20240216142025 Filed Date: 02/16/2024 State Corporation Commission of Kansas

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of the Application of Gateway)	Docket No. 24-CONS-3114-CMSC
Resources U.S.A., Inc. (Operator) for an)	
Operator's License Renewal.)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
)	
)	License No. 32353

PRE-FILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RYAN DULING ON BEHALF OF COMMISSION STAFF

FEBRUARY 16, 2024

- 1 Q. Are you the same Ryan Duling who previously filed direct testimony in this docket?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this matter?
- 4 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address certain statements made in the pre-filed
- 5 testimony submitted on behalf of Gateway Resources U.S.A., Inc. (Operator) in this docket -
- 6 Docket 24-CONS-3114-CMSC (Docket 24-3114) on February 8, 2024.
- 7 Q. On page 3 line 16 through page 4 line 3 of his testimony, Mr. Hanks appears to express
- 8 confusion as to why the O'Brien Sewell #6-27 well was omitted from the updated
- 9 compliance agreement. Can you help address that confusion?
- 10 A. I will do my best. As I stated in my direct testimony, the O'Brien Sewell #6-27 was included 11 as part of the initial compliance agreement with Operator. The reason the well was not 12 included in the updated compliance agreement was because Commission records indicated 13 that the well had already been brought into compliance per the terms of the compliance 14 agreement. Specifically, the well history in the Commission's Risk Based Data Management 15 System (RBDMS) shows that the status of the well was changed from Inactive "IN" to 16 Producing "PR" based on a lease inspection conducted by Mr. Duane Sims on October 19, 17 2017. As a result of Mr. Sims' inspection, Operator was credited with bringing the O'Brien 18 Sewell #6-27 well into compliance with its agreement. This helped Operator meet its quarterly objectives for the 3rd deadline of 2017. Since wells cannot be counted twice towards meeting 19 20 an operator's objectives, the O'Brien Sewell #6-27 was not included in the amended 21 compliance agreement that was later executed between Operator and Staff in 2020.

- 1 Q. Mr. Hanks states that no production has been sold from the well since 2007. Do you
- 2 disagree with that statement?
- 3 A. I don't have any reason to disagree with that statement. Kansas Geological Survey records for
- 4 the O'Brien Sewell #6-27 well also indicate that production has not been sold since 2007. It
- 5 is possible that Operator fully equipped the well and ran it for a very short time period to show
- 6 that the well was capable of production even though no production was sold. Once the well
- was shut-off, it would be in compliance for the next 365 days as long as it met the requirements
- 8 for exempt wells identified under K.A.R. 82-3-111(e). Regardless of what the situation with
- 9 the O'Brien Sewell #6-27 was, the well would have needed to remain in compliance with
- 10 Commission regulations outside of the structure of the compliance agreement since Staff
- documented the well as being brought into compliance with the agreement. The language
- within Commission approved compliance agreements dictates that only wells that are out of
- compliance with K.A.R. 82-3-111 are included as part of the compliance agreement. The
- language in the compliance agreements also indicates that once a well is returned to
- 15 compliance it cannot be added back to the agreement should it fall out of compliance at a
- future date.
- 17 Q. Does Mr. Hanks' testimony change your recommendation regarding this agreement?
- 18 A. No. Operator is still behind in its obligations under the Commission approved compliance
- agreement. Commission rules and regulations prevent the renewal of Operator's license, and
- I would still recommend that the Order Denying Application for License be affirmed.
- Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
- 22 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

24-CONS-3114-CMSC

I, the undersigned, certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Ryan Duling has been served to the following by means of electronic service on February 16, 2024.

NANCY BORST KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION CENTRAL OFFICE 266 N. MAIN ST, STE 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 n.borst@kcc.ks.gov

KELCEY MARSH, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION CENTRAL OFFICE 266 N. MAIN ST, STE 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 k.marsh@kcc.ks.gov JOHN R. HORST, ATTORNEY AT LAW JOHN R. HORST 207 W. Fourth Ave. P.O. Box 560 Caney, KS 67333 jrhorst48@yahoo.com

JONATHAN R. MYERS, ASSISTANT GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 266 N. Main St., Ste. 220 WICHITA, KS 67202-1513 j.myers@kcc.ks.gov

/s/ Paula J. Murray

Paula J. Murray