2009.05,22 16:14:06 Kansas Corporation Commission /S/ Sus**STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION**

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

)

)

MAY 2 2 2009

In the Matter of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company (KGE) d/b/a Westar Energy Seeking Commission Approval to Revise its Environmental Cost Recovery (ECRR) Tariff. Source Talify Docket

Docket No. 09-WSEE-737-TAR

Response of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Comments of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board

COME NOW Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company, (collectively, Westar), and file their response to the Comments of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB) filed in this matter on May 18, 2009. Westar respectfully states as follows:

1. On May 18, 2009, CURB filed its Comments in this matter. CURB's Comments were filed four days earlier than required by the Commission's Order granting it an extension to file until May 22, 2009. Westar appreciates CURB's diligence and expedition in making its filing earlier than required.

2. In its Comments, CURB did not recommend any adjustment to the ECRR surcharges quantified in Staff's Report and Recommendation. CURB Comments, at 3-4. Westar also agrees that the surcharges recommended by Staff are proper. The Commission should therefore issue its order approving the ECRR surcharges as recommended by Staff.

3. Although CURB did not contest Staff's quantification of the ECRR surcharges, it did propose changes to the ECRR process. Generally, CURB's recommendations were meant to provide additional notice to Westar's customers concerning proposed environmental projects and to provide CURB and other interested parties greater and earlier access to information concerning proposed projects.

4. Although there are practical considerations that must be addressed in connection with making changes in the ECRR process, Westar has no objection to the concepts behind CURB's notice proposals. In fact, a central purpose of the ECRR is to provide notice to the Commission and Westar's customers concerning proposed environmental projects and their associated costs. Westar is certainly open to changes to the process to provide additional notice and transparency concerning its environmental programs and expenditures. Accordingly, Westar suggests that such changes be developed through a collaborative process among the interested parties to develop a joint recommendation to the Commission. Westar, therefore, proposes that the Commission defer CURB's suggestions and direct Staff, CURB, Westar and any other interested parties to meet and provide a proposal no later than 60 days after the issuance of the order in this docket.

5. As indicated above, Westar supports a collaborative process to review the notice issues raised by CURB in its comments. Overall, however, the ECRR process established by the Commission has been effective. Each year since the implementation of Westar's ECRR, Staff has conducted a thorough and extensive review of Westar's ECRR filing and made recommendations regarding the adjustments it believed were necessary, enabling the Commission to issue an order approving the ECRR and ensure that the resulting rates were just and reasonable.

WHEREFORE, Westar requests that the Commission accept the Staff's Report, approve the ECRR surcharges as recommended by Staff, direct Staff, CURB and Westar to meet and provide a proposal for modifications to the ECRR process within 60 days of the order in this proceeding and grant other relief as may be appropriate.

2

Respectfully submitted,

Martin J. Bregman, #12618 Executive Director, Law Cathryn J. Dinges, #20848 Corporate Counsel WESTAR ENERGY, INC. 818 Kansas Avenue Topeka, Kansas 66612 (785) 575-1986; Telephone (785) 575-8136; Fax

ATTORNEYS FOR WESTAR ENERGY, INC. AND KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

VERIFICATION

STATE OF KANSAS)) ss: COUNTY OF SHAWNEE)

Martin J. Bregman, being duly sworn upon his oath deposes and says that he is one of the attorneys for Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company; that he is familiar with the Response of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Comments of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board and that the statements therein are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Martifs

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 22^{bol} day of May, 2009.

Sally Wilson NOTARY PUBLIC-STATE OF KANSAS MYAPPTEXP: 6/19/201

Notary Public Notary Public

My Appointment Expires:

6/19/2011

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day of May, 2009, the original and eight copies of the Response of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Comments of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board were hand-delivered to:

Susan K. Duffy Executive Director KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604

and that one copy was mailed, postage prepaid, addressed to each of the parties or counsel of record on the attached list.

Dana Bradbury, Litigation Counsel Kansas Corporate Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604-4027

Niki Christopher Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604-4027



MARTIN J. BREGMAN Executive Director, Law

May 22, 2009

STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION

MAY 2 2 2009

Executive Director Kansas Corporation Commission 1500 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, Kansas 66604

Sum They 2 Docket Room

Re: In the Matter of the Investigation of Westar Energy, Inc., and Kansas Gas and Electric Company (KGE) d/b/a Westar Energy Seeking Commission Approval to Revise its Environmental Cost Recovery (ECRR) Tariff, Docket No. 09-WSEE-737-TAR

Dear Ms. Duffy:

Susan K. Duffy

Enclosed please find the original and eight (8) copies of the Response of Westar Energy, Inc. and Kansas Gas and Electric Company to Comments of the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board.

Please file stamp one copy for my files.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely.

enc

cc: Service List