

Alan Hack  
1968 Carmel Dr  
Lawrence KS 66047

May 6, 2015

Kansas Corporation Commission  
Conservation Division  
266 N. Main St Suite 220  
Wichita, KS 67202

Re: Docket 15-CONS-815-CUIC

Response to Motion to Dismiss.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to Rick Griffin's motion to dismiss my protest referenced above. I'll attempt to answer each of Rick's concerns as best I can.

I'm happy to share my residential address with Rick and Grand Mesa. It's 1968 Carmel Drive Lawrence KS 66047. I was not aware of this requirement until I received Rick's motion. I was following the instructions in the Public Notice filed by Grand Mesa in the Journal World newspaper of March 6, 2015. 82-3-135a requires an address, but I didn't realize my office address would not be considered valid.

Guilty as charged on not including the docket # on my letter requesting a hearing. I'm doing a much better job of that now that I know how critical it is to the process.

My direct and substantial interest in protesting this application is to assure that there is no harm being done to humans, animals, air or water quality within Douglas County as a result of this process. I consider all residents of Douglas County to be my neighbors with a substantial interest in the results of this activity.

I view my protest as an opportunity for Grand Mesa to show that no parts of the process they use with these three wells cause waste, violate correlative rights or pollute the air or water resources of Kansas.

The concern for me is the possible contamination of resources as a by-product of this process. The EPA recently released a state by state report where Oil and Gas producers could voluntarily report any additives used in the injection well process. I was troubled to see that Grand Mesa wasn't listed as one of the Kansas companies taking advantage of this opportunity to help educate fellow Kansans and, if no additives are used in these wells, perhaps reduce some of the concerns surrounding the injection well process. I would, therefore request that Grand Mesa provide this information regarding these and other wells in the State of Kansas.

Finally, the requirement that Grand Mesa be served a copy of the protest, I refer you to the same public notice referenced about that specified that the KCC, and only the KCC, be notified of the protest.

I would end by saying that the motion to dismiss seems to be mostly about how I allegedly didn't follow the correct technical steps in filing the protest rather than the more important question of possible harm as a result of the injection process.

Thank you again.

Al Hack

CC: Rick Griffin

CC: Lane Palmateer

CC: Jon Myers