THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners:	Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair
	Thomas E. Wright
	Jay Scott Emler

In the Matter of the Application of R.T.)	Docket No.: 14-CONS-550-CWLE
Enterprises of Kansas, Inc. for Multiple Well)	
Location Exceptions for Wells Upon the)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
Pearson and Finnerty Leases Located in)	
Section 11, Township 15 South, Range 20)	License No.: 33715
East in Douglas County, Kansas.)	

ORDER AUTHORIZING USE OF WELLS DURING PENDENCY OF APPLICATION

The above captioned matter comes before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas. Having examined the files and records, and being duly advised in the premises, the Commission finds and concludes as follows:

- On February 12, 2014, R.T. Enterprises filed an application requesting well location exceptions for various existing and proposed wells on the Pearson and Finnerty leases.
 R.T. Enterprises posits that K.A.R. 82-3-108 and K.A.R. 82-3-207 specifically permit the wells to be operated in their existing and proposed positions, but seeks clarification from the Commission regarding its regulations.
- 2. On February 28, 2014, various parties represented by attorney John L. Hampton ("Protestants") filed an objection to R.T. Enterprises' application. The Protestants state that R.T. Enterprises does not have a valid lease on their properties, that the requested spacing would create a wasteland on the surface, and that the Commission should not consider R.T. Enterprises' application until a lawsuit that the Protestants have filed has been resolved.

- 3. On March 13, 2014, R.T. Enterprises filed a motion for a preliminary order, requesting authorization to continue operating the existing wells on the Pearson and Finnerty leases (the "existing wells"). R.T. Enterprises states that the existing wells have been drilled in good faith, and that the wells are being operated as part of a waterflood operation implemented a little more than a year ago. R.T. Enterprises further states that if the existing wells are shut in, then an oil bank that has been formed as a result of the waterflood will dissipate, resulting in permanent underground waste of oil.²
- 4. Neither the Protestants nor Staff filed a response to R.T. Enterprises' motion for a preliminary order authorizing the continued operation of the existing wells.
- 5. In light of the information presented by R.T. Enterprises and the lack of any response from the Protestants or Staff, the Commission grants R.T. Enterprises' motion for authorization to continue operating the existing wells during the pendency of the application in this docket.
- 6. The Commission takes no position on the validity of any leases covering the existing wells or on the merits of any civil suits regarding the existing wells. The Commission only authorizes operation of the existing wells to the extent that such operations do not conflict with any regulations other than K.A.R. 82-3-108 and K.A.R. 82-3-207, or any applicable statutes or court orders.

¹ Pursuant to R.T. Enterprises' Application, Exhibit A, there are 17 existing wells on the Pearson lease and 10 existing wells on the Finnerty lease. The wells are described in that Exhibit by providing latitude and longitude coordinates, as well as by footages.

² R.T. Enterprises supplemented its motion with written testimony from Dwayne McCune, who states that he is a Kansas-licensed petroleum engineer, and that based on the evidence, if the Commission ordered the existing wells to be shut-in, then it would "in all likelihood" result in permanent underground waste.

THEREFORE, THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

A. During the pendency of its application in this docket, R.T. Enterprises is authorized to continue operating the 17 existing wells on the Pearson lease, and the 10 existing wells on the Finnerty lease, as described in R.T. Enterprises' Application, Exhibit A.

B. This Order constitutes non-final agency action pursuant to K.S.A. 77-607(b)(2). The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and parties for the purpose of entering such further orders as it may deem necessary.

BY THE COMMISSION IT IS SO ORDERED.

Albrecht, Chair; Wright, Com.; Emler, Com.

Dated:	APR 1 0 2014	0	
		Kim Christiansen Executive Director	-,
Mailed Date: _	4-11-2014		

CERTIFY THE ORIGINAL
COPY IS ON FILE WINTED
The State Consention Consention

JRM

APR 1 0 2014

10_

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on 4-11-2014, I caused a complete and accurate copy of this Order to be served via United States mail, with the postage prepaid and properly addressed to the following:

Keith A. Brock Anderson & Byrd, LLP 216 S. Hickory, P.O. Box 17 Ottawa, Kansas 66067 Attorney for R.T. Enterprises

John L. Hampton 3311 Clinton Parkway Court Lawrence, Kansas 66047 Attorney for Protestants

John Almond District Office No. 3

And delivered by hand to:

John McCannon Litigation Counsel Conservation Division Central Office

Jonathan R. Myers

Litigation Counsel (Advisory Counsel in this matter)

Kansas Corporation Commission