

Bruce A. Ney Senior Counsel

USU3

May 30, 2002

Mr. Jeffrey S. Wagaman, Executive Direc Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604-4027 Southwestern Bell Telephone Company 220 SE Sixth Street Room 515 Topeka, KS 66603-3596

785.276.8413 Phone 785.276.1948 Fax bn7429@ksmail.sbc.com

CORPORATION COMMISSION

MAY 3 0 2002

Room Docket Room

RE: Interconnection Agreement between SWBT and Pinpoint Wireless, Inc.

Dear Mr. Wagaman:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission is an original and three (3) copies of an Application for Approval of the Interconnection Agreement between Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a/ Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") and Pinpoint Wireless, Inc. ("Pinpoint") executed on May 22, 2002 and supporting affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues of SWBT.

The Agreement is a Sectional MFN Wireless Interconnection Agreement into High Plains/Midwest LLC d/b/a Westlink Communications. The attachments incorporated therein are an integrated package and are the result of negotiation and compromise. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration. SWBT files this Agreement seeking Commission approval of its terms and conditions consistent with the Federal Act of 1996.

SWBT represents and believes in good faith that the implementation of this Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. SWBT specifically requests that the Commission refrain from taking any action to change, suspend or otherwise delay implementation of this agreement, in keeping with the support for competition previously demonstrated by the Commission.

Contact information for Pinpoint is listed below.

CLEC Officer Name:	CLEC Attorney Name:
Mr. Greg Hoffman	Mr. Eric Eisenhart
VP-Operations	Legal
611 Patterson St.	303 Nelson St.
Cambridge, NE 69022	Cambridge, NE 69022
Phone: 308-697-7678	Phone: 308-697-3225
Fax: 308-697-3631	

The Commission's prompt attention to this matter would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

A. Lly Bruce A. Ney

Senior Counsel

Enclosures

cc: Ms. Eva Powers (transmittal letter only) Mr. Joseph White (transmittal letter only) Mr. Larry Cooper Mr. Greg Hoffman





2002.05.31 15:46:53 Kansas Corporation Commission 757 Jeffery S. Wasaman

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

MAY 3 0 2002

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Approval of Interconnection Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 With Pinpoint Wireless, Inc.

Clofby & Legamon Docket Docket No. ()2-SWBT- 928-1A)

APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY FOR APPROVAL OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") hereby files this Application for Approval of Interconnection Agreement ("the Agreement") with Pinpoint Wireless, Inc. ("Pinpoint"), under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Public Law No. 104-104 ("Federal Act") and would respectfully show the Kansas Corporation Commission ("Commission") the following:

I. AGREEMENT REACHED

SWBT presents to this Commission for approval an agreement negotiated and executed pursuant to the terms of the Federal Act (Agreement, Attachment I). The Agreement is a Sectional MFN Wireless Interconnection Agreement into High Plains/Midwest LLC d/b/a Westlink Communications. After comprehensive, good faith negotiations to address all of the complex issues involved in such an agreement, the parties executed the Interconnection Agreement May 22, 2002, and filed herewith, together with attachments incorporated therein. There are no outstanding issues between SWBT and Pinpoint that need the assistance of mediation or arbitration.

II. REQUEST FOR APPROVAL

SWBT seeks the Commission's approval of the Agreement, consistent with the provisions of the Federal Act.

SWBT believes that the implementation of this Agreement complies fully with Section 252(e) of the Federal Act because the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity and does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The Agreement promotes diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.

SWBT respectfully requests that the Commission grant expeditious approval of this Agreement, without change, suspension or other delay in its implementation. This is a bilateral agreement, reached as a result of negotiation and compromise and the parties do not believe a docket or intervention by other parties is necessary or appropriate.

III. STANDARD FOR REVIEW

The statutory standards of review are set forth in Section 252(e) of the Federal Act which provides as follows:

Section 252(e) of the Federal Act:

- (e) APPROVAL BY STATE COMMISSION
 - (1) APPROVAL REQUIRED.--Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted to shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.

- (2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION--The State Commission may only reject --(A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that --
 - the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
 - (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity; or

The affidavit of Michael Scott, Area Manager-Regulatory Issues, establishes that

the Agreement submitted herein satisfies these standards. (Affidavit, Attachment II).

IV. KANSAS LAW

The negotiated and executed Agreement is consistent with the Kansas regulatory statutes.

VI. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, SWBT respectfully requests that the Commission approve the Agreement expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

BRUCE A. NEY (#15554) ✓ 220 E. Sixth Street, Room 515 Topeka, Kansas 66603-3596 (785-276-8413) Attorney for Southwestern Bell Telephone Company



INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996

by and between

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. D/B/A SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

and

PINPOINT WIRELESS, INC.

SUSAN KEMP	(initial)	Date
O. R. STANLEY	(sign)	Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WIRELESS INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

FOR

PINPOINT WIRELESS, INC. KANSAS

Pinpoint Wireless, Inc. has signed a Sectional MFN Wireless Interconnection Agreement into High Plains/Midwest LLC d/b/a Westlink Communications Wireless Interconnection Agreement for the state of Kansas.

This agreement contains formatting and numbering problems that could not be changed without compromising the entire agreement. In addition, Exhibit 1 of Appendix -911 (Wireless) was blank in the underlying agreement as it is in this agreement.

The hard-coded term date to the underlying agreement is July 1, 2002.

The critical date for notice to the underlying agreement is March 3, 2002.

Jessica Lowack (214-858-0570) is the Lead Negotiator for Pinpoint Wireless, Inc.

PREPARED BY DEBORAH SYLVESTER (214-745-3760).

PLEASE RETURN TO CONTRACT PROCESSING AFTER SIGNATURE FOR FURTHER PROCESSING.

AGREEMENT FOR INTERCONNECTION

by and between

PINPOINT WIRELESS, INC.

and

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	DEFINITIONS 1
2.	INTERCONNECTION METHODS
3.	SIGNALING
4.	NPA-NXX
5.	INTERCONNECTION ARRANGEMENTS
6.	TRUNK FORECASTING
7.	COMPENSATION FOR LOCAL AUTHORIZED SERVICES INTERCONNECTION
8.	TRANSIT TRAFFIC11
9.	TERMS AND COMPENSATION FOR USE OF FACILITIES 12
10.	BILLING AND PAYMENT 12
11.	TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF EXCHANGE ACCESS SERVICE TRAFFIC
12.	TRANSMISSION AND ROUTING OF OTHER TYPES OF TRAFFIC
13.	AMENDMENTS, CHANGES, AND MODIFICATIONS: WAIVER 16
14.	ASSIGNMENT
15.	AUDITS 17
16.	AUTHORIZATION
17.	COMPLETE TERMS
18.	COMPLIANCE
19.	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION
20.	DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES
21.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION
22.	EFFECTIVE DATE
23.	FORCE MAJEURE
24.	GOVERNING LAW
25.	HEADINGS
26.	INDEMNITY
27.	INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRUCTION
28.	INTERVENING LAW
29.	LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CIVIL PROCESS

Agreement for Interconnection

30.	LIMITATION OF LIABILITY	. 26
31.	MULTIPLE COUNTERPARTS	. 27
32.	NETWORK MANAGEMENT	. 28
34.	NOTICES	. 29
35.	NUMBERING	. 29
36.	PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & TRADE NAMES	. 30
37.	PUBLICITY	. 30
38.	RECORDS	. 31
39.	RELATIONSHIP OF THE PARTIES	. 31
40.	REMEDIES	. 31
41.	SERVICES	. 32
42.	SURVIVAL OF OBLIGATIONS	. 32
43.	TAXES	. 32
44.	TERM AND TERMINATION	. 34

Appendix – SS7 (Wireless)

Appendix – DA (Wireless)

Appendix – 911 (Wireless)

Appendix – Pricing (Wireless)

Appendix – State(s) (Wireless)

Appendix – Arbitration Location (Wireless)

Appendix – Merger Conditions (Wireless)

revocation, or termination by other means of either Party's authority to provide services over its network and shall be suspended during periods of temporary suspension, revocation, or termination of such authority.

- 11.9.2 Notwithstanding such termination, the terminating Party shall notify in writing the Party who has lost its authority, not less than thirty (30) days prior to discontinuing the interconnection arrangements provided hereunder.
- 11.9.3 At such time the terminating Party will also notify in writing the FCC and the Commission of the prospective discontinuance.

11.10 Upon termination of this Agreement, the monthly charges payable under the Agreement shall be prorated to the date of termination, provided that the Interconnection Arrangement for which such charge is levied has been in service for more than one (1) month. Otherwise, the full monthly charge shall be due on termination, together with any applicable non-recurring charges.

11.11 If this Agreement is terminated for any reason and the Parties continue to provide facilities and services hereunder, then the rates, terms and conditions under which those services are provided will be those contained in pertinent Telco tariffs, or in the absence of any pertinent tariffs for the provision of services to CMRS providers, then the terms and conditions contained herein shall continue to apply to such items until a new contract between the Parties is in place, unless otherwise agreed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be executed by their duly authorized representatives on the dates set forth below.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P., d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company By SBC Telecommunications, Inc., its authorized agent

By:	OR Stanley
	(Signature)
Name:	O. R. Stanley
	President - Industry Markets
	Signed: MAY 2 2 2002

Pinpoint Wireless, Inc.

(Signature) Name:

Title: $\mathbf{Q} \mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}$ Date Signed:



Agreement for Interconnection (Wireless)



BEFORE THE KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Approval of Interconnection Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996 With Pinpoint Wireless, Inc.

) Docket No. _____

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL SCOTT

STATE OF KANSAS)) ss COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)

Before me, the Undersigned Authority, on the 30th day of May, 2002, personally appeared Michael Scott of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") who, upon being by me duly sworn on oath deposed and said the following:

- My name is Michael Scott. I am over the age of 21, of sound mind and competent to testify to the matters stated herein. I am the Area Manager-Regulatory Issues for SWBT, and I have knowledge concerning the Interconnection Agreement ("the Agreement") between SWBT and Pinpoint Wireless, Inc. on behalf of SWBT. I have personal knowledge of the provisions of the Agreement. The parties conducted diligent negotiations under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, culminating in an executed agreement on May 22, 2002.
- The Interconnection Agreement, together with the attachments incorporated therein are an integrated package and are the result of negotiation. The Agreement is a Sectional MFN Wireless Interconnection Agreement into High Plains/Midwest LLC d/b/a Westlink Communications.
- 3. There are no outstanding issues between the parties that need the assistance of mediation and arbitration.



- 4. The implementation of this Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity. The Agreement will further the transition of telecommunications competition in the State of Kansas, a policy of this State and the United States. The Agreement allows diversity in providers, provides interconnectivity, and will increase customer choices for telecommunications services.
- 5. This Agreement does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier. The Agreement is available to any similarly situated provider in negotiating a similar agreement.
- 6. The negotiated and executed Agreement is consistent with Kansas law.

Michael Scott

Michael Scott

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30th day of May, 2002.



Belinda Derke Wilson Notan Public

January 26, 2003 My Commission Expires:

DUE TO THE SIZE OF THIS FILING, NOT ALL PAGES HAVE BEEN SCANNED AND AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNET. IF YOU WOULD LIKE A COMPLETE COPY OF THIS FILING, PLEASE CONTACT THE DOCKET ROOM.

> DOCKET ROOM TELEPHONE NUMBERS: 785-271-3188 (Pat) OR 785-271-3266 (DeeAnn)

E-MAIL ADDRESSES: p.shurtz@kcc.state.ks.us or d.shupe@kcc.state.ks.us

> FAX NUMBER: Docket Room, 785-271-3357

Copying charges are \$.20 per page unless it is on microfilm and microfilm charges are \$1.00 per page. Your request for copy work must also have your company's Federal Tax ID number for our accounting division.