zuiz.01.07 09:31:30 Kansas Corporation Commission 787 PatriceRegovandsen-Klein on

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

JAN 0 7 2013

In the Matter of the Joint Application of	State Corporation Commission
Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC,	of Kansas
Lane-Scott Electric Cooperative, Inc.,)
Prairie Land Electric Cooperative, Inc.,) Docket No. 13-MKEE- <u>447-M</u> IS
Southern Pioneer Electric Company,)
Victory Electric Cooperative Association, Inc.,)
Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc., and)
Wheatland Electric Cooperative, Inc., Joint Applicants,)
for an Order approving the transfer of Certificates of)
Convenience with respect to all of Mid-Kansas' retail)
electric services and for other related relief.)

OF
DAVID SCHNEIDER

WESTERN COOPERATIVE ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION, INC.

JANUARY 7, 2013

- 1 Q. Please state your name.
- 2 A. My name is David Schneider.
- 3 Q. By whom are you employed and what is your business address?
- 4 A. I am employed by Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc. ("Western") as
- 5 general manager. My business address is 635 South 13th, WaKeeney, Kansas.
- 6 Q. Would you summarize your educational background?
- 7 A. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in business administration. I am also a
- graduate of the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association's (NRECA)
- 9 management internship program and hold NRECA credentialed cooperative
- 10 director and board leadership certifications.
- 11 Q. Please summarize your work experience.
- 12 A. I worked one year as an insurance salesperson; one year as office manager of a
- private cattle feeding feedlot; three years as a bookkeeper for a private gasoline,
- diesel, and propane bulk delivery business; and from 1978 to 1990, various
- positions at Western, including lineman, digger derrick operator, material
- purchasing person, member services person, and administrative assistant. For
- the past 22 years, I have been general manager at Western.
- 18 Q. Are you also an officer of Mid-Kansas Electric Company, LLC?
- 19 A. Yes. I am member of the Board of Directors of Mid-Kansas Electric Company,
- 20 LLC ("Mid-Kansas"). I have served in that position since its inception.
- 21 Q. Do you serve on any other boards for public utilities?

17

Yes. Western is a member of both Sunflower Electric Power Corporation 1 A. (Sunflower) and Mid-Kansas. I serve as a Director on the Sunflower Board of 2 3 Directors also. 4 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 5 The purpose of my testimony is to provide background information on Western Α. 6 and support for relief sought in the Joint Application filed by Mid-Kansas and its Members¹, including Western. 7 1. HISTORICAL INFORMATION ON WESTERN 8 9 Q. Please provide an overview of your testimony. 10 My testimony will primarily focus on a brief overview of Western and Α. 11 determination of the process for exemption from regulation. 12 Q. Please provide a brief overview of Western. 13 Α. Western is an electric cooperative formed under the Kansas Electric Cooperative Act. It was formed for the purpose of supplying electric energy and promoting 14 and extending the use of such energy in rural areas of northwest Kansas. 15

Western was formed in 1945. Western, therefore, has been providing electric

retail service in the northwest Kansas for over 65 years.

¹ The six Kansas entities, collectively referred to as Members of Mid-Kansas, and their headquarters are as follows: Lane-Scott Electric Cooperative, Inc., Dighton, Kansas; Southern Pioneer Electric Company, a subsidiary of Pioneer Electric Cooperative, Inc., Ulysses, Kansas; Prairie Land Electric Cooperative, Inc., Norton, Kansas; Victory Electric Cooperative Association, Inc., Dodge City, Kansas; Western Cooperative Electric Association, Inc., WaKeeney, Kansas; Wheatland Electric Cooperative, Inc., Scott City, Kansas.

5

6

7

8

1	Q.	Would you provide a summary of the service area and the nature of service
2		Western provided before the acquisition on Aquila-WPK by Mid-Kansas?
3	A.	Prior to the acquisition, Western served over eight counties, with 5,100 meters.

- Its service territory ran from Ellis and Rooks counties on the eastern end to Gove and Sheridan counties on the western end. Western did not serve any cities within its certificated territory. Although it did not operate generation or transmission lines, it operated and maintained approximately 2,937 miles of distribution line and 14 miles of 34.5 kV lines.
- Q. Would you provide a summary of the area served and scope by Western
 after the acquisition of the Aquila-WPK by Mid-Kansas?
- A. After the acquisition, pursuant to a service contract with Mid-Kansas, Western increased the number of meters to approximately 11,368 and now serves approximately 12,000 meters in the Western division. Through the acquisition, the service territory was extended easterly to approximately 70 miles from the eastern edge of the original Western service territory. With the acquisition of Aquila-WPK, the number of customers/members served is approximately 6,000.
- 17 Q. Prior to the acquisition, was Western a deregulated cooperative?
- A. Yes. In 1994, the member customers of Western voted to deregulate. Of the
 member customers voting, 89% approved the deregulation of Western. Since
 that date, Western has operated as a deregulated cooperative. The deregulation
 of the cooperative has allowed the member owners to govern themselves with far
 less expense than Western could otherwise have done had it continued to be
 regulated.

- 1 Q. Why did the six Members of Mid-Kansas elect to pursue the acquisition of Aquila-WPK?
- 3 A. Western saw the acquisition as an opportunity to increase its service territory and 4 serve more residential customers. Aquila-WPK's service territory was next door 5 to Western's service territory. The expansion into this territory could be done at 6 far less of a cost to Western than any other utility as we were already serving in 7 the general area. It increased the number of customers per mile for distribution 8 lines and allowed us to spread across a larger body of customers the general 9 overhead costs of Western. There were a number of synergies associated with 10 the acquisition, all of which resulted in cost savings to both Western's existing 11 customers and those customers acquired in the acquisition.
- 12 Q. Do you believe the synergies that you anticipated have been realized?
- 13 A. Yes. We firmly believe the acquisition has been good for both the existing and 14 acquired customers. There have been cost savings as anticipated, and the 15 increases in cost have been slowed as a result of the synergies and ability to 16 spread costs across a larger segment of customers. Also, the diversity in 17 customers has been beneficial as economic conditions change throughout 18 northwest Kansas. The territory acquired by Mid-Kansas was more residential in 19 nature than the customer base served by Western in its certificated territory. The 20 diversity has helped in stabilizing load.
- Q. Do you believe Western is capable of succeeding to a portion of Mid Kansas' certificated service territory as contemplated in the application?

1	A.	Yes. Western has been serving the customers in the Western division since the
2		acquisition in 2007. We have great confidence in our ability to service the
3		customers and provide quality electric services.

- Q. Is Western asking the Commission to approve the transfer of the territory

 Western is currently serving pursuant to its service contract with Mid
 Kansas?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 2. DETERMINATION OF PROCESS FOR EXEMPTION FROM REGULATION
- 9 Q. The application requests the determination of the process the Members are
 10 to follow upon transfer of a Certificate to exempt themselves from
 11 regulation under K.S.A. 66-104d. Would you explain why the applicants are
 12 seeking approval of this process?
- I will defer to Mr. Lowry's legal analysis of the statute and implication of the 13 Α. process set forth in the order approving the acquisition as I am not an attorney. 14 Western was deregulated prior to the acquisition and is currently deregulated. 15 Our member owners overwhelmingly supported the election to exempt 16 themselves from regulation. Of the members voting, 89% approved the election 17 to deregulate. Because the member owners exempted themselves from 18 regulation, they have enjoyed the benefits of reduced regulatory costs. Plus the 19 owners are much better at determining their needs and the rate needed to 20 provide affordable and reliable service to themselves. Western's Board of 21 Directors of Western has done a very good job of controlling rates, which we 22

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- think has been validated by the member owners' satisfaction with being deregulated.
- Q. You recall that in the acquisition docket the Members stipulated to the
 steps for deregulation upon transfer of the Certificate of Convenience. Why
 are the applicants now seeking a modification to that procedure?
 - A. The stipulation provided that the Aguila-WPK customers be given full cooperative membership rights. Western, upon the acquisition, granted all of the former Aguila-WPK customers full cooperative membership rights, including the right to vote and the right to patronage. Therefore, we have already met part of the requirement of the stipulation. The remainder of the stipulation requires a vote by the Aquila-WPK customers to determine whether they should exempt themselves from regulation. The vote is limited to only those customers in the former Aguila-WPK certificated territory being transferred to Western. Although we are optimistic such a vote would be in favor of exemption, a vote disapproving deregulation would raise serious questions as to the impact on the customers currently deregulated. As Mr. Lowry has stated, the statute does not contemplate a partial deregulation of the cooperative's members. As to its member owners, the cooperative is either regulated or not regulated. Our Board of Directors does not believe it would be fair or equitable to force our member owners that are currently satisfied with the deregulated status of Western to become regulated by a vote of the acquired customers. Plus, as Mr. Lowry has pointed out, the statute does not allow another vote for a period of two years. Disapproval would subject

23

1		Western and a majority of its customers to regulation for a two-year period before
2		it could vote again during which it would incur costs of regulatory oversight.
3	Q.	Does Western have a recommended solution?
4	A.	Yes. We seek the modification of Order 524 to provide that to the extent any
5		Member cooperative is exempt from regulation at the time of the transfer of the
6		certificate the Member cooperative would remain unregulated subject to
7		customers of not less than 10% of the members of the cooperative petitioning for
8		a re-vote on deregulation. Certainly, this would be consistent with past transfer of
9		service territory between various cooperatives. When transferred, those
10		customers come in to the new cooperative subject to the current regulatory
11		status of the cooperative to which they have been transferred. Additionally,
12		Western believes the ability of the former Aquila-WPK customers to petition for a
13		vote provides adequate relief, is fair to the currently deregulated customers, and
14		falls within the spirit of the stipulation.
15	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
16	A.	Yes.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS) COUNTY OF TREGO) ss:
David Schneider, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the David Schneider referred to in the foregoing document entitled "Direct Testimony of David Schneider" before the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas and that the statements therein were prepared by him or under his direction and are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.
David Schneider
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of, 2012.
Christina Lowy
Notary Public
My appointment expires: 4-25-2013

CHRISTINA LOWRY
State of Kansas
My Appt. Exp. 4-25-2013