440 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gas for compressor station fuel | 25 | \$163,044 | \$109,300 | \$9,834 | \$16,222 | \$3,679 | \$0 | \$378 | \$81 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other fuel and power for compressor station | 25 | \$11,549 | \$7,742 | \$697 | \$1,149 | \$261 | \$0 | \$27 | \$6 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mains expenses | 148 | \$3,724,261 | \$2,610,445 | \$256,072 | \$378,593 | \$77,182 | \$0 | \$3,722 | \$2,204 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Measuring and regulating station expenses | 148 | \$770,613 | \$540,146 | \$52,986 | \$78,337 | \$15,970 | \$0 | \$770 | \$456 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transmission and compression of gas by others |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expenses | 148 | \$131,113 | \$91,901 | \$9,015 | \$13,328 | \$2,717 | \$0 | \$131 | \$78 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Rents | 148 | \$2,078 | \$1,457 | \$143 | \$211 | \$43 | \$0 | \$2 | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. | 127 | \$131,957 | \$92,492 | \$9,073 | \$13.414 | \$2,735 | \$0 | \$132 | \$78 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Structures and Improvements | 148 | \$11,674 | \$8,183 | \$803 | \$1,187 | \$242 | \$0 | \$12 | \$7 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mains | 148 | \$587,936 | \$412,102 | \$40,425 | \$59,767 | \$12,184 | \$0 | \$588 | \$348 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint | 148 | \$396,577 | \$277,973 | \$27,268 | \$40,314 | \$8,219 | \$0 | \$396 | \$235 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint | 148 | \$511,387 | \$358,446 | \$35,162 | \$51,986 | \$10,598 | \$0 | \$511 | \$303 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Communication Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Transmission Expense |  | \$9,241,255 | \$6,415,566 | \$618,311 | \$935,047 | \$195,244 | \$0 | \$11,912 | \$5,276 | \$0 | 50 |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision \& Eng. | 131 | \$2,563,035 | \$2,024,637 | \$182,901 | \$130,746 | \$18,308 | \$2,304 | \$1,046 | \$8 | \$127 | \$76,800 |
| Load Dispatching | 24 | \$79,035 | \$42,472 | \$3,822 | \$6,304 | \$1,430 | \$10 | \$147 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,741 |
| Mains \& Services Expense | 144 | \$13,495,225 | \$9,775,108 | \$779,391 | \$771,062 | \$140,706 | \$6,868 | \$8,492 | \$20 | \$226 | \$553,032 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$2,198,522 | \$1,367,061 | \$140,519 | \$195,590 | \$37,185 | \$292 | \$340 | \$0 | \$0 | \$137,007 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen GSS |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Ind | 54 | \$546,270 | \$0 | \$408,145 | \$ 131,855 | \$6,271 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | So |
| Meas. \& Reg 5 tation Expense - City Gate | 140 | \$499,895 | \$293,407 | \$28,737 | \$42,571 | \$8,697 | \$65 | \$429 | \$0 | so | \$33,285 |
| Meter \& House Regulator Expense | 42 | \$10,656,581 | \$8,623,809 | \$665,922 | \$616,527 | \$83,037 | \$14,373 | \$3,858 | \$91 | \$1,518 | \$407,258 |
| Customer Installations Expense |  | \$8,255,210 | \$7,530,794 | \$478,830 | \$154,690 | \$7,357 | \$8,436 | \$2,922 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,720 |
| Other Expenses | 143 | \$4,687,971 | \$3,453,065 | \$274,218 | \$271,867 | \$46,913 | \$2,931 | \$2,824 | \$10 | \$152 | \$189,251 |
| Rents | 143 | \$617,379 | \$454,749 | \$36,113 | \$35,803 | \$6,178 | \$386 | \$372 | \$1 | \$20 | \$24,923 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision \& Eng. | 135 | \$497,498 | \$327,432 | \$34,252 | \$36,855 | \$6,733 | \$211 | \$331 | \$1 | \$12 | \$26,126 |
| Structure \& improv. | 140 | \$352,780 | \$207,060 | \$20,280 | \$30,043 | \$6,138 | \$46 | \$303 | \$0 | \$0 | \$23,489 |
| Mains | 142 | \$11,618,985 | \$6,819,606 | \$667,937 | \$989,480 | \$202,154 | \$1,512 | \$9,983 | \$0 | \$0 | \$773,629 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$902,790 | \$561,363 | \$57,702 | \$80,316 | \$15,270 | \$120 | \$140 | \$0 | \$0 | \$56,260 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Ind | 54 | \$282,529 | \$0 | \$211,091 | \$68,195 | \$3,243 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - City Gate | 140 | \$377,563 | \$221,606 | \$21,705 | \$32,154 | \$6,569 | \$49 | \$324 | \$0 | \$0 | \$25,139 |
| Services | 34 | \$2,881,602 | \$2,617,773 | \$167,450 | \$56,437 | \$3,124 | \$2,929 | \$926 | \$10 | \$113 | \$19,231 |
| Meters \& House Regulators | 42 | \$2,497,172 | \$2,020,829 | \$156,046 | \$144,472 | \$19,458 | \$3,368 | \$904 | \$21 | \$356 | \$95,433 |
| Maintenance of Other Equipment | 140 | \$3,092 | \$1,815 | \$178 | \$263 | \$54 | \$0 | \$3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$206 |
| Total Distribution |  | \$63,013,135 | \$46,342,586 | \$4,335,239 | \$3,795,229 | \$618,824 | \$43,901 | \$33,343 | \$162 | \$2,523 | \$2,490,530 |
| Customer Accounts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision | 3 | \$627,931 | \$572,796 | \$36,420 | \$11,766 | \$560 | \$642 | \$222 | \$1 | \$7 | \$3,325 |
| Meter Reading Expenses | 3 | \$5,402,610 | \$4,928,244 | \$313,352 | \$101,231 | \$4,814 | \$5,520 | \$1,912 | \$9 | \$60 | \$28,611 |
| Customer Records and Collection Exp. | 3 | \$15,982,562 | \$14,579,244 | \$926,992 | \$299,473 | \$14,242 | \$16,331 | \$5,656 | \$25 | \$176 | \$84,640 |
| Uncollectible Accounts | 3 | \$3,505,165 | \$3,197,401 | \$203,300 | \$65,678 | \$3,123 | \$3,582 | \$1,240 | \$6 | \$39 | \$18,562 |
| Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Exp. | 3 | \$1,302,953 | \$1,188,549 | \$75,572 | \$24,414 | \$1,161 | \$1,331 | \$461 | \$2 | \$14. | \$6,900 |
| Total Customer Accounts |  | \$26,821,221 | \$24,466,236 | \$1,555,636 | \$502,562 | \$23,900 | \$27,406 | \$9,492 | \$42 | \$296 | \$142,038 |


|  | kansas gas service company CURB Class Cost of Service study Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | Irrlgation Transport GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 1 \\ \text { LVTk-T1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport- } 12 \\ & \text { LVTk-T2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVTk-T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T4 } \\ \text { IVTk-T4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport - T1 } \\ & \text { LVTt-T1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport }-T_{2} \\ & \text { LvTt-Tt } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVTt-T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Trangport }- \text { T4 } \\ \text { LVTI } \cdot T 4 \end{gathered}$ | Whalesale <br> Transport WTt |
| $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Transmissian: } \\ \text { Operation }}]{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation supervision and engineering | 123 | \$277,983 | ${ }^{5466}$ | \$2.104 | so | so | so | so | \$820 | \$2,204 | \$2,963 | \$12,555 | \$4.201 |
| System control and load dispatching | 25 | \$1,777,052 | 54, 179 | \$23,900 | so | ${ }^{80}$ | so | 50 | \$6, 220 | \$15,785 | \$22.836 | \$105.331 | \$28,940 |
| Commuaication system expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor station labor and expense | 148 | 5744,030 | \$1,065 | \$4,041 | \$0 | s0 | so | so | \$2,046 | 85,641 | 57,338 | \$29.826 | \$10,926 |
| Gas for compressor station fuel | 25 | \$163,044 | \$383 | \$2,193 | so | so | so | \$0 | 8571 | \$1,448 | \$2,095 | \$9,664 | \$2,655 |
| Other fuel and power for compressor station | 25 | \$11,549 | \$27 | \$155 | so | so | so | 50 | \$40 | \$103 | \$148 | 5685 | \$188 |
| Mains expenses | 148 | \$3,724,261 | 85,333 | \$20.226 | s0 | ${ }^{50}$ | so | \$0 | \$10.241 | \$28,236 | 836.731 | \$149,297 | \$54,693 |
| Measuring and regulating station expenses | 148 | \$770,613 | 81,103 | \$4,185 | 50 | \$0 | so | s0 | \$2,119 | \$5,843 | 87,600 | \$30,892 | \$11,317 |
| Transmission and compression of gas hy others |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expenses | 148 | \$131,113 | \$188 | \$712 | ${ }^{50}$ | so | so | \$0 | \$361 | 5994 | \$1,293 | \$5.256 | \$1,925 |
| Rents | 148 | \$2,078 | ${ }^{3}$ | 811 | so | so | so | so | 56 | \$16 | \$20 | ${ }^{583}$ | \$31 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. | 127 | \$131,957 | \$189 | 9717 | so | so | \$0 | 50 | \$363 | \$1.000 | \$1,301 | \$5,290 | \$1,938 |
| Structures and tmprovements | 148 | \$11,674 | \$17 | 863 | so | \$0 | so | so | 832 | S89 | \$115 | 5468 | $\$ 171$ |
| Mains | 148 | \$587,936 | \$842 | \$3,193 | so | so | so | so | \$1,617 | \$4.458 | 85.799 | \$23.569 | \$8,634 |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint | 148 | \$396,577 | \$568 | \$2,154 | so | so | so | ${ }^{90}$ | \$1,090 | \$3,007 | 83.911 | \$15,896 | \$5,824 |
| Meas. \& Regul Station Equip Maint | 148 | \$511,387 | \$732 | \$2,777 | \$0 | ${ }^{30}$ | so | so | \$1,406 | 83,877 | \$5,044 | \$20.500 | \$7,570 |
| Communication Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oither Equipment Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Transmission Expense |  | 59,241,255 | \$15,095 | \$66,431 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | 50 | \$26,931 | \$72,700 | \$97,195 | \$409,323 | \$138,954 |
| Distribution: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision \& Eng. | 131 | \$2,563,035 | \$765 | ${ }^{3} 3.658$ | Se.583 | \$12,785 | \$11,900 | \$34.484 | \$1.855 | \$4,338 | 35.093 | \$19.038 | \$383 |
| Load Dispatching | 24 | 579,035 | \$149 | \$852 | 9883 | \$1,670 | 51834 | \$6,603 | \$222 | 8563 | 8814 | \$3.755 | so |
| Mains \& Sevices Expense | 144 | \$13,495,225 | 59.465 | \$40,267 | \$75,599 | \$147,475 | \$148,456 | \$470,315 | \$18.569 | \$49.784 | \$64,583 | \$262,668 | \$394 |
| Meas. \& Reg station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$2,198.522 | \$1.635 | \$858 | \$17.721 | \$37,963 | \$35,586 | \$98,244 | \$4,337 | \$12,863 | \$15.205 | \$53,502 | so |
| Meas, \& Reg Station Expense - Gen Gss |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Ind | 54 | \$546,270 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - City Gate | 140 | 5499,895 | \$607 | \$2,341 | 54.673 | 59.430 | 89.540 | 530,364 | \$1,158 | \$3,187 | \$4, 156 | \$16.952 | \$0 |
| Meter \& House Regulator Expense | 42 | \$10,656,581 | \$1.604 | \$11.250 | \$36,535 | \$19,682 | \$11,251 | \$14,167 | \$5.903 | \$6.880 | \$4,2+3 | 56,828 | \$4,740 |
| Customer Installations Expense | 4 | 58,25, 210 | \$45 | \$6,773 | \$2,449 | \$1.436 | \$325 | 8794 | \$440 | \$480 | \$308 | \$381 | so |
| Other Expenses | 143 | \$4,687,971 | \$2,872 | \$12,902 | \$24,627 | \$44,903 | \$44,578 | \$139,360 | \$5,858 | \$15,183 | \$19,347 | \$77,721 | \$425 |
| Rents | 143 | \$617,379 | \$378 | \$1.699 | \$3,243 | \$5,913 | 95.871 | \$18,353 | 8771 | \$2,000 | \$2,548 | \$10,235 | \$56 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision \& Eng. | 135 | \$497,498 | \$423 | \$1,653 | \$3,497 | \$6.682 | \$6,666 | \$20,893 | 8845 | \$2.259 | \$2,896 | \$11,644 | \$34 |
| Structure \& Iriprov. | 140 | \$352,780 | \$429 | \$1.652 | \$3,297 | \$6,655 | \$8,732 | \$21,428 | 8817 | \$2.249 | \$2,933 | \$11,963 | so |
| Mains | 142 | \$11,618,985 | \$14.118 | 554.406 | \$108,605 | \$219,180 | \$221,726 | \$705.736 | \$26.918 | 874.075 | \$96.605 | \$394.001 | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$902,790 | \$671 | \$352 | \$7,277 | \$15.589 | \$14,613 | \$40,342 | \$1.781 | \$5,282 | \$6,244 | \$21,970 | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Ind | 54 | \$282,529 | so | so | so | \$0 | so | so | so | 50 | so | so | 80 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense-City Gate | 140 | \$377.563 | \$459 | \$1,768 | \$3,529 | 57,122 | \$7,205 | \$22,933 | \$875 | \$2,407 | 53,139 | \$12.803 | so |
| Services | 34 | \$2,881,602 | 538 | \$2,039 | \$1,682 | \$848 | 3493 | \$464 | \$332 | \$258 | \$165 | \$308 | $\$ 197$ |
| Meters \& House Regulators | 42 | \$2,497,172 | \$376 | \$2,639 | 88,561 | \$4.612 | \$2,637 | \$3,320 | \$1,383 | \$1,612 | \$987 | \$1.600 | 51,111 |
| Maintenance of Other Equipment | 140 | \$3,092 | 54 | \$14 | \$29 | 558 | \$59 | \$188 | ${ }_{57}$ | 520 | 326 | 5105 | 90 |
| Total Distribution |  | 563,013,135 | 534,038 | \$145,133 | \$310,791 | \$542,004 | \$529,971 | \$1,627,989 | 572,072 | \$183,440 | \$229,262 | \$905,475 | 57,341 |
| Customer Accounts: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision | 3 | 5627,931 | ¢ 3 | \$515 | \$186 | \$109 | \$63 | s60 | \$33 | \$36 | \$23 | \$29 | \$27 |
| Meter Reading Expenses | 3 | \$5,402,610 | 830 | \$4,432 | \$1,603 | \$940 | \$540 | \$520 | 5288 | \$314 | \$202 | \$249 | \$231 |
| Customer Records and Collection Exp. | 3 | \$15,982,562 | \$88 | \$13.112 | \$4,742 | \$2,781 | \$1,597 | \$1,538 | \$852 | ¢929 | \$596 | 5737 | 5694 |
| Uncollectible Accounts | 3 | \$3,505,165 | \$19 | \$2,876 | \$1,040 | 5610 | \$350 | 5337 | \$187 | \$204 | \$131 | $\$ 162$ | \$150 |
| Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Exp. | 3 | \$1,302,953 | 87 | \$1.069 | 5387 | \$227 | \$130 | \$125 | \$69 | 576 | \$49 | \$60 | \$56 |
| Total Customer Accounts |  | \$26,821,221 | 5147 | \$22,003 | \$7,957 | \$4,667 | \$2,680 | \$2,581 | \$1,430 | \$1,558 | \$1,001 | \$1,236 | \$1,147 |

kansas gas service company
CURB Class Cost of Service Study

|  |  |  |  | CURB Class C | st of Service S penses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc <br> Factor | Total | Residential <br> RS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { G5 - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | Small Generator SGS SGS | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas Gas } \\ \text { Supply } \\ \text { KGSSD } \end{gathered}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { STk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { STt } \end{gathered}$ STt |
| Customer Service and Information: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision | 4 | \$284 | \$259 | \$16 | S5 | \$0 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$2 | \$0 |
| Customer Assistance Expenses | 4 | \$264,017 | \$240,849 | \$15,314 | \$4.947 | \$235 | \$270 | $\$ 93$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,398 | \$465 |
| Information and Instructional Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Misc. Customer 5ervice and Information |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Customer Service and Information |  | \$264,301 | \$241,108 | \$15,330 | \$4,953 | \$236 | \$270 | \$94 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,400 | \$465 |
| Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstration \& 5elling Expenses | 3 | \$976,934 | \$891,156 | \$56,662 | \$18,305 | \$871 | \$998 | \$346 | \$2 | \$11 | \$5,174 | \$1,719 |
| Advertising Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Sales Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sales |  | \$976,934 | \$891,156 | \$56,662 | \$18,305 | \$871 | \$998 | \$346 | \$2 | \$11 | \$5,174 | \$1,719 |
| Administrative \& General: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 147 | \$17,058,890 | \$13,333,479 | \$1,168,852 | \$933,578 | \$147,873 | \$12,409 | \$10,480 | \$1,420 | 5892 | \$434,354 | \$174,788 |
| Office Supplies and Expenses | 147 | \$4,010,180 | \$3,134,416 | \$274,772 | \$219,464 | \$34,762 | \$2,917 | \$2,464 | \$334 | \$210 | \$102,107 | \$41,089 |
| Administrative Expense Transfer | 147 | -\$2,162,213 | -\$1,690,018 | -\$148,152 | -\$118,331 | -\$18,743 | -\$1,573 | -\$1,328 | -\$180 | -\$113 | -\$55,054 | -\$22,154 |
| Outside Services Employed | 147 | \$792,982 | \$619,806 | \$54,334 | \$43,397 | \$6,874 | \$577 | \$487 | \$66 | \$41 | \$20,191 | \$8,125 |
| Property Insurance | 107 | \$646,379 | \$472,610 | \$38,950 | \$42,086 | \$7,595 | \$339 | \$432 | \$64 | \$18 | \$21,749 | \$9,370 |
| Injuries and Damages | 147 | \$35,120 | \$27,451 | \$2,406 | \$1,922 | \$304 | \$26 | \$22 | \$3 | \$2 | \$894 | \$360 |
| Pensions \& Benefits | 147 | \$22,400,975 | \$17,508,931 | \$1,534,885 | \$1,225,934 | \$194, 181 | \$16,294 | \$13,762 | \$1,865 | \$1,171 | \$570,375 | \$229,524 |
| Franchise Requirements | 107 | \$5,429 | \$3,970 | \$327 | \$354 | \$64 | \$3 | \$4 | \$1 | \$0 | \$183 | \$79 |
| Regulatory Expense | 119 | \$754,080 | \$589,030 | \$49,560 | \$40,606 | \$6,614 | \$536 | \$450 | \$50 | \$41 | \$19,385 | \$7,683 |
| Duplicate Charges - Credit | 147 | -\$28,953,698 | -\$22,630,635 | -\$1,983,869 | - \$1.584,543 | -\$250,982 | -\$21,061 | -\$17.787 | -\$2.411 | -\$1,513 | - $\mathbf{} 737,220$ | -\$296,665 |
| General Advertising Expenses | 119 | \$58,202 | \$45,463 | \$3,825 | \$3,134 | \$510 | \$41 | \$35 | \$4 | \$3 | \$1,496 | \$593 |
| Miscellaneous General Expenses | 119 | \$30,685,684 | \$23,969,341 | \$2,016,728 | \$1,652,362 | \$269,135 | \$21,821 | \$18,330 | \$2,048 | \$1,665 | \$788,835 | \$312,630 |
| Rents | 23 | \$991,040 | \$525,089 | \$47,246 | \$77,931 | \$17,674 | \$123 | \$1,818 | \$389 | \$778 | \$70,981 | \$21,811 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance of Genera! Plant | 85 | \$711,541 | \$524,880 | \$43,634 | \$45,376 | \$8,078 | \$391 | \$470 | \$69 | \$22 | \$23,191 | \$9,925 |
| Total A\&G |  | \$47,034,592 | \$36,433,813 | \$3,103,499 | \$2,583,271 | \$423,939 | \$32,844 | \$29,637 | \$3,723 | \$3,216 | \$1,261,466 | \$497,158 |
| Other Utility Plant Related O\&M |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL O8M EXPENSE |  | \$149,697,241 | \$116,626,129 | \$9,850,706 | \$8,111,448 | \$1,324,364 | \$105,849 | \$90,962 | \$10,577 | \$8,786 | \$3,900,607 | \$1,543,100 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible Plant | 115 | \$1,592 | \$1,163 | \$96 | \$104 | \$19 | \$1 | \$1 | so | 50 | \$54 | \$23 |
| Production Plant | 139 | \$11,060 | \$8,661 | \$844 | \$1,258 | \$259 | \$2 | \$14 | \$7 | \$14 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Storage Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights-of-way |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and imp. - compressor stations |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and imp. - meas. \& reg. stations |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mains |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor station equipment |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measuring and regulating station equip. | 148 | \$5,245,101 | \$3,676,448 | \$360,642 | \$533,196 | \$108,700 | \$0 | \$5,242 | \$3-104 | \$0 | so | \$128,564 |
| Total Transmission Plant |  | \$5,245,101 | \$3,676,448 | \$360,642 | \$533,196 | \$108,700 | \$0 | \$5,242 | \$3,104 | 50 | \$0 | \$128,564 |


|  | KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY CURB Class Cost of Service Study Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alloc } \\ & \text { factor } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation Transport GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tl}_{1} \\ \text { LVTk }-\mathrm{Tl}_{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Voi } \\ \text { Transport- T2 } \\ \text { LVTh }- \text { T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { IVTK-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{TA} \\ \text { LVTk-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tl} \\ \mathrm{VVT}-\mathrm{Tl} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \text { LVTt-T2 } \\ \hline \text { LT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport. T3 } \\ \text { LVTt-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 4 \\ \text { LVTI }-T 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| Customer Service and Information: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision | 4 | \$284 | so | \$0 | 50 | so | s0 | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Customer Assistance Expenses | 4 | \$264,017 | \$1 | \$217 | \$78 | \$46 | \$26 | \$25 | \$14 | \$15 | \$10 | 312 | so |
| Information and Instructional Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Misc. Customer Service and Information |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Customer Service and Information |  | 5264,301 | \$1 | \$217 | \$78 | \$46 | \$26 | \$25 | \$14 | \$15 | \$10 | \$12 | so |
| Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oneration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervisiun |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstration \& Selling Expenses | 3 | \$976,934 | 55 | \$801 | \$290 | \$170 | \$98 | \$94 | \$52 | ${ }^{557}$ | ${ }^{836}$ | \$45 | \$42 |
| Advertising Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Sales Expenses |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sales |  | 5976,934 | \$5 | 5801 | \$290 | \$170 | 598 | \$94 | 552 | 557 | \$36 | 545 | ${ }^{542}$ |
| Administrative \& General: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 147 | \$17,058,890 | 56,685 | \$40,915 | \$51,381 | \$85,550 | \$82,427 | \$248, 804 | \$15,490 | \$39,877 | 848.407 | \$194,208 | \$27.026 |
| Office Supples and Expenses | 147 | \$4,010,180 | 51,572 | \$9.618 | \$12,079 | \$20,111 | \$19,377 | \$58.489 | \$3,641 | \$9,374 | \$11,378 | 845,654 | 86,353 |
| Administrative Expense Transfer | 147 | \$2,162,213 | \$847 | -55,185 | -56.513 | - 810.843 | - $\$ 10,448$ | -531,536 | \$1,963 | -55,054 | -56,135 | - 224.616 | -53,426 |
| Outside Services Employed | 147 | 5792,982 | \$311 | \$1,902 | 52.388 | \$3,977 | \$3,832 | \$11,566 | \$720 | \$1.854 | \$2.250 | \$9,028 | \$1,256 |
| Property Insurance | 107 | \$646,379 | 5481 | 52.058 | 52.828 | \$5.155 | 55.117 | \$15,993 | 5963 | \$2,545 | \$3,263 | \$13.159 | \$1,604 |
| Injuries and Damages | 147 | \$35,120 | \$14 | 584 | \$106 | \$176 | \$170 | 5512 | \$32 | \$82 | \$100 | \$400 | \$56 |
| Pensions \& Benefits | 147 | \$22,400,975 | \$8,779 | \$53.728 | \$67.471 | \$112.341 | \$108,239 | 5326.719 | \$20,341 | \$52,365 | \$63,558 | \$255.025 | \$35.489 |
| Franchise Requirements | 107 | \$5,429 | \$4 | \$17 | \$24 | ${ }^{34}$ | 543 | \$194 | s8 | $\$ 21$ | \$27 | 5111 | \$13 |
| Regulatory Expense | 119 | 5754,080 | \$362 | \$1,723 | \$2,344 | 54.017 | \$3,913 | \$11,978 | 8738 | \$1,893 | \$2.406 | 59.667 | \$1,083 |
| Duplicate Charges - Credit | 147 | - $28,953,698$ | - $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 3 4 7}$ | -569.444 | -587,208 | \$145.202 | -\$139,901 | - 9422.290 | -526.291 | -567,682 | -882,150 | - 5399.625 | -\$45,870 |
| General Advertising Expenses | 119 | \$58,202 | 328 | \$133 | \$181 | \$310 | \$302 | 5924 | 857 | \$146 | \$196 | \$746 | \$84 |
| Miscellaneous General Expenses | 119 | \$30,685,684 | \$14,732 | 570,117 | \$95,383 | \$163.463 | \$159,245 | \$487,410 | 530.039 | \$77,047 | \$97,891 | \$393,377 | \$44,083 |
| Rents | 23 | \$991,040 | \$1,842 | \$10.535 | \$10,920 | \$20.649 | \$22,668 | \$81,638 | \$2,741 | \$6,958 | \$10.066 | \$46,427 | \$12.758 |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance of General Plant | 85 | \$711,541 | 5497 | 52,99 | \$2,999 | \$5.403 | \$5,350 | \$16,674 | \$1,007 | \$2,655 | \$3,390 | \$13,663 | \$1,683 |
| Total ARG |  | \$47,034,592 | \$23,112 | 5118,394 | \$154,373 | \$265,149 | \$260,333 | \$807,015 | \$47,524 | \$122,081 | \$154,630 | \$627,224 | \$82,190 |
| Other Utility Plant Related OZM |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL O\&M EXPENSE |  | \$149,697,241 | \$72,399 | ¢352,978 | \$473.490 | 5812,035 | 5793.108 | 52,437,704 | \$148,022 | \$379,852 | \$482,135 | \$1,943,316 | \$229,674 |
| Depreciation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible Plant | 115 | \$1,592 | $\$ 1$ | \$5 | \$7 | \$13 | 813 | \$40 | \$2 | \$6 | ${ }^{88}$ | \$33 | 54 |
| Production Plant | 139 | \$11,060 | so | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | s0 | so | so | so | so |
| Storage Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land and land rights |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights-of-way |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and imp. - compressor stations |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and imp. - meas. \& reg. stations |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mains |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor station equiprnent |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measuring and regulating station equip. | 148 | \$5,245,101 | 87,510 | \$28,485 | so | \$0 | so | so | \$14,422 | \$39,767 | \$51,730 | \$210,263 | 577,027 |
| Total Transmission Plant |  | \$5,245, 101 | 57,510 | 528,485 | \$0 | so | 50 | \$0 | \$14,422 | \$39,767 | \$51,730 | \$210,263 | \$77,027 |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CUR8 Class Cost of Service Study


|  |  |  |  |  |  | kANSAS GAS SERvic CURE Class Cost of S Expenses | COMPANY ervice Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alloc } \\ & \text { Factor } \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Irrigation } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { GIT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large vol } \\ \text { Transport-T1 } \\ \text { LVTk }-T 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport }-\mathrm{T} 2 \\ & \text { LVTK- }-72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 3 \\ \text { IVTK.T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 4 \\ \text { (VTh }-T 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T1 } \\ \text { LVTt-TI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \text { LVIt-T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large vol } \\ & \text { Transport-it } \\ & \text { LvTz-T3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 4 \\ \text { LVTB-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale <br> Transport <br> WTt |
| Distribution: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land\& Land rights |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights of wav |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mains |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mains - Merallic |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M\&R station equipment - general |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M\&R station equipmenc- city gate |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Services-Metalilic |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meters |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meter installations |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House regulators |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Property on Custmer Premises |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Othere equipment | 143 | \$36,160,806 | \$22,153 | \$99,519 | \$189,958 | \$346,380 | \$343,857 | 51,074,960 | \$45,187 | \$177,118 | \$148,234 | 5599,505 | \$3,280 |
| Total Oistribution Plant |  | \$36,150,806 | \$22,153 | \$99,519 | \$189,958 | \$346,360 | \$343,857 | \$1,074,960 | \$45,187 | \$117,118 | 5149,234 | \$599,505 | \$3,280 |
| General Plant: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land \& Land rights |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leasehold Improvemerts (1) |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office furniture and equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computers and other eectronic equipment |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stores equipment |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tools, shop and graage equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| taboratory equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Power operated equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communications equipment |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous equipment | 85 | \$2,946,470 | \$2,056 | 59,084 | \$12,376 | \$22,374 | \$22,153 | \$69,046 | 54,171 | \$10.894 | \$44.036 | \$56,577 | 56,970 |
| Total General Plant |  | \$2,946,470 | 52,058 | \$9,094 | \$12,376 | \$22,374 | \$22,153 | \$69,046 | \$4,171 | \$10,994 | \$14,036 | \$56,577 | 56,970 |
| TOTAL DEPRECLATION EXPEASE |  | \$44,365,028 | \$31,723 | \$137,094 | \$202,341 | \$368,746 | \$366,023 | \$1,144,046 | \$63,783 | \$167,885 | \$215,009 | \$86, 378 | \$87,282 |
| Amortization Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intangibe Plant Distribution Plant | 115 | \$23,498 \$0 | \$18 | \$75 | \$103 | \$188 | \$187 | 5583 | \$35 | 593 | \$119 | \$480 | \$58 |
| Distribution Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acquistion Premium |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regulatory Debit | 115 | \$267,949 | \$200 | -8855 | \$1.176 | \$2,143 | -52,128 | -s6.852 | - 501 | - 51.059 | \$1,358 | -55,475 | -5667 |
| Corporate Allocated | 115 | \$4,889,353 | 53,652 | \$15.601 | 521,451 | \$39,112 | \$38,829 | \$121,388 | 57.312 | \$19,316 | \$24,775 | \$99,003 | \$12,168 |
| Total Amortization Expense |  | \$4, 544,902 | 53,469 | \$14,821 | 520,378 | 537,156 | \$36,888 | \$115,319 | \$6,946 | \$18,350 | \$23,537 | 594,908 | \$11,560 |
| TOTAL OEP. ANO AMORT. EXPENSE |  | \$49,009,931 | \$35,192 | \$151,915 | \$222,719 | 5405,903 | \$402,911 | \$1,259,364 | 570,729 | \$186,235 | \$238,545 | \$961,286 | \$98,842 |
| Taxes Other Than Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll | 146 | \$3,842,656 | \$1,506 | 89,216 | \$11,574 | \$19,271 | \$59,587 | \$56,045 | 53,489 | 58.983 | \$10,903 | \$43,747 | 56,088 |
| Real Estate and Persomal Property | 107 | 520,954,008 | \$15,589 | \$56.723 | \$91,892 | \$167,105 | \$165,872 | 8518,456 | \$31.234 | \$82,.504 | \$105,793 | \$426.586 | \$51,993 |
| Other | 107 | \$218,847 | \$163 | 5887 | \$958 | 51.745 | 81,732 | \$5,415 | \$328 | \$862 | \$1,105 | 54,455 | 5543 |
| Total Taxes, Other |  | \$25,035,511 | \$17,258 | 576,637 | S104,224 | \$188,121 | \$186,172 | \$579,916 | \$35,049 | \$92,348 | \$117,800 | \$474,788 | \$58,624 |
| Adjustments to Pre-Tax incorne: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Long-Term Debt | 101 | \$18,707,717 | \$14,480 | 560.919 | \$83,130 | \$150,962 | \$149,845 | \$468,613 | \$28,403 | \$74,958 | \$86,131 | 5387,763 | \$47,888 |
| Other | 146 | \$154,716 | S61 | -5371 | -5466 | -5776 | -5748 | - $\mathbf{5 2} 2.257$ | \$ 140 | \$362 | -8439 | -\$1,781 | -5245 |
| Total Adjustments to Pre-Tax Income |  | \$18,553.001 | \$14,119 | \$60,547 | \$82,664 | \$150,186 | \$149,098 | 5466,357 | 528,262 | \$74,597 | \$95,692 | \$386,001 | \$47,743 |
| State Income Taxes (Current): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable Income |  | \$45,655,729 | -\$8,086 | \$1,099,856 | \$451,605 | \$357,541 | \$400,738 | \$1,612,054 | \$154,639 | \$273,590 | \$341,813 | \$1,641,015 | \$956,211 |
| State income Taxes |  | \$505,673 | - $\$ 90$ | \$12,182 | \$5,002 | \$3,960 | \$4,438 | \$17,855 | \$1,713 | \$2,476 | \$3,786 | \$18,176 | \$10,591 |
| Federal licome Taxes (Current): |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable income |  | \$45,156,056 | -57,996 | \$3,087,674 | \$446,603 | \$353,581 | \$396,300 | \$1,594,200 | \$152,927 | \$221,113 | \$338,027 | \$1,622,840 | \$945,620 |
| Federal Income Taxes |  | \$2,351,377 | -5416 | \$56,645 | \$23,259 | \$18,414 | \$20,639 | 583,025 | \$7,964 | \$11,515 | \$17,604 | \$84,516 | \$49,247 |
| Total Current Income Taxes |  | \$2,857,050 | - 5066 | \$68,827 | \$28,261 | \$22,374 | \$25,077 | \$100,879 | \$9,677 | 513,992 | \$21,390 | 5102,692 | \$59,838 |
| Adjustments to Atter-Tax income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization of ITC | 115 | -5201,364 | - $\$ 150$ | -643 | -8884 | -\$1,611 | -\$1.599 | *5,000 | -5301 | -5798 | - \$1,020 | -54.115 | -5501 |
| Deferred Income Taxes (state + Federal) |  | \$17,246,330 | -53,054 | S415,467 | \$170,592 | \$135,080 | \$551,378 | 5608,949 | \$58.415 | \$84,460 | \$129,119 | \$619,899 | \$361.206 |
| Total Adjustments to After-Tax Income |  | \$17,044,946 | - $\$ 3,205$ | \$414,825 | \$169,709 | \$133,449 | \$149.779 | \$603,949 | \$58,113 | \$83,665 | \$128,098 | \$615,774 | \$360,705 |


|  | KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY CURB Class Cost of Service Study Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc Factor | Total | Residential <br> RS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \end{gathered}$ | GS - Large GSL | Transport Eligible GSTE | small Generator sGs | Irrigation Sales GIS | Kansas Gas Supply KGSSD | Sales for Resale SSRk | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { STk } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Transport } \end{gathered}$ STt |
| Production \& Gathering: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Maps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Lines Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor 5tation Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Sta. Fuel \& Pwr. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Meas. \& Regul. 5tation Exp |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Line Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip. Maint. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Processed By Others |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Production \& Gathering |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wellhead Purchases |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Line Purchases |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission Line Purchases |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Gate Purchases |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Purchases |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exchange Gas |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased Gas Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Gas Withdrawal |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Company Used Gas |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses | 21 | \$789,408 | \$617,705 | \$55,579 | \$91,677 | \$20,791 | \$145 | \$2,138 | \$458 | 5916 | \$0 | s0 |
| Total Other Gas Supply Expenses |  | \$789,408 | \$617,705 | \$55,579 | \$91,677 | \$20,791 | \$145 | \$2,138 | \$458 | \$916 | 50 | So |
| Underground Storage: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lines Expense |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Fuel \& Power |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exploration \& Development |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Losses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses | 16 | \$158 | \$124 | \$13 | \$18 | \$3 | so | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so |
| Storage Well Royalties |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rents |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup, \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs \& Wells Maintenance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Underground 5torage Expense |  | \$158 | \$124 | \$13 | \$18 | \$3 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

kANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CURB Class Cost of Service Study

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alloc } \\ & \text { Factor } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation <br> Transport <br> GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tl} \\ \text { LVTk-T1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Labor }}{\frac{\text { Large Vol }}{}} \begin{gathered} \text { Transport }- \text { T2 } \\ \text { LVTk- T2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport•T3 } \\ \text { LVTh-T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport-T4 } \\ & \text { LVTk-T4 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{TI} \\ \text { LVTt-T1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Voi } \\ \text { Taranport-T2 } \\ \text { LVTt }-\mathrm{TI}_{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Larse Vol } \\ \text { Transport }- \text { T3 } \\ \text { LvTt-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport- }-14 \\ \text { LVTt }- \text { T4 } \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production \& Gathering: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation Op. Sup., \& Eng. |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production Vaps \& Records |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Lines Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Station Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Compressor Sta. Fuel \& Pwr. |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Meas. \& Regul. Station Exp |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field L Line Maintenance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip. Maint. |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. 8 R egul. Station Equip Maint |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Mainterance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Processed By Others |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Production \& Gathering |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Supply Experses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wellhead Purchases |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field Line Purchases |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transmission Line Purchases |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City Gate Purchases |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Purchases |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exchange Gas |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchased Gas Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Gas Withdrawal |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Company Used Gas |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Gas Supply Expenses | 21 | \$789,408 | so | so. | ¢0 | $\$ 0$ | 50 |  | so |  | so |  | so. |  | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Totat Other Gas Supply Expenses |  | \$789,408 | so | 50 | 50 | 50 | \$0 |  | so |  | 50 |  | \$0 |  | 50 | so | so |
| Underground Storage: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maps \& Records |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wells Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lines Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Fuel \& Power |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exploration \& Development |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas Losses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses Storage Well Royalties | 16 | 5158 S0 | \$0 | 50 | so | so | so |  | ¢0 |  | so |  | so |  | so | so | so |
| Storage Wel Royalties |  | So |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mairt. Sup, \& Eng. |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Structures and Improvements |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reservoirs \& Wells Mainterance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Line Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Requl. Station Equip Maint |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purification Equipment Maintenance |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Other Equipment Maintenance }}{\text { Total Underground Storage Expense }}$ |  | \$0 5158 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | 50 |  | s0 |  | \$0 |  | \$0 |  | so | 50 | 50 |



KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CURE Class Costof Service Study

|  | Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation <br> Transport <br> GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-Ti } \\ \text { LVTk-T1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{T}_{2} \\ \text { LVTk-T2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport.T3 } \\ \text { LvTk-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-TA } \\ \text { LVTk-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T1 } \\ \text { WTI-T1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Voi } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \mathrm{LVT}-\mathrm{T} 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVTt-I3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport- T4 } \\ \text { LVTt-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Transmisision: } \\ \text { Operation } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Op., Sup., \& Eng. | 10 | \$160,481 | 870 | \$1,790 | so | so | so | so | \$300 | \$885 | S947 | \$9.442 | \$2,376 |
| System Control 8 Load Dispatching | 10 | \$1,076,174 | \$471 | \$12,006 | so | so | so | so | \$2.015 | \$5,932 | \$6,347 | \$29,766 | \$15,930 |
| Communication Systems Expense |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compressor Station Labor Expense | 10 | \$371,665 | \$163 | S4, 146 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$696 | \$2.049 | \$2.192 | \$10,287 | \$5,502 |
| Compressor Station Fuei Gas |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mains Expense | 148 | \$1,412,163 | \$2.022 | \$7.669 | so | so | so | so | \$3,883 | \$40,707 | \$13.927 | \$56,610 | \$20,738 |
| Meas. \& Regul Station Expenses | 10 | \$395,296 | \$173 | \$4,410 | so | 80 | so | so | \$740 | \$2,179 | \$2,331 | \$10,941 | \$5.851 |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Expenses - G55 |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trans. and Comp. of Gas by Others |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Expenses | 10 | \$49,144 | \$21 | 3548 | so | so | so | so | 592 | \$274 | \$290 | \$1,360 | \$727 |
| Rents |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maint. Sup., \& Eng. | 10 | \$84,109 | \$37 | \$938 | so | so | so | so | \$157 | 5464 | \$456 | \$2,328 | \$1.245 |
| Structures and Improvements | 10 | \$4,397 | \$2 | 549 | 80 | so | so | so | s8 | \$24 | \$26 | \$122 | \$65 |
| Mains | 148 | \$253,528 | \$363 | \$1,377 | \$0 | so | so | so | \$697 | \$1,922 | \$2.500 | \$10,163 | ${ }_{83}, 723$ |
| Compressor Station Equip Maint | 10 | \$182,508 | \$80 | \$2,036 | 80 | \$0 | so | ${ }^{\text {so }}$ | \$342 | \$1,006 | \$1,076 | \$5,051 | \$2.702 |
| Meas. \& Regul. Station Equip Maint | 10 | \$255,222 | \$112 | \$2,847 | so | so | so | so | \$478 | \$1,407 | \$1,505 | \$7,064 | \$3,778 |
| Communication Equipment Maintenance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Equipment Maintenance |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Transmission Expense |  | \$4,244,686 | \$3,513 | \$37,817 | 50 | 50 | s0 | so | 59.408 | \$26,844 | \$31,639 | \$138,154 | \$62,637 |
| Distribution: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision a Eng. | 131 | \$1,605,080 | \$479 | \$2,291 | 85,375 | \$8,006 | \$7,452 | \$21,596 | \$1,162 | \$2,717 | ${ }^{53.190}$ | \$11.922 | \$240 |
| Load Dispatching | 19 | \$28,990 | 522 | \$11 | \$234 | 5501 | \$469 | \$1,295 | 357 | \$170 | \$200 | ${ }^{8706}$ | so |
| Mains \& Services Expense | 144 | \$4,105,032 | \$2,879 | \$12,249 | \$22,996 | \$44,859 | \$45,158 | \$143,062 | \$5,648 | \$15.143 | \$19.645 | 579.899 | \$120 |
| Meas. Q Rees Station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$1,131,015 | \$841 | \$441 | 59,116 | \$19,530 | \$18,307 | \$50,541 | \$2,231 | \$6.617 | \$7,822 | \$27. 524 | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen Gss |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Ind | 54 | \$283,651 | so | so | so | so | 30 | so | ${ }^{50}$ | so | so | so | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - City Gate | 140 | \$247,319 | ${ }_{5} 301$ | \$1.158 | \$2,312 | \$4.665 | \$4,720 | \$15.022 | \$573 | \$1,577 | \$2,056 | S8, 387 | so |
| Meter \& House Reguator Expense | 42 | 55,437,829 | 5818 | ${ }^{56} 746$ | \$19,643 | \$10.043 | \$5.741 | 87,229 | 83,012 | \$3,511 | \$2,150 | S3 384 | \$2.419 |
| Customei Installations Expense | 4 | \$4,749,799 | \$26 | 53.897 | \$1,409 | \$826 | \$475 | 5457 | \$253 | \$276 | $\$ 177$ | \$219 | so |
| Other Expenses |  | \$1,008,193 | 8187 | \$747 | \$2,191 | \$4,333 | \$4.024 | \$11.010 | \$521 | \$1,467 | \$1,715 | \$5,996 | so |
| Rents |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mainterance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision \& Eng. | 135 | \$313,794 | \$267 | \$1.043 | \$2,206 | \$4.215 | \$4.203 | \$13,178 | S533 | \$1.425 | \$1,826 | \$7,344 | \$22 |
| Structure \& mprov. | 19 | \$42,881 | \$32 | \$17 | \$346 | \$740 | \$694 | \$1,916 | \$85 | \$251 | 5297 | \$1,044 | so |
| Mains | 142 | \$5,156,632 | \$6.266 | \$24,146 | \$48,200 | \$97.274 | \$98.404 | \$313.213 | \$11,947 | \$32,875 | \$42,874 | \$174,862 | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense - Gen | 19 | \$429.199 | 8319 | \$167 | \$3,459 | \$7,410 | \$6.94E | \$19,177 | \$847 | \$2,511 | \$2,968 | \$10.444 | \$0 |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense-Ind | 54 | \$123,918 | so | so | so | so | sc | so | s0 | so | so | so | so |
| Meas. \& Reg Station Expense. City Gate | 140 | \$194,092 | \$236 | 5909 | \$1.814 | \$3.661 | \$3.704 | \$11.789 | \$450 | \$1.237 | \$1,614 | S6,582 | \$0 |
| Services | 34 | \$1,505,771 | \$20 | \$1.066 | \$879 | \$443 | \$257 | \$242 | \$174 | \$135 | \$86 | \$161 | s103 |
| Meters \& House Regulators | 42 | \$1,322,347 | \$199 | \$1,397 | \$4,534 | \$2,442 | \$1,396 | \$1.758 | \$733 | 8854 | 5523 | \$847 | \$588 |
| Maintenance of Other Equipment |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Distribution |  | \$27,685,493 | \$12,891 | \$55,284 | \$123,714 | \$208,951 | 5201.950 | \$611,487 | \$28,224 | \$70,766 | 587,144 | 5339,421 | 53,491 |
| Customer Accounts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision | 3 | \$386,618 | \$2 | \$317 | \$115 | 567 | \$39 | \$37 | \$21 | \$22 | \$14 | \$18 | 817 |
| Meter Reading Expenses | 3 | \$1,356,331 | \$7 | \$1,113 | \$402 | \$236 | \$136 | \$131 | \$72 | 879 | ${ }^{551}$ | \$63 | 858 |
| Meter Reading Expenses -GSS |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Records and Collection Exp. | 3 | 56,311,493 | 835 | \$5,178 | \$1.873 | \$1.098 | 9631 | \$607 | \$330 | \$367 | $\$ 236$ | \$291 | \$270 |
| Uncollectible Accounts |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missellareous Customer Accounts Exp. | 3 | \$561,827 | s3 | 5461 | 5167 | \$98 | \$56 | 554 | 530 | 833 | \$21 | $\$ 26$ | $\$ 24$ |
| Total Customer Accounts |  | 58,616,269 | \$47 | 57,068 | \$2,556 | \$1,499 | \$861 | 5829 | \$459 | \$501 | \$321 | \$397 | \$369 |


|  | KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY curb class Cost of Service Study Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc <br> Factor | Total | Residential <br> RS | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { Gss } \end{gathered}$ | GS - Large GSL | Transport Eligible GSTE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Small } \\ \text { Generator } \\ \text { SGS } \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation Sales GIS | Kansas Gas Supply KGSSD | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport STK | Small Transport STt |
| Customer Service and Information: Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Assistance Expenses | 4 | \$146,887 | \$133,997 | \$8,520 | \$2,752 | \$131 | \$150 | \$52 | \$0 | \$0 | \$778 | \$259 |
| Inforrnation and Instructional Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Misc. Customer Service and information |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Customer Service and Information |  | \$146,887 | \$133,997 | \$8,520 | \$2,752 | \$131 | \$150 | \$52 | 50 | so | \$778 | \$259 |
| Sales: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supervision |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demonstration \& 5elling Expenses | 3 | \$503,932 | \$459,685.66 | \$29,228 | \$9,442 | \$449 | \$515 | \$178 | \$1 | \$6 | \$2,669 | \$887 |
| Advertising Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Sales Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Sales |  | \$503,932 | \$459,686 | \$29,228 | \$9,442 | \$449 | \$515 | \$178 | \$1 | \$6 | \$2,669 | \$887 |
| Administrative \& General: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries | 147 | \$9,448,845 | \$7,385,356 | \$647,422 | \$517,105 | \$81,906 | \$6,873 | \$5,805 | \$787 | \$494 | \$240,587 | \$96,815 |
| Office Supplies and Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administrative Expense Transfer |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Outside Services Employed |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Insurance |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Injuries and Damages |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pensions \& Benefits |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Franchise Requirements |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regulatory Expense |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duplicate Charges - Credit |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Advertising Expenses |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Generat Expenses |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rents |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance of General Plant |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ARG |  | \$9,448,845 | \$7,385,356 | \$647,422 | \$517,105 | \$81,906 | \$6,873 | \$5,805 | \$787 | \$494 | \$240,587 | \$96,815 |
| Other Utility Plant Related Payroll |  | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 50 | \$0 | So | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | S0 |
| TOTAL O\&M EXPENSES - PAYROLL |  | \$51,435,678 | \$40,202,879 | \$3,524,304 | \$2,814,910 | \$445,865 | \$37,414 | \$31,599 | \$4,283 | \$2,688 | \$1,309,657 | \$527,019 |

kANSAS GAS SERVIICE COMPANY
CURB Class Cost of Service Study


|  | KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY CURB Class Cost of Service Study Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Residential } \\ & \text { RS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \quad \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | $\qquad$ | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Kansas Gas } \\ & \text { Supply } \\ & \text { KGSSD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport STk <br> STK | $\qquad$ |
| Rate Schedule Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales Service Revenues |  | \$236,497,118 | \$196,678,862 | \$20,760,708 | \$15,698,681 | \$2,484,991 | \$413,030 | \$343,320 | \$31,379 | \$86,147 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Gas Purchased |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport Service Revenues |  | \$36,685,721 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$10,806,529 | \$4,185,306 |
| Adjustments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales NTB | 45 | -\$5 | -\$4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transport NTB | 46 | \$20,391 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,007 | \$2,326 |
| Weather Normalization |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Annualization |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Rate Schedule Revenues |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Total Rate Schedule Revenue }}$ |  | \$273,203,224 | \$196,678,858 | \$20,760,708 | \$15,698,681 | \$2,484,991 | \$413,030 | \$343,320 | \$31,379 | \$86,147 | \$10,812,536 | \$4,187,632 |
| Other Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Utility Revenue | 47 | \$3,270,504 | \$2,354,610 | \$248,544 | \$187,942 | \$29,750 | \$4,945 | \$4,110 | \$376 | \$1,031 | \$129,374 | \$50,106 |
| Competitive Transport Revenue | 47 | \$11,457,684 | \$8,248,996 | \$870,734 | \$658,425 | \$104,224 | \$17,323 | \$14,399 | \$1,316 | \$3,613 | \$453,241 | \$175,538 |
| Sales Adjustments ( $\mathrm{R}-3,4,9,12,15$ ) |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Operating Revenue |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Non-Rate Revenue |  | \$14,728,188 | \$10,603,606 | \$1,119,278 | \$846,368 | \$133,974 | \$22,268 | \$18,509 | \$1,692 | \$4,644 | \$582,616 | \$225,644 |
| total revenue |  | \$287,931,412 | \$207,282,464 | \$21,879,986 | \$16,545,048 | \$2,618,965 | \$435,298 | \$361,829 | \$33,071 | \$90,791 | \$11,395,151 | \$4,413,276 |

kansas gas service company
URB Class Cost of Service Study

|  | Alloc <br> Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \|rrigation <br> Transport <br> GIT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport - T1 } \\ \text { LVTk-T1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tz} \\ & \mathrm{LVTk}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~T} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport- } \mathrm{T3} \\ \text { LVTk-T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport- T4 } \\ \text { LVTk-T4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 1 \\ \text { LVTt }-T 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \text { LVTt-T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport- } \mathrm{T3} \\ \text { LVIt-T3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport } \mathrm{TA} \\ \text { LVTt-TA } \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale <br> Transport <br> WTt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Service Revenues |  | \$236,497,118 | so | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so |
| Gas Purchased |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transport Service Revenues |  | \$36,585,721 | \$124,122 | \$1,651,952 | \$1,265,757 | \$1,814,928 | \$1,832,227 | \$6,027,104 | \$414,144 | \$907,207 | \$1,210,073 | \$5,127,136 | \$1,319,236 |
| Adjustments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales NTB | 45 | -\$5 | \$0 | so | \$0 | so | so | so | so | so | so | so | so |
| Transport NTB | 46 | \$20,391 | 869 | \$918 | \$704 | \$1,009 | 81.018 | \$3,350 | \$230 | $\$ 504$ | \$673 | \$2,850 | 8733 |
| Weather Normalization |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Annualization |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscellaneous Rate Schecule Revenues |  | \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rate Schedule Revenue |  | \$273,203,224 | \$124,191 | \$1,652,870 | \$1,266,461 | \$1,815,937 | \$1,833,245 | \$6,030,454 | \$414,374 | \$907,711 | \$1,210,746 | \$5,129,986 | \$1,319,969 |
| Other Revenue: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Utility Revenue | 47 | \$3,270,504 | \$1,486 | \$19,777 | \$15,153 | \$21,728 | \$21,935 | \$72,156 | \$4,958 | \$10,861 | \$14,487 | \$61,381 | \$15,794 |
| Competitive Transport Revenue | 47 | \$11,457,684 | \$5,206 | \$69,285 | \$53,088 | 876,121 | \$76,846 | \$252,785 | \$17,370 | \$38,050 | \$50,752 | \$215,040 | \$55,331 |
| Sales Adjustments ( R -3, 4, 9, 12, 15) |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Operating Revenue |  | so |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Non-Rate Revenue |  | \$14,728,188 | \$6,692 | \$89,062 | \$68,241 | \$97,849 | \$98,781 | \$324,941 | \$22,328 | \$48,911 | \$65,239 | \$276,421 | 571,124 |
| TOTAL REVENUE |  | \$287,931,412 | \$130,883 | \$1,741,932 | \$1,334,702 | \$1,913,786 | \$1,932,027 | \$6,355,395 | \$436.702 | \$956,622 | \$1,275,985 | \$5,406,407 | \$1,391,094 |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CURB Class Cost of Service Study
Allocation Amounts

| Allocation Amounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Transport Eligible GSTE | Small Generator SGS | Irrigation Sales Gis | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kansas Gas } \\ \text { Supply } \\ \text { KGSSD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sales for Resale SSRK | Small Transport STk | Small Transport STt |
| Sales Customers | 1 | 629,742 | 579,541 | 36,849 | 11,904 | 566 | 649 | 225 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Transport Custorners | 2 | 5,582 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,365 | 1,118 |
| Total Customers | 3 | 635,324 | 579,541 | 36,849 | 11,904 | 566 | 649 | 225 | 1 | 7 | 3,365 | 1,118 |
| Retail Customers | 4 | 635,289 | 579,541 | 36,849 | 11,904 | 566 | 649 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 3,365 | 1,118 |
| Customers for Transmission Allocation | 5 | 630,880 | 579,541 | 36,849 | 11,904 | 566 | 0 | 225 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,118 |
| CP Demand - Sales Customers | 6 | 666,655 | 514,206 | 62,533 | 73,208 | 13,884 | 7 | 1,500 | 558 | 760 | 0 | 0 |
| CP Demand - Transport Customers | 7 | 197,433 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58,804 | 17,520 |
| CP Demand - Total Customers | 8 | 864,089 | 514,206 | 62,533 | 73,208 | 13,884 | 7 | 1,500 | 558 | 760 | 58,804 | 17,520 |
| CP Demand - Retail Customers | 9 | 851,924 | 514,206 | 62,533 | 73,208 | 13,884 | 7 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 58,804 | 17,520 |
| CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 10 | 732,764 | 514,206 | 62,533 | 73,208 | 13,884 | 0 | 1,500 | 558 | 0 | 0 | 17,520 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Sales Customers | 11 | 12,650,568 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 45,971 | 8,928 | 18,074 | 0 | 0 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Transport Customers | 12 | 3,943,587 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,043,967 | 325,817 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Total Customers | 13 | 16,594,155 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 45,971 | 8,928 | 18,074 | 1,043,967 | 325,817 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Retail Customers | 14 | 16,372,786 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 45,971 | 0 | 0 | 1,043,967 | 325,817 |
| Monthly NCP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 15 | 14,085,759 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 0 | 45,971 | 8,928 | 0 | 0 | 325,817 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Sales Customers | 16 | 12,606,228 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 2,456 | 8,928 | 17,249 | 0 | 0 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Transport Customers | 17 | 3,493,937 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 989,987 | 307,922 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Total Customers | 18 | 16,100,165 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 2,456 | 8,928 | 17,249 | 989,987 | 307,922 |
| Monthiy CP Demand - Retail Customers | 19 | 15,886,114 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 2,110 | 2,456 | 0 | 0 | 989,987 | 307,922 |
| Monthly CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 20 | 13,721,427 | 9,878,131 | 1,015,366 | 1,413,295 | 268,694 | 0 | 2,456 | 8,928 | 0 | 0 | 307,922 |
| MCF - Sales Customers | 21 | 54,037,922 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 9,936 | 146,375 | 31,337 | 62,689 | 0 | 0 |
| MCF - Transport Customers | 22 | 25,768,212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,715,973 | 1,756,377 |
| MCF - Total | 23 | 79,806,133 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 9,936 | 146,375 | 31,337 | 62,689 | 5,715,973 | 1,756,377 |
| MCF - Retail Customers | 24 | 78,684,885 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 9,936 | 146,375 | 0 | 0 | 5,715,973 | 1,756,377 |
| MCF for Transmission Allocation | 25 | 63,075,849 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 0 | 146,375 | 31,337 | 0 | 0 | 1,756,377 |
| MCF Sales for Transmission Allocation | 26 | 53,965,296 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 0 | 146,375 | 31,337 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MCF Less Flex | 27 | 79,806,133 | 42,284,167 | 3,804,594 | 6,275,606 | 1,423,217 | 9,936 | 146,375 | 31,337 | 62,689 | 5,715,973 | 1,756,377 |
| Winter Volumes - Sales Customers | 28 | 41,878,287 | 33,005,516 | 3,165,262 | 4,673,586 | 933,882 | 7,203 | 10,071 | 27,706 | 55,061 | 0 | 0 |
| Winter Volumes - Transport Customers | 29 | 14,343,884 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,766,055 | 1,171,245 |
| Winter Volumes - Total | 30 | 56,222,171 | 33,005,516 | 3,165,262 | 4,673,586 | 933,882 | 7,203 | 10,071 | 27,706 | 55,061 | 3,766,055 | 1,171,245 |
| Winter Volumes - Retail Customers | 31 | 55,426,279 | 33,005,516 | 3,165,262 | 4,673,586 | 933,882 | 7,203 | 10,071 | 0 | 0 | 3,766,055 | 1,171,245 |
| Winter Volumes for Transmission Allocation | 32 | 46,561,511 | 33,005,516 | 3,165,262 | 4,673,586 | 933,882 | 0 | 10,071 | 27,706 | 0 | 0 | 1,171,245 |
| Net Sales Revenues | 33 | \$236,497,113 | \$196,678,858 | \$20,760,708 | \$15,698,681 | \$2,484,991 | \$413,030 | \$343,320 | \$31,379 | \$86,147 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Services Cost | 34 | \$278,506,485 | \$253,007,531 | \$16,184,012 | \$5,454,655 | \$301,933 | \$283,093 | \$89,452 | \$962 | \$10,911 | \$1,858,660 | \$655,694 |
| Number of Services | 35 | 642,590 | 586,525 | 37,266 | 11,878 | 538 | 649 | 203 | 1 | 13 | 3,304 | 1,148 |
| Meters Cost | 36 | 281,675,554 | 225,453,200 | 19,136,210 | 18,522,943 | 1,971,423 | 393,836 | 195,467 | 1,577 | 28,923 | 9,746,872 | 2,955,770 |
| Number of Meters | 37 | 642,590 | 586,525 | 37,266 | 11,878 | 538 | 649 | 203 | 1 | 13 | 3,304 | 1,148 |
| AMR Cost | 38 | \$12,588,675 | \$11,609,131 | \$732,770 | \$176,646 | \$2,490 | \$13,736 | \$3,615 | \$0 | \$80 | \$29,883 | \$10,122 |
| Number of AMR Installations | 39 | 156,712 | 144,518 | 9,122 | 2,199 | 31 | 171 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 372 | 126 |
| Regulators Cost | 40 | \$1,005,714,767 | \$967,017,065 | \$22,914,844 | \$4,177,737 | \$170,296 | \$171,388 | \$125,219 | \$617 | \$58,584 | \$2,430,250 | \$5,173,439 |
| Number of Regulators | 41 | 642,590 | 586,525 | 37,266 | 11,878 | 538 | 649 | 203 | 1 | 13 | 3,304 | 1,148 |
| Meter \& Regulator Installation Cost | 42 | \$161,659,368 | \$130,822,394 | \$10,101,970 | \$9,352,652 | \$1,259,668 | \$218,039 | \$58,520 | \$1,377 | \$23,025 | \$6,178,061 | \$1,776,795 |
| Number of Meter Set Installations | 43 | 642,590 | 586,525 | 37,266 | 11,878 | 538 | 649 | 203 | 1 | 13 | 3,304 | 1,148 |

## KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY

CURB Class Cost of Service Study
Allocation Amounts

|  |  |  |  | Allocation Am | mounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transport } \\ \text { Eligible } \\ \text { GSTE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Small Generator SGS | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Kansas Gas } \\ \text { Supply } \\ \text { KGSSD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport STk | Small <br> Transport <br> STt <br> ST2, |
| Customer Deposits | 44 | \$19,980,078 | \$12,203,729 | \$3,515,522 | \$2,658,342 | \$420,797 | \$0 | \$122,130 | \$0 | \$0 | \$444,964 | \$172,332 |
| Sales Revenues | 45 | \$236,497,118 | \$196,678,862 | \$20,760,708 | \$15,698,681 | \$2,484,991 | \$413,030 | \$343,320 | \$31,379 | \$86,147 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transportation Revenues | 46 | \$36,685,721 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,806,529 | \$4,185,306 |
| Rate Schedule Revenues | 47 | \$273,182,838 | \$196,678,862 | \$20,760,708 | \$15,698,681 | \$2,484,991 | \$413,030 | \$343,320 | \$31,379 | \$86,147 | \$10,806,529 | \$4,185,306 |
| Total Revenues | 48 | \$287,931,412 | \$207,282,464 | \$21,879,986 | \$16,545,049 | \$2,618,965 | \$435,298 | \$361,829 | \$33,070 | \$90,791 | \$11,395,151 | \$4,413,276 |
| Direct to GSS Customers | 54 | \$49,319 | \$0 | \$36,849 | \$11,904 | \$566 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Distribution Plant | 78 | \$1,337,676,176 | \$985,305,330 | \$78,246,075 | \$77,575,151 | \$13,386,227 | \$836,433 | \$805,938 | \$2,943 | \$43,262 | \$54,001,385 | \$16,824,173 |
| General Plant | 85 | \$107,211,011 | \$79,086,066 | \$6,574,508 | \$6,837,075 | \$1,217,109 | \$58,988 | \$70,838 | \$10,422 | \$3,327 | \$3,494,241 | \$1,495,419 |
| Rate Base Less Working Capital | 101 | \$1,474,638,952 | \$1,067,565,487 | \$91,384,766 | \$99,848,180 | \$18,022,398 | \$749,830 | \$1,114,738 | \$149,644 | \$40,550 | \$50,690,916 | \$21,877,182 |
| Gross Plant | 107 | \$1,770,498,662 | \$1,294,528,569 | \$106,687,757 | \$115,277,602 | \$20,802,524 | \$927,735 | \$1,181,988 | \$175,768 | \$49,389 | \$59,571,927 | \$25,665,238 |
| Net Plant | 111 | \$1,166,359,589 | \$846,146,468 | \$70,452,553 | \$77,972,702 | \$14,124,575 | \$600,625 | \$795,736 | \$120,597 | \$32,490 | \$40,254,383 | \$17,417,842 |
| PST\&D Plant | 115 | \$1,601,703,695 | \$1,170,440,211 | \$96,406,512 | \$104,425,469 | \$18,860,256 | \$836,581 | \$1,070,009 | \$159,224 | \$44,356 | \$54,001,385 | \$23,274,921 |
| O\&M less A\&G | 119 | \$102,662,649 | \$80,192,315 | \$6,747,207 | \$5,528,178 | \$900,425 | \$73,005 | \$61,326 | \$6,853 | \$5,569 | \$2,639,141 | \$1,045,942 |
| Transmission Operations | 123 | \$7,323,740 | \$5,073,786 | \$487,092 | \$740,281 | \$155,368 | \$0 | \$9,898 | \$4,148 | \$0 | \$0 | \$186,021 |
| Transmission Maintenance | 127 | \$1,507,574 | \$1,056,704 | \$103,658 | \$153,254 | \$31,243 | \$0 | \$1,507 | \$892 | \$0 | \$0 | \$36,953 |
| Distribution Operations | 131 | \$16,991,827 | \$13,422,474 | \$1,212,553 | \$866,788 | \$121,373 | \$15,277 | \$6,936 | \$52 | \$843 | \$509,152 | \$154,311 |
| Distribution Maintenance | 135 | \$18,916,513 | \$12,450,052 | \$1,302,389 | \$1,401,359 | \$256,010 | \$8,024 | \$12,582 | \$31 | \$469 | \$993,387 | \$306,151 |
| Peak \& Average - Production Plant | 139 | 100.0000\% | 78.3137\% | 7.6357\% | 11.3772\% | 2.3389\% | 0.0174\% | 0.1233\% | 0.0655\% | 0.1282\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Peak \& Average - Distribution Plant | 140 | 100.0000\% | 58.6936\% | 5.7487\% | 8.5161\% | 1.7399\% | 0.0130\% | 0.0859\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.6583\% | 2.0597\% |
| Dist Plt Excluding Land \& Rights of Way | 141 | \$1,335,302,548 | \$983,556,964 | \$78,107,232 | \$77,437,498 | \$13,362,474 | \$834,948 | \$804,508 | \$2,938 | \$43,185 | \$53,905,562 | \$16,794,319 |
| Total Dist. Mains Plant | 142 | \$622,285,557 | \$365,242,086 | \$35,773,133 | \$52,994,215 | \$10,826,899 | \$80,975 | \$534,653 | \$0 | \$0 | \$41,433,739 | \$12,817,170 |
| Total Distribution Plant | 143 | \$1,337,676,176 | \$985,305,330 | \$78,246,075 | \$77,575,151 | \$13,386,227 | \$836,433 | \$805,938 | \$2,943 | \$43,262 | \$54,001,385 | \$16,824,173 |
| Mains \& Services Distribution Plt. | 144 | \$1,017,103,293 | \$736,726,830 | \$58,740,837 | \$58,113,109 | \$10,604,648 | \$517,624 | \$640,019 | \$1,501 | \$17,030 | \$41,680,690 | \$13,019,567 |
| Dist. Operations Labor | 145 | \$16,991,827 | \$13,422,474 | \$1,212,553 | \$866,788 | \$121,373 | \$15,277 | \$6,936 | \$52 | \$843 | \$509,152 | \$154,311 |
| Total Labor | 146 | \$51,435,676 | \$40,202,879 | \$3,524,304 | \$2,814,910 | \$445,865 | \$37,414 | \$31,599 | \$4,283 | \$2,688 | \$1,309,657 | \$527,019 |
| Labor - A\&G | 147 | \$41,986,830 | \$32,817,523 | \$2,876,882 | \$2,297,805 | \$363,959 | \$30,541 | \$25,794 | \$3,496 | \$2,195 | \$1,069,071 | \$430,204 |
| Peak \& Average - Transmission Plant | 148 | 100.000\% | 70.0930\% | 6.8758\% | 10.1656\% | 2.0724\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0999\% | 0.0592\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.4511\% |
| Totai O\&M Less Other Gas Supply | 149 | \$147,437,198 | \$114,857,667 | \$9,691,586 | \$7,848,982 | \$1,264,840 | \$105,434 | \$84,840 | \$9,266 | \$6,164 | \$3,900,607 | \$1,543,100 |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CURB Class Cost of Service Study

| CURB Class Cost of Service Study Allocation Amounts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Alloc Factor | Total | CNG Transport CNG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Irrigation } \\ & \text { Transport } \end{aligned}$ GIT | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTk-T1 | Large Vol Transport-T2 LVTk-T2 | Large Vol Transport - T3 LVTk-T3 | Large Vol Transport - T4 LVTk-T4 | Large Vol Transport - T1 LVTt - T 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T2 } \\ \text { iVTt-T2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport - T3 } \\ & \text { LVTt-T3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Large Vol Transport - T4 IVTt - T4 | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| Sales Customers | 1 | 629,742 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transport Customers | 2 | 5,582 | 3 | 521 | 188 | 111 | 63 | 61 | 34 | 37 | 24 | 29 | 27 |
| Total Customers | 3 | 635,324 | 3 | 521 | 188 | 111 | 63 | 61 | 34 | 37 | 24 | 29 | 27 |
| Retail Customers | 4 | 635,289 | 3 | 521 | 188 | 111 | 63 | 61 | 34 | 37 | 24 | 29 | 0 |
| Customers for Transmission Allocation | 5 | 630,880 | 3 | 521 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 37 | 24 | 29 | 27 |
| CP Demand - Sales Customers | 6 | 666,655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CP Demand - Transport Customers | 7 | 197,433 | 320 | 8,175 | 14,504 | 14,530 | 12,216 | 30,504 | 1,372 | 4,039 | 4,322 | 20,281 | 10,847 |
| CP Demand - Total Customers | 8 | 864,089 | 320 | 8,175 | 14,504 | 14,530 | 12,216 | 30,504 | 1,372 | 4,039 | 4,322 | 20,281 | 10,847 |
| CP Demand - Retail Customers | 9 | 851,924 | 320 | 8,175 | 14,504 | 14,530 | 12,216 | 30,504 | 1,372 | 4,039 | 4,322 | 20,281 | 0 |
| CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 10 | 732,764 | 320 | 8,175 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,372 | 4,039 | 4,322 | 20,281 | 10,847 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Sales Customers | 11 | 12,650,568 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Transport Customers | 12 | 3,943,587 | 14,318 | 256,085 | 152,045 | 281,392 | 257,140 | 753,668 | 36,346 | 92,946 | 117,756 | 417,739 | 194,367 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Total Customers | 13 | 16,594,155 | 14,318 | 256,085 | 152,045 | 281,392 | 257,140 | 753,668 | 36,346 | 92,946 | 117,756 | 417,739 | 194,367 |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Retail Customers | 14 | 16,372,786 | 14,318 | 256,085 | 152,045 | 281,392 | 257,140 | 753,668 | 36,346 | 92,946 | 117,756 | 417,739 | 0 |
| Monthly NCP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 15 | 14,085,759 | 14,318 | 256,085 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,346 | 92,946 | 117,756 | 417,739 | 194,367 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Sales Customers | 16 | 12,606,228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Transport Customers | 17 | 3,493,937 | 11,811 | 6,200 | 128,049 | 274,310 | 257,140 | 709,893 | 31,338 | 92,946 | 109,868 | 386,598 | 187,875 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Total Customers | 18 | 16,100,165 | 11,811 | 6,200 | 128,049 | 274,310 | 257,140 | 709,893 | 31,338 | 92,946 | 109,868 | 386,598 | 187,875 |
| Monthly CP Demand - Retail Customers | 19 | 15,886,114 | 11,811 | 6,200 | 128,049 | 274,310 | 257,140 | 709,893 | 31,338 | 92,946 | 109,868 | 386,598 | 0 |
| Monthly CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 20 | 13,721,427 | 11,811 | 6,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31,338 | 92,946 | 109,868 | 386,598 | 187,875 |
| MCF - Sales Customers | 21 | 54,037,922 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MCF - Transport Customers | 22 | 25,768,212 | 148,327 | 848,324 | 879,339 | 1,662,813 | 1,825,393 | 6,574,140 | 220,764 | 560,297 | 810,571 | 3,738,673 | 1,027,222 |
| MCF - Total | 23 | 79,806,133 | 148,327 | 848,324 | 879,339 | 1,662,813 | 1,825,393 | 6,574,140 | 220,764 | 560,297 | 810,571 | 3,738,673 | 1,027,222 |
| MCF - Retail Customers | 24 | 78,684,885 | 148,327 | 848,324 | 879,339 | 1,662,813 | 1,825,393 | 6,574,140 | 220,764 | 560,297 | 810,571 | 3,738,673 | 0 |
| MCF for Transmission Allocation | 25 | 63,075,849 | 148,327 | 848,324 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220,764 | 560,297 | 810,571 | 3,738,673 | 1,027,222 |
| MCF Sales for Transmission Allocation | 26 | 53,965,296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MCF Less Flex | 27 | 79,806,133 | 148,327 | 848,324 | 879,339 | 1,662,813 | 1,825,393 | 6,574,140 | 220,764 | 560,297 | 810,571 | 3,738,673 | 1,027,222 |
| Winter Volumes-Sales Customers | 28 | 41,878,287 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Winter Volumes - Transport Customers | 29 | 14,343,884 | 60,999 | 45,817 | 571,622 | 1,042,999 | 1,024,294 | 3,193,425 | 146,565 | 348,466 | 453,258 | 1,806,015 | 713,125 |
| Winter Volumes - Total | 30 | 56,222,171 | 60,999 | 45,817 | 571,622 | 1,042,999 | 1,024,294 | 3,193,425 | 146,565 | 348,466 | 453,258 | 1,806,015 | 713,125 |
| Winter Volumes - Retail Customers | 31 | 55,426,279 | 60,999 | 45,817 | 571,622 | 1,042,999 | 1,024,294 | 3,193,425 | 146,565 | 348,466 | 453,258 | 1,806,015 | 0 |
| Winter Volumes for Transmission Allocation | 32 | 46,561,511 | 60,999 | 45,817 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146,565 | 348,466 | 453,258 | 1,806,015 | 713,125 |
| Net Sales Revenues | 33 | \$236,497,113 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Services Cost | 34 | \$278,506,485 | \$3,649 | \$197,092 | \$162,543 | \$81,997 | \$47,614 | \$44,834 | \$32,127 | \$24,935 | \$15,982 | \$29,772 | \$19,037 |
| Number of Services | 35 | 642,590 | 7 | 454 | 218 | 104 | 61 | 64 | 38 | 33 | 21 | 34 | 31 |
| Meters Cost | 36 | 281,675,554 | 35,634 | 630,315 | 870,431 | 454,827 | 262,690 | 334,492 | 139,474 | 159,083 | 99,284 | 164,508 | 118,496 |
| Number of Meters | 37 | 642,590 | 7 | 454 | 218 | 104 | 61 | 64 | 38 | 33 | 21 | 34 | 31 |
| AMR Cost | 38 | \$12,588,675 | \$0 | \$9,559 | \$643 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Number of AMR Installations | 39 | 156,712 | 0 | 119 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Regulators Cost | 40 | \$1,005,714,767 | \$9,641 | \$2,045,942 | \$396,832 | \$143,237 | \$84,014 | \$88,146 | \$171,246 | \$148,714 | \$94,636 | \$153,220 | \$139,701 |
| Number of Regulators | 41 | 642,590 | 7 | 454 | 218 | 104 | 61 | 64 | 38 | 33 | 21 | 34 | 31 |
| Meter \& Regulator Installation Cost | 42 | \$161,659,368 | \$24,328 | \$170,814 | \$554,237 | \$298,576 | \$170,681 | \$214,912 | \$89,553 | \$104,372 | \$63,906 | \$103,581 | \$71,907 |
| Number of Meter Set Installations | 43 | 642,590 | 7 | 454 | 218 | 104 | 61 | 64 | 38 | 33 | 21 | 34 | 31 |
| Customer Deposits | 44 | \$19,980,078 | \$2,675 | \$35,600 | \$25,673 | \$36,812 | \$37,163 | \$122,246 | \$8,400 | \$18,401 | \$24,544 | \$103,992 | \$26,758 |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
URB Class Cost of Service Study
Allocation Amounts

|  | TAI Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \end{gathered}$ CNG | Irrigation Transport GIT | Large Vol Transport - T1 LVTk-T1 | Large Vol Transport-T2 LVTk-T2 | Large Vol Transport -T3 LVTk-T3 | Large Vol Transport-T4 LVTk-T4 | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTt-T1 | Large Vol Transport - T2 LVTt-T2 | Large Vol Transport-T3 LVTt-T3 | Large Vol Transport - T4 LVTt-T4 | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales Revenues | 45 | \$236,497,118 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transportation Revenues | 46 | \$36,685,721 | \$124,122 | \$1,651,952 | \$1,265,757 | \$1,814,928 | \$1,832,227 | \$6,027,104 | \$414,144 | \$907,207 | \$1,210,073 | \$5,127,136 | \$1,319,235 |
| Rate 5chedule Revenues | 47 | \$273,182,838 | \$124,122 | \$1,651,952 | \$1,265,757 | \$1,814,928 | \$1,832,227 | \$6,027,104 | \$414,144 | \$907,207 | \$1,210,073 | \$5,127,136 | \$1,319,235 |
| Total Revenues | 48 | \$287,931,412 | \$130,883 | \$1,741,933 | \$1,334,702 | \$1,913,786 | \$1,932,027 | \$6,355,395 | \$436,702 | \$956,622 | \$1,275,985 | \$5,406,407 | \$1,391,092 |
| Direct to GSS Customers | 54 | \$49,319 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Distribution Plant | 78 | \$1,337,676,176 | \$819,496 | \$3,681,468 | \$7,027,022 | \$12,812,688 | \$12,720,118 | \$39,765,377 | \$1,671,577 | \$4,332,472 | \$5,520,541 | \$22,177,154 | \$121,347 |
| General Plant | 85 | \$107,211,011 | \$74,899 | \$330,532 | \$450,299 | \$814,095 | \$806,071 | \$2,512,335 | \$151,759 | \$400,027 | \$510,732 | \$2,058,640 | \$253,627 |
| Rate Base Less Working Capital | 101 | \$1,474,638,952 | \$1,117,736 | \$4,801,914 | \$6,552,740 | \$11,899,596 | \$11,811,590 | \$36,938,534 | \$2,238,859 | \$5,908,601 | \$7,577,530 | \$30,565,457 | \$3,782,704 |
| Gross Plant | 107 | \$1,770,498,662 | \$1,317,225 | \$5,637,750 | \$7,747,503 | \$14,119,418 | \$14,015,266 | \$43,806,652 | \$2,639,084 | \$6,971,114 | \$8,938,892 | \$36,044,153 | \$4,393,107 |
| Net Plant | 111 | \$1,166,359,589 | \$895,056 | \$3,824,972 | \$5,229,269 | \$9,503,941 | \$9,433,137 | \$29,495,540 | \$1,790,221 | \$4,728,005 | \$6,062,858 | \$24,452,082 | \$3,026,536 |
| PST\&D Plant | 115 | \$1,601,703,695 | \$1,196,329 | \$5,110,716 | \$7,027,022 | \$12,812,688 | \$12,720,118 | \$39,765,377 | \$2,395,230 | \$6,327,790 | \$8,116,104 | \$32,727,184 | \$3,986,214 |
| O\&M less A\&G | 119 | \$102,662,649 | \$49,287 | \$234,585 | \$319,117 | \$546,886 | \$532,775 | \$1,630,689 | \$100,498 | \$257,771 | \$327,505 | \$1,316,091 | \$147,484 |
| Transmission Operations | 123 | \$7,323,740 | \$12,282 | \$55,423 | 50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$21,602 | \$58,066 | \$78,062 | \$331,033 | \$110,676 |
| Transmission Maintenance | 127 | \$1,507,574 | \$2,159 | \$8,187 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,145 | \$11,430 | \$14,868 | \$60,435 | \$22,140 |
| Distribution Operations | 131 | \$16,991,827 | \$5,074 | \$24,249 | \$56,901 | \$84,758 | \$78,891 | \$228,617 | \$12,296 | \$28,761 | \$33,766 | \$126,215 | \$2,539 |
| Distribution Maintenance | 135 | \$18,916,513 | \$16,094 | \$62,870 | \$132,980 | \$254,064 | \$253,464 | \$794,411 | \$32,114 | \$85,903 | \$110,099 | \$442,750 | \$1,308 |
| Peak \& Average - Produclion Plant | 139 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Peak \& Average - Distribution Plant | 140 | 100.0000\% | 0.1215\% | 0.4682\% | 0.9347\% | 1.8864\% | 1.9083\% | 6.0740\% | 0.2317\% | 0.6375\% | 0.8314\% | 3.3910\% | 0.0000\% |
| Dist Plt Excluding Land \& Rights of Way | 141 | \$1,335,302,548 | \$818,042 | \$3,674,936 | \$7,014,553 | \$12,789,952 | \$12,697,547 | \$39,694,815 | \$1,668,611 | \$4,324,784 | \$5,510,745 | \$22,137,802 | \$121,132 |
| Total Dist. Mains Plant | 142 | \$622,285,557 | \$756,111 | \$2,913,841 | \$5,816,609 | \$11,738,752 | \$11,875,105 | \$37,797,569 | \$1,441,672 | \$3,967,297 | \$5,173,941 | \$21,101,788 | \$0 |
| Total Distribution Plant | 143 | \$1,337,676,176 | \$819,496 | \$3,681,468 | \$7,027,022 | \$12,812,688 | \$12,720,118 | \$39,765,377 | \$1,671,577 | \$4,332,472 | \$5,520,541 | \$22,177,154 | \$121,347 |
| Mains \& Services Distribution Plt. | 144 | \$1,017,103,293 | \$713,375 | \$3,034,811 | \$5,697,728 | \$11,114,830 | \$11,188,787 | \$35,446,513 | \$1,399,472 | \$3,752,098 | \$4,867,480 | \$19,796,632 | \$29,711 |
| Dist. Operations Labor | 145 | \$16,991,827 | \$5,074 | \$24,249 | \$56,901 | \$84,758 | \$78,891 | \$228,617 | \$12,296 | \$28,761 | \$33,766 | \$126,215 | \$2,539 |
| Total Labor | 146 | \$51,435,676 | \$20,158 | \$123,366 | \$154,923 | \$257,949 | \$248,532 | \$750,190 | \$46,706 | \$120,236 | \$145,937 | \$585,572 | \$81,488 |
| Labor-A8G | 147 | \$41,986,830 | \$16,455 | \$100,703 | \$126,463 | \$210,563 | \$202,876 | \$612,379 | \$38,126 | \$98,149 | \$119,128 | \$478,002 | \$66,518 |
| Peak \& Average - Transmission Plant | 148 | 100.000\% | 0.1432\% | 0.5431\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2750\% | 0.7582\% | 0.9863\% | 4.0088\% | 1.4686\% |
| Total O\&M Less Other Gas 5upply | 149 | \$147,437,198 | \$72,399 | \$352,978 | \$473,490 | \$812,035 | \$793,108 | \$2,437,704 | \$148,022 | \$379,852 | \$482,135 | \$1,943,316 | \$229,674 |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
CURB Class Cost of Service Study

|  |  |  |  |  | Allocation P | qges |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Allos Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transport } \\ \text { Eligible } \\ \text { GSTE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Small Generator SGS | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Kansas Gas } \\ \text { Supply } \\ \text { KGSSD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport <br> STk | Small Transport STt |
| 5 ales Customers | 1 | 100.0000\% | 92.0283\% | 5.8514\% | 1.8904\% | 0.0899\% | 0.1031\% | 0.0357\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0011\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Transport Customers | 2 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 60.2749\% | 20.0317\% |
| Total Customers | 3 | 100.0000\% | 91.2197\% | 5.8000\% | 1.8737\% | 0.0891\% | 0.1022\% | 0.0354\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0011\% | 0.5296\% | 0.1760\% |
| Retail Customers | 4 | 100.0000\% | 91.2247\% | 5.8003\% | 1.8739\% | 0.0891\% | 0.1022\% | 0.0354\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.5296\% | 0.1760\% |
| Customers for Transmission Allocation | 5 | 100.0000\% | 91.8623\% | 5.8409\% | 1.8869\% | 0.0897\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0356\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.1772\% |
| CP Demand - Sales Customers | 6 | 100.0000\% | 77.1323\% | 9.3800\% | 10.9814\% | 2.0826\% | 0.0010\% | 0.2250\% | 0.0837\% | 0.1140\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| CP Demand - Transport Customers | 7 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 29.7842\% | 8.8741\% |
| CP Demand - Total Customers | 8 | 100.0000\% | 59.5085\% | 7.2368\% | 8.4723\% | 1.6067\% | 0.0008\% | 0.1736\% | 0.0646\% | 0.0880\% | 6.8053\% | 2.0276\% |
| CP Demand - Retail Customers | 9 | 100.0000\% | 60.3583\% | 7.3402\% | 8.5933\% | 1.6297\% | 0.0008\% | 0.1760\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.9025\% | 2.0566\% |
| CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 10 | 100.0000\% | 70.1735\% | 8.5338\% | 9.9907\% | 1.8947\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2047\% | 0.0761\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.3910\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - 5ales Customers | 11 | 100.0000\% | 78.0845\% | 8.0262\% | 11.1718\% | 2.1240\% | 0.0167\% | 0.3634\% | 0.0706\% | 0.1429\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Transport Customers | 12 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 26.4725\% | 8.2620\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Total Customers | 13 | 100.0000\% | 59.5278\% | 6.1188\% | 8.5168\% | 1.6192\% | 0.0127\% | 0.2770\% | 0.0538\% | 0.1089\% | 6.2912\% | 1.9634\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Retail Customers | 14 | 100.0000\% | 60.3326\% | 6.2015\% | 8.6320\% | 1.6411\% | 0.0129\% | 0.2808\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.3762\% | 1.9900\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand for Transmission Alloc: | 15 | 100.0000\% | 70.1285\% | 7.2085\% | 10.0335\% | 1.9076\% | 0.0000\% | 0.3264\% | 0.0634\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.3131\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Sales Customers | 16 | 100.0000\% | 78.3591\% | 8.0545\% | 11.2111\% | 2.1314\% | 0.0167\% | 0.0195\% | 0.0708\% | 0.1368\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Transport Customers | 17 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0,0000\% | 0.0000\% | 28.3344\% | 8.8130\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Total Customers | 18 | 100.0000\% | 61.3542\% | 6.3066\% | 8.7781\% | 1.6689\% | 0.0131\% | 0.0153\% | 0.0554\% | 0.1071\% | 6.1489\% | 1.9125\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Retail Customers | 19 | 100.0000\% | 62.1809\% | 6.3915\% | 8.8964\% | 1.6914\% | 0.0133\% | 0.0155\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.2318\% | 1.9383\% |
| Monthly CP Demand for Transmission Allocat | 20 | 100.0000\% | 71.9906\% | 7.3999\% | 10.2999\% | 1.9582\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0179\% | 0.0651\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.2441\% |
| MCF - Sales Customers | 21 | 100.0000\% | 78.2491\% | 7.0406\% | 11.6133\% | 2.6337\% | 0.0184\% | 0.2709\% | 0.0580\% | 0.1160\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| MCF - Yransport Customers | 22 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 22.1823\% | 6.8161\% |
| MCF - rotal | 23 | 100.0000\% | 52.9836\% | 4.7673\% | 7.8636\% | 1.7833\% | 0.0125\% | 0.1834\% | 0.0393\% | 0.0786\% | 7.1623\% | 2.2008\% |
| MCF - Retail Customers | 24 | 100.0000\% | 53.7386\% | 4.8352\% | 7.9756\% | 1.8088\% | 0.0126\% | 0.1860\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 7.2644\% | 2.2322\% |
| MCF for Transmission Allocation | 25 | 100.0000\% | 67.0370\% | 6.0318\% | 9.9493\% | 2.2564\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2321\% | 0.0497\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.7845\% |
| MCF Sales for Transmission Allocation | 26 | 100.0000\% | 78.3544\% | 7.0501\% | 11.6290\% | 2.6373\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2712\% | 0.0581\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| MCF Less Flex | 27 | 100.0000\% | 52.9836\% | 4.7673\% | 7.8636\% | 1.7833\% | 0.0125\% | 0.1834\% | 0.0393\% | 0.0786\% | 7.1623\% | 2.2008\% |
| Winter Volumes - Sales Customers | 28 | 100.0000\% | 78.8130\% | 7.5582\% | 11.1599\% | 2.2300\% | 0.0172\% | 0.0240\% | 0.0662\% | 0.1315\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Winter Volumes - Transport Customers | 29 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 26.2555\% | 8.1655\% |
| Winter Volumes - Total | 30 | 100.0000\% | 58.7055\% | 5.6299\% | 8.3127\% | 1.6611\% | 0.0128\% | 0.0179\% | 0.0493\% | 0.0979\% | 6.6985\% | 2.0832\% |
| Winter Volumes - Retail Customers | 31 | 100.0000\% | 59.5485\% | 5.7108\% | 8.4321\% | 1.6849\% | 0.0130\% | 0.0182\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.7947\% | 2.1132\% |
| Winter Volumes for Transmission Allocation | 32 | 100.0000\% | 70.8858\% | 6.7980\% | 10.0374\% | 2.0057\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0216\% | 0.0595\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.5155\% |
| Net Sales Revenues | 33 | 100.0000\% | 83.1633\% | 8.7784\% | 6.6380\% | 1.0507\% | 0.1746\% | 0.1452\% | 0.0133\% | 0.0364\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Services Cost | 34 | 100.0000\% | 90.8444\% | 5.8110\% | 1.9585\% | 0.1084\% | 0.1016\% | 0.0321\% | 0.0003\% | 0.0039\% | 0.6674\% | 0.2354\% |
| Number of Services | 35 | 100.0000\% | 91.2752\% | 5.7993\% | 1.8485\% | 0.0837\% | 0.1010\% | 0.0316\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0020\% | 0.5142\% | 0.1787\% |
| Meters Cost | 36 | 100.0000\% | 80.0400\% | 6.7937\% | 6.5760\% | 0.6999\% | 0.1398\% | 0.0694\% | 0.0006\% | 0.0103\% | 3.4603\% | 1.0494\% |
| Number of Meters | 37 | 100.0000\% | 91.2752\% | 5.7993\% | 1.8485\% | 0.0837\% | 0.1010\% | 0.0316\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0020\% | 0.5142\% | 0.1787\% |
| AMR Cost | 38 | 100.0000\% | 92.2188\% | 5.8209\% | 1.4032\% | 0.0198\% | 0.1091\% | 0.0287\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0006\% | 0.2374\% | $0.0804 \%$ |
| Number of AMR Installations | 39 | 100.0000\% | 92.2188\% | 5.8209\% | 1.4032\% | 0.0198\% | 0.1091\% | 0.0287\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0006\% | 0.2374\% | 0.0804\% |
| Regulators Cost | 40 | 100.0000\% | 96.1522\% | 2.2785\% | 0.4154\% | 0.0169\% | 0.0170\% | 0.0125\% | 0.0001\% | 0.0058\% | 0.2416\% | 0.5144\% |
| Number of Regulators | 41 | 100.0000\% | 91.2752\% | 5.7993\% | 1.8485\% | 0.0837\% | 0.1010\% | 0.0316\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0020\% | 0.5142\% | 0.1787\% |
| Meter \& Regulator Installation Cost | 42 | 100.0000\% | 80.9247\% | 6.2489\% | 5.7854\% | 0.7792\% | 0.1349\% | 0.0362\% | 0.0009\% | 0.0142\% | 3.8217\% | 1.0991\% |
| Number of Meter Set installations | 43 | 100.0000\% | 91.2752\% | 5.7993\% | 1.8485\% | 0.0837\% | 0.1010\% | 0.0316\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0020\% | 0.5142\% | 0.1787\% |
| Customer Deposits | 44 | 100.0000\% | 61.0795\% | 17.5951\% | 13.3050\% | 2.1061\% | 0.0000\% | 0.6113\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.2270\% | 0.8625\% |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY
curb Class Cost of Service Study

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Residential } \\ \text { RS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Small } \\ \text { GSS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { GS - Large } \\ \text { GSL } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Transport } \\ \text { Eligible } \\ \text { GSTE } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Small Generator SGS | Irrigation Sales GIS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Kansas Gas } \\ \text { Supply } \\ \text { KGSSD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sales for Resale SSRk | Small Transport STk | $\qquad$ |
| Sales Revenues | 45 | 100.0000\% | 83.1633\% | 8.7784\% | 6.6380\% | 1.0507\% | 0.1746\% | 0.1452\% | 0.0133\% | 0.0364\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Transportation Revenues | 46 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 29.4570\% | 11.4085\% |
| Rate Schedule Revenues | 47 | 100.0000\% | 71.9953\% | 7.5996\% | 5.7466\% | 0.9096\% | 0.1512\% | 0.1257\% | 0.0115\% | 0.0315\% | 3.9558\% | 1.5321\% |
| Total Revenues | 48 | 100.0000\% | 71.9902\% | 7.5990\% | 5.7462\% | 0.9096\% | 0.1512\% | 0.1257\% | 0.0115\% | 0.0315\% | 3.9576\% | 1.5328\% |
| Direct to GSS Customers | 54 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 74.7148\% | 24.1373\% | 1.1479\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Distribution Plant | 78 | 100.0000\% | 73.6580\% | 5.8494\% | 5.7992\% | 1.0007\% | 0.0625\% | 0.0602\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0032\% | 4.0370\% | 1.2577\% |
| General Plant | 85 | 100.0000\% | 73.7667\% | 6.1323\% | 6.3772\% | 1.1352\% | 0.0550\% | 0.0661\% | 0.0097\% | 0.0031\% | 3.2592\% | 1.3948\% |
| Rate Base Less Working Capital | 101 | 100.0000\% | 72.3950\% | 6.1971\% | 6.7710\% | 1.2222\% | 0.0508\% | 0.0756\% | 0.0101\% | 0.0027\% | 3.4375\% | 1.4836\% |
| Gross Plant | 107 | 100.0000\% | 73.1166\% | 6.0259\% | 6.5110\% | 1.1750\% | 0.0524\% | 0.0668\% | 0.0099\% | 0.0028\% | 3.3647\% | 1.4496\% |
| Net Plant | 111 | 100.0000\% | 72.5459\% | 6.0404\% | 6.6851\% | 1.2110\% | 0.0515\% | 0.0682\% | 0.0103\% | 0.0028\% | 3.4513\% | 1.4934\% |
| PST\&D Plant | 115 | 100.0000\% | 73.0747\% | 6.0190\% | 6.5196\% | 1.1775\% | 0.0522\% | 0.0668\% | 0.0099\% | 0.0028\% | 3.3715\% | 1.4531\% |
| O\&M less A\&G | 119 | 100.0000\% | 78.1125\% | 6.5722\% | 5.3848\% | 0.8771\% | 0.0711\% | 0.0597\% | 0.0067\% | 0.0054\% | 2.5707\% | 1.0188\% |
| Transmission Operations | 123 | 100.0000\% | 69.2786\% | 6.6509\% | 10.1080\% | 2.1214\% | 0.0000\% | 0.1351\% | 0.0566\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.5400\% |
| Transmission Maintenance | 127 | 100.0000\% | 70.0930\% | 6.8758\% | 10.1656\% | 2.0724\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0999\% | 0.0592\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2.4511\% |
| Distribution Operations | 131 | 100.0000\% | 78.9937\% | 7.1361\% | 5.1012\% | 0.7143\% | 0.0899\% | 0.0408\% | 0.0003\% | 0.0050\% | 2.9965\% | 0.9081\% |
| Distribution Maintenance | 135 | 100.0000\% | 65.8158\% | 6.8849\% | 7.4081\% | 1.3534\% | 0.0424\% | 0.0665\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0025\% | 5.2514\% | 1.6184\% |
| Peak \& Average - Production Plant | 139 | 100.0000\% | 78.3137\% | 7.6357\% | 11.3772\% | 2.3389\% | 0.0174\% | 0.1233\% | 0.0655\% | 0.1282\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Peak \& Average - Distribution Plant | 140 | 100.0000\% | 58.6936\% | 5.7487\% | 8.5161\% | 1.7399\% | 0.0130\% | 0.0859\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.6583\% | 2.0597\% |
| Dist PIt Excluding Land \& Rights of Way | 141 | 100.0000\% | 73.6580\% | 5.8494\% | 5.7992\% | 1.0007\% | 0.0625\% | 0.0602\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0032\% | 4.0370\% | 1.2577\% |
| Total Dist. Mains Plant | 142 | 100.0000\% | 58.6936\% | 5.7487\% | 8.5161\% | 1.7399\% | 0.0130\% | 0.0859\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 6.6583\% | 2.0597\% |
| Total Distribution Plant | 143 | 100.0000\% | 73.6580\% | 5.8494\% | 5.7992\% | 1.0007\% | 0.0625\% | 0.0602\% | 0.0002\% | 0.0032\% | 4.0370\% | 1.2577\% |
| Mains \& Services Distribution Plt. | 144 | 100.0000\% | 72.4338\% | 5.7753\% | 5.7136\% | 1.0426\% | 0.0509\% | 0.0629\% | 0.0001\% | 0.0017\% | 4.0980\% | 1.2801\% |
| Dist. Operations Labor | 145 | 100.0000\% | 78.9937\% | 7.1361\% | 5.1012\% | 0.7143\% | 0.0899\% | 0.0408\% | 0.0003\% | 0.0050\% | 2.9965\% | 0.9081\% |
| Total Labor | 146 | 100.0000\% | 78.1615\% | 6.8519\% | 5.4727\% | 0.3668\% | 0.0727\% | 0.0614\% | 0.0083\% | 0.0052\% | 2.5462\% | 1.0246\% |
| Labor - A\&G | 147 | 100.0000\% | 78.1615\% | 6.8519\% | 5.4727\% | 0.3668\% | 0.0727\% | 0.0614\% | 0.0083\% | 0.0052\% | 2.5462\% | 1.0246\% |
| Peak \& Average - Transmission Plant | 148 | 100.0000\% | 70.0930\% | 6.8758\% | 10.1656\% | 2.0724\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0999\% | 0.0592\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 2,4511\% |
| Total O\&M Less Other Gas Supply | 149 | 100.0000\% | 77.9028\% | 6.5734\% | 5.3236\% | 0.8579\% | 0.0715\% | 0.0575\% | 0.0063\% | 0.0042\% | 2.6456\% | 1.0466\% |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAI Alloc | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation <br> Iransport GIT | Large Vol Transport-T1 LVTk-T1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vot } \\ \text { Transport- } \mathrm{T} 2 \\ \text { LVTk-T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Large Vol } \\ & \text { Transport-T3 } \\ & \text { LVTK-T3 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T4 } \\ \text { LVTk-T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-\mathrm{Tl} \\ \text { } \mathrm{VVTt} \text {-T1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }- \text { T2 } \\ \text { LVTt-T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVTt-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport - T4 } \\ \text { LVTt - T4 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wholesale Transport WTt |
| Sales Customers | 1 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Transport Customers | 2 | 100.0000\% | 0.0624\% | 9.3372\% | 3.3768\% | 1.9803\% | 1.1372\% | 2.0953\% | 0.6067\% | 0.6612\% | 0.4247\% | 0.5247\% | 0.4868\% |
| Total Customers | 3 | 100.0000\% | 0.0005\% | 0.0820\% | 0.0297\% | 0.0174\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0096\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0058\% | 0.0037\% | 0.0046\% | 0.0043\% |
| Retail Customers | 4 | 100.0000\% | 0.0005\% | 0.0820\% | 0.0297\% | 0.0174\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0096\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0058\% | 0.0077\% | 0.0046\% | 0.0000\% |
| Customers for Transmission Allocation | 5 | 100.0000\% | 0.0006\% | 0.0825\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0054\% | 0.0059\% | 0.0038\% | 0.0046\% | 0.0043\% |
| CP Demand - 5ales Customers | 6 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| CP Demand - Transport Customers | 7 | 100.0000\% | 0.1623\% | 4.1404\% | 7.3464\% | 7.3593\% | 6.1874\% | 15.4500\% | 0.6948\% | 2.0458\% | 2.1890\% | 10.2724\% | 5.4938\% |
| CP Dermand - Total Customers | 8 | 100.0000\% | 0.0371\% | 0.9460\% | 1.6786\% | 1.6815\% | 1.4137\% | 3.5301\% | 0.1588\% | 0.4674\% | 0.5002\% | 2.3471\% | 1.2553\% |
| CP Demand - Retail Customers | 9 | 100.0000\% | 0.0376\% | 0.9595\% | 1.7025\% | 1.7055\% | 1.4339\% | 3.5806\% | 0.1610\% | 0.4741\% | 0.5073\% | 2.3806\% | 0.0000\% |
| CP Demand for Transmission Allocation | 10 | 100.0000\% | 0.0437\% | 1.1156\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.1872\% | 0.5512\% | 0.5898\% | 2.7677\% | 1.4802\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Sales Customers | 11 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Transport Customers | 12 | 100.0000\% | 0.3631\% | 6.4937\% | 3.8555\% | 7.1354\% | 6.5205\% | 19.1112\% | 0.9217\% | 2.3569\% | 2.9860\% | 10.5929\% | 4.9287\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand - Total Customers | 13 | 100.0000\% | 0.0863\% | 1.5432\% | 0.9163\% | 1.6957\% | 1.5496\% | 4.5418\% | 0.2190\% | 0.5601\% | 0.7096\% | 2.5174\% | 1.1713\% |
| Monthly NCP Derrand - Retail Customers | 14 | 100.0000\% | 0.0875\% | 1.5641\% | 0.9286\% | 1.7187\% | 1.5705\% | 4.6032\% | 0.2220\% | 0.5677\% | 0.7192\% | 2.5514\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly NCP Demand for Transmission Alloci | 15 | 100.0000\% | 0.1017\% | 1.8180\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2580\% | 0.6599\% | 0.8360\% | 2.9657\% | 1.3799\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Sales Customers | 16 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Transport Customers | 17 | 100.0000\% | 0.3381\% | 0.1774\% | 3.6649\% | 7.8510\% | 7.3596\% | 20.3179\% | 0.8969\% | 2.6602\% | 3.1445\% | 11.0648\% | 5.3772\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Total Customers | 18 | 100.0000\% | 0.0734\% | 0.0385\% | 0.7953\% | 1.7038\% | 1.5971\% | 4.4092\% | 0.1946\% | 0.5773\% | 0.6824\% | 2.4012\% | 1.1669\% |
| Monthly CP Demand - Retail Customers | 19 | 100.0000\% | 0.0744\% | 0.0390\% | 0.8060\% | 1.7267\% | 1.6186\% | 4.4686\% | 0.1973\% | 0.5851\% | 0.6916\% | 2.4336\% | 0.0000\% |
| Monthly CP Demand for Transmission Aliocat | 20 | 100.0000\% | 0.0861\% | 0.0452\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2284\% | 0.6774\% | 0.8007\% | 2.8175\% | 1.3692\% |
| MCF - Sales Customers | 21 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| MCF - Transport Customers | 22 | 100.0000\% | 0.5756\% | 3.2921\% | 3.4125\% | 6.4530\% | 7.0839\% | 25.5126\% | 0.8567\% | 2.1744\% | 3.1456\% | 14.5089\% | 3.9864\% |
| MCF-Total | 23 | 100.0000\% | 0.1859\% | 1.0630\% | 1.1018\% | 2.0836\% | 2.2873\% | 8.2376\% | 0.2765\% | 0.7021\% | 1.0157\% | 4.6847\% | 1.2871\% |
| MCF - Retail Customers | 24 | 100.0000\% | 0.1885\% | 1.0781\% | 1.1175\% | 2.1133\% | 2.3199\% | 8.3550\% | 0.2806\% | 0.7121\% | 1.0301\% | 4.7514\% | 0.0000\% |
| MCF for Transmission Allocation | 25 | 100.0000\% | 0.2352\% | 1.3449\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.3500\% | 0.8883\% | 1.2851\% | 5.9273\% | 1.6286\% |
| MCF Sales for Transmission Allocation | 26 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| MCF Less Flex | 27 | 100.0000\% | 0.1859\% | 1.0630\% | 1.1018\% | 2.0836\% | 2.2873\% | 8.2376\% | 0.2766\% | 0.7021\% | 1.0157\% | 4.6847\% | 1.2871\% |
| Winter Volumes - 5ales Customers | 28 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Winter Volumes - Transport Customers | 29 | 100.0000\% | 0.4253\% | 0.3194\% | 3.9851\% | 7.2714\% | 7.1410\% | 22.2633\% | 1.0218\% | 2.4294\% | 3.1599\% | 12.5908\% | 4.9716\% |
| Winter Volumes-Total | 30 | 100.0000\% | 0.1085\% | 0.0815\% | 1.0167\% | 1.8551\% | 1.8219\% | 5.6800\% | 0.2607\% | 0.6198\% | 0.8062\% | 3.2123\% | 1.2684\% |
| Winter volumes - Retail Customers | 31 | 100.0000\% | 0.1101\% | 0.0827\% | 1.0313\% | 1.8818\% | 1.8480\% | 5.7616\% | 0.2644\% | 0.6287\% | 0.8178\% | 3.2584\% | 0.0000\% |
| Winter Volumes for Transmission Allocation | 32 | 100.0000\% | 0.1310\% | 0.0984\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.3148\% | 0.7484\% | 0.9735\% | 3.8788\% | 1.5316\% |
| Net Sales Revenues | 33 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Servires Cost | 34 | 100.0000\% | 0.0013\% | 0.0708\% | 0.0584\% | 0.0294\% | 0.0171\% | 0.0161\% | 0.0115\% | 0.0090\% | 0.0057\% | 0.0107\% | 0.0068\% |
| Number of Services | 35 | 100.0000\% | 0.0011\% | 0.0707\% | 0.0339\% | 0.0162\% | 0.0095\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0059\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0033\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0048\% |
| Meters Cost | 36 | 100.0000\% | 0.0127\% | 0.2238\% | 0.3090\% | 0.1615\% | 0.0933\% | 0.1188\% | 0.0495\% | 0.0565\% | 0.0352\% | 0.0584\% | 0.0421\% |
| Number of Meters | 37 | 100.0000\% | 0.0011\% | 0.0707\% | 0.0339\% | 0.0162\% | 0.0095\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0059\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0033\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0048\% |
| AMR Cost | 38 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0759\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Number of AMR Installations | 39 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0759\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Regulators Cost | 40 | 100.0000\% | 0.0010\% | 0.2034\% | 0.0395\% | 0.0142\% | 0.0084\% | 0.0088\% | 0.0170\% | 0.0148\% | 0.0094\% | 0.0152\% | 0.0139\% |
| Number of Regulators | 41 | 100.0000\% | 0.0011\% | 0.0707\% | 0.0339\% | 0.0162\% | 0.0095\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0059\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0033\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0048\% |
| Meter \& Regulator installation Cost | 42 | 100.0000\% | 0.0150\% | 0.1057\% | 0.3428\% | 0.1847\% | 0.1056\% | 0.1329\% | 0.0554\% | 0.0646\% | 0.0395\% | 0.0641\% | 0.0445\% |
| Number of Meter Set Installations | 43 | 100.0000\% | 0.0011\% | 0.0707\% | 0.0339\% | 0.0162\% | 0.0095\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0059\% | 0.0051\% | 0.0033\% | 0.0053\% | 0.0048\% |
| Customer Deposits | 44 | 100.0000\% | 0.0134\% | 0.1782\% | 0.1285\% | 0.1842\% | 0.1860\% | 0.6118\% | 0.0420\% | 0.0921\% | 0.1228\% | 0.5205\% | 0.1339\% |


| KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY curb class Cost of Service Study Allocation Percentagges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TAl Alloc Factor | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { CNG } \\ \text { Transport } \\ \text { CNG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Irrigation Transport GIT | Large Vol Transport-T1 LvTk $-T 1$ | Large Vol Transport-T2 LVTk -72 | Large Vol Transport-T3 IVTk-T3 | Large Vol Transport-T4 LVTk-T4 | Large Vol Transport $-T 1$ LVTt-T1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport }-T 2 \\ \text { LVt-T2 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Large Vol } \\ \text { Transport-T3 } \\ \text { LVTt-T3 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Large Vol Transport-T4 LVTt-T4 | Wholesale <br> Transport WTt |
| Sales Revenues | 45 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Transportation Revenues | 46 | 100.0000\% | 0.3383\% | 4.5030\% | 3.4503\% | 4.9472\% | 4.9944\% | 16.4290\% | 1.2289\% | 2.4729\% | 3.2985\% | 13.9758\% | 3.5960\% |
| Rate Schedule Revenues | 47 | 100.0000\% | 0.0454\% | 0.6047\% | 0.4633\% | 0.6644\% | 0.6707\% | 2.2063\% | 0.1516\% | 0.3321\% | 0.4430\% | 1.8768\% | 0.4829\% |
| Total Revenues | 48 | 100.0000\% | 0.0455\% | 0.6050\% | 0.4635\% | 0.6647\% | 0.6710\% | 2.2073\% | 0.1517\% | 0.3322\% | 0.4432\% | 1.8777\% | 0.4831\% |
| Direct to 65s Customers | 54 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Distribution Plant | 78 | 100.0000\% | 0.0613\% | 0.2752\% | 0.5253\% | 0.9578\% | 0.9509\% | 2.9727\% | 0.1250\% | 0.3239\% | 0.4127\% | 1.6579\% | 0.0091\% |
| General Plant | 85 | 100.0000\% | 0.0699\% | 0.3083\% | 0.4200\% | 0.7593\% | 0.7519\% | 2.3434\% | 0.1416\% | 0.3731\% | 0.4764\% | 1.9202\% | 0.2366\% |
| Rate Base Less Working Capital | 101 | 100.0000\% | 0.0758\% | 0.3256\% | 0.4444\% | 0.8069\% | 0.8010\% | 2.5049\% | 0.1518\% | 0.4007\% | 0.5139\% | 2.0727\% | 0.2565\% |
| Gross Plant | 107 | 100.0000\% | 0.0744\% | 0.3184\% | 0.4376\% | 0.7975\% | 0.7916\% | 2.4743\% | 0.1491\% | 0.3937\% | 0.5049\% | 2.0358\% | 0.2481\% |
| Net Plant | 111 | 100.0000\% | 0.0767\% | 0.3279\% | 0.4483\% | 0.8148\% | 0.8088\% | 2.5289\% | 0.1535\% | 0.4054\% | 0.5198\% | 2.0964\% | 0.2595\% |
| PST\&O Plant | 115 | 100.0000\% | 0.0747\% | 0.3191\% | 0.4387\% | 0.7999\% | 0.7942\% | 2.4827\% | 0.1495\% | 0.3951\% | 0.5067\% | 2.0433\% | 0.2489\% |
| O8M less ARG | 119 | 100.0000\% | 0.0480\% | 0.2285\% | 0.3108\% | 0.5327\% | 0.5190\% | 1.5884\% | 0.0979\% | 0.2511\% | 0.3190\% | 1.2820\% | 0.1437\% |
| Transmission Operations | 123 | 100.0000\% | 0.1677\% | 0.7568\% | 0.00c0\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2950\% | 0.7928\% | 1.0659\% | 4.5200\% | 1.5112\% |
| Transmission Maintenance | 127 | 100.0000\% | 0.1432\% | 0.5431\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2750\% | 0.7582\% | 0.9863\% | 4.0088\% | 1.4686\% |
| Distribution Operations | 131 | 100.0000\% | 0.0299\% | 0.1427\% | 0.3349\% | 0.4988\% | 0.4643\% | 1.3455\% | 0.0724\% | 0.1693\% | 0.1987\% | 0.7428\% | 0.0149\% |
| Distribution Maintenance | 135 | 100.0000\% | 0.0851\% | 0.3324\% | 0.7030\% | 1.3431\% | 1.3399\% | 4.1996\% | 0.1698\% | 0.4541\% | 0.5820\% | 2.3405\% | 0.0069\% |
| Peak \& Average - Production Plant | 139 | 100.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% |
| Peak \& Average - Distribution Plant | 140 | 100.0000\% | 0.1215\% | 0.4682\% | 0.9347\% | 1.8864\% | 1.9083\% | 6.0740\% | 0.2317\% | 0.6375\% | 0.8314\% | 3.3910\% | 0.0000\% |
| Dist Ptt Excluding Land \& Rights of Way | 141 | 100.0000\% | 0.0613\% | 0.2752\% | 0.5253\% | 0.9578\% | 0.9509\% | 2.9727\% | 0.1250\% | 0.3239\% | 0.4127\% | 1.6579\% | 0.0091\% |
| Total Dist. Mains Plant | 142 | 100.0000\% | 0.1215\% | 0.4682\% | 0.9347\% | 1.8864\% | 1.9083\% | 6.0740\% | 0.2317\% | 0.6375\% | 0.8314\% | 3.3910\% | 0.0000\% |
| Totai Distribution Plant | 143 | 100.0000\% | 0.0613\% | 0.2752\% | 0.5253\% | 0.9578\% | 0.9509\% | 2.9727\% | 0.1250\% | 0.3239\% | 0.4127\% | 1.6579\% | 0.0091\% |
| Mains \& Services Distribution Plt. | 144 | 100.0000\% | 0.0701\% | 0.2984\% | 0.5602\% | 1.0928\% | 1.1001\% | 3.4850\% | 0.1376\% | 0.3689\% | 0.4786\% | 1.9464\% | 0.0029\% |
| Dist. Operations Labor | 145 | 100.0000\% | 0.0299\% | 0.1427\% | 0.3349\% | 0.4988\% | 0.4643\% | 1.3455\% | 0.0724\% | 0.1693\% | 0.1987\% | 0.7428\% | 0.0149\% |
| Total Labor | 146 | 100.0000\% | 0.0392\% | 0.2398\% | 0.3012\% | 0.5015\% | 0.4832\% | 1.4585\% | 0.0908\% | 0.2338\% | 0.2837\% | 1.1385\% | 0.1584\% |
| Labor - ARG | 147 | 100.0000\% | 0.0392\% | 0.2398\% | 0.3012\% | 0.5015\% | 0.4832\% | 1.4585\% | 0.0908\% | 0.2338\% | 0.2837\% | 1.1385\% | 0.1584\% |
| Peak \& Average - Transmission Plant | 148 | 100.0000\% | 0.1432\% | 0.5431\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.0000\% | 0.2750\% | 0.7582\% | 0.9863\% | 4.0088\% | 1.4686\% |
| Total O\&M Less Other Gas Supply | 149 | 100.0000\% | 0.0491\% | 0.2394\% | 0.3211\% | 0.5508\% | 0.5379\% | 1.6534\% | 0.1004\% | 0.2576\% | 0.3270\% | 1.3181\% | 0.1558\% |

KANSAS GAS SERVICE
Residential Customer Cost Analysis
RESIDENTIAL

| Gross Plant |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Services | \$394,879,434 |
| Meters | \$107,011,060 |
| Meter Installations | \$76,651,491 |
| Regulators | \$19,925,311 |
| Installation on Customer Premises | \$204,457 |
| Total Gross Plant | \$598,671,753 |
| Accum. Depreciation Reserve |  |
| Services | \$167,726,503 |
| Meters | \$22,715,027 |
| Meter Installations | \$22,889,687 |
| Regulators | \$6,598,116 |
| Installation on Customer Premises | \$199,494 |
| Total Depr. Reserve | \$220,128,827 |
| Total Rate Base | \$378,542,926 |
| Operation \& Maintenance Expenses |  |
| Oper Meter \& House Reg. | \$8,623,809 |
| Oper Customer Install Exp | \$7,530,794 |
| Services Maintenance | \$2,617,773 |
| Maint Meter \& House Reg | \$2,020,829 |
| Meter Reading | \$4,928,244 |
| 903 Records \& Collections | \$14,579,244 |
| Total O\&M Expenses | \$40,300,693 |
| Depreciation Expense 1/ |  |
| Services | \$10,674,600.61 |
| Meters | \$2,892,782.53 |
| Meter Installations | \$2,072,085.76 |
| Regulators | \$538,632.10 |
| Installation on Customer Premises | \$5,527.00 |
| Total Depreciation Expense | \$16,183,628 |
| Revenue Requirement |  |
| Interest | \$7,476,223 |
| Equity Return | \$16,088,074 |
| Income Tax | \$10,525,779 |
| Total | \$34,090,076 |
| Revenue For Return | \$34,090,076 |
| O\&M Expenses | \$40,300,693 |
| Depreciation Expense | \$16,183,628 |
| Subtotal Customer Revenue Requirement | \$90,574,397 |
| Plus: Uncollectible @ 1.6257\% 2/ | \$1,472,468 |
| Total Customer Revenue Requirement | \$92,046,865 |
| Number of Bills | 6,954,492 |
| Monthly Cost | \$13.24 |

[^10]
## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
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I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2016, to the following:
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ANDERSON \& BYRD, L.L.P.
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GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY
CAFER PEMBERTON LLC
3321 SW 6TH ST
TOPEKA, KS 66606
glenda@caferlaw.com
TERRI PEMBERTON, ATTORNEY
CAFER PEMBERTON LLC
3321 SW 6TH ST
TOPEKA, KS 66606
terri@caferlaw.com
BLAKE BASTIEN, SENIOR DIRECTOR GAS
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CENTERPOINT ENERGY SERVICES, INC.
470 N K1RKWOOD, SUITE 200
ST. LOUIS, MO 63122
blake.bastien@centerpointenergy.com
GEORGE HEPBURN, SENIOR VICE
PRESIDENT, GENERAL COUNSEL
CENTERPOINT ENERGY SERVICES, INC.
1111 LOUISIANA STREET
HOUSTON, TX 77002
george.hepburn@centerpointenergy.com
RICK PEMBERTON, DIRECTOR,
REGIONAL SALES
CENTERPOINT ENERGY SERVICES, INC.
3732 SW SPRING CREEK LANE
TOPEKA, KS 66610
richard.pemberton@centerpointenergy.com

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, DEPUTY GENERAL COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027
b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov

ANDREW FRENCH, SENIOR LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD
TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027
a.french@kcc.ks.gov

ROBERT VINCENT, LITIGATION COUNSEL
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION
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TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027
r.vincent@kcc.ks.gov
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KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF
ONE GAS, INC.
7421 W 129TH ST
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2634
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JUDY JENKINS
KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF
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7421 W 129TH ST
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Embedded cost allocations are directly only concerned with relative, not absolute, criteria. That is, because embedded cost allocations reflect nothing more than dividing total system costs between classes, it is the relative (percentage) contributors to total system amounts that is relevant.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Under the P\&A or Demand/Commodity approach, peak use and annual throughput are either weighted equally or based on system load factor, where load factor is the ratio of average daily usage to peak day usage. When using a load factor approach to weight P\&A usage, the weighting of average day usage is that of the system load factor, while the peak day weight is one minus the system load factor.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The volume of a cylinder (pipe) is equal to pi (3.14159) $\times$ Radius $^{2} \mathrm{x}$ length. Therefore, it can be seen that as the diameter doubles, the area (volume) of the pipe increases by four times that of the smaller pipe.
    ${ }^{5}$ The cost of mains investment reflects the cost of capitalized labor to install the main plus the cost of materials (the piping). Although the labor cost of installing pipe increases somewhat with larger size pipe, these additional labor costs tend to be much smaller than the capacity added. Similarly, although the materials cost of the pipe also increases, it is by a much smaller percentage than the capacity added.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ If natural gas was delivered to end-users in tanks (as is done with propane), there would be no distribution system, or mains, to allocate.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Calculated as $\$ 217,928,059$ (per Exhibit PHR-5, page 3$) \div \$ 323,378,082$ (per Exhibit PHR-5, page 1).

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In conducting my P\&A analysis, I have utilized the load factor approach used by Mr. Raab to weigh between peak and average usage.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ James C. Bonbright, et al., Principles of Public Utility Rates, p. 141 (Second Edition, 1988).
    ${ }^{10}$ Strictly speaking, efficiency is achieved only when there is no excess capacity such that short-run marginal costs equal long-run marginal costs. In practice, there is usually at least some excess capacity present such that pricing based on long-run marginal costs represents the most efficient utilization of resources.

[^7]:    ${ }^{11}$ Under SFV pricing, customers pay a fixed charge that is designed to recover all of the utility's fixed costs.
    ${ }^{12}$ Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket Nos. RM91-11-001 and RM87-34-065, Order No. 636 (Apr. 9, 1992), p. 7.

[^8]:    ${ }^{13}$ Id. p. 8 (alteration in original).
    ${ }^{14}$ Id. pp. 128-129.

[^9]:    ${ }^{16}$ Moreover, the consumption and burning of natural gas creates fewer carbon emissions and is more environmentally friendly than the use of electricity or heating oil.

[^10]:    1/ Based on distribution plant composite depreciation rate as Mr. Raab does not show depreciation expense by account.

    2/ Calculated per CCOSS of $\$ 3,197,401$ (Residential uncollectible) divided by $\$ 196,678,858$ (Residential rate revenue).

