THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISION

OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

Before Commissioners: Shari Feist Albrecht, Chair Jay Scott Emler

Pat Apple

In the Matter of the Application of Town Oil)	Docket No.: 15-CONS-241-CUIC
Company, Inc. to commence injection of)	
Saltwater into the Squirrel Formation at the)	CONSERVATION DIVISION
Savage Lease, Well #1-W, #2-W, #3W, #5W,)	
and #6W, located in the NW/4 of Section 5,)	License No.: 6142
Township 18 South, Range 21 East, Franklin)	
County, Kansas)	

MOTION TO DISMISS PROTESTS

Town Oil Company, Inc., ("Applicant"), by and through its counsel, Jeffrey A. Wilson, Anderson & Byrd, LLP, hereby moves the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC") for an order dismissing the protest filed in this docket by Mark and Rebecca Enright, and Terry and Alana Groshong, and granting the application filed herein without holding a hearing in this Docket. In support of its Motion, Applicant states:

1. Applicant believes there are multiple grounds for dismissing the protests, as set forth herein.

The Protests Were Not Timely Filed

- 2. K.A.R. 82-3-402(a) and K.A.R. 82-3-135a(d) require that notice of the application be filed "in at least one issue of the official county newspaper of each county in which the lands affected by the application are located."
- 3. The land affected by the application is entirely within Franklin County.
- 4. Applicant gave notice as required by K.A.R. 82-3-402 by mailing notice to those persons listed in K.A.R. 82-3-135a(c) and publishing a notice in the *Ottawa Herald*, the official county newspaper of Franklin County, Kansas. The notice was published on August 14,

- 2014 as evidenced by proof of publication included with the filed application. It is important to note that while Applicant erroneously stated in its application that the requested pressure was 100psig, an error since corrected through an amendment, the published notice stated the correct requested pressure of 400 psig and therefore was proper publication notice, notwithstanding some irregularity in the application.
- 5. Neither K.A.R. 82-3-402 nor K.A.R. 82-3-135a requires the notice to be published in an additional location. Noticeably, K.A.R. 82-3-135a(d) mandates that applications relating to production matters also be published in the *Wichita Eagle* newspaper. In contrast, applications relating to environmental matters, such as this one, do not require notice publication in the *Wichita Eagle* newspaper. K.A.R. 82-3-135a(d).
- 6. K.A.R. 82-3-135b(c)(1)(B) provides that the protestants had 30 days to file their protests, in writing, beginning when the notice was published in the official county newspaper.
- 7. The 30 day time limit is not affected by the mailing of notices required in K.A.R. 82-3-135a(c). K.A.R. 82-3-135b(c)(1)(B) ("The protest shall be filed with the conservation division according to the following deadlines: ... (B) for each protest of environmental matters, within 30 days after *publication of the notice of the application*") (emphasis added).
- 8. Therefore, the protestants had 30 days to file a protest, in writing, beginning when the notice was published in the *Ottawa Herald* on August 14, 2014. K.A.R. 82-3-402(c); K.A.R. 82-3-135a(e).
- 9. Consequently, the 30 day time limit ran out on September 13, 2014, which equates to September 15, 2014, the next day in which the KCC offices were open following the expiration of the time deadline.

- 10. Protestants Mark and Rebecca Enright filed their protest on September 19, 2014, which was beyond the 30 day time limit imposed by K.A.R. 82-3-402(c) and K.A.R. 82-3-135b(c)(1)(B).
- 11. Protestants Terry and Alana Groshong filed their protest on September 26, 2014, which was beyond the 30 day time limit imposed by K.A.R. 82-3-402(c) and K.A.R. 82-3-135b(c)(1)(B).
- 12. Therefore, none of the protestants filed a timely protest as required by the rules, regulations, and laws affecting this proceeding.
- 13. K.A.R. 82-3-135b(c)(2) provides that "failure to file a timely protest *shall* preclude the interested person from appearing as a protester" (emphasis added).
- 14. Therefore, the protests filed in this docket should be dismissed and the Applicant's application, to the extent it is in compliance with applicable law, should be granted without a hearing.

The Protests Are Moot

- 15. In addition to the protests being untimely filed, the issues raised in the letters of protest are moot, inapplicable to this application, and not in compliance with KCC regulations.
- 16. Protestants Mark and Rebecca Enright, in their letter of protest, raised one concern: that there are multiple abandoned wells on their property that need to be plugged before the permit requested in this proceeding may be granted. There are no other alleged grounds for protesting this application.
- 17. In conversations with KCC Conservation division staff, it was discovered that the wells alleged to be abandoned and unplugged have been plugged as of the submission of this motion.

- 18. Therefore, the only concern raised by the protestants Mark and Rebecca Enright is now moot, and their protest should be dismissed.
- 19. Protestants Terry and Alana Groshong raised two concerns in their letter of protest: (1) that the granting of the permit will drain the oil and gas from underneath their tract, and (2) that increasing the injection pressure will lead to environmental harm.
- 20. Applicant is not currently operating injection wells on the subject land nor is it requesting increased injection pressure, so the second point raised by the Groshongs is not applicable to this application.
- 21. Furthermore, the Groshong tract is located more than ½ miles from the land where Applicant seeks to operate the injection wells.
- 22. There are more than 20 producing oil wells and 8 enhanced recovery injection wells separating the Savage lease from the Groshong tract, which can be seen on the map attached to this motion as exhibit A.
- 23. K.A.R. 82-3-135b requires protesters to state "specific allegations as to the manner in which the grant of the application will cause waste, violate correlative rights, or pollute the water resources of the state of Kansas."
- 24. The Groshongs have not indicated that the grant of this application will pollute groundwater, or cause waste in any way.
- 25. The Groshongs did mention that increased injection pressure may cause pollution, but those concerns, as discussed above, are not applicable here as the Applicant is not requesting increased injection pressure.

- 26. The Groshongs passing mention of possible drainage does not approach "specific allegations as to the manner in which these injection wells will violate their correlative rights." Therefore, the Groshongs have not complied with K.A.R. 82-3-135b.
- 27. Therefore, the Groshong protest should be dismissed as the concerns raised in their letter are either inapplicable to Applicant, or fail to meet the requirements of the regulations duly promulgated by the KCC.

WHEREFORE, Applicant prays for an order dismissing the protests filed herein as untimely filed and, in any event, as raising issues that are moot, inapplicable, or too vague to comply with K.A.R. 82-3-135b, and the granting of the application filed herein without a hearing upon said application.

Jeffrey A. Wilson #26527

ANDERSON & BYRD, LLP 216 S. Hickory, P.O. Box 17

Ottawa, Kansas 66067

(785) 242-1234, telephone

(785) 242-1279, facsimile

jwilson@andersonbyrd.com

Attorneys for Applicant

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS)	
COUNTY OF FRANKLIN)	SS:
Jeffrey A. Wilson, of	law	ful age, being first duly sworn, upon oath states:
That he is an attorney	for	Town Oil Company, Inc., the applicant herein, that he has read
the above and foregoing Mot	ion	to Dismiss, knows the contents thereof, knows that all the
statements made therein are t	rue.	, and is authorized to make this Motion to Dismiss for the
applicant.		
		Jeffrey A. Wilson, #26527
Subscribed and sworn	1 to	before me this 18 day of Novembol 2014.
A NOTAR	Y PUE	SLIC - State of Kansas

My appointment expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On this 19th day of November, 2014, the undersigned certifies that he served a copy of

the foregoing via first class mail, postage prepaid, as follows:

Alan Snider Tony Vail KCC UIC Department 266 N. Main St., Suite 220 Wichita, KS 67202 Terry and Alana Groshong P.O. Box 13061 Overland Park, KS 66282

Lane Palmateer KCC Litigation Counsel 266 N. Main St., Suite 220 Wichita, KS 67202 Mark & Rebecca Enright 4409 Hamilton Terrace Rantoul, KS 66079

Jeffrey A. Wilson

