

**THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS**

Before Commissioners: Susan K. Duffy, Chair  
Dwight D. Keen  
Andrew J. French

In the Matter of the Investigation into the )  
Agreement between Evergy and Elliott ) Docket No. 20-EKME-514-GIE  
Management to consider a Modified Stand )  
Alone Plan or Merger Transaction. )

**ORDER GRANTING CURB'S PETITION TO INTERVENE;  
PROTECTIVE/DISCOVERY ORDER**

This matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas (Commission) for consideration and decision. Having reviewed the pleadings and record, the Commission makes the following findings and conclusions:

1. On June 11, 2020, Commission Staff (Staff) filed a Petition for Order Initiating Investigation, to investigate Evergy's Agreement with Elliott Management (Elliott) to explore either a Modified Standalone Plan that would effectively cut operating and maintenance expenses and increase capital expenditures dramatically, or a Merger Transaction.<sup>1</sup> Staff's Petition is made pursuant to the Commission's plenary authority under K.S.A. 66-101 to "supervise and control" electric utilities doing business in Kansas and "and K.S.A. 66-101h to "examine and inspect the condition of each electric public utility" and the "manner of its conduct and its management with reference to the public safety and convenience."<sup>2</sup>

2. Elliott is an asset management firm that manages approximately \$40.2 billion in assets, with a stated goal of generating a consistent return to its investors.<sup>3</sup> Currently, Elliott owns an economic interest equivalent of 11.3 million shares in Evergy or approximately \$760

---

<sup>1</sup> Petition of Commission Staff for Order Initiating Investigation (Petition), June 11, 2020, ¶ 5.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 2.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 3.

million in current market value.<sup>4</sup> On January 21, 2020, Elliott issued a press release advising it had engaged in private discussions with Evergy management on ways to maximize value for all of Evergy's key stakeholders.<sup>5</sup>

3. On February 28, 2020, Evergy and Elliott entered into an Agreement to: (1) appoint two new members to Evergy's Board, and (2) create a Strategic Review & Operations Committee (Committee) to explore ways to increase Evergy's shareholder value.<sup>6</sup> The Committee is considering either a Modified Standalone Plan that would effectively cut operating and maintenance expenses and increase capital expenditures dramatically, or a Merger Transaction.<sup>7</sup> The Committee's recommendation is scheduled to be presented to Evergy's Board by July 30, 2020, and voted on by August 17, 2020.<sup>8</sup> If Evergy pursues a Modified Standalone Plan, the Agreement imposes an October 14, 2020 deadline to publicly present the plan to the investor community.

4. Based on concerns that Elliott's focus on increasing shareholder value may result in Evergy's customers paying higher rates or receiving lower quality service, and circumvent the merger conditions agreed to by Evergy in the 18-KCPE-095-MER Docket, on June 18, 2020, the Commission opened a general investigation.<sup>9</sup> The purpose of the general investigation is to enable the Commission, stakeholders, and customers to be fully informed of the analysis and rationale behind Evergy's decision.<sup>10</sup>

5. On June 19, 2020, the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) filed its Petition to Intervene and Motion for Protective Order and Discovery Order. In support of its petition to

---

<sup>4</sup> Staff Report and Recommendation, June 11, 2020, p. 1.

<sup>5</sup> Petition, ¶ 4.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 5.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> Order Opening General Investigation, June 18, 2020, ¶ 4.

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

intervene, CURB cites its statutory authority to represent residential and small commercial ratepayers as an official intervenor before the Commission.<sup>11</sup> CURB explains it is entitled to intervene because residential and small commercial ratepayers may be substantially affected by Evergy's decisions concerning the Modified Standalone Plan or Merger and the transparency of the decision-making process arising from the general investigation approved by the Commission.<sup>12</sup>

6. The Commission has broad discretion to grant a petition for intervention if it is in the interest of justice, if the intervention will not impair the orderly and prompt conduct of the proceedings, and if the party has stated facts demonstrating its legal rights, duties and privileges, immunities or other legal interests may be substantially affected by the proceeding.<sup>13</sup> Furthermore, at any time during a proceeding, the Commission may impose limitations on an intervenor's participation.<sup>14</sup>

7. The Commission finds and concludes that CURB has met the requirements of K.A.R. 82-1-225 and K.S.A. 77-521 and should be granted intervention in this Docket. CURB will be added to the mailing list, and electronic service of pleadings, communications, and correspondence should be delivered to counsel of record and intervenors' other designee as follows:

---

<sup>11</sup> Petition to Intervene and Motion for Protective Order and Discovery Order, June 19, 2020, ¶ 3.

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*, ¶ 5.

<sup>13</sup> K.S.A. 77-521; K.A.R. 82-1-225.

<sup>14</sup> K.S.A. 77-521(c).

David W. Nickel  
Todd E. Love  
Joseph R. Astrab  
Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board  
1500 SW Arrowhead Road  
Topeka, KS 66604  
(785) 271-3200  
[d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov](mailto:d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov)  
[t.love@curb.kansas.gov](mailto:t.love@curb.kansas.gov)  
[j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov](mailto:j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov)

Shonda Rabb  
Della Smith  
Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board  
1500 SW Arrowhead Road  
Topeka, KS 66604  
(785) 271-3200  
[s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov](mailto:s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov)  
[d.smith@curb.kansas.gov](mailto:d.smith@curb.kansas.gov)

8. CURB is also requesting a Protective Order and Discovery Order in this docket to allow it and its consultant access to the full information contained in this filing.<sup>15</sup> Based on the highly sensitive material being reviewed in this investigation, a more stringent protective order is necessary, but the Commission's standard discovery language will suffice.

**I. Protective Order**

9. K.S.A. 66-1220a and K.A.R. 82-1-221a set forth requirements for the designation and treatment of information deemed confidential in Commission proceedings. The Commission finds it appropriate to issue this Protective Order to establish procedures relating to confidential data and information.

10. K.S.A. 66-1220a limits disclosure of trade secrets or confidential commercial information of regulated utilities. Under K.S.A. 66-1220a(a)(4), the Commission is to consider alternatives to disclosure that will serve the public interest and protect the regulated entity. This Protective Order provides an interim procedure under K.S.A. 66-1220a(a)(4) to facilitate the prompt and orderly conduct of this case. This Protective Order will govern the treatment and handling of confidential information until further order of the Commission.

11. A party may designate as confidential any information that it believes, in good faith, to be a trade secret or other confidential commercial information. The party designating the

---

<sup>15</sup> Petition to Intervene and Motion for Protective Order and Discovery Order, ¶ 11.

information as confidential must provide a written statement of the specific grounds for the designation at the time the designation is made.<sup>16</sup> The party claiming confidentiality has the burden of proving the confidential status of the information. Designating information as confidential does not establish that the information will be kept from disclosure after review by the Commission.<sup>17</sup>

12. This Protective Order applies to all parties in this proceeding, unless specifically stated otherwise. The provisions of the Protective Order apply to Commission Staff (Staff), except that Staff is not required to sign nondisclosure certificates or view voluminous materials on site and is not required to return or destroy confidential information upon request at the conclusion of a proceeding. Outside experts and consultants used by Staff shall have access to information and voluminous materials on the same basis as Staff, except that outside Staff experts and consultants are required to read this Protective Order and to sign nondisclosure certificates as contained in Appendix A. Parties who do not sign a nondisclosure certificate will not be granted access to confidential information filed in this docket.

13. The following definitions shall apply:

**Information:** “Information” refers to all documents, data, including electronic data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to requests for information or other modes of discovery, or any other information or documents that are otherwise a part of the Commission record.

**Confidential Information:** “Confidential information” refers to information which, if disclosed, would likely result in harm to a party’s economic or competitive interests or which would result in harm to the public interest, generally, and which is not otherwise available from public sources. “Confidential information” may include, but is not limited to: (1) material or documents that contain information relating directly to specific customers; (2) employee-sensitive information; (3) marketing analyses or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others; (4) reports, work papers or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors or consultants; (5) strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration;

---

<sup>16</sup> K.A.R. 82-1-221a(a)(5).

<sup>17</sup> See K.S.A. 66-1220a.

(6) contract negotiations; and, (7) information concerning trade secrets, as well as private technical, financial, and business information.

14. This Protective Order requires Staff to review any of Evergy's Strategic Review & Operations Committee (SROC) or Evergy Board materials onsite at the Evergy Topeka offices. This higher level of confidentiality is appropriate because Staff will be reviewing materials while the decision-making process is still occurring, which creates a need for the highest level of confidentiality given the commercial sensitivity that exists, especially with the ongoing scope of the SROC work including an ongoing strategic market check.

15. The need for a high level of confidentiality and the ongoing scope of work means that the review of Board and SROC materials that is currently occurring, before a final decision is made and publicly announced, should continue to be limited only to Staff. Once Evergy submits its responses to Staff's questions, two weeks after public announcement of its decision, those responses will be filed in this Docket and available to all intervenors who have signed nondisclosure agreements. Staff's Review of SROC or Board materials will be conducted onsite at the Evergy Topeka offices.

16. If Evergy elects to pursue the Modified Standalone option, Staff intends to petition the Commission to open a new docket to evaluate any Standalone Plan that may be approved by Evergy's Board of Directors.<sup>18</sup> If Evergy pursues a merger, it will file an Application to approve the merger. Those forthcoming Dockets, rather than this investigation are the proper forum for interested parties to seek discovery from Evergy in accordance with the Commission's general discovery and protective orders, rules, and procedures.

17. A party designating information as confidential shall make the confidential information available to parties seeking access or discovery under the restrictions in this

---

<sup>18</sup> Staff's Report and Recommendation, June 11, 2020, p 2, (Attachment A to Petition of Commission Staff for Order Initiating Investigation.

Protective Order, if such disclosure is not otherwise privileged or objectionable on other evidentiary grounds. Disclosure of confidential information shall be made to attorneys of record and to authorized representatives, including outside experts, who are consulting with parties or intend to file testimony in this proceeding. Attorneys or authorized representatives seeking access to confidential information shall first read this Protective Order and sign a nondisclosure certificate as provided in Appendix A. In cases in which a utility's rates are being reviewed, attorneys and representatives of the utility whose rates are being reviewed are not required to sign nondisclosure certificates in order to receive copies of documents containing the utility's own confidential information. The nondisclosure certificate shall contain the signatory's name, permanent address, title or position, date signed, and an affirmation that the signer is acting on behalf of a party to this proceeding. The nondisclosure certificate shall be filed in the docket. The party claiming confidentiality shall provide legible copies of the confidential information to requesting parties by serving one copy upon counsel for the requesting party. The requesting party may copy the confidential information and make it available to its authorized representatives who have signed and filed nondisclosure certificates. If a response to a discovery request requires the duplication of voluminous material, or the material is not easily copied because of its binding or size, the furnishing party may require that the voluminous material be viewed on its own premises. If duplication of voluminous material can be accomplished without undue burden on the party disclosing the information, the voluminous material may be copied at the expense of the requesting party. Voluminous material shall include documents or materials comprised of 200 pages or more.

18. A party may designate pre-filed testimony and exhibits as confidential pursuant to this Protective Order. The specific grounds for the confidential designation shall be stated in

writing at the time the designation is made or the testimony filed. Any party obtaining confidential information may use or refer to such information in pre-filed or oral testimony provided that the confidentiality is maintained, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

19. If information to be disclosed in response to a data request contains confidential information designated by another party in this docket, the furnishing party shall maintain the confidential status by marking the information as confidential and only provide response to parties that have signed nondisclosure certificates. If information that a party intends to use in this proceeding or that would be disclosed in response to a data request contains confidential information obtained from a source outside of this proceeding, the party intending to use or provide the confidential information must notify the original source which claimed confidential status to allow that entity to decide whether to claim confidentiality in this proceeding.

20. When pleadings, pre-filed testimony, or exhibits include confidential information, the parties are to follow these procedures:

- a. File seven<sup>19</sup> copies of the complete document, including all confidential information. The cover is to clearly state "CONFIDENTIAL VERSION." Confidential pages shall be stamped "CONFIDENTIAL," and the specific confidential information shall be identified by being underlined.
- b. File one copy with the confidential portions redacted, for use as a public document. The cover is to clearly state "PUBLIC VERSION."
- c. File one copy of the pages that contain confidential information in a separate envelope marked "CONFIDENTIAL." This filing will be maintained in the docket room file under seal. If there are multiple pages with confidential information and it is impracticable to separate the pages with the confidential information, the party may file instead one copy of the entire document that is stamped "CONFIDENTIAL."

21. Confidential testimony may be offered or subject to cross-examination at hearings. Parties have the right to object to the admissibility of confidential information on

---

<sup>19</sup> Only one copy needs to be submitted when a party utilizes electronic filing.



standard evidentiary grounds such as relevance. Confidential information that is received into evidence will be kept under seal. Confidential information shall be discussed only after the hearing is closed to all persons except the Commission, its Staff, hearing examiners, court reporters, attorneys of record and individuals to whom the designated information is available under the terms of this Protective Order. Parties shall make every effort at hearings to ask and answer questions in such a way as to preserve the confidentiality of the information without the need to close the hearing. The transcript of live testimony or oral argument disclosing confidential information shall be kept under seal and copies provided only to persons entitled to access to confidential information. Neither the parties nor their attorneys shall disclose or provide copies of the contents of such transcripts to anyone other than those who may have access to the designated information under the terms of this Protective Order.

22. If a party disagrees with a claim that information is confidential or should not be disclosed, the parties shall first attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis. If the parties cannot resolve the dispute informally, the party contesting the confidential treatment may file a motion with the Commission. Commission Staff should also be prepared to challenge a confidential designation when Staff believes the information does not meet the definition of confidential information. When a dispute concerning confidentiality is brought before the Commission, the Commission will review the matter to determine (1) if the party claiming confidentiality has met its burden of establishing the confidential designation is proper, and (2) whether disclosure is warranted under K.S.A. 66-1220a. The contested information shall not be disclosed pending the Commission's ruling.

23. All persons who are afforded access to confidential information under the terms of this Protective Order shall neither use nor disclose such information for purposes of business

or competition or any other purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and litigation of this proceeding. During the course of this proceeding, parties shall keep confidential information secure in accordance with the purposes and intent of this order. At the conclusion of this proceeding, including judicial review, a party claiming that information was confidential may require that other persons in possession of its confidential information return or destroy all such confidential information and all notes, tapes, documents, and any other medium containing, summarizing, or otherwise embodying such confidential information. If the party claiming confidentiality requests destruction, the person destroying the information shall certify its destruction. Counsel shall be entitled to retain memoranda or pleadings including or embodying confidential information to the extent reasonably necessary to preserve a file on this proceeding.

## **II. Discovery Order**

24. The Commission finds that formalizing discovery procedures and clarifying the obligations of the parties will help ensure a full and efficient investigation of the issues in this docket. This Discovery Order will govern the conduct of discovery until further order of the Commission. Parties may request modified or additional discovery procedures or may request that the Commission schedule a discovery pre-hearing conference.

25. General procedures. Discovery in Commission proceedings is limited to matters that are “clearly relevant.”<sup>20</sup> After a docket is opened, any party may serve upon any other party written discovery, or data requests. These data requests shall identify with reasonable particularity the information or documents sought. Data requests must be designed to elicit material facts within the knowledge of the parties. Data requests that require conclusions of law or answers to hypothetical questions are generally not permitted. Cross-examination through the use of data requests is not appropriate. Copies of data requests shall be served upon all other

---

<sup>20</sup> K.A.R. 82-1-234a(a).

parties to the proceeding, unless a party requests otherwise. Data requests and responses may be served by facsimile transmittal or electronic mail if agreed to by the parties. Data requests that are sent by a party after 5:00 p.m. shall be deemed to have been received the following business day.<sup>21</sup>

26. Data Request Responses. Responses to Staff data requests are due within seven days.<sup>22</sup> Responses to all other data requests are due within 10 days. In computing the period of time for responding, the day on which the data request was issued is not counted. Furthermore, for purposes of calculating all discovery-related deadlines, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be excluded.<sup>23</sup> Parties may agree to extensions or reductions of time in which to respond or object to a data request. Responses to data requests shall be verified and shall identify the person(s) who actually prepared the response and can answer additional questions relating to the response. Each data request shall be answered separately and preceded by the request to which the answer pertains. Responses shall be clearly identified and, if consisting of several pages, shall be labeled and organized in a manner that makes review of the pages convenient. Parties are under a continuing duty to supplement their discovery responses upon learning that the information disclosed is incomplete or incorrect in any material respect. If a response to a data request requires the duplication of voluminous material or of material that is not easily copied because of its binding or size, a party may require that any party other than Commission Staff review the voluminous material on its own premises. If duplication of voluminous material can be accomplished without undue burden, the voluminous material may

---

<sup>21</sup> See K.A.R. 82-1-205 (providing Commission Office Hours).

<sup>22</sup> Per K.A.R. 82-1-217, the day of the act, event, or default from which the designated period of time begins to run shall not be included. The last day of the period so computed shall be included, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, in which event the period runs until the end of the next day which is not a Saturday, a Sunday, or a legal holiday."

<sup>23</sup> This constitutes an explicit limited waiver of K.A.R. 82-1-217(a). This waiver is consistent with the Commission's precedential *Order Granting Petition for Reconsideration of Discovery Order*, issued November 10, 2016, in Docket No. 17-KPPE-092-COM.

be copied at the expense of the requesting party. Voluminous material is defined as documents comprised of 200 pages or more.

27. Objections to Data Requests. If the parties have agreed to electronic service, and a party objects to answering a particular data request, the party shall object in writing to the party which issued the data request within five days of the data request.<sup>24</sup> If the parties have not agreed to electronic service, and a party objects to answering a particular data request, the objecting party shall object in writing to the issuing party within five days after service, plus three days if service is by mail. The written objection shall specifically explain all grounds relied upon for objecting to each data request. Any objections not provided at this time will be considered to be waived. If an objection pertains only to part of a question, that part shall be clearly identified and the responding party shall provide any non-objectionable information covered by the remainder of the data request. Parties shall negotiate in good faith to resolve discovery disputes. If resolution is not possible, the party seeking discovery may file a motion to compel with the Commission. Motions to compel must have the data request and response at issue attached. Motions to compel are required to be served by hand delivery, electronic mail, facsimile, or next-day delivery service. Responses to motions to compel are to be filed within three days after the motion is received.<sup>25</sup> The Commission may act immediately on motions to compel if necessitated by time constraints or the procedural schedule in the docket.

28. Limitations on Discovery. The Commission may limit discovery to protect a party against unreasonable, cumulative, or duplicative discovery requests; to prevent undue delay in the proceeding; to avoid unnecessary burden, expense, or harassment; or to otherwise maintain

---

<sup>24</sup> As noted above, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays shall be excluded when calculating discovery-related deadlines, which constitutes a limited waiver of K.A.R. 82-1-217(a).

<sup>25</sup> See fn. 8 above.

the orderly and efficient progress of the proceeding. Upon finding that a party has abused the discovery process, the Commission may deny the right to continue discovery.

29. Sanctions. A motion for sanctions for discovery violations may be filed at any time during the proceeding or may be initiated by the Commission. A motion is to contain sufficient factual allegations to detail the violation and must specify the relief requested. Motions for sanctions are required to be served by hand delivery, electronic mail, facsimile, or next-day delivery service. Responses to motions for sanctions are to be filed within 10 days.<sup>26</sup>

a. The Commission will consider any relevant factors when reviewing a motion for sanctions, including whether discovery has been conducted in bad faith or for an improper purpose such as causing unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of the proceeding; whether the discovery process has been abused in seeking or resisting discovery; and whether parties have failed to obey Commission Orders.

b. Sanctions imposed by the Commission may include limiting or disallowing further discovery; holding that designated facts be deemed admitted for purposes of the proceeding; refusing to allow a party to support or oppose a claim or defense or prohibiting the party from introducing designated matters in evidence; disallowing in whole or in part requests for relief by the offending party and excluding evidence in support of such requests; striking pleadings or testimony; staying further proceedings until an order is obeyed; disallowing a party's right to participate in the proceeding; dismissing the application or filing with or without prejudice; requiring the offending party to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred by other parties because of the sanctionable behavior; and imposing any other sanction or remedy available to the Commission by law.

**THEREFORE, THE COMMISSION ORDERS:**

A. CURB's Petition to Intervene is granted.

B. The provisions of this Protective and Discovery Order shall govern the treatment and handling of confidential information in this Docket.

C. This Protective Order requires Staff to review any of Evergy's Strategic Review & Operations Committee (SROC) or Evergy Board materials onsite at the Evergy Topeka offices. The need for a high level of confidentiality and the ongoing scope of work means that

---

<sup>26</sup> See fn. 8 above.

the review of Board and SROC materials that is to begin immediately is limited only to Staff. Once Evergy submits its responses to Staff's questions, two weeks after public announcement of its decision, those responses will be filed in this Docket and available to all intervenors who have signed nondisclosure agreements. Review of SROC or Board materials will be conducted onsite at the Evergy Topeka offices.

D. New dockets will be opened if Evergy elects to pursue the Modified Standalone option or pursue a merger. Interested parties will have the opportunity to intervene and seek discovery from Evergy in accordance with the Commission's general discovery and protective orders, rules, and procedures in those dockets.

E. Any party may file a petition for reconsideration pursuant to the requirements and time limits established by K.S.A. 77-529(a)(1).<sup>27</sup>

**BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Duffy, Chair; Keen, Commissioner; French, Commissioner

Dated: 07/30/2020



---

Lynn M. Retz  
Executive Director

BGF

---

<sup>27</sup> K.S.A. 77-503(c); K.S.A. 77-531(b).

APPENDIX A

Docket No. 20-EKME-514-GIE  
THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF KANSAS  
NONDISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

I, \_\_\_\_\_, have been presented a copy of the Protective  
Order issued in Docket No. 20-EKME-514-GIE on the \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020.

I have requested review of confidential information produced in the above-mentioned  
docket on behalf of \_\_\_\_\_.

I hereby certify that I have read the above-mentioned Protective Order and agree to abide  
by its terms and conditions.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed name and title

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Party/Employer

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address (City, State and ZIP)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone

\_\_\_\_\_  
Email

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

20-EKME-514-GIE

I, the undersigned, certify that a true copy of the attached Order has been served to the following by means of electronic service on 07/30/2020.

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, ATTORNEY  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
t.love@curb.kansas.gov

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov

SHONDA RABB  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
Fax: 785-271-3116  
s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov

DELLA SMITH  
CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

CATHRYN J. DINGES, CORPORATE COUNSEL  
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC  
818 S KANSAS AVE  
PO BOX 889  
TOPEKA, KS 66601-0889  
cathy.dinges@evergy.com

ROBERT J. HACK, LEAD REGULATORY COUNSEL  
EVERGY METRO, INC  
D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS METRO  
ONE KANSAS CITY PL, 1200 MAIN ST  
19TH FLOOR  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105  
rob.hack@evergy.com

ROGER W. STEINER, CORPORATE COUNSEL  
EVERGY METRO, INC  
D/B/A EVERGY KANSAS METRO  
ONE KANSAS CITY PL, 1200 MAIN ST  
19TH FLOOR  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64105  
roger.steiner@evergy.com

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS  
CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov

MICHAEL NEELEY, LITIGATION COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500  
SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
m.neeley@kcc.ks.gov



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

20-EKME-514-GIE

TERRI PEMBERTON, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL  
KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION  
1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD  
TOPEKA, KS 66604  
t.pemberton@kcc.ks.gov

/s/ DeeAnn Shupe  
DeeAnn Shupe

---