BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

IN THE MATTER OF THE INVESTIGATION] INTO KANSAS GAS SERVICE COMPANY,] A DIVISION OF ONE GAS INC. REGARDING] KCC DOCKET NO. 21-KGSG-332-GIG THE FEBRUARY 2021 WINTER WEATHER] EVENTS, AS CONTEMPLATED BY 1 DOCKET NO. 21-GIMX-303-MIS]

TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

JOSH FRANTZ

ON BEHALF OF

THE CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD

NOVEMBER 30, 2021

Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Joshua (Josh) P. Frantz. My business address is 1500 SW Arrowhead Road,
3		Topeka, Kansas 66604.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) as a Senior Regulatory
7		Analyst.
8		
9	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
10	A.	I earned a Bachelor of Business Administration degree from Washburn University in
11		Topeka, Kansas. My undergraduate majors were finance, marketing, and management.
12		Additionally, I earned a Master of Business Administration degree, also from Washburn
13		University.
14		From August 2015 through April 2019, I was employed by the Kansas Corporation
15		Commission (KCC or "Commission"). I began my employment with the KCC in the
16		Utilities division as a Senior Research Economist and was ultimately promoted to
17		Managing Rate Analyst. I have served in my current position as Senior Regulatory Analyst
18		with CURB since April 2019.
19		
20	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Commission?
21	A.	Yes. On behalf of CURB, I testified in Docket Nos. 19-ATMG-525-RTS, 21-WCNE-103-
22		GIE, and 21-BHCG-334-GIG. During my prior employment as a member of KCC Staff, I

1		offered testimony in seven proceedings before the Commission as well as over thirty
2		Report and Recommendations for the Commission's consideration. A list of those filings
3		is available, upon request.
4		
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
6	A.	My testimony supports the non-unanimous Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") filed
7		within the Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement ("Joint Motion") in Docket No.
8		21-KGSG-332-GIG ("Docket 21-332") on November 19, 2021, regarding Kansas Gas
9		Service's (KGS or "Company") Plan to Minimize the Financial Effects of the 2021 Winter
10		Weather Event ("Financial Plan").
11		
12	Q.	Please provide a brief background of this proceeding.
13	A.	In mid-February 2021, Winter Storm Uri (also referred to as the "Weather Event") imposed
14		extreme and unprecedented freezing weather conditions across the U.S. It caused
15		widespread infrastructure and operational problems for facilities necessary for the
16		production and delivery of natural gas, leading to sudden and sustained natural gas supply
17		disruptions and substantially higher than normal wholesale natural gas prices.
18		In response to Winter Storm Uri, on February 15, 2021, the Commission issued its
19		Emergency Order in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS ("Emergency Order"), which
20		acknowledged the Governor's State of Disaster Emergency Proclamation, the higher-than-

1 to prolonged cold weather.¹

In its Emergency Order, the Commission ordered jurisdictional natural gas and 2 electric utilities to do everything necessary to ensure continued provision of service.² 3 Additionally, the Commission authorized jurisdictional natural gas and electric utilities to 4 defer into a regulatory asset any extraordinary costs associated with ensuring that their 5 customers, or the customers of interconnected Kansas utilities that are non-jurisdictional 6 to the Commission, continued to receive utility service during Winter Storm Uri.³ The 7 Commission required the deferral accounts to be segregated by detailed cost category and 8 to contain enough detail for the Commission to perform a subsequent review for prudence 9 and reasonableness.⁴ The Emergency Order also provided that the deferral is for 10 accounting purposes only, and that decisions related to ratepayer recovery would be 11 addressed in future proceedings.⁵ 12 Soon after, the Commission opened company-specific dockets (with Docket 21-13 332 being assigned to KGS) to document issues raised in the Commission's investigation 14

of each major jurisdictional utility's reactions to and performance during Winter Storm

- 16 Uri, as well as to record the eventual company-specific filings for financial impact plans.
- 17 On May 28, 2021, KGS filed a *Motion for Limited Waiver* ("Motion for Waiver") 18 in this docket seeking Commission authorization to calculate and issue penalties in a 19 manner that deviates from Section 11.06 of the KGS General Terms and Conditions for

¹ See Emergency Order, ¶1, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

² *Emergency Order*, ¶3, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

³ *Emergency Order*, ¶4, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

⁴ Emergency Order, ¶4, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

⁵ Emergency Order, ¶4, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

1	Gas Service ("Tariff") provisions. As to the Motion for Waiver, a Nonunanimous
2	Settlement Agreement was filed in this docket on October 8, 2021. The Nonunanimous
3	Settlement Agreement provides for approval of a penalty multiplier waiver for transport
4	customers, as well as Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty representing the cost of gas procured
5	by KGS on behalf of transport customers during the Weather Event, on a customer specific
6	basis.

On July 30, 2021, KGS filed its Financial Plan in this docket. The Settlement 7 Agreement, concerning which I am testifying, relates to the KGS Financial Plan. I will 8 address the Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement filed in this docket on October 8, 2021, 9 separately from this testimony. Moreover, there are several issues which the signatories 10 agreed should and will be appropriately discussed in connection with KGS's application 11 for a Financing Order as contemplated by the parties. CURB reserves the right to address 12 13 those issues, which include the credits to the securitized Extraordinary Costs for amounts collected or obtained by KGS from marketers and individual transportation customers, in 14 connection with the application for a Financing Order, and I will not discuss those issues 15 here. 16

17

18 Q. Which parties have been granted intervention in this docket?

A. CURB; BlueMark Energy, LLC ("BlueMark"); WoodRiver Energy, LLC ("WoodRiver");
 Bonavia Properties, LLC ("Bonavia"); Constellation NewEnergy–Gas Division, LLC
 ("Constellation"); Atmos Energy Corp. ("Atmos"); Freedom Pipeline, LLC ("Freedom");
 the Kansas Attorney General's Office ("Kansas AG's Office"); TempleLive Wichita, LLC

1		("TempleLive"); the Catholic Diocese of Wichita; the Natural Gas Transportation
2		Customer Coalition (NGTCC); and Symmetry Energy Solutions, LLC ("Symmetry")
3		(collectively, "the Parties") have all been granted intervention in this docket.
4		
5	Q.	Has a settlement agreement been reached regarding the Company's Financial Plan?
6	A.	Yes, an Agreement regarding the Company's Financial Plan was filed in this docket on
7		November 19, 2021. Additionally, certain conditions of this Agreement modify provisions
8		of the pending settlement in the Motion for Waiver portion this docket. The signatories to
9		the Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement ("Joint Motion") are CURB, KCC Staff,
10		KGS, and NGTCC (collectively, "Signatories"). Symmetry is the only party opposed to
11		this Agreement. To my knowledge, all other Parties to Docket 21-332 have indicated they
12		are not opposed to the Agreement.
13		
14	Q.	Please outline the key terms of the Agreement.
15	A.	The key terms of the Agreement can be summarized as follows:
16		Extraordinary Costs
17		• KGS should be allowed to recover \$366,158,817 in extraordinary costs incurred due to
18		the Weather Event ("Extraordinary Costs"). This is reflective of the removal of
19		\$14,940,073 associated with a disputed Macquarie invoice, as identified in the
20		Financial Plan Application.
21		Securitization
22		• KGS will apply for a Financing Order in a separate docket pursuant to the applicable

• KGS will apply for a Financing Order in a separate docket pursuant to the applicable

1	provisions contained in the Utility Financing and Securitization Act ("Act") passed by
2	the Kansas Legislature in 2021. The Financing Order would authorize the issuance of
3	Securitized Utility Tariff Bonds ("Securitized Bonds") to finance the Extraordinary
4	Costs, which, if approved, is expected to occur by January 31, 2023. ⁶
5 •	Determination of certain details regarding the Securitized Bonds (e.g., the term of the
6	bonds and how the surcharge will be applied among KGS's sales customers) will be
7	deferred to the Financing Order proceeding.
8 •	The Extraordinary Costs, including carrying charges, will be trued-up and verified as
9	part of KGS's separate filing to obtain the Financing Order. Any reconciliation
10	proposal shall also consider both the deferred tax liability associated with the
11	extraordinary gas cost recoveries, as well as the corresponding and offsetting deferred
12	tax asset associated with the net operating loss carryforward created by the
13	Extraordinary Costs.
14 •	If, at the time of the separate filing for a Financing Order, the Macquarie invoice dispute
15	has been resolved then KGS will include any resolved amount in the amount to be
16	securitized. However, if the dispute is not resolved at the time of the separate filing,
17	then the Signatories agree that KGS can seek recovery of the amount ultimately paid
18	by KGS relating to the disputed Macquarie invoice, including any costs and attorneys'
19	fees incurred relating to the disputed Macquarie invoice, upon resolution, either
20	through the COGR/ACA or allowed to be deferred and recovered in a future rate case.

⁶ Direct Testimony of Mark W. Smith on behalf of KGS, ¶17, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Jul. 30, 2021).

1	• If KGS receives or recovers any payments as a result of any subsequent federal or state
2	governmental relief in the form of profit disgorgement, civil suit relief, market
3	manipulation findings, etc., resulting from the Weather Event, it shall pass those
4	payments on to its customers through its COGR/ACA, even if those payments may be
5	received or recovered after the expiration of the Securitized Utility Tariff Charge.
6	Carrying Charges
7	• Carrying charges applicable to the Extraordinary Costs will be calculated using a rate
8	of 2.0% until the issuance of Securitized Bonds.
9	• KGS agrees to reduce the carrying charge rate applied to amounts set forth in the
10	Settlement on Waiver to 2.0%, equal to the rate agreed upon in this Agreement, and to
11	recalculate the amounts owed under that settlement agreement. Parties other than
12	Symmetry will withdraw any objection to the Settlement of Waiver and support a
13	revised settlement agreement in that proceeding.
14	Other Provisions
15	• The Signatories agree that the Securitized Utility Tariff Charge will not be charged to
16	transportation customers because those transportation customers are not considered
17	retail customers as that term is used in the Act, if such a provision is allowed under the
18	Act.
19	• The Signatories agree that any KGS sales customer who intends on becoming a KGS
20	transportation customer during the period in which the Securitized Utility Tariff Charge
21	is being recovered shall be required to pay KGS a conversion fee prior to becoming a

1		transportation customer, if such a provision is allowed under the Act. The conversion
2		fee owed by the customer shall be based on the present value of the expected charges
3		the customer would have paid as a sales customer over the remaining period in which
4		the Securitized Utility Tariff Charge is being recovered. This conversion fee will be
5		credited to KGS's other sales customers once a year through the COGR/ACA.
6		• By December 31, 2022, Kansas Gas Service will propose a tariff to assist low-income
7		customers.
8		
9	Q.	What criteria does the Commission generally consider when reviewing non-
10		unanimous settlement agreements?
11	A.	The Commission may accept a non-unanimous settlement agreement if the following five
12		criteria are met: 1) the agreement conforms with applicable law; 2) there was an
13		opportunity for opposing parties to be heard on their reasons for opposition to the
14		agreement; 3) the agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence; 4) the
15		agreement results in just and reasonable rates or charges; and 5) the results of the agreement
16		are in the public interest. ⁷ I will now discuss these criteria, in detail.
17		
18	Q.	Does the Agreement conform to applicable law?
19	A.	I have been advised by CURB's attorneys that the Agreement conforms to applicable law.
20		However, since I am not an attorney, I defer further discussion of this criterion to CURB's

⁷ See Order Approving Contested Settlement Agreement, ¶11, Docket No. 08-ATMG-280-RTS (May 12, 2012).

Post-Hearing Brief.

2

1

3 Q. Was there an opportunity for opposing parties to be heard on their reasons for 4 opposition to the Agreement?

5 A. Yes, there have been and will be opportunities for the opposing party to be heard. All 6 Parties participated in technical/settlement conferences held virtually on November 8 and 7 November 16, 2021. There were also several breakout settlement discussions among 8 various parties between November 8, 2021 and the eventual filing of the Agreement on 9 November 19, 2021. Furthermore, the procedural schedule allows for the filing of 10 testimony in opposition to the Agreement. A Commission Hearing and Post-Hearing Brief 11 are also contemplated by the schedule.

12

13 Q. Is the Agreement supported by substantial competent evidence?

A. Yes, the Agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence in the record. The initial Application was supported by the direct testimony of several witnesses on behalf of the Company. There was extensive vetting of these matters through a multitude of data requests ("DRs") and information sharing ahead of the settlement discussions. The Agreement is supported by my testimony and, I expect, will be supported by the testimony of other Signatories.

To my knowledge, it is undisputed that Winter Storm Uri prompted health and safety concerns, sudden and sustained natural gas supply disruptions, and widespread infrastructure and operational failures at facilities that were necessary for the delivery of

1		natural gas. This has already been acknowledged by the Commission.
2		Through review of the facts contained in the Company's testimony and responses
3		to the DRs in this docket, as well as discussions with the Company and KCC Staff, I believe
4		the agreed upon calculation of Extraordinary Costs is reasonably reflective of the
5		extraordinary costs that were incurred by the Company to serve customers during February
6		2021. Furthermore, I believe the agreed upon application of carrying charges is reasonably
7		reflective of the Company's costs associated with financing the Extraordinary Costs until
8		the issuance of Securitized Bonds.
9		
10	Q.	Will the Agreement result in just and reasonable rates/charges?
11	A.	Yes, I believe approval of the Agreement would result in just and reasonable rates/charges.
11 12	A.	Yes, I believe approval of the Agreement would result in just and reasonable rates/charges. I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail:
	A.	
12	А.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail:
12 13	A.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail: Extraordinary Costs
12 13 14	A.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail: <u>Extraordinary Costs</u> Nearly every state in the Midwest is now facing unprecedented, extraordinary
12 13 14 15	A.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail: <u>Extraordinary Costs</u> Nearly every state in the Midwest is now facing unprecedented, extraordinary natural gas costs due to the effects of Winter Storm Uri. In Texas, highly publicized,
12 13 14 15 16	A.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail: <u>Extraordinary Costs</u> Nearly every state in the Midwest is now facing unprecedented, extraordinary natural gas costs due to the effects of Winter Storm Uri. In Texas, highly publicized, widespread utility service disruptions even led to the loss of life. In the KCC's Emergency
12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	I will discuss the reasonableness of specific provisions of the Agreement in detail: <u>Extraordinary Costs</u> Nearly every state in the Midwest is now facing unprecedented, extraordinary natural gas costs due to the effects of Winter Storm Uri. In Texas, highly publicized, widespread utility service disruptions even led to the loss of life. In the KCC's Emergency Order, all jurisdictional utilities were ordered to "do all things possible and necessary to

⁸ Emergency Order, pg. 3 §B, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS (Feb. 15, 2021).

1	maintained service to 99.9% of its residential customers throughout the state.9
2	Annually, KGS files a Gas Purchase Plan (GPP) with the Commission in Docket
3	No. 02-KGSG-414-GPR and presents the plan to KCC Staff and CURB for review before
4	the winter season. The unprecedented weather, supply, demand, and pricing conditions
5	that developed during the Weather Event could not reasonably have been anticipated at the
6	time KGS entered into its GPP contracts, months in advance of the Weather Event. It is
7	important to recognize that the GPP is designed for the entirety of the heating season, and,
8	at the time of the Weather Event, there were nearly two months remaining in the season.
9	Yet, during the Weather Event, for several days in succession, natural gas index prices
10	vastly exceeded any previously fathomable price and usage was equivalent to
11	approximately double average daily volumes under normal weather conditions. ¹⁰
12	KGS did not have any control over the market price of gas that was available during
13	the Winter Event. KGS competitively bids its supply contracts in advance of the winter
14	months and these contract prices are tied to a market index. ¹¹ The unprecedented spike in
15	natural gas index prices during the Weather Event has, understandably, lead to concerns
16	over price gouging, profiteering and market manipulation. Duly, these concerns are being
17	investigated by the Kansas AG's Office under its statutory and common law authority to
18	enforce: (1) the Kansas Consumer Protection Act (KCPA), K.S.A. 50-623, et seq. and to

9 Plan to Minimize the Financial Effects of the 2021 Winter Weather Event, ¶42, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Jul. 30, 2021).
10 Plan to Minimize the Financial Effects of the 2021 Winter Weather Event, ¶10, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG

⁽Jul. 30, 2021). 11 Plan to Minimize the Financial Effects of the 2021 Winter Weather Event, ¶27, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Jul. 30, 2021).

1	protect consumers from suppliers who commit deceptive and unconscionable acts and
2	practices; (2) K.S.A. 50-6,106 (the unconscionable act of profiteering from a disaster); and
3	(3) the Kansas False Claims Act, K.S.A. 75-7501, et seq., which prohibits making a
4	fraudulent claim upon the State government or any political subdivision of the State. ¹²
5	Under the Agreement, any form of federal or state governmental relief in the form of profit
6	disgorgement, civil suit relief, market manipulation findings, etc., resulting from the
7	Weather Event, would be passed to customers through the COGR/ACA.
8	I cannot attest that KGS performed flawlessly during the unprecedented Weather
9	Event. To wit, in a later phase of this docket, KCC Staff, CURB, and other intervenors
10	will discuss the lessons learned from the Weather Event and how to better manage such a
11	situation in the future (e.g., potential tariff revisions, process improvements, etc.).
12	However, I do believe, when viewing KGS's actions during the Weather Event without the
13	lens of hindsight, the Company responded adequately to an unprecedented crisis.
14	Therefore, the Extraordinary Costs should be considered to be prudently incurred.
15	It is important to distinguish that the primary purpose of this phase of this docket is
16	to determine the total amount of prudently incurred Extraordinary Costs. The design of
17	the surcharge and the amount of those Extraordinary Costs attributable to transportation
18	customers, among other issues, will be determined in other phases of this docket.
19	Securitization
20	The details regarding securitization of the Extraordinary Costs (e.g., term duration,

¹² See Petition to Intervene of Kansas AG's Office, Docket No. 21-BHCG-334-GIG (Jul. 19, 2021).

1	interest rate, etc.) have not yet been thoroughly examined and finalized — that process will
2	commence when the Company requests a Financial Order, assuming the Agreement is
3	approved. Generally, though, I expect the securitization process will result in just and
4	reasonable rates and I believe it is the most appropriate, low-cost path forward to finance
5	the Extraordinary Costs incurred by KGS. The initial projections for the Securitized Bond
6	rates presented by KGS, circa July 2021, indicate a rate in the range of 1.0%-1.8%,
7	depending upon term length. ¹³
8	Carrying Charges
9	There is no specific methodology identified in the Agreement detailing the
10	calculation of the negotiated carrying charge rate of 2.0%, so each party may have a
11	different understanding of the concessions made to produce this result. I believe the rate
12	of 2.0%, for the period starting when the Extraordinary Costs were incurred through the
13	issuance of Securitized Bonds (approx. January 31, 2023), is reasonably reflective of the
14	short-term financing rates incurred by the Company to cover its Extraordinary Costs and,
15	therefore, I support this provision of the Agreement. Methodology aside, the lower
16	carrying charge percentage agreed upon by the Signatories would drastically reduce the
17	total carrying charges from \$70 million to \$15 million, approximately, when compared to
18	the Company's initial proposal. ¹⁴

19

For the same reason, I also support the provision of the Agreement that would apply

¹³ Direct Testimony of Mark W. Smith on behalf of KGS, Exhibit MWS-7, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Jul. 30, 2021).

¹⁴ Joint Motion to Approve Settlement Agreement, ¶9, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Nov. 19, 2021).

the 2.0% rate for carrying charges to the Negotiated Gas Penalties proposed for KGS's 1 transport customers. However, I will note that the timeframe of payment for the Negotiated 2 Gas Penalties has not yet been determined and transport customers will not be subject to 3 the Securitized Utility Tariff Charge. Because the negotiated 2.0% rate for carrying 4 charges is reasonably reflective of *short-term* financing rates, the Company should bill the 5 Negotiated Gas Penalties in a manner that recovers the associated costs over a relatively 6 short time span. I reserve the right to discuss the time span for collection of Negotiated 7 Gas Penalties in connection with the Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement filed in this 8 docket on October 8, 2021, or in connection with KGS's application for a Financing Order, 9 10 as appropriate. In my experience, this particular settlement agreement is different from most I've 11 been involved with in that Parties were not scheduled to file testimony in response to the 12 13 initial Application prior to settlement discussions and the filing of the Agreement. Because CURB has no filed position in response to the Company's initial proposal in this Docket,

14 I would like to address the Company's request for the application of a carrying charge rate 15 at the weighted average cost of capital (WACC), currently 8.6%, on the Extraordinary 16 Costs. I believe that an application of KGS's WACC would be inappropriate in this 17 circumstance and would not be reasonably reflective of actual financing charges associated 18 with the Extraordinary Costs. Allowance of an unreasonably high carrying charge could 19 result in a windfall for the Company stemming from a disastrous event. Meanwhile, utility 20 customers face severe financial consequences from the emergency Weather Event. To 21 reiterate, I am supportive of the reduced carrying charges proposed in the Agreement, but 22

1		I am strongly opposed to the Company's initial proposal to apply its WACC as the carrying
2		charge for the Extraordinary Costs.
3		
4	Q.	Are the results of the Agreement in the public interest?
5	A.	Yes, I believe the Agreement is in the public interest. There is a wide range of
6		representation among the Parties: the Company; several marketers and transportation
7		customers; CURB, representing residential and small commercial customers; and KCC
8		Staff, representing all interests including the public, generally. The Company, CURB,
9		KCC Staff, and NGTCC are signatories to the Agreement. To my knowledge, the only
10		Party who has expressed opposition to the Agreement is Symmetry.
11		Under the Agreement, the Company would recover the Extraordinary Costs it

incurred to provide gas to customers during the Winter Event, plus the inclusion of reasonable carrying charges until the issuance of Securitized Bonds. Furthermore, provisions of the Agreement provide customer protections in the form of potential future relief that could come through the investigations of the Kansas AG's Office, or other governmental agencies, into predatory pricing issues. Additionally, a provision of the Agreement ensures that individual customers will not be able to circumvent the Surcharge by switching from a sales class to transportation.

Lastly, KGS has agreed to propose a tariff to assist low-income customers by the end of 2022. Low-income tariffs are a ratemaking mechanism which CURB passionately supports and believes would be in the interest of the public, generally. It is well documented that energy burden is a critical issue for low-income customers and any

1		surcharge resulting from the Weather Event will further exacerbate the financial hardships
2		faced by low-income households. Therefore, I believe this docket has provided a fitting
3		forum for CURB to negotiate the inclusion of this provision into the Agreement. While
4		this provision of the Agreement is quite broad in scope, I certainly believe it is a step in the
5		right direction.
6		
7	Q.	What do you recommend?
8	A.	I support the Agreement and believe it satisfies the Commission's established criteria for
9		approval of a settlement agreement. Therefore, I recommend the Commission reject
10		KGS's initial proposed Financial Plan and, instead, approve the Agreement as filed.
11		
12	Q.	Does the Agreement resolve all issues in this docket?
	Q. A.	Does the Agreement resolve all issues in this docket? No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's
12		
12 13		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's
12 13 14		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's Financial Plan in response to the Weather Event. There are multiple phases of this docket.
12 13 14 15		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's Financial Plan in response to the Weather Event. There are multiple phases of this docket. If this Agreement is approved, the details of securitization will be discussed in a subsequent
12 13 14 15 16		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's Financial Plan in response to the Weather Event. There are multiple phases of this docket. If this Agreement is approved, the details of securitization will be discussed in a subsequent phase. A separate application and procedural schedule has been filed in this docket related
12 13 14 15 16 17		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's Financial Plan in response to the Weather Event. There are multiple phases of this docket. If this Agreement is approved, the details of securitization will be discussed in a subsequent phase. A separate application and procedural schedule has been filed in this docket related to the financial impacts of the Weather Event on KGS's gas transport customers.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		No. I feel it is important to emphasize that this Agreement primarily applies to KGS's Financial Plan in response to the Weather Event. There are multiple phases of this docket. If this Agreement is approved, the details of securitization will be discussed in a subsequent phase. A separate application and procedural schedule has been filed in this docket related to the financial impacts of the Weather Event on KGS's gas transport customers. Additionally, another phase of this docket will explore potential revisions to tariff

A. Yes, it does.

17

VERIFICATION

SS:

STATE OF KANSAS

COUNTY OF SHAWNEE

I, Josh Frantz, of lawful age and being first duly sworn upon my oath, state that I am a consultant for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board; that I have read and am familiar with the above and foregoing document and attest that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

ohuel.

Josh Frantz

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 30th day of November, 2021.

DELLA J. SMITH Notary Public - State of Kansas My Appt. Expires January 26, 2025

Notary Public

My Commission expires: 01-26-2025.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

21-KGSG-332-GIG

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was served by electronic service on this 30th day of November, 2021, to the following:

ALEX GOLDBERG, Attorney at Law ALEX GOLDBERG 1104 E. 21st PLACE TULSA, OK 74114 <u>alexantongoldberg@gmail.com</u>

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S. HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067 <u>jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com</u>

SHELLY M. BASS, SENIOR ATTORNEY ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION 5430 LBJ FREEWAY 1800 THREE LINCOLN CENTRE DALLAS, TX 75240 shelly.bass@atmosenergy.com

KEVIN C. FRANK, SENIOR ATTORNEY ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION 5430 LBJ FREEWAY 1800 THREE LINCOLN CENTRE DALLAS, TX 75240 kevin.frank@atmosenergy.com

JEFF AUSTIN AUSTIN LAW P.A. 7111 W. 151st ST., STE 315 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66223 jeff@austinlawpa.com

JULIE AGRO BLUEMARK ENERGY 4200 EAST SKELLY DRIVE,STE 300 TULSA, OK 74135 jagro@bluemarkenergy.com

MIKE WESTBROCK BLUEMARK ENERGY 4200 EAST SKELLY DRIVE, STE 300 TULSA, OK 74135 westbrock@bluemarkenergy.com LARRY WEBER BONAVIA PROPERTIES, LLC GARVEY CENTER 250 W. DOUGLAS, STE 100 WICHITA, KS 67202 larry@garveycenter.com

C. EDWARD PETERSON C. EDWARD PETERSON, ATTORNEY AT LAW 5522 ABERDEEN FAIRWAY, KS 66205 ed.peterson2010@gmail.com

BRYAN R. COULTER CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF WICHITA 424 N. BROADWAY WICHITA, KS 67202 bryan.coulter@CatholicDioceseOfWichit a.org

JOSHUA HARDEN COLLINS & JONES, P.C. 1010 W. Foxwood Drive Raymore, MO 64083 jharden@collinsjones.com

KERRY MORGAN COLLINS & JONES, P.C. 1010 W. Foxwood Drive RAYMORE, MO 64083 <u>kmorgan@collinsjones.com</u>

DARCY FABRIZIUS CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISON, LLC 1001 LOUISIANA STREET SUITE 2300 HOUSTON, TX 77002 darcy.fabrizius@constellation.com LYNDA FOHN CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISON, LLC 1001 LOUISIANA STREET SUITE 2300 HOUSTON, TX 77002 lynda.fohn@constellation.com

JEREMY L. GRABER FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S KANSAS AVENUE, STE 200 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1203 JGRABER@FOULSTON.COM

JACOB G. HOLLY, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S. KANSAS AVENUE, STE 200 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1203 jholly@foulston.com

C. EDWARD WATSON, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 1551 N WATERFRONT PKWY, STE 100 WICHITA, KS 67206-4466 cewatson@foulston.com

MONTGOMERY ESCUE, CONSULTANT FREEDOM PIPELINE, LLC PO BOX 622377 OVIEDO, FL 63762 <u>montgomery@escue.com</u>

KIRK HEGER FREEDOM PIPELINE, LLC 1901 UNIVERSITY DRIVE LAWRENCE, KS 66044 <u>kirkheger@gmail.com</u>

AMY L. BAIRD JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 1401 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 1900 HOUSTON, TX 77010 <u>abaird@jw.com</u>

JESSE LOTAY JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 1401 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 1900 HOUSTON, TX 77010 <u>jlotay@jw.com</u> MELANIE S. JACK, ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION 120 SW 10TH AVE., 2ND FLR. TOPEKA, KS 66612 <u>Melanie.Jack@ag.ks.gov</u>

DEREK SCHMIDT, KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION 120 SW 10TH AVE., 4TH FLR. TOPEKA, KS 66612 <u>Derek.Schmidt@ag.ks.gov</u>

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 <u>b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov</u>

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 <u>c.masenthin@kcc.ks.gov</u>

TERRI PEMBERTON, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 t.pemberton@KCC.KS.GOV

JANET BUCHANAN, DIRECTOR-REGULATORY AFFAIRS/OKE 13165 KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC. 7421 W 129TH ST OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2713 janet.buchanan@onegas.com

STEVEN M. BAUER LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6538 steven.bauer@lw.com NATHAN M. SAPER LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 SOUTH GRAND AVE. SUITE 100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1560 <u>nathan.saper@lw.com</u>

KATHERINE A. SAWYER LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 SOUTH GRAND AVE. SUITE 100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1560 <u>katherine.sawyer@lw.com</u>

MARGARET A. TOUGH LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 505 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-6538 margaret.tough@lw.com

MOLLY WYLER LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 355 SOUTH GRAND AVE. SUITE 100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-1560 molly.wyler@lw.com

GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW JACKSON SUITE 1310 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216 <u>GCAFER@MORRISLAING.COM</u>

KYLER C. WINEINGER, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW JACKSON SUITE 1310 TOPEKA, KS 66612-1216 <u>kwineinger@morrislaing.com</u>

JEFF CHANAY, CHIEF DEPUTY OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL MEMORIAL HALL 120 SW 10TH AVE. 2ND FLOOR TOPEKA, KS 66612-1594 Jeff.Chanay@ag.ks.gov

FRAN OLEEN, DEPUTY OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL MEMORIAL HALL 120 SW 10TH AVE. 2ND FLOOR TOPEKA, KS 66612-1594 <u>Fran.Oleen@ag.ks.gov</u> FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 <u>fcaro@polsinelli.com</u>

ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48TH PLACE STE 900 KANSAS CITY, MO 64112 <u>aschulte@polsinelli.com</u>

RICHARD A. HOWELL, Attorney at Law RICHARD A. HOWELL 1401 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 1900 HOUSTON, TX 77010 rahowell@jw.com

RICHARD L. HANSON RICHARD L. HANSON 16171 ROAD I LIBERAL, KS 67901 <u>rlhanson@wbsnet.org</u>

LEE M. SMITHYMAN, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 WEST 129th STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2634 LEE@SMIZAK-LAW.COM

CONNOR A. THOMPSON SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 WEST 129th STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2634 <u>connor@smizak-law.com</u>

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 WEST 129th STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213-2634 jim@smizak-law.com

KELLY A. DALY SNELL & WILMER, LLP ONE AIRIZONA CENTER PHOENIX, AZ 85004 <u>kdaly@swlaw.com</u>

STACY WILLIAMS, GENERAL COUNSEL SYMMETRY ENERGY, LLC 1111 LOUSIANA ST. HOUSTON, TX 77002 stacy.williams@symmetryenergy.com JASON TRENARY TEMPLELIVE WICHITA LLC 5104 S. PINNACLE HILLS PKWY. SUITE 1B ROGERS, AR 72758 <u>itrenary@beatycap.com</u>

TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N ROCK RD STE 300 WICHITA, KS 67226 <u>TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM</u> DON KRATTENMAKER, VICE PRESIDENT WOODRIVER ENERGY, LLC 633 17th ST., STE 1410 DENVER, CO 80202 don.krattenmaker@woodriverenergy.com

CHARLENE BALLARO WRIGHT WRIGHT LAW FIRM 717 TEXAS STREET SUITE 1200 HOUSTON, TX 77002 cwright@wrightfirm.law

Della Smith Senior Administrative Specialist