DEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JERL BANNING

WESTAR ENERGY

DOCKET NO. 18-WSEE-328-RTS

	I. INTRODUCTION
Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
A.	Jerl L. Banning, 818 South Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kansas 66612
Q.	BY WHOM AND IN WHAT CAPACITY ARE YOU EMPLOYED?
A.	I am employed by Westar Energy, Inc. (Westar), a wholly-owned
	subsidiary of Evergy, Inc. (Evergy). I am the Senior Vice President
	Chief People Officer for Evergy, as well as for Evergy's operating
	subsidiaries, including Westar, Kansas City Power & Light Company
	and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations.
Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
	BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
A.	Between 1996 and 2008, I held various positions in human resources
	at Aquila, Inc., and Koch Industries. Prior to this, I worked in a variety
	A. Q. A.

14

of professional services organizations as a human resources

consultant with clients in multiple industries. I joined Westar in 2008 as Executive Director, Human Resources, shortly thereafter was promoted to Vice President of Human Resources and held that position until 2014, when I was promoted to Vice-President of Human Resources and Information Technology. In January 2015, I was promoted to Senior Vice President, Operations Support and Administration. I assumed my current role when Evergy was created upon the closing of the merger between Westar and Great Plains Energy Incorporated.

Α.

I received a B.A. in psychology from Bethel College and a master's degree in organizational/personnel psychology from the University of Kansas.

Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS OR OTHER REGULATORY BODIES IN THE PAST?

A. Although I have prepared written testimony on behalf of Aquila, Inc. for the Nebraska Public Service Commission and on behalf of Westar for this Commission, I have not previously testified in person.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

I will respond to adjustments suggested by Staff and CURB related to at-risk compensation. Specifically, IS-11 proposed by Staff witness Luke-Fry related to Westar's long-term incentive program and the adjustments proposed by the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer

1	Board (CURB) witness Crane related to the short and long-term
2	incentive programs.

Α.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR REACTION TO THE COMPENSATION-RELATED ADJUSTMENTS PROPOSED BY STAFF AND CURB?

- I will discuss each of the proposed adjustments in detail below. However, in summary, I believe that the at-risk, variable compensation related adjustments proposed by Staff and CURB are inappropriate and adopting them would not be in the public interest. It is a central principle of utility regulation that a regulated utility be given a reasonable opportunity to recover actual costs prudently incurred in connection with and necessary to provide public service. Neither Staff nor CURB has suggested that the costs Westar incurred for compensation were imprudent or unreasonable. In fact, Westar's overall compensation and executive compensation is, on average, directly in line with the marketplace in which we compete to attract and retain the talent necessary to perform our service obligations.
- Q. HAS THE COMMISSION EVER ISSUED A DECISION IN A
 WESTAR RATE CASE SPECIFIC TO WESTAR'S
 COMPENSATION PROGRAM ON THE ISSUE OF VARIABLE
 COMPENSATION?
- A. No. We have settled the last several rate cases where Staff and other intervenors have raised this issue. As part of those

settlements, the issue of recovery of variable compensation was not specifically resolved and no precedent was established.

Α.

II. OVERVIEW OF WESTAR'S COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE WESTAR'S COMPENSATION PROGRAM.

Westar's compensation program is designed to attract and retain the talent necessary to provide safe, reliable electric service, and to do so while managing our costs responsibly. Our overall employee compensation for union, non-union, and executive level employees is right at the market median – in other words, right in the middle of the pack of similarly-situated utility companies. We make a portion of the compensation for non-union employees variable, which means it is at risk and tied to performance in order to incent high performance levels and align the operations, customer experience and cost performance goals of our employees with those of the company. The total cost of the benefits we provide to our employees is also in line with the median for our peer group.

Compensation for executives at Westar is determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, comprised entirely of non-employee directors. It is also managed to remain in line with the median for our peer group.

Q. HOW ARE WESTAR'S PAY AND BENEFITS PROGRAMS STRUCTURED?

A. Westar compensates all non-union, non-executive level employees with both base pay and short-term variable pay. The short-term

variable pay ties employees' compensation to their performance and Westar's operating, customer experience and financial performance. Based on that performance, employees may earn below, above, or at market levels. Director level employees have the same structure, but in addition have a portion that is variable and at risk based on longer-term performance, which when paid, is in the form of equity. Westar executives receive base pay and are eligible for long-term variable compensation, which when paid, is in the form of equity. Our executives are not eligible for short-term variable pay. Westar has been serving our customers and has played an important part of the communities in Kansas for more than 100 years. We want the incentives of our officers to be aligned with the long-term focus of doing so for another 100 years. Therefore, we believe that it is better to align all of the market average variable compensation that our officers would be eligible for at other companies with the long-term value of Westar rather than things that might have the potential to incent a too narrow and too short-sighted view of the business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

All Westar employees are eligible to participate in Westar's retirement plan and to contribute to a 401(k) plan with a market-based matching contribution from Westar up to an established limit. Westar provides customary healthcare and other benefits to employees.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVE OF WESTAR'S PAY AND BENEFITS PROGRAMS?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

- A. Our pay and benefits are designed and managed to attract and retain the talent necessary to provide safe, reliable electric service, and to do so while managing our costs responsibly. We do this by monitoring employee turnover, employee engagement, and payroll-related expenses. This information is compared to industry standards, along with overall payroll costs and benefits, to ensure we are properly aligned with our peer companies.
- 10 Q. WHY IS IT IMPORTANT THAT WESTAR'S PAY AND BENEFITS

 11 ARE COMPARABLE TO THOSE OF ITS INDUSTRY PEERS?
 - A. We compete with other utilities for employees with the same experience and ability to meet our goal of providing safe, reliable service to customers at reasonable cost. In order to ensure that we can effectively attract and retain the skilled employees we need, our pay and benefits must be competitive in the industry.
 - III. SHORT-TERM VARIABLE COMPENSATION
- 18 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENT PROPOSED BY
 19 CURB RELATED TO SHORT-TERM VARIABLE
 20 COMPENSATION.
- A. CURB proposed to reduce the expense related to Westar's shortterm variable compensation by 50 percent because a portion of it is paid based on Westar's financial performance. Such an adjustment is not appropriate and the Commission should deny this request.

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF WESTAR'S VARIABLE
2		COMPENSATION PROGRAM?
3	A.	For most of our non-bargaining employees (management,
4		professional, technical, and clerical personnel), the effect of variable
5		compensation is to place part of their compensation at-risk or
6		variable with regard to their performance and Westar's performance.
7	Q.	IN WHAT WAY IS A PORTION OF EMPLOYEES'
8		COMPENSATION IS AT-RISK OR VARIABLE?
9	A.	We only pay it, or pay a portion of it, if certain broad-based goals and
10		objectives are met - objectives indicative of overall company
11		performance. It is a reflection of the individual's contribution and the
12		company's performance and has become - and has been so for
13		decades – extraordinarily common and generally considered a "best
14		practice" in the business labor market.
15	Q.	WHAT ARE THE BROAD-BASED GOALS AND OBJECTIVES IN
16		THE VARIABLE COMPENSATION FORMULA?
17	A.	There are three components: customer experience, departmental
18		goals and objectives, and financial performance. CURB's
19		recommended disallowance is to eliminate half of the variable
20		compensation expense, due to its relationship to financial
21		performance.
22	Q.	HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO CURB'S SUGGESTION THAT

TYING VARIABLE COMPENSATION TO FINANCIAL

1 PERFORMANCE ONLY BENEFITS SHAREHOLDERS AND 2 SHOULD BE DISALLOWED?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

I believe it is short-sighted and, at best, outdated. As I said, variable compensation as a meaningful portion of total compensation is a best practice within most industries. Moreover, it is a "sucker's choice" to assume that if something is in the interest of one party it cannot also be in the interest of another party, as these parties suggest. For example, it is perfectly logical to assume that reliable service is of interest to shareholders, large customers, small customers, customers of varying income levels, our state, and our communities. They are not pitted against one another as Staff's and the other parties' arguments imply. It is unreasonable to suggest that because reliable service is of interest to shareholders that the costs of that service be disallowed. Similarly, employing a competent management team is of interest to both customers and shareholders. It is equally unreasonable - absent a showing of profligacy or imprudence – to disallow the recovery of such costs.

Q. MORE SPECIFICALLY, HOW DOES GOOD FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE BENEFIT CUSTOMERS?

A. First, solid stock performance benefits customers because it translates into strong cost management and a lower cost of debt, which flows through to benefit the customers in the form of lower rates.

Second, by encouraging employees to focus on all principal facets of our business —customer experience, technical and operational performance, and financial performance — we attempt to create a balanced approach to our business. This balance serves not just our shareholders and employees, but also the communities in which we operate and the customers we serve. If this balance is ignored, the business can become unstable and unable to meet its goals for any of its constituents. Short-term decisions can be made at the expense of long-term results. Employee interests can be elevated above those of customers or shareholders. Operational performance can be achieved at the expense of employee or public safety.

It is natural for an employee who works in the call center to fall into the trap of thinking only about customer service, the power plant operator to fall into the trap of thinking only of production goals, or the financial analyst to fall into the trap of thinking only about cost control and profits. Our compensation program is designed to counter these natural tendencies and remind everyone of the importance of keeping our business in balance, for both the short and long-term for the benefit of customers and shareholders alike. The suggestion that achieving financial goals fails to benefit customer interests is unfounded and short-sighted. Good quality service at

reasonable cost cannot be achieved if the company is not financially successful.

Third, Westar's financial success relies on our employees being efficient and cost-conscious. While such efforts benefit shareholders by improving profitability, they also benefit customers by holding down prices. Westar can only obtain a solid shareholder return if, in addition to bringing in revenues, it uses its resources wisely, minimizes unnecessary costs, provides satisfactory customer service with reasonable expense, and improves performance by increasing productivity. All of these things benefit customers just as they benefit shareholders.

Finally, the markets in which Westar competes for talent generally reflect compensation that has a variable component similar to that offered by Westar. Thus, if we are to remain competitive for talent, we need to offer a compensation structure and level that is generally competitive with the market; one that results in lower compensation for inferior performance, but offers the possibility of higher compensation for superior performance.

Q. DOES GOOD FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE ALSO BENEFIT THE PUBLIC GENERALLY, OTHER THAN CUSTOMERS AND SHAREHOLDERS?

A. Yes. Having a company like Westar operating in Kansas has many benefits to our communities, our tax base, and our educational

institutions. It provides world-class jobs that keep talent from fleeing our state boundaries and attracts strong talent to Kansas. Good financial performance is a prerequisite for good, stable companies; yet one more reason why a compensation plan that encourages financial performance is in the public interest.

Q. HOW IS THE FINANCIAL COMPONENT OF THE SHORT-TERM VARIABLE COMPENSATION DETERMINED?

Α.

Α.

It is based on Westar's Total Shareholder Return (TSR) relative to the TSR of a peer group of utilities. A company's TSR is comprised of the sum of dividends paid together with any change in the company's stock price. The financial component of the short-term incentive (STI) is determined based on how Westar's TSR ranks among its peers for the plan year measurement period.

Q. HOW DO YOU DETERMINE PAYOUT FOR THE FINANCIAL COMPONENT OF THE STI?

If Westar's TSR is equal to or below the 25th percentile of the peer group, employees forfeit all opportunity for this component. There is a sliding scale making the payout relative to target higher or lower depending on the TSR percentile performance. For example, at the 50th percentile which is indicative of median performance, the payout is equal to the targeted amount for the financial component. At the 90th percentile or above, the maximum financial payout would be achieved, which is 200 percent of the targeted amount for the

financial component. By design, it is not symmetrical, but skewed against paying for poor performance.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Α.

Q. IS THE VARIABLE PAY COMPONENT OF WESTAR'S COMPENSATION AN IMPORTANT PART OF ITS MARKET COMPETITIVE COMPENSATION STRATEGY?

Absolutely. Without it, our compensation would be severely deficient to market competitive levels. The variable pay component of our compensation mix or the component of compensation that is "at risk" – is an essential part of paying a competitive rate of pay. The targets that are established for each of our pay grades are designed to bridge the gap between the median market base pay and the median market targeted total compensation. When we look at market compensation, we must look at both base pay and total compensation. We must be competitive in the total, not just in one component of market compensation. While we expect these at-risk payments to vary around the targets in any given year based on performance, over time we expect them to average out so that we are, on average, paying close to the median market total compensation, adjusted for an individual employee's experience and performance. The at-risk components, when combined with employees' base compensation, help Westar to pay competitive compensation close to the 50th percentile of the market. While it certainly would be possible for us to target the 50th percentile and make all of that base salary, we do not think that would be wise.

Α.

In addition to maintaining a competitive position, variable compensation is an essential component of our compensation strategies. They are designed to reinforce important group and individual behaviors and to focus employees on Westar's strategic objectives. As our program is designed, removing any portion of the at-risk component would cause the program design to fall below the market median target. The logic trap associated with the parties' suggestion is that it would provide an incentive for Westar to eliminate at-risk compensation and simply target median performance in fixed pay, regardless of performance. However, such a practice would not be conducive to aligning employees' interests with those of customers and shareholders.

Q. WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT MS. CRANE'S ASSERTION THAT EMPLOYEES ARE BEING WELL COMPENSATED, SEPARATE AND APART FROM INCENTIVE PLANS?

Her statement is the equivalent of saying, "Lineman are well paid even without paying them overtime when they work 16 hours a day to restore service after a storm." It does not even rise to the level of conjecture. It is pure rhetoric. It would be like me saying, "Ms. Crane is well compensated for her work on the KCP&L case, so CURB

should not have to pay her for her work on the Westar case." She does not and cannot provide any support for it.

As I said, the variable pay component of our compensation is an essential component of our compensation program. Were it excluded, simple math demonstrates that we would not be paying compensation consistent with the market, and if we were able to continue to attract and retain qualified employees, we would need to raise base salaries by the same target amount. If we ignored the variable pay portion of compensation we would not be paying competitive levels to attract the human resources required for our business. We would need to make it up elsewhere. We believe that the variable compensation design we use is the most effective way of ensuring that Westar pays competitive compensation close to the 50th percentile of the market and aligns our employees' interests with those of our customers, our shareholders, our communities, our state and its taxpayers; i.e., it is in the public interest.

II. LONG-TERM VARIABLE COMPENSATION

- Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ADJUSTMENTS PROPOSED BY
 STAFF AND CURB RELATED TO WESTAR'S LONG-TERM
 VARIABLE COMPENSATION.
- A. Staff and CURB both propose to reduce the expense related to Restricted Share Units (RSUs), albeit to different degrees.

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WESTAR'S LONG-TERM VARIABLE 2 COMPENSATION PLAN.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Α.

indicated in my direct testimony, long-term variable compensation is composed of RSUs. Half of the RSUs may vest with the passage of time. The other half – titled "performance-based" have both a time and performance component. The officer must remain with Westar for a three-year period. At the end of those three years, the RSUs can vest in whole or in part depending on company performance. If the executive resigns or is terminated, both the timebased and performance-based RSUs are subject to forfeiture. Similar to the financial component of the short-term variable compensation, performance is measured based on the three-year TSR of a peer group of utilities with similar characteristics to Westar. Executive compensation as a group is targeted at the market median with individual officer compensation set above or below the market median based on individual officer considerations such as performance and experience. RSUs are used as a method of reaching compensation targets.

Q. WHY IS IT INAPPROPRIATE TO DISALLOW ANY COMPONENT OF WESTAR'S LONG-TERM VARIABLE COMPENSATION EXPENSE?

A. For the same reasons that I explained above. Variable compensation, whether short-term or long-term, is so prevalent that

if we were to deviate from using it, we would be backwards, out-of-date and irresponsible. I would seriously question the prudence of any company that did not put significant executive compensation at risk, but that is not the same thing as simply disallowing its recovery in rates when paid in conformance with due performance. Solid stock performance clearly benefits Westar's customers by lowering Westar's cost of debt and ultimately lowering customers' rates, especially when considering that this is the most capital-intensive business in the world. As I indicated above, Westar can only deliver a competitive shareholder return if it uses resources wisely, minimizes costs, provides good customer service, and increases productivity. Each of these items benefits both customers and shareholders – and the public generally.

Additionally, Staff and the interveners have reviewed Westar's executive compensation plan and no one has suggested that the total compensation provided to Westar's executives is unreasonable or out of line with the market. Long-term variable compensation is an essential portion of Westar's executive compensation program and elimination of the performance-based RSUs would result in compensation offered to Westar's officers that would be so significantly below market levels that any of them would be foolish to remain with the Company.

- Q. HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO STAFF'S AND CURB'S
 SUGGESTION THAT THE PERFORMANCE-BASED RSU COSTS
 SHOULD BE DISALLOWED?
- A. The Commission should include the full cost of long-term variable compensation whether the RSUs are time or performance-based in Westar's cost of service. All of the reasons I offered above apply equally to long-term variable compensation as they do to our short-term variable compensation program.
- 9 Q. THANK YOU.

STATE OF KANSAS)	
) ss:	
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)	

VERIFICATION

Jerl Banning, being duly sworn upon his oath deposes and states that he is the Senior Vice President, Chief People Officer for Westar Energy, Inc., that he has read and is familiar with the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony, and attests that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Jerl Banning

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 day of July, 2018.

Sushe R. Tillines

My Appointment Expires: 5/30/2022

