20160921133058 Filed Date: 09/21/2016 State Corporation Commission of Kansas

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

In the Matter of a General Investigation Into the)	
Adjustments of Intrastate Switched Access Charges)	Docket No. 16-GIMT-587-GIT
for Rural Telephone Companies in Compliance)	
with K.S.A. 66-2005(c) and FCC Reforms.	
Direct Testimony	
Prepared by	•
rioparoa of	

Sandy Reams

On Behalf of

Kansas Corporation Commission Staff

September 21, 2016

- 1 Q. Please state your name and your employer.
- 2 A. My name is Sandra (Sandy) Reams. I am the Assistant Chief of Telecommunications
- 3 employed by the Kansas Corporation Commission (Commission), 1500 Southwest
- 4 Arrowhead Road, Topeka, Kansas 66604.

5 Q. What is your educational and professional background?

- 6 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts in Accounting from Buena Vista University, Storm Lake,
- 7 Iowa, and hold Iowa Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Certificate No. 9487. In
- 8 December 1996, I became employed by the Commission as a Utility Regulatory Auditor
- 9 and was promoted to Senior Utility Regulatory Auditor in June 1997. In February 1998,
- I changed positions to a Telecommunications Auditor and in April 2002, I was promoted
- to Managing Auditor. In October 2011, I was promoted to my current position.
- During my employment with the Commission, I have attended various regulatory and
- telecommunications related courses. I have been a member of the National Association
- of Regulatory Utility Commissioners' (NARUC) Staff Subcommittee on State Universal
- Service Fund Administrators since 2002 and have served as the Subcommittee Chair
- since August 2010. I also serve as an at-large Staff member on the Federal State Joint
- Board on Separations. I previously served as the Staff Chair for the State
- 18 Commissioners of the Federal State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues and as a
- member of the Federal State Joint Oversight Team for the audits of Southwestern Bell
- Telephone Company's (SWBT) compliance with affiliate transaction and non-
- discriminatory provisions contained in Section 272 of the 1996 Federal
- Telecommunications Act.

1 Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

- 2 A. Yes. I have provided written and oral testimony on intrastate access issues, the annual
- 3 Kansas Universal Service Fund (KUSF) assessment rate and other KUSF-related issues,
- 4 inter-LATA dialing parity and related cost recovery mechanisms, intrastate revenue
- 5 requirement cost of service components, and Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC)
- 6 issues. Prior to joining the telecommunications section, I testified on gas industry issues,
- 7 including shared service agreements, tax-related issues, and acquisition premiums.

8 I. Testimony Summary

- 9 Q. Please summarize your testimony.
- 10 A. My testimony discusses the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) Intercarrier
- 11 Compensation (ICC) reforms as they relate to K.S.A. 66-2005(c)'s requirement that the
- Rural Local Exchange Carriers (RLECs) adjust their intrastate switched access rates to
- parity with their interstate rates in odd-numbered years. My testimony discusses Staff's
- analysis of the data filed by the RLECs, the calculated change in their intrastate access
- revenues and KUSF support, and the related implementation issues.
- The RLECs' data, summarized in Attachment 1 to my testimony, supports Staff's
- 17 recommendations that the Commission issue an Order to:
- adopt the intrastate originating switched access rates, calculated intrastate
 originating and terminating access revenues, net revenue changes, and Connect
 America Fund (CAF) support offsets, identified in Attachment 1;
- adopt a net aggregate \$411,976 reduction in the RLECs' annual KUSF support;
- require the RLECs to file revised intrastate access tariffs in a separate proceeding to be opened later by the Commission to address the FCC's July 2017 reforms; and

determine that the RLECs' revised intrastate originating access rates¹ and adjustments to their KUSF support be effective July 2017, concurrent with the FCC's implementation of the July 2017² ICC reforms.

4 Q. Please identify the documentation filed in support of your testimony.

- 5 A. Attachment 1 summarizes the data filed by the RLECs, as follows:
 - page 1 summarizes the aggregate net change in each RLEC's intrastate originating and terminating access revenues, the applicable CAF support offset, and the resulting annual KUSF support impact;
 - pages 2 and 3 show each RLEC's interstate and intrastate originating access rates, minutes of use (MOU), and resulting calculated revenues; and
 - pages 4 and 5 show each RLEC's intrastate terminating access rates, MOU, and resulting calculated revenues.

Some MOU were designated as confidential, therefore, both a confidential and redacted

Attachment 1 are being filed with my testimony. Access rates are calculated out six

decimal places; therefore, the numbers in my testimony and Attachment 1 are rounded.

16 I. FCC Reforms

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17 Q. Briefly explain the FCC's ICC reforms to date.

A. On November 18, 2011, the FCC released its Report and Order and Further Notice of
Proposed Rulemaking³ (USF/ICC Order) and determined, in part, to reform the interstate
and intrastate ICC regimes. The FCC capped all rate-of-return carriers' interstate
originating and terminating switched access and reciprocal compensation rates and all
intrastate terminating access rates as of December 29, 2011, but did not cap intrastate
originating access rates. The FCC determined it would transition interstate and intrastate

¹ The July 2017 intrastate terminating access rates will be determined by the FCC; therefore, the Commission does not need to adopt revised intrastate terminating access rates in this proceeding.

² July 1, 2017, is a Saturday, thus, the intrastate originating access rate revisions should be implemented concurrent with the FCC's July 2017 implementation date.

³ See In the Matter of Connect America Fund, 26 F.C.C. Rcd. 17663 (Nov. 18, 2011).

terminating switched end office access rates to a Bill and Keep methodology, effective July 2020. To "mitigate the effect of reduced intercarrier revenues on carriers and facilitate continued investment in broadband infrastructure, while providing greater certainty and predictability going forward than the *status quo*," the FCC created two new transitional recovery mechanisms - the CAF and Access Recovery Charge (ARC). CAF support is recovered via the Federal fund and the ARC is recovered from a Local Exchange Carrier's (LEC) end users.

The FCC, through subsequent Orders, clarified its USF/ICC Order and harmonized its rules. In March 2013, the FCC determined that RLECs participating in the National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) interstate access pools should adjust their interstate (and intrastate) access rates to account for the impact RLECs entering or exiting NECA's access pools have on the pools. NECA, therefore, increases or reduces its interstate terminating access rates to account for the impact of RLECs entering or exiting its pools. Thus, each RLEC concurring in NECA's tariff must also increase or reduce its intrastate terminating rates to remain in parity with its interstate rates and absent a NECA pool-related change, an RLEC cannot increase its intrastate terminating access rates.

Q. How have the FCC's reforms impacted the RLECs' intrastate terminating access rates?

A. The initial two phases of the FCC's reforms, effective July 2012 and 2013, required each LEC meeting certain revenue criteria to transition its intrastate switched end office access

⁴ Id., ¶ 36.

⁵ 47 C.F.R. §51.909. See also In the Matter of Connect America Fund, 28 F.C.C. Rcd 3319 (March 27, 2013).

and transport⁶ rates, rate structure, and revenues to parity with its interstate access rates, rate structure, and revenues.⁷ Effective July 2014, the RLECs combined their terminating local switching and information surcharge rates into a single, composite end office rate. Beginning July 2014, the RLECs transitioned their interstate and intrastate terminating end office rates towards \$0.005 via an annual reduction equal to one-third of the differential between the RLEC's then current rate and \$.005. As of July 2016, all of the RLECs' interstate and intrastate terminating end office rates are \$.005. In addition, the majority of the RLECs' intrastate terminating transport rates are in parity with their interstate rates. Thus, on a going-forward basis, the RLECs' intrastate terminating end office and transport rates should remain in parity with their interstate end office and transport rates, with limited exceptions.

Q. The FCC's reforms created several conflicts with K.S.A. 66-2005(c). Please summarize the conflicts and how the Commission resolved them.

A. First, K.S.A. 66-2005(c) requires the RLECs, subject to the Commission's approval, to reduce their intrastate access rates to parity with their interstate rates on March 1st in odd-numbered years. This conflicts with the FCC's reforms, which are effective every July. To resolve this conflict, the Commission determined the RLECs' intrastate access rate adjustments will be effective each July to coincide with the FCC's reforms.⁸

⁶ Transport includes Termination, Facility, and Tandem Switching services, as well as other local transport services.

⁷ The Commission addressed the FCC's reforms, for the RLECs, as follows: the July 2012 reforms in Docket No. 12-GIMT-170-GIT (Docket 12-170); the July 2013 reforms in Docket No. 13-GIMT-004-GIT (Docket 13-004); the July 2014 reforms in Docket No. 14-GIMT-446-GIT; the July 2015 reforms in Docket No. 15-GIMT-068-GIT (Docket 15-068); and the July 2016 reforms in Docket No. 16-GIMT-413-GIT (Docket 16-413).

⁸ Docket 13-004, September 14, 2014, Order Implementing Intrastate Switched Access Adjustments for Rural Local Exchange Carriers.

Next, K.S.A. 66-2005(c) does not distinguish between originating and terminating access rates whereas the FCC's reforms focus on terminating access rates. K.S.A. 66-2005(c) requires an RLEC to adjust its intrastate originating and terminating access rates to parity with its interstate rates. In contrast, the FCC allows an RLEC to adjust its originating access rates to parity, but only allows an RLEC participating in NECA's tariff or a NECA pool recipient that withdraws from NECA's tariff to increase its intrastate terminating access rates to parity. To resolve this conflict, the Commission has recognized an RLEC may increase its intrastate originating rates to parity with its interstate rates and an RLEC may increase its intrastate terminating rates to parity pursuant to FCC rules.⁹

Finally, K.S.A. 66-2005(c)(1) allows an RLEC to recover a reduction in its intrastate access revenue via the KUSF on a revenue-neutral basis whereas the FCC allows an RLEC to recover a percentage of its interstate and intrastate terminating access revenue requirement via the CAF and ARC. K.S.A. 66-2005(c)(1) was amended, effective July 2013, to state a reduction in an RLEC's intrastate "cost recovery due to reduction of its intrastate access revenue, except such revenue recovered from another support mechanism, shall be recovered from the KUSF." Therefore, the Commission determined an increase in an RLEC's intrastate originating or terminating access revenue will continue to be applied as a reduction to an RLEC's KUSF support and a reduction in an RLEC's intrastate terminating access revenue may result in an increase in the RLEC's

Docket 15-068, August 26, 2014, Order Opening Docket; Requiring RLEC Data Filings; Procedural Schedule; Discovery Order; Protective Order; General Service Matters.

1 KUSF support to the extent the revenue is not recovered via CAF or ARC support. 10

2 Q. Please explain the relevance of NECA's interstate access pool and tariff to this

3 Docket.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

4 A. NECA manages an access cost pool and tariff for a majority of the RLECs in the country.

5 The costs and usage of all member RLECs are pooled together to determine the interstate

access rates necessary to allow each participating RLEC to recover its interstate access

revenue requirement. NECA's tariff contains eight originating and terminating Rate

Bands, separately for premium and non-premium switched end office access service, and

two Rate Bands for originating and terminating transport service. The Rate Bands in

NECA's tariff allow RLECs with higher costs to recover their costs via higher rates and

those with lower costs to recover their costs via lower rates.

All of Kansas' RLECs, except Columbus Communications Services, LLC (Columbus);

LaHarpe Telephone Company, Inc. (LaHarpe); Pioneer Telephone Association, Inc.

(Pioneer); Moundridge Telephone Company (Moundridge); Rural Telephone Service Co.,

Inc. (Rural); and Totah Telephone Co., Inc. (Totah); concur in NECA's access pool and

tariff. Columbus and LaHarpe maintain their own interstate access tariffs. Effective

July 2016, Pioneer, Moundridge, Rural, and Totah withdrew from NECA's tariff and

maintain their own interstate access tariffs. 11

19

¹⁰ In the Matter of an Investigation to Determine Intrastate Access Revenue due to Rural Local Exchange Carriers, Docket No. 16-GIMT-468-GIT, April 26, 2016, Order Opening Docket To Determine Intrastate Access Revenue Due to Rural Local Exchange Carriers.

Moundridge, Pioneer and Totah's interstate tariffs are included in John Staurulakis, Inc.'s interstate tariff. Rural's interstate tariff is contained in Alexicon's interstate tariff. Totah has advised Staff of a typographical error in its interstate Information Surcharge tariff rate, which it intends to revise.

A.

II. Intrastate Access Rates and Revenues

2 Q. How do the RLECs tariff their intrastate access services?

For intrastate access purposes, Blue Valley Tele-Communications, Inc. (Blue Valley) maintains an intrastate access tariff that functions similar to NECA's tariff, with most of the RLECs concurring in Blue Valley's tariff. Historically, Blue Valley revised its intrastate access tariff in odd-numbered years, pursuant to K.S.A. 66-2005(c), to align its intrastate rates to parity with NECA's rates. Subsequent to the FCC's implementation of its ICC reforms, Blue Valley adjusts its intrastate originating rates to parity with NECA's interstate rates on a biennial basis, consistent with K.S.A. 66-2005(c), but adjusts is intrastate terminating rates to parity each July.

Columbus and LaHarpe have their own intrastate access tariffs. Pioneer concurs with some rates in Blue Valley's tariff and, for rates with which it does not concur, has company-specific rates in Blue Valley's tariff. Since Pioneer, Moundridge, Rural, and Totah have withdrawn from NECA's tariff; each company may either concur in Blue Valley's tariff, with company-specific intrastate rates identified in the tariff or implement its own intrastate access tariff.

Q. What information did each RLEC file in the Docket?

18 A. Pursuant to the Commission's July 14, 2016, Order opening this Docket (Opening Order), each RLEC filed its July 1, 2016, interstate and intrastate access rates, 12 its MOU for the twelve months' ended June 30, 2016, its calculated intrastate access revenues, its

¹² Docket 16-413, June 7, 2016, Order Approving Tariff Revisions for Blue Valley, Columbus, LaHarpe, and CenturyLink.

- 1 CAF and ARC support, and the resulting KUSF support adjustment. The RLECs also provided electronic copies of their information to Staff.
- 3 Q. Please discuss Staff's analysis of the RLECs' data.
- 4 A. Staff reviewed the RLECs' filed data to ensure each RLEC reported the correct July 1. 5 2016, interstate and intrastate access rates. Staff compared the MOU reported for the 6 twelve months' ended June 30, 2016, to the MOU reported for the twelve months' ended June 30, 2014, 13 to determine if the changes appeared reasonable. Staff then input the 7 8 RLECs' reported rates and MOU into Staff's Excel workbook, or Attachment 1, to verify 9 that Staff and each RLEC arrived at the same revenue and KUSF impact calculations. 10 Staff contacted each RLEC and/or its consultant to discuss questions and information that needed to be revised. Revisions have been provided to Staff and filed in the Docket. 14 11

Q. What are the results of Staff's analysis?

12

A. Attachment 1 shows all of the RLECs except Columbus, LaHarpe, Pioneer, and Totah will increase their intrastate originating rates to parity with their interstate rates.

Columbus, LaHarpe, and Pioneer will not adjust their intrastate originating rates as they are at parity with their interstate rates. Moundridge and Rural were NECA pool recipients and required to increase their interstate originating rates upon exiting NECA's pool. Thus, Moundridge and Rural will increase their intrastate originating access rates to parity with their interstate rates. Totah, as a NECA pool contributor, 15 reduced its

¹³ As reported by each RLEC in Docket 15-068.

¹⁴ Although some RLECs have filed revised MOU data, several RLECs and/or their consultants have advised Staff that further review of switch and/or billing data is being conducted to verify the underlying data. Such reviews may take some time to finalize; therefore, it is possible an RLEC will need to file revised data upon completion of such review.

^{15 47} C.F.R. §51.909(a)(4).

- interstate originating rates, and will reduce its intrastate originating rates to parity with its interstate rates. In total, the RLECs will increase their intrastate originating access revenues a net \$439,457, comprised of:
 - a \$400,226 net increase in switched end office revenue:
 - a \$34,786 net increase in transport revenue; and
- a \$4,446 net increase in information surcharge revenue.

7 Q. Please continue.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. For intrastate terminating rate purposes, none of the RLECs will increase their end office rates since they are at parity with their interstate rates. The RLECs participating in NECA's access tariff and Columbus, LaHarpe, and Pioneer have intrastate terminating transport rates at parity with their interstate rates and, therefore, will not make any rate adjustments. Although Moundridge and Rural were required to increase their interstate terminating transport rates, they will not increase their intrastate terminating transport rates since the intrastate rates are lower than the interstate rates. Totah, as a NECA pool contributor, reduced its interstate terminating rates when it withdrew from NECA's pool and will reduce its intrastate transport rates to parity with its interstate rates.
 - The impact to the RLECs' intrastate terminating revenue is limited to the terminating revenue reduction calculated for Totah \$1,356. As further discussed later in my testimony, this reduction will not impact the KUSF since it will be recovered via CAF and ARC support.

1 III. **KUSF** Impact

13

16

17

- 2 Q. Please explain how the CAF and ARC support received by each RLEC affected
- 3 Staff's calculation of the impact to an RLEC's KUSF support.
- 4 A. In 2013, the Commission determined an RLEC could not recover a reduction in its intrastate terminating access revenue from the KUSF.16 The RLECs appealed the 5 6 Commission's decisions and the Court of Appeals determined K.S.A. 66-2005(c)(1) is 7 not preempted by the FCC's reforms, stating:
- 8 This statute continues to provide support to rural telephone companies for 9 cost recovery lost due to reduced intrastate access revenue. . . . A logical 10 reading of K.S.A. 66-2005(c)(1), however, infers that the RLECs will be 11 required to seek support from the CAF and/or federally allowed access 12 recovery charges before seeking KUSF distributions. 17
- The RLECs, therefore, reported their CAF and ARC support. 18 Totah will be the only 14 RLEC to reduce its intrastate terminating rates and experience a calculated intrastate terminating access revenue reduction.¹⁹ Totah will not recover any additional monies 15 from the KUSF since its CAF support exceeds its intrastate terminating access revenue reduction.
- 18 Q. The calculated intrastate access revenue change determined in this Docket will be 19 used to calculate the KUSF assessment rate, effective March 1, 2017, in Docket No.

¹⁶ Docket 12-170, May 29, 2013, Order and Docket No. 13-GIMT-004-GIT, May 22, 2013, Order, mailed May 31, 2013.

¹⁷ Bluestem Tel. Co. v. Kan. Corp. Comm'n, No. 112,364, Corrected Opinion at 26. (C.A. Kan. Mar. 22, 2016), correcting Bluestem Tel. Co. v. Kan. Corp. Comm'n, 52 Kan. App. 2d 96 (Nov. 25, 2015).

¹⁸ Attachment 1, p. 4, to Staff's Report and Recommendation, dated July 8, 2016, enclosed with the Opening Order. ARC and CAF support provide recovery for interstate and intrastate terminating access revenues and reciprocal compensation and should be allocated to these revenue categories. The CAF support reported by each RLEC exceeds its calculated intrastate terminating access revenue reduction, thereby eliminating any additional KUSF support. Staff, therefore, only recognized the reported CAF support and did not analyze or reflect the reported ARC support allocations.

¹⁹ Calculations of Access Reductions of Independent Telecommunications Group, Totah Communications, Inc.; Attachment 1, p. 4.

17-GIMT-008-GIT.²⁰ What impact will the RLECs' intrastate originating and 1 2 terminating access rate adjustments have on the KUSF? 3 The RLECs will increase their total intrastate access revenue a net \$438,101, comprised A. 4 of a net \$439,457 increase in originating revenue and a \$1,356 reduction in terminating 5 revenue. Bluestem Telephone Company, Inc.; FairPoint Communications Missouri, Inc.; 6 Haviland Telephone Company, Inc.; MoKan Dial, Inc.; Moundridge; and Sunflower 7 Telephone Company, Inc. do not receive KUSF support. The intrastate revenues 8 calculated for these RLECs cannot be applied as an offset to the KUSF and must be 9 subtracted from the KUSF impact. The net impact to the KUSF is an annual reduction of 10 \$411,976, effective July 2017. 11 Q. K.S.A. 66-2005(c)(2) limits the amount of the access revenue recovery in relationship 12 to the increase in the KUSF assessment. Please explain the impact of that limitation 13 on this year's access revenue calculations. 14 The RLECs will experience a net, aggregate increase in their intrastate access revenue, Α. with a corresponding reduction in their KUSF support. Since there is no increase in the 15 KUSF support payable to the RLECs, the .75% cap is moot.²¹ 16 17 Should the RLECs that do not receive KUSF support implement the intrastate 0. 18 access rate changes?

.

A.

19

Yes. These RLECs should implement the access rate adjustments.

²⁰ In the Matter of An Investigation to Determine the Assessment Rate and the Affordable Local Service Rate for Rate-of-Return Regulated Carriers for the Twenty-First Year of the Kansas Universal Service Fund, Effective March 1, 2017, Docket No. 17-GIMT-008-GIT.

The March 1, 2016, KUSF assessment rate, adopted in Docket No. 16-GIMT-067-GIT, is based on a \$729.9 million assessable revenue base. Assuming this same assessable revenue base would result in the .75% cap being approximately \$5.47 million.

1 III. Implementation Issues

2 Q. What steps are necessary to implement the access rate changes?

3 A, The RLECs will need to file revised intrastate access tariffs to implement new rates. 4 effective July 2017. The intrastate originating access rates adopted in this Docket will be 5 effective July 2017; however, the July 2017 intrastate terminating rates will be those 6 determined in accordance with the FCC's reforms. For administrative efficiency, Staff recommends that the RLECs file one set of tariffs for their revised intrastate originating 7 8 and terminating rates. The Commission will need to open a new proceeding in early 2017 9 to address the FCC's July 2017 reforms, therefore, the RLECs should file their revised 10 tariffs in that docket.

11 Q. Please summarize Staff's recommendations to the Commission.

A. Staff recommends that the Commission issue an Order to adopt the following, as identified in Attachment 1, effective July 2017: 1) the intrastate originating switched access rates; 2) the calculated originating and terminating access revenues and net revenue changes; 3) the CAF support offsets; and 4) a net aggregate \$411,976 reduction in the RLECs' annual KUSF support. Staff also recommends that the Commission direct the RLECs to file their revised intrastate access tariffs in a separate proceeding to be opened at a later date by the Commission to address the FCC's July 2017 reforms.

19 Q. Does this conclude your Testimony?

20 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

	I	(Originating 1	Revenue		: 1	i		Termin:	ting Revenue		99			
Company	End Office	LT	Info- Surcharge	Total	KUSF Impact		End Office	LT	Total	CAF Offset [2]	Total	KUSF Impact		Net Revenue (Without CAF)	Total KUSF Increase / (Reduction)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D = A+B+C)	(E)		(F)	(G)	(H = F+G)	(I)	(J = I + H)	(K)	0	(L = D+H)	(M = E + K)
Bluestem [1]	\$ 266	\$ 21	\$ 6	\$ 294	\$ -		S -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	~	\$ -		\$ 294	\$ -
Blue Valley	3,016	101	34	3,151	(3,151)		-	-		46,983	46,983	- 1		3,151	(3,151)
Columbus	_		_	_	_		_	-		129,024	129,024	-			
Council Grove	582	192	7	781	(781)		-	-	-	34,882	34,882		#[781	(781)
CrawKan	3,210	228	46	3,483	(3,483)		-	-	-	305,320	305,320		Ī	3,483	(3,483)
Cunningham	174	1	2	177	(177)		-	-	-	23,405	23,405		ı	177	(177)
Elkhart	288	112	3	404	(404)		-	-	-	7,498	7,498		Ī	404	(404)
FairPoint MO	104	29	1	134	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ī	134	-
Golden Belt	2,034	45	23	2,101	(2,101)		-	-	-	99,501	99,501		ı	2,101	(2,101)
Gorham	227	7	3	237	(237)		-	-	-	6,992	6,992	- 8	ı	237	(237)
H & B Comm	298	22	5	323	(323)		-	-	-	19,481	19,481	-	ı	323	(323)
Haviland [1]	593	38	17	648	-		-	-	-	47,352	47,352	-	1	648	
Home	378	61	4	444	(444)		_	-	-	100,662	100,662		Ī	444	(444)
JBN	614	20	9	643	(643)		_	-	-	76,896	76,896	-	ı	643	(643)
KanOkla	888	59	10	956	(956)		-	-	-	47,423	47,423	-	Ī	956	(956)
LaHarpe	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	152,365	152,365	-		-	-
Madison	74	-	1	75	(75)		-	-	-	9,384	9,384			75	(75)
MoKan [1]	984	33	19	1,036	-		-	-	-	17,740	17,740	- 3	ſſ	1,036	•
Moundridge [1]	24,109	73	265	24,448	-		-	-	-	50,768	50,768	- 1		24,448	-
Mutual	113	7	1	121	(121)		-	-	•	15,123	15,123	-		121	(121)
Peoples	100	0	I	101	(101)		-	-	-	39,055	39,055	-	ſ	101	(101)
Pioneer	-	•	-	-	-		_	-	•	354,731	354,731	- 1	ſ		-
Rainbow	1,238	85	14	1,337	(1,337)	ń.	-	-	-	39,952	39,952	-	Γ	1,337	(1,337)
Rural	356,083	33,621	3,920	393,624	(393,624)		-	-	. •	126,500	126,500	-	ſ	393,624	(393,624)
S & A	208	13	2	223	(223)	ű.	-	-	-	3,239	3,239	- 3	Ī	223	(223)
S & T	275	. 1	3	279	(279)	30	-	-	•	39,952	39,952	- 1		279	(279)
South Central	699	19	10	728	(728)		-	-	•	15,799	15,799	- 1	T	728	(728)
Southern KS	451	31	17	499	(499)	4	-	-	-	5,207	5,207	- ()	T	499	(499)
Sunflower [1]	743	162	17	922			-	-	-	-	-		Ī	922	
Totah	(4,064)	(957)	(74)	(5,096)	5,096		-	(1,356)	(1,356	23,587	22,231		ı	(6,452)	5,096
Tri-County	1,413	9	18	1,440	(1,440)		-	-	-	77,835	77,835	. 8	ſ	1,440	(1,440)
Twin Valley	2,816	699	32	3,547	(3,547)]	-		-	179,830	179,830	-	ı	3,547	(3,547)
United Assn.	411	22	9	442	(442)	1	-	-	-	49,914	49,914	-	T	442	(442)
Wamego	624	13	7	644	(644)			-	-	8,070	8,070			644	(644)
Wheat State	1,119	13	13	1,145	(1,145)		-	-	-	81,660	81,660	-	ı	1,145	(1,145)
Wilson	129	3	1	134	(134)	ľ	-	-	-	26,167	26,167	-	Ī	134	(134)
Zenda	26	5	0	30	(30)	1	-	-	-	853	853	-	1	30	(30)
Total	\$ 400,226	\$ 34,786	\$ 4,446	\$ 439,457	S (411,976)		\$ -	\$ (1,356)	\$ (1,356)	\$ 2,263,150	\$ 2,261,794	s -		S 438,101	S (411,976)

Notes

PUBLIC 9/20/2016

^[1] Company does not receive KUSF support, thus, there is no corresponding KUSF offset.

^[2] Reflects CAF support only. Does not include any ARC support.

		Tally 1	3016 Inches	winto Orlan	July 1 2016 Tarangate Orderinging Bergen	100			3	Lubr. 7 2017 Infrantinto Origination Douganase	Tour Parks Order	Taning Danner						Note Change	edit.		
			100		-	_					1										-
				Tundem		ii Şi		X E		_==	LT- Tandem							LT- Tandem		- Pilo	
Company	End Office	1.T - Term	LT-Facility	y Switching	z LT - Other	Surchurge	CAF Offset [2]	End Office		I.T-Pacility.		LT - Other	Surcharge	Total	End Office	LT - Te	1. P-Facilin		I.TOther	Surcharge	Total
Bluestern	\$ 12,097	\$ 567.5	5 .70	\$		5 23th	\$ 14,320	\$ 11,264	\$ 579	180			\$ 292 \$	14.614	\$ 266	\$ 13	ا بد	\$.		\$ 9	787
Blue Valley	146,973	3,206	1,747		•	(1615		066 671	3,271	1.782			1.650	156.693	3,016	59	35	,	,	35,	3,151
Columbus	5,950	•		•		181		056.5	7	•	•		181	6.132				•		•	,
Council Grove	28.396	1.468	7.626			Ε		28,978	1 298	7.788	-	ļ.	Z.	38.618	38	æ	162			-	ž
CrawKan	56,564	8.5	130	10.759		2,151	169.699	159,774	78	142	£86.01		2,197	173 182	3210					ş	3.483
Cunninglam	8,489	12	20	,	,	117	L	39×	13	70			611	8,816	7.7	٥	С			2	11
Filkhurt	14.041	685	4,743			Z	19.497	62 M	SZO	73,7		Ι,	15K	10-61	X	Ξ	101			r	464
FairPoint MO	\$ 060	707	6X7		·	ş		3.3	721	702	,	,	2.5	Į	3		15	,	,	-	2
Golden Fielt	060,66	2,196			-	1,089		101.123	2,241	-			2117	101,477	2,034	÷				23	2,101
Certlum	11,090	L	150	1 2 1	•	193	L	11,317	¥	ř	215	,	\$	11.KID6	722	L	-	7			237
H & B Comm	14,513		895			Chall	L	11871	7,5	ONS			163	16,026	298	٥	1			۳.	323
Hewland	28.921	1.577	L			795		29.514	6091	309			×	12,243	505			٠		11	XŤ)
Home	#X1	XSX	2,036			203	XES 12	18,820	×2×	2,079		-	207	21.082	Ě	=	57	-	,	7	77.7
Nitr	29,961	21X	157			412	L	30,575	ž	3			420	31,989	119		3	٠	,	6	£13
KanOkla	43,245		1,956		١	475		1 1 2	296	1,996	٠		782	47,576	*xx	9	OF.			91	950
Laftage	X,682			۰ ا	,	S	XXVY X	KKK?					c	XXXX		ŀ					
Madison	3,611	٠				OF		3,686			,		7	3.726	7			•	,	-	75
MoKan	17,931	352	XX7			XXX	L	48.915	770	50%			70X	51.487	TX.	- 15	18			Ġ.	1,036
Moundrage	43 592		U.C.			470		67.702	96	100		,	744	159.89	24,109		3.0	٠	•	265	24 118
Mutual	70F/S		10).	•		€		5.610	17	707		,	62	000 9	113	0] [131
Peoples	4,875	01				Z		4,975	91		-	•	- 55	0,000	1001	0		-	-	-	101
Plencer	27.453	1 420	3,762	5.5	. 1	353	38,536	27,453	1.420	3,762	2,547	,	153	15.536							,
Rainhw	60,320	1.138	8592		342			795,13	1.151	2,714		247	229	99: 99	1,238	23	95		\$	7.	1,337
Rural	312,056	-			20,459	3,430	344,945	66X 39		ļ	•	63,080	7.350	73X.569	356,083		·		129,55	3,920	393,624
S.A. A.	10,126	221	435	•	•	111	608.01	10.334	225	7		-	114	11.117	208	7	6			2	223
SAT	13,385	29	30	•	•	117		13.660	30	46	·	•	150	17.879	275	_		`		£	279
South Central	34,080		732			163	35,471	11.TN	291	08/2		-	47K	36,199	669	2			-	10	X27
Southern KS	22 016		X18	-		807		22.46X	486	\$65	•	•	17.74	24 842	187				•	1.7	199
Sunflower	36,260	1,582	6.410	,	,	797		17.00.7	191	6,540	•		x14	45.972	743	32	129		•	17	922
Treath	12,221	1,205	1,670			224		X.157	305	1,113		•	140	10.224	(4,064)	(10F) (Ĺ			(74)	(\$008)
Tri-County	HD6 X9		,		44			70.321		-	,	455	658	71.635	(1413		,	•	6	*	0.440
Twin Valley	137,208	4,752	28, 174	•	,	_		140.024	4.850	28,976			1.540	175.300	2,816	46	209			32	3.547
United Assn.	20.030	17.08	161			440	21.535	20,440	892	195		-	051*	21.977	=	×	7	-		6	77
Wamego	30.422		2	350	,	337		31.046	142	136	357		Œ	12 023	624	3	٤	7	•	7	4
Wheat State	54,539	890	2.5			665	,	55.650	±0.5	88	,	•	612	110 95	611.1	12	-	-		13	1.145
Wilson	905.9	,			153		•	6.436	,		Ţ	156	1.1	6.663	129			-	ř	-	7
Zenda	1,244		150	,				1.270			-			505	26	1	F.				
Total	\$ 594,505	5	\$ 67,243	7X'E1 S 1	27,229 \$ 67,243 \$ 13,867 \$ 30,300	3 20,516 \$	\$ 1741661	15/17/31	\$ 57.363 \$	68,022	\$ 14,102 \$	\$ 826.59 \$	\$ 24,962 \$	2.183,118	\$ 400,226 \$	PL1 5	\$ 779 \$	235	\$ 33,638 \$	\$ 4.446 \$	439,457
				ĺ											Less Commin.	asse Communics and receiving KHSE	no KTISE:				

9/19/2016

1,350 26,132 \$ 411,976

		July 1, 2016,	July 1, 2016, Intrastate Access Rates	ex Rates			July 1, 2016	July 1, 2016, Interstate Rates	tex			,	ORIGINATING MOU Docket 16-587	3U Docket 16-587		
			17:	LT-OTHER				- -	LT-OTHER	lafo-						
,		ť	FACILITY	Tandem	Info-Surcharpe	_	:	Ė	Tandem	Surcharge /				LT-Tundem		
Company	FO	TERM	ı	Switching	/ 100 MOU)	Ģ.	TERMINATION	FACILITY	Switching	(DOM OD)	EO	1.T-Term	LT-Facility	Switching	LT-Other	Into Surcharge
Suestem	0.023655		861000.0	0.002601	0.000520	0.024140	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
slue Valley	0.047311			0.002601	0.000520	0.048282	6.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Columbia	0.011131		0.000000	0000000	0.000339	1811100	0.00000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000339						
Council Grave	0.042580		ш	0.005554	0.000520	0.043453	0.002247	0.000433	899500 0	0.000531						
CrawKan	0.037848	0.001032	X61000:0	109200.0	0.000520	0.038624	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Cummpham	0.0378418	0.002202	0.000424	0.005554		0.038624	0.002247	0 000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Ukhart	0.047311	0.002202	0.000424	0.005554	0.000520	0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
airPoint MO	0.047711	0.002202	1770000	0.005554	0.000520	0.048282	0.002347	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Golden Belt	0.047311	0.001032	8610000	1092000	0.000520	0.048282	550100.0	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Cortians	0.037848	0.002202	0.000424	0.005554	025000.0	0.038624	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
il & is Comm	0.047311	0.002202	1210000	0.005554	0.000520	0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	899500.0	0.000531						
laviland	0.018925	0.001032	861000.0	0.002601	0.000520	0.019313	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Jome	0.047311	0.002202	0.00042.4	0.005554	0.000520	0 0432K2	0 002247	0 000433	899500.0	0.000571						
N.F.	0.037848	2701000	861000.0	1092000	0.000520	0.038624	0.001053	0 000202	0.0020555	0.000531						
KanOkla	0.047311				0.000520	0.04XXX	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
allame	0.0384X4	0.00000	O DENISORO	0.00000	520000.0	0.038484	O DODODO	0.000000	0.000000	0.000025						
Мадінов	0.047311	0.001032	861000.0	109200 0	0 0000520	0.04K2K2	0 001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
MnKim	0.028386	0.001032	H6100010	0.002601	0.000520	0.028969	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Moundridge	0.047311	0.001032	0 000198	0.026010		0.071.177	6,001603	0.000308	0.004040	O DOONON						
Mutuni	0.047311	0.002202	0.000424	0.005554		0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Pemples	0.047311	0.001032	0.000198	0.002601	0.000520	0.048282	6.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Pioneer	0.021683	56,000.0				0.021683	0.000795	0.000153	0.002012	0.000279						
Kambow	0.047311	0.002202	0.000424	155500.0	0000250	0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Rural	0.047311	1000	N61000'0		0.000520	0.101297	0.002210	0.000424	0.005569	0.001114						
SKA	0.047311	100 0		0.002601	0.2000.0	0.048282	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
N.R.T	0.047111					0.048282	500000	0 000202	0.002655	0 000531						
South Central	0.037848			0.005554	0.000520	0.038624	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Southern KS	0.014192	0 0010		Ī	0.000520	0.014483	0.001053	0.000202	0.0026.55	0.00031						
Sunflower	0.023655		861000'0	0.002601	0.000520	0.024140	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Totah	0.028386				0.0005.0	0.018946	0.000689	0.000132	0.001736	0.000347						
Tri-County	0.042580		861000:0	0.002601	0.000520	0.043453	0.001053	0 000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Twan Valley	1112/40/0	0.002202	0.000424		0.000520	0.048282	0 002247	££1000 0	0.005668	0.000531						
United Assin	0.023655		1	0.002601	0.000520	0.024140	£\$0100 0	0 000202	0.002655	0.000531						
Warnego	116,000	202200 0	Ш			0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Whent State	1111110 0		0.000424		0.000520	0.048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Wilson	0.047311		0.000198		0.000520	0.048282	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.000531						
nda	0.047311	0.00200	0.000424	0.005554	0.000520	0 048282	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.000531						
Total	SWINDING WATER	Water were walled	A CONTROLLEGICAL	PANESTH INTOXICE	STREET, STREET	DKM1500000	ASSERTING HER RESERVED IN	SECTION SECTIONS	SENSOREM SESSION	WAS DESIGNATED IN						

9/19/2016

Company			July 1	. 2016, Intras	tate Access Re	venue		1	July 1	. 2017, Intrast	ate Access Rev	enues		1		Ne	t Change		9
Bite Valley 1977 2.311 1.59 . 18.431 1.0971 2.311 1.59 . 1.4543 	Company	End Office	,		LT -Tandem	LT - Other	Total	End Office	1		LT - Tandem	LT - Other	Total	End Office	LT -Term				Total
Columbus 6,667	Bluestem	\$ 1,649	\$ 347	\$ 288	\$ -		\$ 2.285	\$ 1,649	\$ 347	\$ 288	\$ -		\$ 2,285	s -	\$.	S -	S -	\$ -	s -
Common C	Blue Valley	10,973	2.311	1,259	-		14.543	10,973	2,311	1.259			14,543		•	-		,	- 1
Cars/Non 28,113	Columbus	6,667		~	-		6,667	6,667		-			6,667	-		-	-	-	- 2
Cunningham 3,434 60 95 . 2,778 2,424 60 95 . 2,778 	Council Grove	5,953	2,675	13.903	-		22,531	5,953	2,675	13,903			22.531	-	-	-	•	,	•
Elbar	CrawKon	28,113	118	193	14,928		43,352	28,113	118	193	14,928		43,352				-	-	a dead
Fairband Mo	Cunningham	2,424	60	95	-		2.578	2,424	60	95			2,578		•		-	-	- 1
Golden Bck Corban	Elkhart	1,906	628	5,336	-		7,871	1.906	628	5,336	-		7,871		-	-	-	- "	- 3
Cortam 2,150 215 88 544 2,993	FairPoint MO	598	806	720	-		2,123	598	806	720	-		2,123		-		-	- 1	- 0
H. & B. Comm	Golden Belt	11.110	2,378	-	-		13,487	11,110	2.378	-	-		13,487	-	-		· ·	-	- 1
Haviland 17.973 3.785 726 - 22.484 17.973 3.785 726 - 22.484 - - - - -	Gorham	2.150	215	85	544		2,993	2,150	215	K5	544		2,993					-	- 4
Home	H & B Comm	2,656	1,647	1.330	-		5.633	2,656	1,647	1,330	-		5,633	-	-			-	
BN	Haviland	17,973	3,785	726	-		22,484	17,973	3,785	726	-		22,484	-		-	-		- 1
RanGkla 3,842 809 1.67k -	Home	7,168	3,221	7,648	-		18,037	7,168	3.221	7,648	-		18,037	-		-	-	-	- 6
LaHarpe	JBN	8,696	1,831	351	-		10,878	8,696	1,831	351	-		10,878		-	-	-	-	- 6
Madison 1.352 - 10 - 1.362 1.352 - 10 - 1.362 - - - - -	KanOkla	3,842	809	1,678	-		6,330	3,842	809	1,678			6,330	-	-	-	-	-	- 3
Mokan	LaHarpe	4,397	-	-	-	T	4,397	4.397		-			4,397	-	•	-	-		- 3
Moundridge 7,853	Madison	1,352	-	10			1,362	1,352		10	-		1,362	-		-		-	• (6)
Matital 1,767 64 934 - 2,764 1,767 64 934 - 2,764 1,767 64 934 - 2,764 1,767 64 934 - - - - -	MoKan	7.731	1,628	1,812		1	11,171	7,731	1,628	1,812	·		11,171	-	-	-	•	-	- 8
Peoples	Moundridge	7,833	433	492	-		8,758	7,833	433	492			8,758	-		-		-	- 8
Pronest 17,103 3,661 13,282 6,882 40,928 40,928 40,928 4736 863 2,016 5 180 7,794 4,736 863 2,016 5 180 7,794 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Mutual	1,767	64	934	-		2,764	1,767	64	934			2,764		-	-	-	-	- 1
Rainbow	Peoples	4,998	954	1 -	-		5,952	4,998	954	-			5.952	-			•		- 9
Rural 29.576 29.459 59.035 29.576 29.459 59.035 5.& A 2.773 584 1,149 - 4.506 2.773 584 1,149 - 4.506 2.773 584 1,149	Pioncer	17,103	3,661	13,282	6,882		40,928	17,103	3,661	13,282	6,882		40,928	-	-	-	-		- 8
S&A 2,773 584 1,149 - 4,506 2,773 584 1,149 - 4,506 - <t< td=""><td>Rainbow</td><td>4,736</td><td>863</td><td>2,016</td><td></td><td>180</td><td>7,794</td><td>4,736</td><td>863</td><td>2.016</td><td>-</td><td>180</td><td>7,794</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>- 0</td></t<>	Rainbow	4,736	863	2,016		180	7,794	4,736	863	2.016	-	180	7,794	-	-		-	-	- 0
S.E.T 5,718 1,204 159 - 7,082 5,718 1,204 159 - 7,082 -	Rural	29,576	-	1	1	29,459	59,035	29,576		-	-	29,459	59,035	-	-		-	-	- 8
South Central 3 0 72 143 688 - 4,803 3,972 143 688 - 4,803 - - - - - - - - -	S&A	2,773	584	1,149		1	4.506	2,773	584	1.149			4,506	-			-	-	. 0
Southern KS 13,483 1,193 1,503 - 16,179 13,483 1,193 1,503 - 16,179 - - - - - -	S&T	5,718	1,204	159			7.082	5,718	1,204	159	-		7.082			-	-	-	- 8
Sunflower 6,049 1,274 5,161 - 12,484 6,049 1,274 5,161 - 12,484 - - - - - Totah (2) 2,905 1,685 2,233 - 6,823 2,905 1,102 1,459 - 5,467 - 682) (774) - - (774) - - Tri-County 7,076 - - - 390 7,466 - - - 390 7,466 - - - - Twin Volley 14,296 - - - 14,296 - - - 14,296 - - - - United Assn 0,384 1,976 432 - 11,793 9,384 1,976 432 - 11,793 - - - - - Wamego 10,815 486 468 1,225 12,994 10,815 486 468 1,225 12,994 Wheat State 8,252 873 84 - 9,209 8,252 873 84 - 9,209 - - - - - - Wilson 3,046 104 20 - 3,170 3,046 104 20 - 5,170 - - - - - Zenda 3,47 156 430 - 9932 3,47 156 430 - 9932 - - - - - - - - -	South Central		143		-		4,803	3,972	143	688	-		4.803					-	-
Totah (2) 2,905 1,685 2,233 - 6,823 2,905 1,102 1,459 - 5,467 - (\$82) (774) - - (\$76/courty 7,076 - - - 390 7,466 - - - 390 7,466 - - - - 390 7,466 - - - - - 390 7,466 - - - - - - - - -	Southern KS	13,483	1,193	1,503	-	1	16,179	13,483	1.193	1,503	-		16,179	-			-	-	•
Tri-County 7,076 390 7,466 7,076 390 7,466 7,076 390 7,466	Sunflower	6,049	1,274	5,161	-		12,484	6,049	1.274	5,161	-		12,484	-	,	-	-	-	. 3
Tri-County 7,076 390 7,466 7,076 390 7,466 7,076 390 7,466	Totah (2)	2.905	1,685		-	1	6,823	2,905	1,102	1.459	-		5,467	•	(582)	(774)		-	(1,356)
United Assn. 9.384 1.976 432 - 11.793 9.384 1.976 432 - 11.793	Tri-County	7.076		•	-	390	7.466	7.076	-		-	390	7,466		-	-		-	- 4
United Assn. 9.384 1.976 432 - 11.793 9.384 1.976 432 - 11.793	····		-	<u> </u>	-	T				-				-	-		-	-	- 1
Wamego 10x15 486 488 1,225 12,994 10,815 4x6 468 1,225 12,994						1		0						-	-	· · · · · ·	-	-	- 3
Wheat State 8,252 873 84 - 9,209 8,252 873 84 - 9,209 -		 			1,225	1					1,225			·		-	-	-	- 18
Wilson 3,046 104 20 - 3,170 3,046 104 20 - 3,170						 		6						1	_	· · · · · ·	-	<u> </u>	
Zenda 347 156 430 - 932 347 156 430 - 932								,]						:	-		-		
			 			 		:						1	-	<u> </u>		_	
Total \$ 279,490 \$ 38,113 \$ 64,485 \$ 23,579 \$ 30,029 \$ 435,695 \$ 279,490 \$ 37,530 \$ 63,711 \$ 23,579 \$ 30,029 \$ 434,339 \$ - (582) (774)			+	 		\$ 30.029		8		 	\$ 23,579	\$ 30.029		<u> </u>	(582)	(774)	-		(1.356)

PUBLIC 9/19/2016

	luL	y 1, 2016 Intras	tate Access Rat	es l		July 1, 2016, Inte	rstate Rates	1	1	TERMINATING	MOU (Docket 16-5	87)
				LT-Tandem Switching /				LT-Tandem Switching /			T	LT-Tandem
Company	End Office	LT-Term	LT-FACILITY	Other	End Office	LT-Term	LT-Facility	Other	End Office	LT-Term	LT-Facility	Switching
Bluestem	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0,005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	(IIII			
Blue Valley	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	š			
Columbus	0.005000		<u> </u>		0.005000	-	-	-				
Council Grove	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
CrawKan	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Cunningham	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
Elkhart	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
FairPoint MO	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
Golden Belt	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655		I		
Gorham	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
H & B Comm	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
Haviland	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	2			
Home	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668			1	
JBN	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655			***************************************	
KanOkla	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0,005000	0.001053	0,000202	0.002655				
LaHarpe	0.005000		-	- 1	0.005000		-	-				1
Madison	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
MoKan	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Moundridge	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0,005000	0.001053	0,000202	0.002655				
Mutual	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0,005668				
Peoples	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Pioneer	0.005000	0.000795	0.000153	0.002012	0.005000	0.000795	0.000153	0.002012				
Rainbow	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0,005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668			1	
Rural	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
S & A	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
S&T	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	16			
South Central	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0,005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	¥			1
Southern KS	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Sunflower	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0,002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	Ž.		T	
Totah (2)	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.000689	0.000132	0.001736				
Tri-County	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Twin Valley	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
United Assn.	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655				
Warnego	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
Wheat State	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0,002247	0.000433	0.005668			T	
Wilson	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655	0.005000	0.001053	0.000202	0.002655			T	
Zenda	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668	0.005000	0.002247	0.000433	0.005668				
Total	0.005000	0.001441	0.000277	0.003634	0.005000	0.001431	0.000275	0.003609				

PUBLIC 9/19/2016

STATE OF KANSAS)
) ss
COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)

VERIFICATION

Sandra Reams, being duly sworn upon her oath deposes and says that she is Assistant Chief of Telecommunications for the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas, that she has read and is familiar with the foregoing Direct Testimony and that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

> Sandra Reams, Assistant Chief of Telecommunications State Corporation Commission of the

State of Kansas

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day of September, 2016.

PAMELA J. GRIFFETH Notary Public - State of Kansas My Appt. Expires 08-/

august 17, 2019

My Appointment Expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

16-GIMT-587-GIT

I, the undersigned, certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Direct Testimony of Sandra Reams was served by electronic service on this 21st day of September, 2016, to the following:

* BRUCE NEY AT&T CORP. 816 CONGRESS AVE SUITE 1100 AUSTIN, TX 78701-2471 Fax: 512-870-3420 bn7429@att.com

m.neeley@kcc.ks.gov

* MICHAEL NEELEY, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SWARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604-4027 Fax: 785-271-3167 COLLEEN R. JAMISON
JAMES M. CAPLINGER, CHARTERED
823 SW 10TH AVE
TOPEKA, KS 66612-1618
Fax: 785-232-0724
colleen@caplinger.net

MARK E. CAPLINGER MARK E. CAPLINGER, P.A. 7936 SW INDIAN WOODS PL TOPEKA, KS 66615-1421 mark@caplingerlaw.net

/s/ Pamela Griffeth

Pamela Griffeth Administrative Specialist

* Denotes those receiving the Confidential version