2017-11-28 09:08:29 Kansas Corporation Commission /s/ Lynn M. Retz

Rene Stucky Kansas Corporation Commission November 25, 2017. KCC WICHITA NOV 2 7 2017 RECEIVED

RE: Thrasher property docket number 18-CONS-3196-CUIC. Hadle property docket number 18-CONC-3195-CUIC.

Dear Rene Stucky,

We are the current owners of twenty acres of property sitting on the east side of 1900th Road one half mile south of North 1000th Road in Douglas County. Our property lies just west and next to the site where-the injection well is proposed on the Thrasher property lease. This property that we own is the site of our home and has been for the last five and one half years.

As far as we know, all of the homes close to us derive their water supply from well water which is very good water from a good aquafer. Contamination of the aquafer from the injection well would be devastating to ourselves and our neighbors. This would lead to several significant problems. First of all would be that of determining if the water is in fact contaminated since the cost of getting the water tested is so horribly expensive, and the second is that of affording a second source of drinking water. This does not even bring into consideration that of the contaminated ponds, rivers and streams in the area and further down stream, and the exposure of livestock and wild life.

Our twenty acres is included in a forty acre area of homes that sits next to a lease that has had oil wells on it for over twenty years. Many of the wells have been capped and as far as we know they do not leak. Another concern generated about the new injection wells is that they will induce new leakage from these old wells thus causing new contamination into the surrounding ground, ponds, steams and water supplies.

We are also concerned about the possibility of earthquakes generated by the injection wells in our area. We do have a fault line that runs through our area called the Warden fault line which has had documented seismic activity in the past.

The land owners in this area are concerned that the Kansas Corporation Commission does not always listen to the reasonable concerns of the land owners in the areas where the injection wells are going to be drilled. I think there is more than enough previous evidence to support this concern. Further support of this is the fact that the company that owns the Hadl and Thrasher leases has already drilled one of the injection wells, simply waiting for the formalities to be finished and permission to be given.

We formally oppose this permit application for all the reasons given above. We ask the Kansas Corporation Commission to reject this permit on the basis that there is significant possibility for damage to and contamination of surrounding property and water systems. If you and the company that has purchased this lease could guarantee that nothing adverse would happen that would be one thing, but of course neither of you could in any way do that. That in itself is enough to reject this permit. For these reasons we would request a hearing.

Dr. Stanley and Rosie Hornbaker

lova ts 66025

Conservation Devision Commission, Conservation Devision 266 North Main St.

WANTED CITY ON

in and and and in