BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

))

)

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. for Adjustment of its Natural Gas Rates in the State of Kansas

Docket No. 24-KGSG-610-RTS

REDACTED

DIRECT TESTIMONY

PREPARED BY

KATIE L. FIGGS

UTILITIES DIVISION

KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION

July 1, 2024

1 **Contents**

2	I.	Introduction and Qualifications1
3	II.	Executive Summary
4	III.	Overview
5	IV.	Just and Reasonable Review
6	V.	Income Statement Adjustments 17
7	A.	Payroll Expense17
8	B.	Insurance
9	C.	Workers' Compensation
10	D.	Employee Medical Reserve
11	E.	Incentive Compensation
12	F.	Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits
13	G.	Pension and OPEB Expense
14	H.	Pension and OPEB Tracker 1
15	I.	Interest on Customer Deposits
16	J.	Relocation Expense
17	K.	Severance Expense
18	L.	Rate Case Expense 39
19	М	. Corporate Miscellaneous Expense
20	VI.	Conclusion
21		
22	I.	Introduction and Qualifications
23		
24	Q.	Would you please state your name and business address?
25	A.	My name is Katie L. Figgs. My business address is 1500 Southwest Arrowhead Road,
26		Topeka, Kansas, 66604.
27		
28	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
29	A.	I am employed by the Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC or Commission) as a
30		Managing Auditor.

1	Q.	Would you please describe your educational background and professional
2		experience?
3	A.	I received a Bachelor's of Business Administration with an emphasis in Accounting from
4		Washburn University in May of 2011. I began employment with the Commission as an
5		Auditor in August 2012, advanced to Senior Auditor in November 2016, and then promoted
6		to my current position in August 2020.
7		
8	Q.	Have you ever testified before this Commission?
9	A.	Yes. I have filed testimony before the Commission in Docket Nos. 14-ATMG-320-RTS,
10		15-WSEE-115-RTS, 16-ATMG-079-RTS, 18-WSEE-328-RTS, 18-KGSG-560-RTS, 19-
11		EPDE-223-RTS, and 23-EKCE-775-RTS. I have also filed testimony for Applications by
12		Rural Local Exchange Carriers to increase their cost-based Kansas Universal Service Fund
13		payments for Docket Nos. 12-LHPT-875-AUD, 13-JBNT-437-KSF, 13-PLTT-678-KSF,
14		14-S&TT-525-KSF, 15-MRGT-097-AUD, 15-TWVT-213-AUD, 17-RNBT-555-KSF,
15		19-GNBT-505-KSF, 20-UTAT-032-KSF, 20-BLVT-218-KSF, 22-CRKT-087-KSF, 22-
16		COST-546-KSF, and 24-TTHT-343-KSF.
17	II.	Executive Summary
18		
19	Q.	What were your responsibilities in the review of the natural gas distribution rate case
20		filing made by Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. (ONE Gas, KGS or
21		Applicant) in Docket No. 24-KGSG-610-RTS (24-610 Docket) filed on March 1, 2024?
22	A.	My responsibilities as the lead auditor were to analyze, audit, and review KGS's Rate Case
23		Application. I examined the Company's filing for accuracy and adherence to regulatory

1		accounting principles and issued discovery regarding my assigned sections of the
2		Application. Also, I am supporting selected Staff adjustments to KGS's pro forma income
3		statement in an effort to ensure that the resulting rates are just and reasonable. My duties
4		were carried out under the direction of the Chief of Accounting and Financial Analysis,
5		Chad Unrein.
6		
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
8	A.	In summary, I recommend that the Commission:
9		• Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through
10		April 30, 2024, and adjust 401(k) contributions, profit sharing contributions,
11		and payroll taxes accordingly;
12		• Update and normalize Workers' Compensation Expense through April 30,
13		2024;
14		• Update Medical Reserve Expense to reflect actual costs incurred as of April 30,
15		2024;
16		• Update KGS's Pension and Postretirement (OPEB) Expense to reflect actual
17		expense recorded through April 30, 2024;
18		• Update the amortization of KGS's Pension and OPEB Tracker 1 balance to
19		reflect actual balances as of April 30, 2024, and to recognize the amount of
20		Pension and OPEB Expense for Tracker 1 included in the cost of service;
21		• Update KGS's share of Corporate Pension, Postretirement, and Medical
22		Benefits Expense adjustment to reflect actual expense recorded through April
23		30, 2024;

1		• Remove Executive Incentive Compensation based on financial performance
2		metrics for Short-Term Incentive and Long-Term Incentive Compensation;
3		• Update Interest Expense based on the thirteen-month average of Customer
4		Deposits to correspond with Staff's adjustment to Customer Deposits (Staff
5		witness Ian Campbell sponsors Staff Adjustment No. RB-9);
6		• Remove Severance Expense Staff considers inappropriate for ratepayer
7		recovery;
8		• Remove a portion of Relocation Expense Staff considers inappropriate for
9		ratepayer recovery;
10		• Adjust Rate Case Expense to reflect the most recent information available; and
11		Remove various Corporate Miscellaneous Expenses inappropriate for ratepayer
12		recovery.
13		
-		
14	Q.	Please provide the list of Staff witnesses and a brief description of the testimony they
	Q.	Please provide the list of Staff witnesses and a brief description of the testimony they are sponsoring.
14	Q. A.	
14 15	-	are sponsoring.
14 15 16	-	are sponsoring. <u>Bill Baldry</u> : Mr. Baldry sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income statement
14 15 16 17	-	 are sponsoring. <u>Bill Baldry</u>: Mr. Baldry sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income statement adjustments, including excess deferred income taxes, accumulated deferred income taxes,
14 15 16 17 18	-	 are sponsoring. <u>Bill Baldry</u>: Mr. Baldry sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income statement adjustments, including excess deferred income taxes, accumulated deferred income taxes, lease expense, and Staff's position regarding the Tracker mechanism treatment due to
14 15 16 17 18 19	-	are sponsoring. Bill Baldry: Mr. Baldry sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income statement adjustments, including excess deferred income taxes, accumulated deferred income taxes, lease expense, and Staff's position regarding the Tracker mechanism treatment due to Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issuing a private letter ruling.

1	Ian Campbell: Mr. Campbell sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income
2	statement adjustments, including corporate accumulated deferred income taxes, materials
3	and supplies, prepayments, stores expense, gas storage expense, customer advances and
4	deposits, dues, donations, and sponsorships.
5	Lana Ellis: Ms. Ellis sponsors testimony related to Staff's rate design.
6	Andria Jackson: Ms. Jackson sponsors testimony on selected rate base and income
7	statement adjustments, including accumulated deferred income taxes, net operating loss,
8	Brehm storages costs, Manufactured Gas Plant (MGP) costs, Distrigas allocation, and Cyber
9	Security Tracker.
10	Kristina Luke-Fry: Ms. Luke-Fry sponsors testimony related to Staff Schedules and
11	Staff's class cost of service study.
12	Adam Gatewood: Mr. Gatewood sponsors testimony on Staff's recommended rate of
13	return on rate base for KGS, including the appropriate capital structure, cost of equity, and
14	cost of debt to use for this proceeding.
15	Robert Glass: Dr. Glass sponsors testimony regarding Staff's weather normalization and
16	customer annualization adjustments.
17	Roxie McCullar: Ms. McCullar sponsors testimony on Staff's recommendation for
18	depreciation rates.
19	Chad Unrein: Mr. Unrein sponsors testimony related to KGS's requested performance
20	based ratemaking mechanism, as well as providing information to the Commission
21	pertaining to the level of capital expenditures KGS has made in the years between KGS's
22	last rate case and this Docket.

1	Q.	How is the remainder of your testimony organized?
2	A.	The remainder of my testimony is organized as follows:
3		(1) Overview – I provide an overview which presents some of the significant components
4		of the rate case and how they differ for KGS's last general rate case. I also discuss the major
5		drivers of KGS's requested rate increase.
6		(2) Just and Reasonable Review – I discuss Staff's revenue requirement analysis. I also
7		present a table of Staff's adjustments to the pro forma income statement and rate base that
8		define the differences between Staff's and KGS's recommended revenue requirement.
9		(3) Income Statement – I discuss and support my adjustments to KGS's pro forma income
10		statement.
11		(4) Conclusion – I provide a summary of my recommendations in this Docket and an
12		outline of the Staff exhibits I am sponsoring.
13	III.	Overview
14		
15	Q.	
16		Please provide an overview of KGS.
	A.	Please provide an overview of KGS. KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK),
17	A.	
17 18	A.	KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK),
	А.	KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK), purchased natural gas assets from Western Resources. In January 2014, ONEOK separated
18	A.	KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK), purchased natural gas assets from Western Resources. In January 2014, ONEOK separated its natural gas distribution business to create ONE Gas, one of the largest publicly traded,
18 19	Α.	KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK), purchased natural gas assets from Western Resources. In January 2014, ONEOK separated its natural gas distribution business to create ONE Gas, one of the largest publicly traded, 100 percent-regulated natural gas utilities in the United States. KGS is the largest natural
18 19 20	A.	KGS is a natural gas public utility that was formed in 1997 when ONEOK, Inc. (ONEOK), purchased natural gas assets from Western Resources. In January 2014, ONEOK separated its natural gas distribution business to create ONE Gas, one of the largest publicly traded, 100 percent-regulated natural gas utilities in the United States. KGS is the largest natural gas distribution utility in Kansas, providing natural gas to approximately 648,000 customers

1 Q. Please provide an overview of the rate case request as filed by KGS.

2 A. KGS's Application, filed March 1, 2024, requests a gross revenue requirement increase of 3 \$93,103,156 or 29.41 percent increase in its natural gas service rates. The net rate impact 4 of this request for customers results in a \$58,073,667 increase after rebasing the amounts currently collected through the Gas System Reliability Surcharge (GSRS).¹ This increase 5 6 is supported by pro forma revenues of \$336.44 million, pro forma expenses of \$299.97 7 million, and a pro forma rate base of \$1,395.35 million. KGS has requested a 10.25 percent 8 return on equity and a 7.8849 percent overall rate of return (after tax weighted average cost 9 of capital).

10The table below summarizes KGS's current rate case request and compares the11requests from the last two KGS general rate cases, Docket Nos. 16-KGSG-491-RTS (16-12491 Docket) and 18-KGSG-560-RTS (18-560 Docket).

13

KGS Pro For	ma Rate Base, Rev (in Millior	venue, Expenses, In 1s)	come
Description	16-491 Docket	18-560 Docket	24-610 Docket
Net Plant	\$1,166.36	\$1,296.95	\$1,690.39
Net Rate Base	\$902.97	\$1,016.08	\$1,395.35
Total Operating Revenue	\$287.93	\$299.61	\$336.44
Total Operating Expense	\$243.62	\$254.78	\$299.97
Operating Income	\$44.31	\$44.84	\$36.47

14

15 Q. What are the primary drivers of KGS's requested rate increase?

16 A. According to KGS testimony, there are several major drivers behind KGS filing this rate 17 case, including: (1) significant investment in KGS's distribution system since its last rate 18 case resulting in changes to rate base, depreciation, proposed weighted average cost of

¹ The \$58,073,667 net revenue increase (includes \$15,209,005 of Ad Valorem tax expense) is the result of offsetting the \$93,103,156 requested increase by \$35,029,489 already being recovered from ratepayers through the GSRS.

1	capital, and Ad Valorem expense; (2) increases in operations and maintenance (O&M)
2	expense, that continue to rise, such as employee wages and benefits and costs for materials
3	and supplies; (3) a proposal to establish an authorized Return on Equity (ROE) of 10.25
4	percent; (4) a proposal to implement a performance-based ratemaking mechanism, the
5	Annual Performance-based Rate Adjustment (APRA), that would provide for an annual
6	review and adjustment of the Company's cost of operations and actual return on equity; (5)
7	proposes to implement a two-part A/B rate plan separating residential customers into two
8	subclasses based on usage levels to provide customers more options to control their bills;
9	and (6) a proposal to update depreciation rates based on the 2023 Depreciation Rate Study
10	conducted by Foster Associates, Inc.; (7) a proposal to allow a portion of financially based
11	executive and officer incentive compensation be included in the cost of service; and (8) a
12	proposal to resume collecting disconnection and reconnection charges that were suspended
13	as part of the Knock and Collect Waiver Pilot Program, approved June 19, 2020, in Docket
14	No. 15-GIMX-344-GIV (15-344 Docket).

- 15
- 16

Q. What is the total rate impact of KGS's proposed revenue requirement?

While KGS requests an overall revenue requirement increase of \$93.1 million, the net 17 Α. impact to customers equates to \$58.1 million as a result of rebasing the amount currently 18 collected from customers associated with the GSRS. Staff has also presented its revenue 19 20 increase in the same manner. The net result being as follows:

- 21
- 22
- 23

Net Rate	e Impact	
Description	KGS	Staff
Base Revenue Requirement Increase	\$93,103,156	\$66,717,969
Percentage Increase in Base Rates	29.41%	21.07%
GSRS Rebased	\$35,029,489	\$35,029,489
Net Revenue Increase to Customers	\$58,073,667	\$31,688,480 ²
Net Percentage Increase	18.34%	10.01%

2 Q. What test year did KGS use in its Application before the Commission?

- 3 A. KGS's revenue requirement schedules are based on a historical test year of the 12-months
 ending September 30, 2023.
- 5

6

7

Q. Did Staff use the same test year or perform substantive updates to the test year used by KGS?

A. Yes, Staff accepted KGS's test year and has made adjustments where appropriate to reflect
known and measurable changes to the test year through April 30, 2024. This approach is
consistent with Staff's audit practices in nearly every major rate case before the Commission
for more than ten years. Updating the test year gives the Commission the ability to set rates
for KGS that are based on the most current, ongoing costs of service for its utility operations.

14 Q. What are the results of Staff's revenue requirement analysis?

A. Staff recommends KGS be granted a revenue requirement increase of \$66.72 million, a net
 increase to ratepayers of \$35 million, which is comparable to KGS's proposed net revenue
 requirement increase (with GSRS rebased) of \$31.69 million. I have presented a table below

² Staff's calculation of Net Revenue Increase to Customers includes \$15,209,005 of Ad Valorem tax expense.

1 that captures the major differences between KGS's and Staff's revenue requirement analysis

2 (the following amounts are presented in millions).

Description	KGS's Application	Staff's Filed Position
Total Revenue Increase	\$93.10	\$66.72
Pro Forma Rate Base	\$1,395.35	\$1,403.19
Operating Income	\$36.47	\$52.96
Return on Equity (ROE)	10.25%	9.60%
Rate of Return (ROR)	7.8849%	7.5305%

3

4 Q. Please explain how Winter Storm Uri effected KGS.

5 A. The consequence of sub-zero temperatures during Winter Storm Uri was increased demand 6 for natural gas causing wholesale natural gas prices to surge up to 100 to 200 times higher 7 than normal. In response to Winter Storm Uri, the Commission issued an Emergency Order 8 in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS: (1) directing all jurisdictional utilities to do everything 9 necessary to ensure natural gas and electricity continued to be provided to customers in Kansas,³ (2) authorizing every jurisdictional electric and natural gas utility to defer 10 extraordinary costs related to Winter Storm Uri to a regulatory asset account,⁴ and (3) 11 12 directing the jurisdictional utilities to develop a plan to minimize the Winter Event's financial impact on their ratepayers.⁵ 13

As a result, in Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG, KGS submitted its plan to minimize the financial impact of the Winter Event on customers by proposing to apply for a Financing Order, seeking authorization to issue Securitized Utility Tariff Bonds to finance the Winter Event's Qualified Extraordinary Costs.⁶ The Commission approved the

³ Emergency Order, Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS, Feb. 15, 2021, ¶ 3.

 $^{^{4}}$ *Id.*, ¶ 4.

⁵ *Id.*, ¶ 5.

⁶ Kansas Gas Service Company, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. Plan to Minimize the Financial Effects of the 2021 Winter Weather Event, Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG (Jul. 30, 2021) (Financial Plan).

1	Financial Plan Settlement in its entirety, emphasizing: (1) it was in the public interest for
2	Kansas Gas Service to incur extraordinary costs during the Winter Event, (2) it is in the
3	public interest to recover these costs, and (3) the plan implemented by this Application will
4	result in just and reasonable rates. ⁷
5	In accordance with the financial plan approved by the Commission, KGS filed an
6	application for a Financing Order in Docket No. 22-KGSG-466-TAR. One of the
7	settlement provisions approved by the Commission included in Exhibit A, states the
8	following:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Kansas Gas Service will study whether its residential customer class would benefit by being separated into multiple subclasses similar to the rate choice options approved for Oklahoma Natural Gas, a division of ONE Gas, Inc. Kansas Gas Service will present the results of this study during Kansas Gas Service's next general rate proceeding. In addition, and provided that Kansas legislation has been enacted authorizing the Commission to approve a low-income relief (or similar) tariff, the Joint Movants will work with each other to determine whether, and to what extent, a generic investigation into energy burden in Kansas could be conducted to benefit the Commission in its regulation of rates, including determining whether low-income rate relief is feasible and ways in which the same could be structured. ⁸
21	KGS adhered to the settlement provision requested by the Commission by
22	providing the Company's general data related to low-income customers, founded on
23	information provided by the Income Energy Assistance Program (LIEAP) and the United
24	States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
25	
26	

⁷ Order Approving Unanimous Settlement Agreement on Kansas Gas Services' Financial Plan, 21-332 Docket, p. 10, Ordering Clause (A) (Feb. 8, 2022) (Financial Plan Settlement Order). ⁸ Exhibit A to Order Approving Unanimous Settlement Agreement, Docket No. 22-KGSG-466-TAR, ¶ 24 (Aug.18,

^{2022).}

1 Q. Please explain the term "energy burden" and what that means for KGS customers.

A. Essentially, the explanation for "energy burden" is the portion of gross household income spent on energy costs (i.e. home heating and cooling). Low-income households tend to forfeit a higher percentage of income on utility bills, making their "energy burden" much higher than those earning above the state median income. Qualifying for low-income designation is based on the federal poverty level guidelines which are adjusted annually for inflation. These guidelines are presented by the number of people residing in the household and total household income.

9 In response, an alternative two-part A/B rate design was developed that could aide 10 customers in controlling their natural gas bills, which is discussed in greater detail by KGS 11 witness Paul Raab in his Direct Testimony filed in this rate case. Staff witness Lana Ellis 12 reviewed and analyzed KGS's proposed A/B rate design and sponsors Staff's position in 13 her Direct Testimony filed in response to KGS's current rate request.

14

15 Q. Please explain House Bill No. 2156.

A. On January 24, 2023, House Bill No. 2156 was introduced to the Legislature of the State
 of Kansas proposing to authorize public utilities to establish rates to benefit low-income
 residential customers.

Consumer Council for the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board (CURB), David
 Nickel, states the following in his written and oral testimony before the members of the
 House Energy, Utilities and Telecommunications Committee:

It is important legislation, as it allows the disproportionately high energy burden facing some Kansans to be addressed. "Energy burden" refers to the percentage of gross household income spent on energy costs. Although every ratepayer has some energy burden, research shows there is a disproportionate allocation of financial

1 2 3 4 5 6 7		resources among low-income households toward energy expenditures. Research also shows three distinct, but interrelated, effects of high energy burden: (a) illness and stress, (b) financial challenges, and (c) housing instability. In addition to these effects, arrearages caused by the inability of low-income households to pay their utility bills affect utilities and, ultimately, all other consumers by adding costs of collection and unrecoverable debt to the system.
8		On February 9, 2023, along with CURB, several other parties including KGS and
9		KCC Staff, provided testimony concerning positions on House Bill No. 2156. Ultimately,
10		this legislation failed to advance out of committee, and therefore after April 30, 2024, the
11		bill was is no longer valid for consideration by the Kansas Legislature.
12 13	IV.	Just and Reasonable Review
14	Q.	Does Staff contend that Staff's revenue requirement recommendation results in just
14 15	Q.	Does Staff contend that Staff's revenue requirement recommendation results in just and reasonable rates?
	Q. A.	
15	_	and reasonable rates?
15 16	_	and reasonable rates? Yes. The result of Staff's revenue requirement recommendation meets the balancing test set
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	_	and reasonable rates? Yes. The result of Staff's revenue requirement recommendation meets the balancing test set forth by the Kansas Supreme Court, which is stated in pertinent part as follows: The leading cases in this area clearly indicate that the goal should be a rate fixed within the 'zone of reasonableness' after the application of a balancing test in which the interests of all concerned parties are considered. In rate-making cases, the parties whose interests must be considered and balanced are these: (1) the utility's investors vs. the ratepayers; (2) the present ratepayers vs. the future ratepayers; and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	_	and reasonable rates? Yes. The result of Staff's revenue requirement recommendation meets the balancing test set forth by the Kansas Supreme Court, which is stated in pertinent part as follows: The leading cases in this area clearly indicate that the goal should be a rate fixed within the 'zone of reasonableness' after the application of a balancing test in which the interests of all concerned parties are considered. In rate-making cases, the parties whose interests must be considered and balanced are these: (1) the utility's investors vs. the ratepayers; (2) the present ratepayers vs. the future ratepayers; and (3) the public interest. ⁹

⁹ Kan. Gas and Electric Co. v. State Corp Comm'n, 239 Kan. 483, 488 (1986).

authorized return, but not a guarantee. Also, Staff has removed expenses from the cost of service that would be inappropriate to recover from KGS ratepayers, or are more appropriately shared between ratepayers and shareholders. As discussed in Adam Gatewood's testimony, Staff's return on equity recommendation is an accurate reflection of the capital costs currently required in the market for public utility equity and is representative of a just and reasonable return on invested capital.

7

8

9

10

(2) Current vs. future ratepayers – Where possible, Staff has attempted to identify any intergenerational issues (such as the proper depreciation techniques and the amortization of non-recurring events to multiple periods) and has made recommendations that appropriately balance the interests of present and future ratepayers.

11 (3) Public interest generally – Generally speaking, the public interest is served when ratepayers' interests are carefully considered and balanced against the interests of 12 13 management and the shareholders of the utility. This process/review includes protecting 14 ratepayers from unreasonably high prices, discriminatory prices, and/or unreliable service. 15 This also includes assuring that rates are not so low that the utilities that serve those 16 ratepayers are unable to provide reliable service, remain financially stable, and attract 17 capital on reasonable terms. Staff has carefully considered the public interest in developing 18 its recommendations presented in this docket and asserts that the public interest will be 19 served if its recommendations are adopted by the Commission. Staff's proposed revenue 20 requirement increase will not adversely impact KGS's ability to provide efficient and 21 sufficient service, as it is based on KGS's ongoing, normalized cost of service, and includes 22 provisions such as updated plant in service balances, payroll, and pension expense for all 23 KGS employees as of April 30, 2024, and other updated, current cost of service items.

- Staff's revenue requirement allows KGS sufficient revenues and cash flows to allow it the
 opportunity to earn its rate of return, but not a guarantee.
- 3

4 Q. What accounts for the differences between Staff's and KGS's recommended revenue 5 requirement increase?

6 A. Below is a table consisting of Staff's adjustments and the sponsoring witness. Although the particulars of each adjustment are different, Staff adjustments are usually made in order 7 8 to correct an error present in KGS's Application, to revise a pro forma adjustment to utilize 9 more current known and measureable data, or to remove expenses that Staff contends 10 would not be appropriate to recover from ratepayers. These adjustments are made with the 11 intention that the end result will be a revenue requirement that is in the public interest 12 because it is representative of ongoing, normalized operations and will result in just and 13 reasonable rates for all stakeholders involved. The following table presents the effect of 14 the adjustments on Rate Base or Operating Income. For the Income Statement Adjustments 15 presented in the table below, an increase in operating income results in a reduction of the 16 revenue requirement, and vice versa. For instance, Staff's Adjustment No. IS-1 increases 17 Lease Expense by \$44,737, which decreases operating income by the same amount, and 18 increases the revenue requirement accordingly.

Adj No.	Witness	Description	Amount
RB-1	Bill Baldry	Excess Deferred Income Tax (EDIT)	(\$1,667,556)
RB-2	Bill Baldry	EDIT for Re-Measurement	(19,618)
RB-3	Daniel Buller	Plant-in-Service	66,568,774
RB-4	Daniel Buller	Accumulated Depreciation	(33,653,099)
RB-5	Ian Campbell	Corporate ADIT	(2,493,376)
RB-6	Ian Campbell	Materials & Supplies	1,537,594
RB-7	Ian Campbell	Prepayments	435,785

RB-8	Ian Campbell	Storage Gas	(22,033,772)
RB-9	Ian Campbell	Customer Advances & Deposits	55,156
RB-10	Andria Jackson	ADIT	7,387,130
RB-11	Daniel Buller	Fort Riley Working Capital	(8,275,102)
IS-1	Bill Baldry	Lease Expense	(44,737)
IS-2	Daniel Buller	Depreciation Expense	11,845,934
IS-3	Daniel Buller	Ft. Riley Revenue and Misc. Expense	549,327
IS-4	Katie Figgs	Payroll Expense	609,834
IS-5	Katie Figgs	Insurance	(28,882)
IS-6	Katie Figgs	Workers Compensation	73,350
IS-7	Katie Figgs	Medical Reserve	(36,970)
IS-8	Katie Figgs	Incentive Compensation	1,673,613
IS-9	Katie Figgs	Corporate Benefits	36,846
IS-10	Katie Figgs	Pension & OPEB Expense	65,741
IS-11	Katie Figgs	Pension & OPEB Tracker 1	1,521,778
IS-12	Katie Figgs	Interest on Customer Deposits	(415)
IS-13	Katie Figgs	Relocation Expense	149,496
IS-14	Katie Figgs	Severance Expense	90,867
IS-15	Katie Figgs	Rate Case Expense	168,357
IS-16	Ian Campbell	Misc. Expense	339,304
IS-17	Andria Jackson	Distrigas Allocations	764,649
IS-18	Andria Jackson	Cyber Security Tracker	157,389
IS-19	Bob Glass	Weather Normalization	904,186
IS-20	Bob Glass	Customer Annualization	68,103
IS-21	Katie Figgs	Corporate Miscellaneous Expense	170,956
IS-22	Kristina Luke-Fry	Bad Debt	(4,383,431)

2 Q. Did Staff allocate its adjustments before inclusion in Staff's schedules?

3 A. Yes. Staff's adjustments were first calculated on a total company basis, then allocated to
4 the respective division (if applicable) based upon the appropriate allocation percentage.

5

6 Q. Prior to discussing the income statement adjustments, is there anything that needs to 7 be addressed?

- 8 A. Yes, Staff would like to explain how the Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider (ATSR) effects
- 9 Staff's recommended revenue requirement in this Docket. Staff is recommending a base
- 10 revenue requirement increase of \$66,717,969, which includes \$35,029,489 currently being

1 collected in the GSRS. This results in a net revenue increase of \$31,688,480, which includes the ATSR in the amount of \$15,209,005. 2 3 K.S.A. 66-117(f) allows Kansas public utilities to file annually with the 4 Commission to update expense charged for ad valorem taxes. Accordingly, KGS submits 5 an annual Ad Valorem Tax Surcharge Rider (ATSR) true-up filing to collect increases, or 6 refund decreases, in the Ad Valorem tax incurred by KGS when compared to the amount 7 included in the Company's most recent rate case docket before the Commission. In KGS's 8 last rate case, Docket No. 18-KGSG-560-RTS, the amount of Ad Valorem tax expense 9 approved to be collected through base rates was \$21,144,627. According to the most recent 10 KGS ATSR filing, Docket No. 24-KGSG 416-TAR, the current Ad Valorem tax assessments sum to \$36,353,632,¹⁰ which would increase the amount of ad valorem 11 expense collected through base rates by \$15,209,005.¹¹ 12 13 14 V. **Income Statement Adjustments** 15 16 **Payroll Expense** Α. 17 18 Please begin by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 4 to the income statement. 0. 19 Staff Adjustment No. 4 (IS-4) to the income statement reduces KGS's operating expenses A. by \$609,834.¹² Staff's adjustment updates payroll expense and is comprised of the 20 21 following two components:

¹⁰ For purposes of future ATSR filings, \$36,353,632 will be the new benchmark used for the time period the new rates are applicable.

¹¹ See Direct Testimony of Graham Jaynes. p. 18.

¹² See Exhibit KLF-1.

- KGS payroll expense This component of Staff's adjustment updates and annualizes KGS payroll expense based on April 2024, annualizes KGS payroll taxes based on Staff's updated payroll expense, updates and annualizes corresponding 401(k) costs, and updates and annualizes the corresponding defined contribution retirement plan costs. The impact of Staff's adjustment to KGS payroll expense is a decrease of \$141,326.¹³
- Corporate payroll expense This component of Staff's adjustment, updates
 and annualizes corporate payroll expense based on April 2024, annualizes
 corporate payroll taxes based on Staff's updated payroll expense, updates and
 annualizes corresponding 401(k) costs, updates and annualizes corresponding
 profit sharing costs, and reflects updated corporate allocations. The impact of
 Staff's adjustment to corporate payroll expense is a decrease of \$468,509.¹⁴
- 13

Q. How does the component of Staff's payroll adjustment related to KGS payroll expense differ from KGS's pro forma KGS payroll expense calculation included in its Adjustment IS-29?

A. KGS's payroll adjustment begins with test year payroll expense distribution and then
annualizes this data to reflect the impact of terminated employees, employees transferring
into and out of KGS (between KGS and ONE Gas), and new-hired employees during the
test year. KGS also adjusts its test year payroll to include a full year's impact of December
20 2023 pay increases for non-union employees and pay increases becoming effective on or

¹³ See Exhibits KLF-1(a) through KLF-1(e).

¹⁴ See Exhibits KLF-1(f) through KLF-1(j).

1		around July 1, 2024, for union employees. ¹⁵ Additionally, the Company annualized payroll
2		taxes and corresponding 401(k) and defined contribution retirement plan costs based on its
3		payroll expense calculation.
4		Staff's adjustment to payroll expense is similar to KGS's. The methodology is the
5		same, but Staff has used more up-to-date, known and measurable information in its
6		calculation. In doing so, Staff's payroll adjustment updates KGS's annualized test year
7		payroll expense to include employees hired, transferred, or terminated as of April 30, 2024.
8		Staff's calculation also updates 401(k) and defined contribution costs by using the
9		401(k) match and profit sharing percentages as of April 30, 2024. These updated
10		percentages are based on the total 401(k) contributions and profit sharing expense relative
11		to total payroll for the 12-months ending April 30, 2024, and are applied to Staff's updated
12		payroll expense calculation.
13		
14	Q.	How does the component of Staff's adjustment related to corporate payroll expense
15		differ from KGS's pro forma corporate payroll expense calculation included in its
16		Adjustment IS-29?
17	A.	Staff's adjustment is consistent with the methodology used by KGS, but Staff has used
18		more up-to-date information in its adjustment. For instance, KGS's pro forma corporate
19		payroll expense is based on annualizing the last pay period in the test year, whereas Staff's

adjustment updates and annualizes employee salaries as of April 30, 2024.

¹⁵As stated in KGS's response to Staff Data Request No. 199: "KGS included estimated payroll costs for union wage increases that should become effective on or around July 1, 2024. As of May 17, 2024, the union contract negotiations were not finalized. KGS expects to have resolution of the contract negotiations on or around July 1, 2024, and will provide an update to this DR when the information is available."

1		Additionally, consistent with the approach used in its adjustment to KGS's payroll,
2		Staff also updated the corporate 401(k) and profit sharing costs through April 30, 2024.
3		These adjustments reflect the book amounts for 401(k) contribution and profit sharing
4		expenses relative to total payroll for the 12-months ending April 30, 2024.
5		Finally, Staff's adjustment updates the Distrigas allocation factor used in the
6		Company's adjustment from the third quarter 2023 to the second quarter 2024 Distrigas
7		allocation factor as discussed in more detail in Staff witness Andria Jackson's Direct
8		Testimony.
9		
10	Q.	Please continue by identifying and explaining the exhibits containing the components
11		of Staff's payroll adjustment.
12	A.	The following exhibits attached to my testimony provide support and calculation for Staff's
13		payroll adjustment:
14		• Exhibit KLF-1 – Staff's summary of KGS and corporate total payroll expense
15		adjustment.
16		• Exhibit KLF-1(a) – Staff's summary of KGS payroll expense adjustment
17		including FICA and Medicare taxes, 401(k) costs, and defined contribution
18		retirement plan adjustments.
19		• Exhibit KLF-1(b) – Details Staff's adjustment to KGS's union and non-union
20		payroll expense, by FERC account, to account for the changes in employment
21		associated with new hires, transfers, and terminations through April 30, 2024.
22		• Exhibit KLF-1(c) – Reflects the FICA and Medicare payroll tax implications
23		of Staff's KGS payroll adjustment.

1		• Exhibit KLF-1(d) – Calculates Staff's changes and updates to KGS 401(k)
2		costs.
3		• Exhibit KLF-1(e) – Calculates Staff's changes and updates to KGS defined
4		contribution retirement plan costs.
5		• Exhibit KLF-1(f) – Staff's summary of corporate payroll expense adjustment
6		including employee taxes, 401(k) costs, and profit share plan adjustments.
7		• Exhibit KLF-1(g) – Contains a high level summary calculation of the portion
8		of Staff's adjustment related to the updating of base pay through April 30, 2024,
9		allocated on a causal basis and through Distrigas.
10		• Exhibit KLF-1(h) - Reflects the FICA and Medicare payroll tax implications
11		of Staff's corporate payroll adjustment.
12		• Exhibit KLF-1(i) – Calculates Staff's corporate 401(k) adjustment related to
13		the annualization of base pay for the 12-months ending April 30, 2024.
14		• Exhibit KLF-1(j) – Calculates Staff's corporate profit share adjustment related
15		to the annualization of base pay for the 12-months ending April 30, 2024.
16		
17		B. Insurance
18		
19	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 5 to the income statement.
20	А.	Staff Adjustment No. 5 (IS-5) to the income statement increases operating expenses by
21		\$28,882. ¹⁶ Staff's adjustment updates KGS's insurance expense to actual amounts

¹⁶ See Exhibit KLF-2.

1		recorded for twelve-months ending April 30, 2023. The types of insurance expense
2		included in Staff's adjustment are related to property insurance, auto liability insurance,
3		workers' compensation insurance, and excess liability insurance. KGS's pro forma
4		adjustment included in the Application annualizes these costs based on the updated policies
5		issued to KGS. Staff's adjustment updates these costs based on known and measurable
6		amounts recorded during the update period.
7		
8		C. Workers' Compensation
9		
10	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 6 to the income statement.
11	A.	Staff Adjustment No. 6 (IS-6) to the income statement decreases operating expenses by
12		\$73,350. ¹⁷ This adjustment normalizes workers' compensation expense by using a three-
13		year average ending April 30, 2024. Staff calculated this adjustment in the same manner
14		as KGS's pro forma Adjustment IS-19 but relies on updated known and measurable
15		information.
16		
17	Q.	Why did Staff use a three-year average in its calculation of workers' compensation?
18	A.	In determining the level of expense to include in its adjustment, Staff analyzed various time
19		periods of workers' compensation expense. First, Staff reviewed the annual expense levels
20		for the three-years ending September 30, 2023, which KGS used in its pro forma
21		Adjustment IS-19. KGS averages the expenses related to workers' compensation claims

¹⁷ See Exhibit KLF-3.

1		over three years to reflect the amount that should be included in base rates. Staff also
2		reviewed the annual expense levels for the three-years ending April 30, 2024.
3		After analyzing the different time periods of annual expense, Staff decided to use a
4		three-year average ending April 30, 2024. Due to the annual amounts fluctuating, the use
5		of a three-year average results in a more normalized level of expense rather than using the
6		year-ending April 30, 2024. In addition, updating the average through April 30, 2024,
7		provides a more updated level of expense consistent with other Staff adjustments.
8		Therefore, Staff concludes that using the updated three-year expense average is most
9		representative of future, on-going normal operations.
10		
11		D. Employee Medical Reserve
12		
12 13	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 7 to the income statement.
	Q. A.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 7 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment
13		
13 14		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment
13 14 15		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment updates the medical reserve estimate based on accruals booked by the Company for the
13 14 15 16		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment updates the medical reserve estimate based on accruals booked by the Company for the twelve months ending April 30, 2024. KGS's pro forma Adjustment No. IS 20 related to
13 14 15 16 17		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment updates the medical reserve estimate based on accruals booked by the Company for the twelve months ending April 30, 2024. KGS's pro forma Adjustment No. IS 20 related to medical reserve expense is based on accruals for January through September 2023 recorded
13 14 15 16 17 18		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment updates the medical reserve estimate based on accruals booked by the Company for the twelve months ending April 30, 2024. KGS's pro forma Adjustment No. IS 20 related to medical reserve expense is based on accruals for January through September 2023 recorded on the Company's books and a three month forecasted accrual for October through
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Staff Adjustment No. 7 (IS-7) increases operating expenses by \$36,970. ¹⁸ This adjustment updates the medical reserve estimate based on accruals booked by the Company for the twelve months ending April 30, 2024. KGS's pro forma Adjustment No. IS 20 related to medical reserve expense is based on accruals for January through September 2023 recorded on the Company's books and a three month forecasted accrual for October through

¹⁸ See Exhibit KLF-4.

1	Q.	Please explain what "employee medical reserve estimate" means.
2	A.	KGS's medical reserve plan is a self-insured plan. ¹⁹ When an employee and their
3		dependents receive medical care, there is a time lag between the day medical care is
4		provided and the day the plan's Third Party Administrator (TPA) receives the medical
5		claim, processes the claim, and pays for the claim. The employee medical reserve estimate
6		is an estimate of the dollar amount of health claims that have been incurred, but have not
7		yet been paid by the TPA. Staff's adjustment updates the employee medical reserve
8		estimate to actual known and measurable expense as of April 30, 2024.
9		
10		E. Incentive Compensation
11		
12	0	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 8 to the income statement.
12	Q.	rease continue by discussing Stan Aujustment No. 8 to the moome statement.
13	А.	Staff Adjustment No. 8 (IS-8) decreases operating expenses by \$1,673,613. ²⁰ This
14		adjustment reflects Staff's policy recommendation to remove from KGS's cost of service
15		70 percent of executive and officer short-term incentive (STI) compensation that is
16		financial performance-based, ²¹ 50 percent of executive and officer equity compensation
17		expense associated with restricted stock units, and 100 percent of executive equity
18		compensation expense associated with performance-based units. ²²
		·····

¹⁹ See Response to Staff Data Request No. 61.
²⁰ See Exhibit KLF-5.
²¹ See Exhibit KLF-5(a).
²² See Exhibit KLF-5(b).

1	Q.	Please describe ONE Gas' executive compensation philosophy.
2	A.	As stated in ONE Gas' 2024 Proxy Statement: "We provide executive compensation
3		programs designed to attract, engage, motivate, reward and retain highly effective
4		executives who drive our success and who are leaders in our industry. We pay for
5		performance in order to align the long-term interests of our executive officers with those
6		of our stakeholders while also rewarding behaviors that drive collaboration, reliability and
7		safety within our culture." ²³
8		
9	Q.	Please describe the components of ONE Gas' overall market-based compensation
10		program.
11	A.	ONE Gas' market-based compensation program is applicable to all non-bargaining
12		employees and includes a combination of components; fixed and variable.
13		The fixed component is provided in the form of base pay and is "designed to
14		compensate employees based on the skills and competencies required for their position,
15		proficiency level, experience, consistent performance level, and the overall value of the
16		employee brings to the position." ²⁴ Base pay is reviewed annually, to stay competitive,
17		resulting in pay increases being effective in December. Staff addresses this component of
18		compensation above in Staff Adjustment IS-4 concerning payroll expense.
19		ONE Gas relies on recent market studies to design the two programs included in
20		the variable component of compensation. One of the programs, identified as ONE Gas'
21		"STI Plan", includes the Annual Employee Incentive Plan and the Annual Officer Incentive
22		Plan. The other program is ONE Gas' long-term incentive (LTI) program, also identified

 ²³ ONE Gas, Inc. (2024). Schedule 14A (Definitive Proxy Statement). p. 43.
 ²⁴ See Direct Testimony of Megan Gough, p. 11.

1 as the Equity Compensation Plan. According to the Company, the metrics of these 2 programs, "...are designed to encourage productive employee behavior that leads to 3 favorable safety, operational, and financial results for the benefit of customers."²⁵

4

5 Q. Please describe the short-term incentive plan in more detail.

6 The STI plan provides for an annual cash incentive based on certain performance criteria A. 7 that are established each year by ONE Gas' Board of Directors' Executive Compensation 8 Committee. While all regular, full-time active non-bargaining unit employees are eligible 9 to participate in the Company's Annual Employee Incentive Plan, Staff's adjustment relates to the portion of ONE Gas and KGS officer and executive STI compensation 10 11 included in the test year. According to its Proxy Statement, ONE Gas' Annual Officer 12 Incentive Plan "(a)ligns executives' efforts with the interests of stakeholders through key measures of the Company's financial and operational performance."²⁶ To coincide with 13 this goal, the Company's STI awards are granted based on the achievement of specific key 14 15 safety and business measures, along with individual accomplishments.

In 2023, the STI plan was based on diluted earnings per share (EPS), emissions reduction rate (ER), days away restricted or transferred incident rate (DART), preventable vehicle incident rate (PVIR), and emergency response time rate (ERT). In addition to the financial and operational measures, an individual performance modifier ranging from 0 to 125 percent was also used to recognize each individual's performance against established goals and objectives.²⁷ The performance criteria generated the following payout of awards:

²⁵ See Direct Testimony of Megan Gough, p. 12.

²⁶ *Ibid* p. 46.

²⁷ The calculation of an executive's STI amount is determined is as follows: Base Salary x STI Target Opportunity Percentage x Company Performance Modifier x Individual Performance Modifier.

1	Earnings per Share	70%
2	Emissions Reduction Rate	7.5%
3	Days Away Restricted or	
4	Transferred Incident Rate	7.5%
5	Preventable Vehicle Incident Rate	7.5%
6	Emergency Response Incident Rate	$7.5\%^{28}$
7		

8 Q. Why does Staff propose to eliminate 70 percent of the expense related to officer and

9

executive STI compensation?

10 The payout metrics related to the STI plan are primarily financially based, with a 70 percent A. 11 weighting for earnings per share. The incentive to grow earnings per share benefits 12 shareholders much more directly than ratepayers and could even incent behavior that is 13 detrimental or harmful to ratepayers over time. In effect, as earnings increase outside of a 14 rate case, the amount of STI expense KGS incurs increases. This growth in earnings 15 between rate cases benefits shareholders more than customers. If the STI plan is successful 16 at incenting the growth of earnings per share between rate cases, then shareholders will be 17 handsomely rewarded and should pay for the expense necessary to produce that benefit. 18 Therefore, Staff removed the portion of the payout that was based on financial metrics.

19

20 Q. Please continue by describing in more detail the components of the Equity 21 Compensation Plan subject to Staff's adjustment.

A. The Equity Compensation Plan offers various types of long-term incentive (LTI) equity
 awards to qualifying executives and certain key non-officer employees. During the test
 year, ONE Gas granted two forms of LTI compensation: restricted stock units (RSUs) and

²⁸ ONE Gas, Inc. (2024). Schedule 14A (Definitive Proxy Statement). p. 47.

1	performance stock units (PSUs). ²⁹ The ONE Gas Board of Directors' Executive
2	Compensation Committee oversees this plan and approves all LTI grants and awards on an
3	annual basis. As stated in its Proxy Statement, ONE Gas' executive LTI compensation is
4	designed to "promote retention, increase long-term equity ownership, and further promote
5	the alignment of our executives' interest with those of our shareholders."30
6	Restricted stock units vest solely with the passage of time and only become viable
7	if the officer remains employed with the Company three years from the vesting period.
8	The performance-based units vest three years from the date of grant, subject to the
9	following payout percentages based on ONE Gas' Total Stockholder Return (TSR)
10	performance relative to its peer group during the same three-year period.
11	TSR Performance: Payout Percentage:
12	Below 25 th Percentile 0%
13	25 th Percentile 50%
14	50 th Percentile 100%
15	75 th Percentile 150%

18 Q. Please explain why Staff is eliminating one-half of the restricted stock units expense.

200%

19 A. The restricted stock units vest after three years of service with the Company. This assists 20 in the retention of qualified executives and encourages executives to perform in a way that 21 is conducive to the long-term health and growth of the Company. Ratepayers and 22 stockholders benefit when the Company maintains its viability and grows over the long

90th Percentile

²⁹ Restricted stock units represent the right upon vesting to receive one share of ONE Gas common stock for each restricted stock unit granted after three years of employment following the grant date. Performance stock units represent the right upon vesting to receive a percentage of the performance units granted in shares of ONE Gas common stock three years from the date of grant.

³⁰ ONE Gas, Inc. (2024). Schedule 14A (Definitive Proxy Statement). p. 50.

- term. Since both parties benefit, it is reasonable for ratepayers and stockholders to share
 equally in this portion of executive compensation.
- 3

4 Q. Please explain why Staff is proposing to eliminate 100 percent of the expense related 5 to the performance-based units?

6 A. Staff recommends eliminating 100 percent of the expense associated with the performance-7 based portion of the LTI plan because the award is provided in the form of performance-8 based units in which the criteria used to establish the payout amount is solely financial in 9 nature. Staff's concern with this portion of the plan is similar to the concern expressed 10 above regarding the STI plan in which the participants are focused purely on shareholder 11 returns to the potential detriment of ratepayers. This is a consequence of designing a plan 12 which causes participants to focus solely on financial performance measures instead of 13 concentrating on a broad range of financial and operational measures more likely to benefit 14 ratepayers and shareholders alike. Staff believes having participants focusing on a single 15 financial measure, TSR, results in an over-weighting of a participant's focus on the 16 financial aspects of KGS's business compared to operational functions. Performance-17 based restricted stock units clearly benefit shareholders more directly than ratepayers (if 18 ratepayers receive any direct benefit at all); therefore, this component should be funded 19 entirely by shareholders.

- 20
- 21
- 22

1	Q.	Please explain how the Commission has historically treated executive incentive
2		compensation.
3	А.	Staff examined the elements of ONE Gas' incentive compensation packages awarded
4		during the test year. The recommendations made by Staff were under the framework
5		approved by the Commission in KCP&L's rate case Docket No. 10-KCPE-415-RTS (10-
6		415 Docket). In that case, Staff recommended, and the Commission ordered, a
7		disallowance from rates of 50% of time-based restricted stock expense, and 100% of
8		performance-based restricted stock expense. The Commission Order in that case stated the
9		following:
10 11 12 13 14 15		In examining employee incentive compensation programs, the Commission will consider how criteria are weighted between operational and financial measures. Incentive compensation awards tied to the Company's financial interests will improve the profitability of the company and, as a result, benefit shareholders more than ratepayers. ³¹
16		In approving Staff's recommendation in the case, the Commission found the
17		following:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		The Commission approves allowances of executive incentive compensation plan expenses as recommended by Staff and agreed to by KCPL. The Commission finds Staff's rationale for its adjustments properly balances the interests of ratepayers and shareholders. The incentive programs developed by KCPL provide measurable incentives. To the extent these incentives cause executives to focus singularly on financial aspects of the business rather than operational, shareholders should be responsible for those payouts. The Commission allows the inclusion of executive incentive in operating expenses as recommended by Staff. ³²
27		Since the Commission's decision in the 10-415 Docket, Staff has analyzed
28		incentive compensation expenses in accordance with this framework in every investor-

 ³¹ Order: 1) Addressing Prudence; 2) Approving Application, in Part; & 3) Ruling on Pending Requests, Docket No. 10-KCPE-415-RTS, p. 46 (Nov. 22, 2010).
 ³² Ibid, pp. 50-51.

- owned utility rate case to come before the Commission. Likewise, in Docket No. 19-1 ATMG-525-RTS (19-525 Docket), the Commission again reaffirmed the decision 2 3 regarding incentive compensation in its Order which states: 4 The Commission concludes there is no reason to revisit its prior decisions on 5 incentive compensation. Likewise, the Commission concludes there is no reason to 6 revisit its decision announced in the 10-415 Docket to disallow incentive programs 7 that focus on the financial aspect, rather than operational aspects. Accordingly, the 8 Commission reaffirms its intent to disallow the costs of management incentive 9 programs that focus on financial criteria. The Commission adopts Staff's 10 recommendation to remove 100% of Atmos's short term Management Incentive 11 Plan expenses, 50% of the time lapse portion of the Long Term Incentive Plan, and 100% of the expense associated with the Performance Based portion of the Long 12 13 Term Incentive Plans allocated to Atmos's Kansas operations. Pursuant to K.S.A. 77-415(b), the Commission designates this paragraph as precedential.³³ 14 15 16 In KGS's last rate case before the Commission, Docket No. 18-KGSG-560-RTS 17 (18-560 Docket), Staff testified that "KGS's executive incentive compensation should be analyzed consistent with the decision in the 10-415 and 12-764 Dockets because the facts 18 19 and circumstances of those cases are essentially the same as presented before the Commission in this case."³⁴ In this Docket, KGS contends the "facts and circumstances" 20 21 differ from those of previous rate cases. 22 23 Please explain KGS's request for recovery of executive incentive compensation. **Q**. 24 Since the 18-560 Docket, the Company has endured two major events that were outside of A. its direct control: (1) the COVID-19 pandemic and (2) Winter Storm Uri. According to 25 26 KGS, the Company's performance during these unprecedented incidents prove the
- 27 financial metrics for incentive compensation benefit both ratepayers and stockholders.

³³ Order on Atmos Energy Corporation's Application for a Rate Increase, 19-525 Docket, p. 17, paragraph 46 (Feb. 24, 2020).

³⁴ See Direct Testimony of Kristina Luke-Fry, Docket No. 18-KGSG-560-RTS, p. 30 (Oct. 29, 2018).

1		During these two events, management was able to control costs directly and "the ability
2		to achieve financial metrics in spite of weather emergencies and global pandemics
3		demonstrate how managerial focus on maintaining a strong, stable, and healthy company
4		benefits more than just shareholders." ³⁵ Consequently, KGS proposes the customers and
5		shareholders should share in the expense of financially-based incentive compensation for
6		officers and executives.
7		
8	Q.	Does Staff agree with the Company's position regarding treatment of incentive
9		compensation?
10	A.	No, Staff does not agree that ratepayers should share the burden of financially-based
11		incentive compensation. KGS's executive incentive compensation is designed to incent
12		behaviors which are far more beneficial to, and focused on, shareholders rather than
13		ratepayers.
14		The Company was irrefutably successful in navigating Winter Storm Uri by
15		maintaining service to almost all of its residential customers within Kansas. Staff
16		commends the Company and all of the employees for working hard during such a difficult
17		period to provide our community with safe and reliable gas service. During its analysis,
18		Staff certainly took this into consideration. However, Staff notes that ratepayers
19		significantly aided KGS in achieving its EPS targets after Winter Storm Uri because the
20		extraordinary costs associated with the storm were deferred as a regulatory asset rather than
21		as an expense. Staff does not find any reason to justify amending the Commission's
22		presidential order concerning recovery of financially-based incentive compensation from

³⁵ See Direct Testimony of Lorna Eaton, p. 10.

ratepayers. Therefore, consistent with past Commission Orders disallowing these
 expenses, Staff recommends removing the incentive compensation tied to financial
 metrics.

- 4
- 5

F. Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits

6

7 Q. Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 9 to the income statement.

Staff Adjustment No. 9 (IS-9) decreases operating expenses by \$36.970.³⁶ 8 A. Staff's 9 adjustment updates ONE Gas' pension, OPEB, and health benefit costs allocated to KGS 10 for twelve-month ending April 30, 2024. KGS's pro forma adjustment IS-28 reflects the 11 known and measurable changes in expenses associated with corporate employees. 12 Accordingly, Staff's adjustment compares the actual expenses incurred for the twelve-13 month ending April 30, 2024, to KGS's adjusted expenses. Staff's approach relies on 14 actual known and measurable updated expenses therefore includes the most representative level of on-going expense in the cost of service. 15

16

17 Q. Are there any other changes Staff has made to corporate allocated pension, OPEB 18 and health benefits?

19 A. Yes. Staff's adjustment also updates the level of costs allocated to KGS by reflecting the
 20 second quarter 2024 Distrigas allocation factor as discussed in Staff witness Andria
 21 Jackson's Direct Testimony.

³⁶ See Exhibit KLF-6.

G. Pension and OPEB Expense

2

3 Q. Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 10 to the income statement.

Staff Adjustment No. 10 (IS-10) decreases operating expenses by \$65,741.³⁷ In KGS pro 4 A. 5 forma Adjustment IS-32, the Company reflects the known and measurable 2023 pension 6 and postretirement expenses, net of capitalization, by reducing the amount by \$5,795,720. 7 This was calculated by comparing the actual 2023 total expense to the costs expensed in 8 the test period, which were established in the last KGS rate case, Docket No. 18-KGSG-9 560-RTS. Staff's comparable adjustment updates the Company's pension and OPEB 10 expenses with actual amounts based on the twelve-months ending April 30, 2024, resulting in a decrease in the amount of \$5,861,460.³⁸ Updating pension and OPEB expense to 11 reflect the most current known and measurable information available in the Company's 12 13 base rates is appropriate because it results in rates that are more reflective of current known 14 and measurable information. As a result, Staff recommends a decrease to pension and 15 postretirement expense by \$65,741.

16

Q. Why is it important to identify the dollar amounts for pension and OPEB expenses in base rates?

A. KGS will use these dollar amounts to calculate the difference between actual pension and
 OPEB expense in future months and the amounts included in base rates that were
 determined in this rate case. KGS will accumulate the difference between future actual
 pension and OPEB expense and the amount of pension and OPEB that are in base rates in

³⁷ See Exhibit KLF-7.

³⁸ See Exhibit KLF-7(a).

1		the Tracker 1. When KGS files its next rate case, KGS will amortize the balance in the
2		Tracker 1 and include the amortization in the Company's pension and OPEB expense. If
3		the Tracker 1 has a positive balance, the amortization of the Tracker balance will increase
4		the Company's pension or OPEB expense. If the Tracker 1 has a negative balance, the
5		amortization of the Tracker 1 balance will decrease pension or OPEB expense.
6		
7	Q.	Please explain how the amount of expense was established in the last KGS rate case
8		(Docket 18-560).
9	A.	The Commission issued an accounting order, in Docket No. 10-KGSG-130-ACT,
10		establishing a regulatory asset or liability to track the difference between the amount of
11		pension and OPEB expense included in base rates compared to the total expense recorded
12		according to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). The amount of expense
13		established in Docket 18-560 for pension and OPEB expense were \$9,020,033 and
14		\$458,420 respectively, for a total of \$9,478,423.
15		
16	Q.	Please explain Staff's calculation of the expense to be established in the current rate
17		case.
18	A.	Staff's adjustment reflects the known and measurable pension and postretirement expense
19		for twelve-months ending April 30, 2024, resulting in a balance of \$3,704,406 for pension
20		expense and a negative balance of \$87,446 for OPEB expense. Staff then compares the
21		actual balances at April 30, 2024, to the costs expensed in the test period, which were
22		established in Docket 18-560. This results in a decrease of \$5,315,594 to pension expense
1		and a decrease of \$525,866 to OPEB expense, with a total of \$5,861,460.39 As a result,
----	----	--
2		Staff recommends the pension expense benchmark be established at \$3,704,409.
3		Additionally, due to the negative balance of OPEB expense, Staff recommends the OPEB
4		expense benchmark be set at \$0.40
5		
6		H. Pension and OPEB Tracker 1
7		
8	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 11 to the income statement.
9	А.	Staff Adjustment No. 11 (IS-11) decreases operating expenses by \$1,521,778. ⁴¹ Staff's
10		adjustment updates the Tracker 1 expense by amortizing over a three-year period the
11		Tracker 1 balance as of October 31, 2024. Staff amortized the Tracker 1 balance to this
12		date because the new rates resulting from this Docket are set to go into effect November 1,
13		2024. The current amortization rates will remain in effect through October 2024.
14		
15	Q.	Why does Staff recommend a three-year amortization period for the Tracker 1
16		balance?
17	А.	A three-year amortization period is a reasonable time frame to amortize these costs as the
18		Commission Order in Docket 10-KGSG-130-ACT stated that these costs could be
19		amortized over a period not to exceed five years. Given the fact that these balances are
20		amortized over time without compensation for the time value of money during the

 ³⁹ See Exhibit KLF-7(a).
 ⁴⁰ See Order in Docket No. 07-GIMX-1041-GIV issued on July 14, 2010, paragraph 9 states that "when a Pension or OPEB cost is negative during a test year, then the expense level set should be \$0."
 ⁴¹ See Exhibit KLF-8.

1		amortization period, it is appropriate to amortize them over a relatively shorter time frame.
2		Staff proposes that the amortization of the Tracker 1 balances from the current rate case
3		begin in November 2024, when the new rates go into effect.
4		
5	Q.	What is Tracker 1?
6	A.	In a rate case, the Commission Order specifies a certain dollar amount of pension and
7		OPEB expense to be included in a company's base rates. The expense established in the
8		rate case will be the amount ratepayers pay KGS until the Company's next rate case. In
9		future years, pension and OPEB expense will be higher or lower than the amounts
10		established in the most recent rate case. The Tracker 1 accumulates the differences between
11		each future year's pension and OPEB expenses and the amounts set in the most recent rate
12		case. During a future rate case, the Tracker 1 balances are amortized over a three to five-
13		year period, and the annual amortized amount is included in pension and OPEB expenses.
14		
15		I. Interest on Customer Deposits
16		i. Interest on Customer Deposits
17	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 12 to the income statement.
1/	٧٠	Thease continue by discussing Start Aujustment 100. 12 to the meome statement.
18	A.	Staff Adjustment No. 12 (IS-12) increases operating expenses by \$415.42 Staff's
19		adjustment revises KGS's adjustment to interest expense related to customer deposits.
20		KGS and Staff use the same Commission-approved customer deposit interest rate for the
21		calendar year 2024. The difference between KGS and Staff's position is the use of an

⁴² See Exhibit KLF-9.

1		updated 13-month average of customer deposit balances supported by Staff witness Ian
2		Campbell's testimony.
3		
4		J. Relocation Expense
5		
6	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 13 to the income statement.
7	A.	Staff Adjustment No. 13 (IS-13) decreases operating expenses by \$149,496.43 Staff's
8		adjustment removes 50 percent of relocation expense recorded during the test year.
9		According to KGS's relocation policy, "A relocation package may be appropriate when an
10		employee is required to relocate their principal residence for the Company's convenience
11		and benefit." Staff questions the necessity and reasonableness of company provided
12		relocation packages in the modern economy, where virtual work is more and more
13		common. Furthermore, in most instances, KGS should be able to attract and hire local
14		talent to run its local utility. Accordingly, in order to ensure that KGS minimizes this
15		expense to greatest extent possible, Staff recommends that the Commission only allow
16		KGS to recover half of this expense.
17		
18		K. Severance Expense

19

20 Q. Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 14 to the income statement.

⁴³ See Exhibit KLF-10.

1	A.	Staff Adjustment No. 14 (IS-14) decreases operating expenses by \$90,867.44 Staff's
2		adjustment removes recorded expense associated with severance payments made to former
3		employees during the test year. Staff views severance payments as one-time, non-recurring
4		expenses that should not be included in the cost of service since it is not representative of
5		on-going expenses. Additionally, Staff has already provided a fully updated and
6		normalized payroll expense that accounts for all known information through April 30,
7		2024. It would therefore be improper for ratepayers to pay for both current employees of
8		the Company, and to pay for the severance costs included in the cost of service for former
9		employees of the Company.
10		
11		L. Rate Case Expense
12 13	Q.	
12	Q. A.	 Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by \$168,357.⁴⁵ The
12 13		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement.
12 13 14		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by \$168,357. ⁴⁵ The
12 13 14 15		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by \$168,357. ⁴⁵ The Company's Adjustment IS – 34, was made to reflect the estimated total cost of the current
12 13 14 15 16		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by $168,357.^{45}$ The Company's Adjustment IS – 34, was made to reflect the estimated total cost of the current rate case which were then amortized over a three-year period. Staff's adjustment revises
12 13 14 15 16 17		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by $168,357.^{45}$ The Company's Adjustment IS – 34, was made to reflect the estimated total cost of the current rate case which were then amortized over a three-year period. Staff's adjustment revises rate case expense by using actual amounts incurred for this Docket by the Company
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 15 to the income statement. Staff Adjustment No. 15 (IS-15) decreases operating expenses by \$168,357. ⁴⁵ The Company's Adjustment IS – 34, was made to reflect the estimated total cost of the current rate case which were then amortized over a three-year period. Staff's adjustment revises rate case expense by using actual amounts incurred for this Docket by the Company through May 22, 2023, and by Staff and CURB through June 15, 2024, normalized over

 ⁴⁴ See Exhibit KLF-11.
 ⁴⁵ See Exhibit KLF-12.

1		Company's estimated rate case expense amounts. As actual additional rate case costs
2		become known as this Docket progresses, Staff does not object to a process for updating
3		rate case costs.
4		
5		M. Corporate Miscellaneous Expense
6		
7	Q.	Please continue by discussing Staff Adjustment No. 21 to the income statement.
8	A.	Staff Adjustment No. 21 (IS-21) decreases operating expenses by \$170,956.46 Staff's
9		adjustment removes various corporate expenses allocated from ONE Gas during the test
10		year. The following describes Staff's adjustment by category of allocated miscellaneous
11		corporate expense:
12		1. Entertainment – removes 100% of expenses related to entertainment for team
13		building meetings, conference events, networking events, gala events, etc. in
14		the amount of \$3,499; ⁴⁷
15		2. Flowers – removes 100% of expenses related to floral arrangements sent by the
16		Company for funerals, surgeries, births, hospitalizations, and retirements in the
17		amount of \$1,021; ⁴⁸
18		3. Logo items – removes 100% of expenses related to various promotional items
19		like reusable water bottles, tumblers, notebooks, etc. engraved or embossed
20		with company logo in the amount of \$13,003; ⁴⁹

⁴⁶ See Exhibit KLF-13.
⁴⁷ See Exhibit KLF-13(a) CONFIDENTIAL.
⁴⁸ See Exhibit KLF-13(b) CONFIDENTIAL.
⁴⁹ See Exhibit KLF-13(c) CONFIDENTIAL.

1		4. Meals - removes 50% of expenses related to paid meals due to travel and
2		training and 100% of expenses related to paid meals for working lunches,
3		celebrations, alcohol, delivery from Doordash, etc. in the amount of \$62,103; ⁵⁰
4		5. Miscellaneous – removes various expenses related to many different purposes
5		in the amount of \$40,932; ⁵¹
6		6. Other State Rate Case expense \$2,765 – removes 100% of expense related to
7		WNSA, TGS, and TX rate case expense allocated to KGS in the amount of
8		\$2,765; ⁵²
9		7. Scholarship - removes 100% of expense related to the 2023 Scholarship
10		Awards in the amount of \$35,511; ⁵³
11		8. Tips – removes 100% of expenses related to tips paid during travel in the
12		amount of \$320; ⁵⁴
13		9. Travel – removes 100% of expenses related to travel for investor meetings,
14		customer relations, intern launch week, and certain flight fees in the amount of
15		\$11,426; ⁵⁵ and
16		10. Valet – removes 100% of expenses related to valet charges during travel in the
17		amount of \$377. ⁵⁶
18		
19	Q. I	Please explain Staff's rationale behind the removal of the aforementioned expenses

20

related to corporate miscellaneous expenses.

⁵⁰ See Exhibit KLF-13(d) CONFIDENTIAL.

⁵¹ See Exhibit KLF-13(e) CONFIDENTIAL.
⁵² See Exhibit KLF-13(f) CONFIDENTIAL.
⁵³ See Exhibit KLF-13(g) CONFIDENTIAL.

⁵⁴ See Exhibit KLF-13(h) CONFIDENTIAL.

 ⁵⁵ See Exhibit KLF-13(i) CONFIDENTIAL.
 ⁵⁶ See Exhibit KLF-13(j) CONFIDENTIAL.

1	A.	Staff reviewed the general ledger detail provided in response to Staff Data Request No.
2		283 and identified the expenses that do not benefit the ratepayers, are not necessary to
3		provide safe and reliable service, and therefore are improper to recover through rates. This
4		adjustment removes 50% of expenses related to beverages and utensils provided in the
5		employee breakrooms and meals during travel and removes 100% of the remaining
6		expenses including entertainment, scholarships, valet charges, etc. Staff's adjustment to
7		remove these expenses should not be construed as Staff alleging imprudence or disagreeing
8		with the purpose of the expense. Staff's contention is that these expenses are unnecessary
9		for KGS to provide efficient and sufficient service, and therefore should not be included
10		for recovery in KGS's rates.
11		
12	VI.	Conclusion
13		
13 14	Q.	Please summarize your recommendations in this Docket.
	Q. A.	Please summarize your recommendations in this Docket. My recommendations in this Docket are as follows:
14		·
14 15		My recommendations in this Docket are as follows:
14 15 16		 My recommendations in this Docket are as follows: Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through
14 15 16 17		 My recommendations in this Docket are as follows: Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through April 30, 2024, and adjust 401(k) contributions, profit sharing contributions, and
14 15 16 17 18		 My recommendations in this Docket are as follows: Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through April 30, 2024, and adjust 401(k) contributions, profit sharing contributions, and payroll taxes accordingly;
14 15 16 17 18 19		 My recommendations in this Docket are as follows: Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through April 30, 2024, and adjust 401(k) contributions, profit sharing contributions, and payroll taxes accordingly; Update and normalize Workers' Compensation Expense through April 30, 2024;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		 My recommendations in this Docket are as follows: Annualize KGS and Corporate Payroll Expense based on updated data through April 30, 2024, and adjust 401(k) contributions, profit sharing contributions, and payroll taxes accordingly; Update and normalize Workers' Compensation Expense through April 30, 2024; Update Medical Reserve Expense to reflect actual costs incurred as of April 30,

42

1		• Update the amortization of KGS's Pension and OPEB Tracker 1 balance to reflect
2		actual balances as of April 30, 2024, and to recognize the amount of Pension and
3		OPEB Expense for Tracker 1 included in the cost of service;
4		• Update KGS's share of Corporate Pension, Postretirement, and Medical Benefits
5		Expense adjustment to reflect actual expense recorded through April 30, 2024;
6		• Remove Executive Incentive Compensation based on financial performance
7		metrics for Short-Term Incentive and Long-Term Incentive Compensation;
8		• Update Interest Expense based on the thirteen-month average of Customer Deposits
9		to correspond with Staff's adjustment to Customer Deposits (Staff witness Ian
10		Campbell sponsors Staff Adjustment No. RB-9);
11		• Remove Severance Expense Staff considers inappropriate for ratepayer recovery;
12		• Remove a portion of Relocation Expense Staff considers inappropriate for
13		ratepayer recovery;
14		• Adjust Rate Case Expense to reflect the most recent information available; and
15		• Remove various Corporate Miscellaneous Expenses inappropriate for ratepayer
16		recovery.
17		
18	Q.	Does that conclude your testimony?
19	A.	Yes, thank you.
20		
21		SUMMARY OF EXHIBITS
22		Exhibit No. Description
23		KLF-1 Work paper for Payroll Expense Adjustment

1	KLF-1(a)	Work paper for KGS Payroll Expense
1	$\mathbf{KL}\mathbf{\Gamma}$ -1(a)	work paper for KOS Payron Expense
2	KLF-1(b)	Detail paper for update of KGS Payroll Expense through April 30, 2024
3	KLF-1(c)	Detail paper for FICA and Medicare Payroll Tax for KGS
4	KLF-1(d)	Detail paper for 401(k) Costs for KGS
5	KLF-1(e)	Detail paper for Defined Contribution Retirement Plan Costs for KGS
6	KLF-1(f)	Work paper for Corporate Payroll Expense
7	KLF-1(g)	Detail paper for update of Corporate Payroll Expense through
8		April 30, 2024, allocated on Causal and Distrigas Basis
9	KLF-1(h)	Detail paper for FICA and Medicare Payroll Tax for Corporate
10	KLF-1(i)	Detail paper for 401(k) Costs for Corporate
11	KLF-1(j)	Detail paper for Profit Share for Corporate
12	KLF-2	Work paper for Insurance Expense - CONFIDENTIAL
13	KLF-3	Work paper for Workers' Compensation
14	KLF-3(a)	Detail paper for Three-Year Average of Workers' Compensation
15	KLF-4	Work paper for Medical Reserve
16	KLF-5	Work paper for Incentive Compensation - CONFIDENTIAL
17	KLF-5(a)	Detail paper for Short-Term Incentive Compensation - CONFIDENTIAL
18	KLF-5(b)	Detail paper for Long-Term Incentive Compensation - CONFIDENTIAL
19	KLF-6	Work paper for Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits
20	KLF-6(a)	Detail paper for Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits
21	KLF-7	Work paper for Pension and OPEB Expense
22	KLF-7(a)	Detail paper for Pension and OPEB Expense
23	KLF-7(b)	Detail paper for Pension Expense

1	KLF-7(c)	Detail paper OPEB Expense
2	KLF-8	Work paper for Pension and OPEB Tracker 1
3	KLF-8(a)	Summary of Pension and OPEB Tracker 1
4	KLF-8(b)	Detail paper of Pension and OPEB Tracker 1
5	KLF-9	Work paper for Interest on Customer Deposits
6	KLF-10	Work paper for Relocation Expense
7	KLF-11	Work paper for Severance Expense
8	KLF-12	Work paper for Rate Case Expense
9	KLF-13	Work paper for Corporate Miscellaneous Expense
10	KLF-13(a)	Detail paper for Entertainment Expense - CONFIDENTIAL
11	KLF-13(b)	Detail paper for Flowers - CONFIDENTIAL
12	KLF-13(c)	Detail paper for Logo Items - CONFIDENTIAL
13	KLF-13(d)	Detail paper for Meals - CONFIDENTIAL
14	KLF-13(e)	Detail paper for Miscellaneous - CONFIDENTIAL
15	KLF-13(f)	Detail paper for Other State Rate Cases - CONFIDENTIAL
16	KLF-13(g)	Detail paper for Scholarships - CONFIDENTIAL
17	KLF-13(h)	Detail paper for Tips - CONFIDENTIAL
18	KLF-13(i)	Detail paper for Travel - CONFIDENTIAL
19	KLF-13(j)	Detail paper for Valet - CONFIDENTIAL

Line No.	FERC Account	Description		Staff Pro Forma Adjustment to KGS Payroll (Direct)	Pro Adju Corpor	Staff 9 Forma stment to rate Payroll & Distrigas)	Staff Total Payroll Adjustment
1	813	Production Expense	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
2	836	Natural Gas Storage		-		-	-
3	850-867	Transmission Expense		89,863		(16,142)	73,721
4	870-894	Distribution Expense		433,034		46,069	479,103
5	901-905	Customer Accounts		(729,049)		(76,791)	(805,839)
6	907-910	Customer Service		2,085		(13,254)	(11,169)
7	911-916	Sales Expense		2,976		(364,441)	(361,465)
8	920-931	Administrative and General Expense		(105,097)		-	(105,097)
9		Subtotal O&M Payroll Adjustment	\$	(306,188)	\$	(424,558)	\$ (730,746)
10	408.1	FICA/Medicare Taxes		(13,289)		(40,251)	(53,540)
11	926	401(k) Costs		(19,517)		(4,585)	(24,102)
12	926	Defined Contribution Retirement Plan		197,668		885	198,554
13		Subtotal Payroll Related Expenses	\$	164,862	\$	(43,950)	\$ 120,912
14		Staff Adjustment to KGS Payroll Expen	se			:	\$ (609,834)

Sources: Exhibit KLF-1(a) Exhibit KLF-1(f)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to KGS Payroll Expense Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	FERC Account	Description		KGS Pro Forma Adjustment to Payroll	Staff Pro Forma Adjustment to Payroll	Total Payroll Adjustment
1	813	Production Expense	\$	44,712	\$ 44,712	\$ -
2	836	Natural Gas Storage		19	19	-
3	850-867	Transmission Expense		(77,196)	12,667	89,863
4	870-894	Distribution Expense		2,604,761	3,037,795	433,034
5	901-905	Customer Accounts		89,058	(639,990)	(729,049)
6	907-910	Customer Service		13,249	15,334	2,085
7	911-916	Sales Expense		19,590	22,565	2,976
8	920-931	Administrative and General Expense		183,725	78,628	(105,097)
9		Subtotal O&M Payroll Adjustment	\$	2,877,917	\$ 2,571,729	\$ (306,188)
10	408.1	FICA/Medicare Taxes		220,161	206,872	(13,289)
11	926	401(k) Costs		150,638	131,121	(19,517)
12	926	Defined Contribution Retirement Plan		103,503	301,171	197,668
13		Subtotal Payroll Related Expenses	\$	474,301	\$ 639,163	\$ 164,862
14		Staff Adjustment to KGS Payroll Exper	ise			\$ (141,326)

Sources: KGS Pro Forma Adjustment Workpapers IS-29 Payroll Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit KLF-1(b) Exhibit KLF-1(c) Exhibit KLF-1(d) Exhibit KLF-1(e)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to KGS Payroll Expense Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

			Exen	npt		Hourly No				Total Barga	ining Units]	Exempt	Hourly No	n Exempt	Bargaining Units	
		Total - Less Other	Oct 2022 - Sep 2023		Oct 2022 - Sep	Pro Forma Base	Oct 2022 - Sep 2023 Overtime	Pro Forma	Oct 2022 - Sep 203	Pro Forma	Oct 2022 - Sep 2023	Pro Forma					
FERC Major	Check	Tab O&M	Total Salary	Pro Forma Salary	2023 Base Pay	Pav	Pav	Overtime Pav	Base Pav	Base Pav	Overtime Pay	Overtime Pav	Base Pay	Base Pav	Overtime	Base Pav	Overtime
1840	0.00	66,676.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,777.62	118,565.94	31,899.37	6,542.24	-	-	-	83,788.32	(25,357.13)
4264	0.00			132,475.44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	132,475.44	-	-	-	-
8130 8360	0.00	956,692.56 308.48	956,692.56	1,001,404.68	-	-	-	-	308.48	327.08	-	-	44,712.12	-	-	- 18.60	-
8500	0.00	116,994.84	116,994,84	123,154.08	-	-	-	-	508.46	527.08	-	-	6,159,24	-	-	18.00	-
8510	(0.00)	692,263.83	111,525.00	120,876.29	-	-	-	-	464,073.83	365,175.28	116,665.00	69,457.03	9,351.29	-	-	(98,898.55)	(47,207.97)
8530	0.00	347,657.77	47,547.40	49,980.46	-	-	-	-	295,528.73	324,010.41	4,581.64	1,342.63	2,433.06	-	-	28,481.68	(3,239.01)
8560	0.00	1,643,294.08	182,992.31	185,763.93	-	-	-	-	1,370,983.64	1,482,573.93	89,318.13	81,108.14	2,771.62	-	-	111,590.29	(8,209.99)
8570	0.00	402,955.28	10 521 52	10.255.01	-	-	-	-	386,248.56	368,259.25	16,706.72	7,159.49	-	-	-	(17,989.31)	(9,547.23)
8590 8610	0.00	128,343.54 73,760.28	18,521.73 73,760.28	19,355.21 77,663.28	-	-	-	-	107,414.80	145,892.12	2,407.01	1,335.33	833.48 3,903.00	-	-	38,477.32	(1,071.68)
8620	0.00	168.48		77,005.28					168.48	176.95			5,905.00		-	8.47	
8630	0.00	119,976.57	32,212.28	16,939.67		-		96.84	85,199.91	94,281.76	2,564.38	205.98	(15,272.61)	-	96.84	9,081.85	(2,358.40)
8640	0.00	183,317.93	29,717.28	31,237.95	-	-	-	-	139,941.83	150,613.33	13,658.82	11,651.39	1,520.67	-	-	10,671.50	(2,007.43)
8650	(0.00)	249,108.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	235,564.14	234,915.61	13,543.95	7,281.23	-	-	-	(648.53)	(6,262.72)
8700	(0.00)	1,464,419.21	1,337,996.37	1,427,992.16	125,636.76	105,792.42	786.08	1,572.55					89,995.79	(19,844.34)	786.47	-	
8710 8740	0.00	692,265.51	111,525.04	120,876.31	-	-	16 514 10	-	464,073.95	365,175.46	116,666.52	69,458.17	9,351.27	-	-	(98,898.49)	(47,208.35)
8750	0.00	9,421,330.87 1,524,953.42	1,047,143.91 386,257,59	1,152,731.89 410,346.41	203,718.09 30,599,25	206,659.20 14,730.61	16,514.10 260.21	6,550.36 240.63	7,293,381.20 1,004,338,12	7,721,814.10 987,631,54	860,573.57 103,498,25	999,716.33 98,736.60	105,587.98 24,088.82	2,941.11 (15,868.64)	(9,963.74) (19.58)	428,432.90 (16,706.58)	139,142.76 (4,761.65)
8760	0.00	144,578.07	-		-	-	- 200.21	-	132,449.98	134,574.87	12,128.09	10,934.62	-	-	-	2,124.89	(1,193.47)
8770	0.00	62,788.07	-	-	42,534.71	44,191.64	393.32	721.90	18,822.30	20,008.81	1,037.74	95.12	-	1,656.93	328.58	1,186.51	(942.62)
8780	0.00	8,916,382.07	156,752.15	392,679.26	20,196.55	94,106.95	28.63	425.28	7,395,278.56	8,492,530.55	1,344,126.18	1,815,632.41	235,927.11	73,910.40	396.65	1,097,251.99	471,506.23
8790	(0.00)	2,224,378.76	250,326.00	227,820.34	53,901.36	56,388.80	1.35	-	1,248,170.76	1,325,078.80	671,979.29	811,122.98	(22,505.66)	2,487.44	(1.35)	76,908.04	139,143.69
8800	0.00	1,403,771.36	969,883.09	1,016,509.92	37,437.73	92,620.07	113.06	57.26	393,028.80	332,575.84	3,308.68	2,012.26	46,626.83	55,182.34	(55.80)	(60,452.96)	(1,296.42)
8850 8870	0.00	447,185.04 6,158,735.46	447,185.04 1,099,455.29	428,951.09 1,170,715.31	- 137,448.41	- 199,845.63	- 544.96	624.53	4,291,049.28	4,605,988.47	630,237.52	512,269.25	(18,233.95) 71,260.02	- 62,397.22	- 79.57	- 314,939.19	- (117,968.27)
8890	0.00	1,011,044.83	1,099,455.29	1,170,713.31	23,561.83	14,730.61	233.77	240.63	4,291,049.28 879,761.08	4,005,988.47 854,606.06	107,488.15	105,093.08	- 1,200.02	(8,831.22)	6.86	(25,155.02)	(117,908.27) (2,395.07)
8900	0.00	8,619.80	-	-	1,691.20	1,768.26	253.68	545.76	6,457.76	6,301.08	217.16	36.13	-	77.06	292.08	(156.68)	(181.03)
8910	0.00	109,654.83	-	-	82,953.74	86,263.84	1,922.77	3,916.31	23,640.97	25,226.88	1,137.35	957.30	-	3,310.10	1,993.54	1,585.91	(180.05)
8920	(0.00)	1,014,417.95	22,499.08	23,670.16	-	-	-	-	832,843.92	874,768.89	159,074.95	91,839.08	1,171.08	-	-	41,924.97	(67,235.87)
8930	(0.00)	296,652.48	39,862.36	41,709.40		-		-	223,144.62	257,403.48	33,645.50	12,957.96	1,847.04	-	-	34,258.86	(20,687.54)
9010	0.00	79,104.14	28,467.92	115,735.47	13,400.79	-	947.57	-	33,043.83	4,844.46	3,244.03	92.94	87,267.55	(13,400.79)	(947.57)	(28,199.37)	(3,151.09)
9020 9030	0.00	1,000,273.38 4,046,143.00	86,330.32 1,309,375.56	105,799.91 1,468,213.33	330.271.40	299,104.00	9,979,29	- 1,920.72	886,538.70 2,210,885,35	993,002.51 1,247,822.58	27,404.36 185,631.40	14,206.97 220,043.22	19,469.59 158,837.77	(31,167.40)	- (8,058.57)	106,463.81 (963,062.77)	(13,197.39) 34,411.82
9050	0.00	62,987.11	1,509,575.50	-		299,104.00	9,919.29	1,920.72	58,235.50	76,795.62	4,751.61	935.69	138,857.77	(31,107.40)	(8,058.57)	18,560.12	(3,815.92)
9080	0.00	211,970.49	211,970.49	227,304.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,334.05	-	-		-
9090	0.00	1,296.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,252.90	1,295.52	43.15	0.30	-	-	-	42.62	(42.85)
9120	0.00	412,593.33	412,593.33	435,158.54									22,565.21		-		
9200 Total	0.00	4,101,648.96 49,798,712.91	3,452,209.69 12,939,796.91	3,393,494.02 13,918,559,07	307,801.64 1,411,153.46	392,225.60 1.608.427.62	6,156.46 38,135,25	8,117.87 25.030.64	319,541.15 30,836,148,75	356,265.05 31,968,502.23	15,940.02 4,573,478.54	30,174.22 4.982.398.09	(58,715.67) 978,762.16	84,423.96 197,274.16	1,961.41 (13,104.61)	36,723.90 1,132,353.48	14,234.20 408,919.55
1 otal	0.00	49,/98,/12.91	12,939,796.91	13,918,559.07	1,411,155.46	1,608,427.62	38,135.25	25,030.64	30,830,148.75	31,968,502.23	4,5/3,4/8.54	4,982,398.09	9/8,/02.10	197,274.16	(13,104.01)	1,132,353.48	408,919.55
									8630	0.36		0.02	8630	0.20	0.00%	0.25	(0.08)
									8740	87,550.16		4,830.85	8740	49,234.89	73.84%	61,870.05	(18,723.93)
									8750	16,953.51		935.46	8750	9,534.01	14.30%	11,980.72	(3,625.77)
									8780 8870	648.16 13,413.76		35.76 740.15	8780 8870	364.50 7,543.39	0.55%	458.04 9,479.25	(138.62) (2,868.74)
									8870	15,415.70		740.15	8870	7,545.55	11.3170	9,479.25	(2,000.74)
FICA	6.20%		802,267.41	862,950.66	87,491.51	99,722.51	2,364.39	1,551.90	1,911,841.22	1,982,047.14	283,555.67	308,908,68	60.683.25	12,231.00	(812.49)	70,205.92	25,353.01
Medicare Tax	1.45%		187,627.06	201,819.11	20,461.73	23,322.20	552.96	362.94	447,124.16	463,543.28	66,315.44	72,244.77	14,192.05	2,860.48	(190.02)	16,419.13	5,929.33
*401k Matchin			677,303.54	728,534.57	73,863.54	84,189.40	1,996.10	1,310.17	1,614,046.41	1,673,316.81	239,388.09	260,792.03	51,231.03	10,325.86	(685.93)	59,270.41	21,403.94
**Profit Sharin	n 3.60%	4.00%	465,371.82	556,742.36	50,751.26	64,337.10	1,371.51	1,001.23	1,109,003.09	1,278,740.09	164,482.34	199,295.92	91,370.54	13,585.84	(370.29)	169,737.00	34,813.59

*401k Matching Percentage Average Jan 2023 - Nov 2023 ** Profit Sharing Percentage of 4% due to measurable quartly contributions

Sources: KGS Pro Forma Adjustment Workpapers IS-29 Payroll Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 198

Kansas Gas Service KGS Payroll Taxes Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description	Tax Rate	Exempt Base Pay	Non-Exempt Base Pay	Non-Exempt Premium Pay	BU Base Pay	BU Premium Pay	Total Payroll Taxes
1	Staff Adjusted Payroll		\$ 13,918,559	\$ 1,608,428	\$ 25,031	\$ 31,968,502	\$ 4,982,398	
2	FICA Taxes	6.20%	862,951	99,723	1,552	1,982,047	308,909	3,255,181
3	Medicare Taxes	1.45%	201,819	23,322	363	463,543	72,245	761,292
4	Staff Adjusted Payroll Ta	axes						\$ 4,016,473
5	Test Year Payroll Taxes							3,809,602
6	Staff Adjustment to KC	GS Payroll	Taxes					\$ 206,872

Sources: KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 130 Exhibit KLF-1(b)

Kansas Gas Service KGS 401(k) Costs Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description	Amount
1	401(k) Amount Per Book for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 2,748,143
2	Payroll Dollars for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	52,502,918
3	401(k) Matching Percentage	5.23%
4	Total Adjusted Payroll for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 52,303,765
5	Staff Adjusted 401(k) Costs	2,737,719
6	Test Year 401(k) Costs	2,606,598
7	Staff Adjustment to KGS 401(k) Costs	\$ 131,121

Sources: KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 200 Exhibit KLF-1(b)

Kansas Gas Service KGS Defined Contribution Retirement Plan Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description		Amount
1 2	Profit Share Amount Per Book for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$	2,100,117
_	Total Payroll Dollars for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024		52,502,918
3	Profit Sharing Percentage	¢	4.00%
4	Total Adjusted Payroll for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$	52,303,765
5	Staff Adjusted Profit Sharing Costs		2,092,151
6	Test Year Profit Sharing Costs		1,790,980
7	Staff Adjustment to KGS Defined Contribution Retirement Plan	\$	301,171

Sources: KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 201 Exhibit KLF-1(b)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Corporate Payroll Expense Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	FERC Account	Description	Adj	Pro Forma ustment to orate Payroll	A	ff Pro Forma djustment to porate Payroll		Total Staff Adjustment to rporate Payroll
1	950 967	Terrentiation Frances	¢	41.024	¢	25 702	¢	(1(142))
1		Transmission Expense	\$	41,934	Э	25,792	\$	(16,142)
2		Distribution Expense		73,154		119,223		46,069
3	901-905	Customer Accounts Expense		208,790		131,999		(76,791)
4	907-910	Customer Service and Information Expense		27,784		14,530		(13,254)
5	920-931	Administration and General Expense		890,165		525,724		(364,441)
6		Total Base Pay	\$	1,241,828	\$	817,269	\$	(424,558)
7	408.1	Employment Taxes		35,732		(4,518)		(40,251)
8	926	401(k) Costs		81,284		76,699		(4,585)
9	926	Profit Share Plan		56,835		57,720		885
10		Total Adjustment to Corporate Payroll	\$	173,852	\$	129,901	\$	(43,950)
11		Staff Adjustment to Corporate Payroll Ex	xpense				\$	(468,509)

Sources: KGS Pro Forma Adjustment Workpapers IS 29 Payroll Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit KLF-1(g) Exhibit KLF-1(h) Exhibit KLF-1(i) Exhibit KLF-1(j)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Corporate Payroll Expense Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

		KGS Adj	ustment			Staff's April 2	024 Update		Total Staff Adjustment				
		Total	Causal	Distrigas		Total	Causal	Distrigas		Total	Causal	Distrigas	
Line		Unallocated	Allocated	Allocated		Unallocated	Allocated	Allocated		Unallocated	Allocated	Allocated	
No.	Account No.	Adjustment	Adjustment	Adjustment	Account No.	Adjustment	Adjustment	Adjustment	Account No.	Adjustment	Adjustment	Adjustment	
1	1840	25,649	-	7,652	1840	(12,924)	-	(4,070)	1840	(38,573)	-	(11,722)	
2	8500	(1,865)	(307)	(456)	8500	6,776	283	1,331	8500	8,641	590	1,788	
3	8560	142,095	33,537	9,196	8560	77,359	26,284	(3,129)	8560	(64,735)	(7,253)	(12,325)	
4	8610	294	150	(186)	8610	4,270	297	727	8610	3,975	146	913	
5	8700	171,049	14,730	34,364	8700	400,466	47,791	66,123	8700	229,417	33,061	31,759	
6	8740	46,033	(75,368)	90,195	8740	(67,480)	(106,822)	85,293	8740	(113,513)	(31,455)	(4,902)	
7	8780	54,129	15,482	-	8780	57,678	16,188	-	8780	3,549	706	-	
8	8800	(15,199)	(4,560)	-	8800	20,734	(4,406)	10,562	8800	35,933	154	10,562	
9	8850	(3,233)	-	(1,656)	8850	19,782	-	4,528	8850	23,015	-	6,184	
10	8860	(109)	-	(34)	8860	(109)	-	(34)	8860	-	-	-	
11	9010	311,884	-	92,583	9010	242,009	-	66,368	9010	(69,876)	-	(26,215)	
12	9050	392,662	33,643	82,565	9050	233,236	(19,026)	84,657	9050	(159,426)	(52,669)	2,093	
13	9080	115,090	-	27,784	9080	98,119	-	14,530	9080	(16,971)	-	(13,254)	
14	9200	3,669,257	85,987	815,139	9200	3,619,721	(142,312)	678,998	9200	(49,537)	(228,300)	(136,141)	
15	9210	(7,590)	-	(2,329)	9210	(7,590)	-	(2,329)	9210	-	-	-	
16	9320	(12,837)	-	(4,045)	9320	(12,837)	-	(4,045)	9320	-	-	-	
17	9230	(7,151)	-	(2,187)	9230	(7,151)	-	(2,187)	9230	-	-	-	
18	9302	(7,583)	(1,973)	(426)	9302	(7,583)	(1,973)	(426)	9302	-	-	-	
19		4,872,577	101,322	1,148,157		4,664,476	(183,697)	996,896		(208,101)	(285,020)	(151,261)	
20	Balance Sheet	25,649	-	7,652	Balance Sheet	(12,924)	-	(4,070)	Balance Sheet	(38,573)	-	(11,722)	
21	Below the Line				Below the Line				Below the Line				
22	O&M	4,846,928	101,322	1,140,505	O&M	4,677,400	(183,697)	1,000,967	O&M	(169,528)	(285,020)	(139,538)	
23	Total	4,872,577	101,322	1,148,157	Total	4,664,476	(183,697)	996,896	Total	(208,101)	(285,020)	(151,261)	

Sources: KGS Pro Forma Adjustment Workpapers IS 29 Payroll Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 130

Kansas Gas Service Corporate FICA and Medicare Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description		KGS		Staff	А	djustment
	•						
	<u>Exempt</u>						
1	Amount of Payroll for FICA Adjustment Below Cap	\$)	\$	(16,770)	\$	(324,451)
2	FICA 6.2%		6.20%		6.20%		6.20%
3	Total Adjustment to FICA		19,076		(1,040)		(20,116)
4	Distrigas Allocation		30.39%		29.82%		29.82%
5	Adjustment for FICA for Allocated Payroll	\$	5,797	\$	(310)	\$	(5,999)
6	Amount of Payroll for Medicare Adjustment	\$	307,681	\$	(16,770)	\$	(324,451)
7	Medicare 1.45%		1.45%		1.45%		1.45%
8	Total Adjustment to Medicare		4,461		(243)		(4,705)
9	Distrigas Allocation		30.39%		29.82%		29.82%
10	Adjustment for Medicare for Allocated Payroll	\$	1,356	\$	(73)	\$	(1,403)
Exe	mot						
11	Amount of Payroll for FICA	\$	1,297,024	\$	1,472,488	\$	175,464
12	FICA 6.2%	*	6.20%	*	6.20%	+	6.20%
13	Total Adjustment to FICA		80,415		91,294		10,879
14	Distrigas Allocation		30.39%		29.82%		29.82%
15	Adjustment for FICA for Allocated Payroll	\$	24,438	\$	27,224	\$	3,244
16	Amount of Payroll for Medicare Adjustment	\$	939,769	\$	856,299	\$	(83,470)
17	Medicare 1.45%		1.45%		1.45%		1.45%
18	Total Adjustment to Medicare		13,627		12,416		(1,210)
19	Distrigas Allocation		30.39%		29.82%		29.82%
20	Adjustment for Medicare for Allocated Payroll	\$	4,141	\$	3,703	\$	(361)
21	Total Staff Adjustment to Corporate Employment 7	Favos (A	accurt No. 409	0 1)	-	\$	(4,518)

Sources: KGS Pro Forma Adjustment Workpapers IS 29 Payroll Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 130

Kansas Gas Service Corporate 401(k) Costs Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description	 Amount	
1 2	401(k) Amount Per Book for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024 Payroll Dollars for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 4,035,748 73,391,317	
3	401(k) Matching Percentage	 5.50%	
4	Payroll Annualization Adjustment for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 4,677,400	
5	Staff Adjustment to 401(k) Costs	257,208	
6	3 Year Average Distrigas Allocation	29.82%	
7	Staff Allocated Adjustment to Corporate 401(k) Costs	\$ 76,699	

Sources: KGS Response to Staff Data Request Nos. 198 and 201 Exhibit KLF-1(g)

Kansas Gas Service Corporate Profit Share Income Statement Adjustment No. 4 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Description	Amount
1 2	Profit Share Amount Per Book for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024 Payroll Dollars for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 3,037,109 73,391,317
3	Profit Sharing Percentage	4.14%
4	Payroll Annualization Adjustment for the 12-Months Ending April 30, 2024	\$ 4,677,400
5	Staff Adjustment to Profit Share	193,562
6	Distrigas Allocation	29.82%
7	Staff Allocated Adjustment to Corporate Profit Share	\$ 57,720

Sources: KGS Response to Staff Data Request Nos. 198 and 201 Exhibit KLF-1(g)

Line No.	Account No.	Description	Sept 2023 TYE GL Amount	April 2024 Policy Amount	Staff Pro Forma Adjustment to Insurance Expense	KGS Pro Forma Adjustment to Insurance Expense	Adj In	aff Total ustment to isurance Expense
1 2	9240100 9250100	Property Insurance Auto Liability Insurance					\$	4,077
3	9250120	Worker's Compensation Insurance						42,230
4	9250180	Excess Liability Insurance					¢	(17,424)
5		Staff Total Adjustment to Insurance Expense					\$	28,882

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpapers IS 18 Insurance Adjustment 2024 - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 181 - CONFIDENTIAL

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Workers' Compensation Income Statement Adjustment No. 6 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	Account No.	Description	I	Amount	Total
1		Workers' Compensation Expense (May 2021 - April 2022)	\$	525,795	
2		Workers' Compensation Expense (May 2022 - April 2023)		429,536	
3		Workers' Compensation Expense (May 2023 - April 2024)		(92,387)	
4		3-Year Average Workers' Compensation Expense	\$	287,648	
5		Test Year Workers' Compensation Expense		235,627	
6	925	Staff Pro Forma Adjustment to Workers' Compensation Expense			\$ 52,021
7		KGS Pro Forma Adjustment to Workers' Compensation Expense			125,371
8	925	Staff Total Adjustment to Workers' Compensation		-	\$ (73,350)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 19 - Workers Compensation Adjustment KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 182 Exhibit KLF-3(a)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Workers' Compensation Income Statement Adjustment No. 6 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line						
No.	Date	Amount	Date	Amount	Date	Amount
1	May-21	\$ 45,547.69	May-22	\$ 22,668.65	May-23	\$ 18,322.92
2	Jun-21	(28,720.88)	Jun-22	(33,490.61)	Jun-23	(131,236.83)
3	Jul-21	104,224.64	Jul-22	25,748.14	Jul-23	14,682.92
4	Aug-21	(2,949.21)	Aug-22	(26,774.69)	Aug-23	-
5	Sep-21	346,929.14	Sep-22	39,815.55	Sep-23	(67,710.87)
6	Oct-21	33,294.79	Oct-22	78,388.77	Oct-23	-
7	Nov-21	(10,116.34)	Nov-22	7,401.32	Nov-23	-
8	Dec-21	(10,972.36)	Dec-22	32,789.73	Dec-23	24,918.54
9	Jan-22	(47,664.72)	Jan-23	197,105.13	Jan-24	-
10	Feb-22	57,376.83	Feb-23	14,893.44	Feb-24	-
11	Mar-22	41,741.20	Mar-23	23,851.50	Mar-24	48,636.11
12	Apr-22	(2,896.00)	Apr-23	47,138.84	Apr-24	-
13	Total	\$ 525,794.78	Total	\$ 429,535.77	Total	\$ (92,387.21)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 19 - Workers Compensation Adjustment KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 182

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Employee Medical Reserve Income Statement Adjustment No. 7 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line	Account			
No.	No.	Description		Amount
1		M - 2022	¢	002 170
1		May 2023	\$	903,170
2		June 2023		439,611
3		July 2023		903,170
4		August 2023		903,170
5		September 2023		955,203
6		October 2023		955,203
7		November 2023		955,203
8		December 2023		704,557
9		January 2024		913,034
10		February 2024		913,034
11		March 2024		660,320
12		April 2024		913,034
13	9260190	Total Employee Medical Reserve	\$	10,118,706
14		KGS Test Year Employee Medical Reserve		9,816,541
15		Change in Expense	\$	302,165
16		Capitalization Ratio		63.73%
17		Staff Pro Forma Adjustment	\$	192,570
18		KGS Pro Forma Adjustment		155,600
19	9260190	Staff Total Adjustment to Employee Medical Reserve	\$	36,970

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 20 Employee Medical Reserve KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 184

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Incentive Compensation Income Statement Adjustment No. 8 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line		St	aff Total
No.	Description	Ac	ljustment
1	To remove 70% of Costs Related to Short-Term Incentive	\$	(938,017)
2	To remove 50% of Costs Related to Officer Portion of Long-Term Incentive - Restricted Stock		(192,758)
3	To remove 100% of Costs Related to Officer Portion of Long-Term Incentive - Performance		(542,838)
4	Staff Total Adjustment to Incentive Compensation	\$	(1,673,613)

			Staff Total Adjustment by
Line No.		Account No.	FERC Account No.
5		408.1	\$ (49,418)
6		920	(321,404)
7		930.2	(1,302,791)
8	Staff Total Adjustment to Incentive Compensation		\$ (1,673,613)

Sources: Exhibit KLF-5(a) - CONFIDENTIAL Exhibit KLF-5(b) - CONFIDENTIAL

Kansas Gas Service Short-Term Incentive Compensation Income Statement Adjustment No. 8 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

						Adjust STI		Q2 2024	STI		Adjusted		
Line	FERC			Officers and		Down to	Adjusted	Distrigas	Included	Inclusion	Incentive		STI
No.	Account	Description	Non-Officers	Executives	Amount	100%	STI	Allocation	in Test Year	Percentage	Compensation	Adj	ustment
		Corporate											
1	408.1	Gen Tax FICA Incentive										\$	(27,162)
2	930.2	A&G Salaries Incentive Plan											-
3	930.2	A&G Empl Ben Accr 401(k) Co Match - STI											(437,913)
4	930.2	A&G Empl Ben Accr PSP on STI											(40,673)
5												\$	(505,748)
		KGS											
6	408.1	Gen Tax FICA Incentive										\$	(22,257)
7	930.2	A&G Salaries Incentive Plan											-
8	930.2	A&G Empl Ben Accr 401(k) Co Match - STI											(377, 101)
9	930.2	A&G Empl Ben Accr PSP on STI											(32,912)
10		*										\$	(432,269)
													· · /
11		Staff Adjustment to Short-Term Incentive	Compensation									\$	(938,017)
		U U	•								=		

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 27_Support with LTI & STI Adj - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 27 Workpaper - CONFIDENTIAL Response to Staff Data Request Nos. 185 and 209

Kansas Gas Service Long-Term Incentive Compensation Income Statement Adjustment No. 8 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	FERC Account	Description	Non-Officers	Officers and Executives	Amount	Distrigas Allocation	LTI Included in Test Year	Inclusion Percentage	Adjusted Incentive Compensation	LTI Adjustm	ent
		Corporate									
1	930.2	A&G Salaries LT Incentive - Restricted Stock								\$ (83,	,583)
2	930.2	A&G Salaries LT Incentive - Performance								(330,	-
3		Subtotal - Corporate LTI								\$ (414,	192)
		KGS									
4	9200713	A&G Salaries LT Incentive - Restricted Stock								\$ (109,	175)
5	9200714	A&G Salaries LT Incentive - Performance								(212,	,229)
6		Subtotal - KGS LTI								\$ (321,	404)
7		Staff Adjustment to Long-Term Incentive C	ompensation						-	\$ (735,	595)

Source: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 27_Support with LTI & STI Adj - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 27 Workpaper - CONFIDENTIAL Response to Staff Data Request No. 209

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits Income Statement Adjustment No. 9 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

Line No.	FERC Account	Description	KGS Allocated Pro Forma Adjustment As Filed			Staff Illocated o Forma Ijustment	Ad	Staff Total ljustment
1 2 3	9260 9260 930 2	Administrative & General Benefit - Postretirement Benefits Administrative & General Benefit - Pension Administrative & General Benefit - Medical Reserve	\$	(35,139) 275,187 588,388	\$	(34,635) 278,636 547,590	\$	503 3,448 (40,797)
4	<i>)50.</i> <u></u>	al Adjustment to Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits		500,500		577,590	\$	(36,846)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 28 Corporate Pension, OPEB, Medical Benefits Exhibit KLF-6(a)

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Corporate Pension, OPEB, and Medical Benefits Income Statement Adjustment No. 9 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

			Allocate	d TYE			1	Allocated	Adj	usted Total		
Line	Account		SEPT	2023	Α	llocated	Ad	justed Total	An	nount as of	U	pdated
No.	No.	Description	Corporate	Amount	Ad	ljustment		Amount	Α	pril 2024	Ad	justment
			a			b		c = a + b		d	e	= d - a
1	926.0	A&G EMPL BEN ACTUARY OPEB	\$	4,318	\$	(35,139)	\$	(30,821)	\$	(30,317)	\$	(34,635)
2	926.0	A&G EMPL BEN ACTUARY ONE GAS PENSION	(401,510)		275,187		(126,322)		(122,874)		278,636
3	930.2	A&G EMPL BEN RESERVE	2	,823,466		588,388		3,411,854		3,371,056		547,590
4			\$ 2	,426,275	\$	828,436	\$	3,254,710	\$	3,217,865	\$	791,590

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 28 Corporate Pension, OPEB, Medical Benefits Response to Staff Data Request No. 186

Line	Account		KG	S Pro Forma	St	aff's Pro Forma	Sta	aff's Total
No.	No.	Description	A	Adjustment		Adjustment		ljustment
1		Net Change in Pension Costs	\$	(5,334,307)	\$	(5,315,594)	\$	18,713
2		Net Change in OPEB Costs		(461,413)		(545,866)		(84,454)
3	9260	Staff Total Adjustment to Pension and OPEB Expense					\$	(65,741)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Exhibit KLF-7(a)

Line				April 2024 Pension/OPEB	Pro Fo	rma Adjustment	
No.	Dkt No.18	-KGSG-560-RTS		Account 9260113	Account 9260113		
	Periodi	c Pension cost		Periodic Pension cost	Periodic Pension cost		
1	Net	9,020,003	Net	3,704,409	Net	(5,315,594)	
				Account 9260131	Acc	ount 9260131	
	0	PEB Cost		OPEB Cost	(OPEB Cost	
2	Net	458,420	Net	(87,446)	Net	(545,866)	

3

Total Pro Forma (5,861,460)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Response to Staff Data Request No. 205 Exhibit KLF-7(b)

Exhibit KLF-7(c)

Line No.	Month	 t Pension l (GAAP)	-	cumulated D Expense	Oklahoma Payroll %	Ac	Co 51 only cumulated D Expense	YTD Expense Ratio	Co 51 Net D Expense	Montly Change
				•	•		^		•	<u>U</u>
	April 2023	\$ 503,100	\$	2,012,394	3.889%	\$	1,934,136	65.20%	\$ 1,261,056	
1	May 2023	503,100		2,515,494	3.889%		2,417,671	64.72%	1,564,717	\$ 303,660
2	June 2023	503,100		3,018,594	3.889%		2,901,206	64.95%	1,884,333	319,617
3	July 2023	503,100		3,521,694	3.889%		3,384,742	64.06%	2,168,265	283,932
4	August 2023	503,100		4,024,794	3.889%		3,868,277	64.02%	2,476,471	308,205
5	September 2023	503,100		4,527,894	3.889%		4,351,812	64.17%	2,792,558	316,087
6	October 2023	503,100		5,030,994	3.889%		4,835,348	64.13%	3,100,908	308,350
7	November 2023	503,100		5,534,094	3.889%		5,318,883	64.06%	3,407,276	306,368
8	December 2023	503,100		6,037,194	3.889%		5,802,418	63.52%	3,685,696	278,420
9	January 2024	528,445		528,445	4.04%		507,122	63.52%	322,124	322,124
10	February 2024	528,443		1,056,888	4.04%		1,014,242	62.87%	637,654	315,530
11	March 2024	528,443		1,585,331	4.04%		1,521,362	63.09%	959,827	322,173
12	April 2024	528,443		2,113,774	4.04%		2,028,482	63.09%	1,279,769	319,942

13

Staff Pro Forma Pension Balance \$ 3,704,409

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Response to Staff Data Request No. 205

Line No.	Month	Current OPEB Accrual (GAAP		cumulated D Expense	Oklahoma Payroll %	Co 51 only YTD Accumulated Expense YTD Expense Ratio		Accumulated		Accumulated		Accumulated		Accumulated		Accumulated		Expense	e Co 51 Net YTD Expens		Monthly Change
	April 2023	\$ (408	3)\$	(1,638)	3.89%	\$	(1,574)	65.20%	\$	(1,026)											
1	May 2023	(408	/	(2,046)	3.89%	•	(1,966)	64.72%	•	(1,273)	\$ (246)										
2	June 2023	(408	3)	(2,454)	3.89%		(2,359)	64.95%		(1,532)	(259)										
3	July 2023	(408	3)	(2,862)	3.89%		(2,751)	64.06%		(1,762)	(230)										
4	August 2023	(408	3)	(3,270)	3.89%		(3,143)	64.02%		(2,012)	(250)										
5	September 2023	(408	3)	(3,678)	3.89%		(3,535)	64.17%		(2,268)	(256)										
6	October 2023	(408	3)	(4,086)	3.89%		(3,927)	64.13%		(2,518)	(250)										
7	November 2023	(408	3)	(4,494)	3.89%		(4,319)	64.06%		(2,767)	(248)										
8	December 2023	(408	3)	(4,902)	3.89%		(4,711)	63.52%		(2,993)	(226)										
9	January 2024	(35,298	3)	(35,298)	4.04%		(33,874)	63.52%		(21,517)	(21,517)										
10	February 2024	(35,296	5)	(70,594)	4.04%		(67,745)	62.87%		(42,592)	(21,075)										
11	March 2024	(35,296	5)	(105,890)	4.04%		(101,617)	63.09%		(64,110)	(21,519)										
12	April 2024	(35,296	5)	(141,186)	4.04%		(135,489)	63.09%		(85,480)	(21,370)										

13

Staff Pro Forma OPEB Balance \$ (87,446)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024

Response to Staff Data Request No. 205

Line	Account		KG	S Pro Forma	Staff Pro Forma	Staff Total
No.	No.	Description	Α	djustment	Adjustment	Adjustment
1		Test Year Amortization of Deferred Pension	\$	(1,834,955)	\$ (1,834,955)	\$ -
2		Test Year Amortization of Deferred OPEB Costs		3,165,177	3,165,177	-
3		Amortization of Deferred Pension Costs		(3,331,610)	(5,670,161)	(2,338,551)
4		Amortization of Deferred OPEB Costs		(1,348,032)	(531,259)	816,773
5	4073	Staff Total Adjustment to Amorized Pension and OPEB Expense		· ·		\$ (1,521,778)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Exhibit KLF-8(a)

Line No.	<u>Per</u> Accoun	<u>nsion</u> t 1823	271	<u>OPEB</u> Account 1823272				
1	2018	\$	(3,199,005)	2018	\$	2,223,695		
1 2	2018	φ	(2,978,736)	2018	φ	2,876,700		
3	2020		(645,547)	2020		387,259		
4	2021		(566,608)	2021		(844,813)		
5	2022		(4,691,764)	2022		508,896		
6	2023		(7,169,262)	2023		2,703,764		
7	12.31.23	\$	(9,994,831)	12.31.23	\$	(4,044,096)		
8	2024		(7,015,652)	2024		2,450,319		
9	Estimated 2024	\$	(17,010,483)	Estimated 2024	\$	(1,593,777)		
10	3 year amort	\$	(5,670,161)	3 year amort	\$	(531,259)		

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Response to Staff Data Request No. 205 Exhibit KLF-8(b)

			Pension		OPEB						
		Accrual Amortization			Balance		Accrual	Amortization		Balance	
Line											
No.	Year	1823271/9260800	1823271/4073151	Change	1823271		1823272/9260801	1823272/4073152	Change	1823272	
1	2009	\$ 2,485,323	\$-	\$ 2,485,323	\$ 2,485,323	5	840,344	\$ -	\$ 840,344	\$ 840,344	
2	2010	2,826,859.69	-	2,826,859.69	5,312,183.08		(1,306,156.35)	-	(1,306,156.35)	(465,812.02)	
3	2011	4,278,722.46	-	4,278,722.46	9,590,905.54		(1,562,592.25)	-	(1,562,592.25)	(2,028,404.27)	
4	2012	5,398,072.07	-	5,398,072.07	14,988,977.61		(1,024,016.83)	-	(1,024,016.83)	(3,052,421.10)	
5	2013	3,728,900.13	(2,997,795.48)	731,104.65	15,720,082.26		(3,422,009.99)	610,484.28	(2,811,525.71)	(5,863,946.81)	
6	2014	1,598,525.94	(2,997,795.48)	(1,399,269.54)	14,320,812.72		(3,498,593.04)	610,484.28	(2,888,108.76)	(8,752,055.57)	
7	2015	3,516,273.99	(2,997,795.48)	518,478.51	14,839,291.23		(3,792,195.42)	610,484.28	(3,181,711.14)	(11,933,766.71)	
8	2016	1,785,137.07	(2,997,795.48)	(1,212,658.41)	13,626,632.82		(4,723,516.69)	610,484.28	(4,113,032.41)	(16,046,799.12)	
9	2017	171,668.90	(4,542,210.96)	(4,370,542.06)	9,256,090.76		(1,306,827.81)	5,454,029.40	4,147,201.59	(11,899,597.53)	
10	2018	1,343,205.51	(4,542,210.96)	(3,199,005.45)	6,057,085.31		(3,230,334.35)	5,454,029.40	2,223,695.05	(9,675,902.48)	
11	2019	(877,889.95)	(2,100,846.23)	(2,978,736.18)	3,078,349.13		(513,275.26)	3,389,975.00	2,876,699.74	(6,799,202.74)	
12	2020	1,189,408.37	(1,834,955.00)	(645,546.63)	2,432,802.50		(2,777,917.56)	3,165,177.00	387,259.44	(6,411,943.30)	
13	2021	1,268,347.46	(1,834,954.98)	(566,607.52)	1,866,194.98		(4,009,989.81)	3,165,177.03	(844,812.78)	(7,256,756.08)	
14	2022	(2,856,809.27)	(1,834,955.04)	(4,691,764.31)	(2,825,569.33)		(2,656,281.16)	3,165,177.00	508,895.84	(6,747,860.24)	
15	2023	(5,334,306.87)	(1,834,955.00)	(7,169,261.87)	(9,994,831.20)		(461,412.66)	3,165,177.00	2,703,764.34	(4,044,095.90)	
16	2024 - Forcast	(5,180,697.22)	(1,834,955.00)	(7,015,652.22)	(17,010,483.42)		(714,857.75)	3,165,177.00	2,450,319.25	(1,593,776.65)	
17		\$ 15,340,742	\$ (32,351,225)	\$ (17,010,483)		9	6 (34,159,633)	\$ 32,565,856	\$ (1,593,777)		

** 2009 -2011 were adjusted September 2012 per Staff's testimony in docket # 12-KGSG-835-RTS

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 32 & IS 33 Pension OPEB Adjustment 2024 Response to Staff Data Request No. 205

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Interest on Customer Deposits Income Statement Adjustment No. 12 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

	Account			
Line No.	No.	Description		Amount
1		April 2023	\$	13,964,360
2		May 2023	Ψ	13,750,467
3		June 2023		13,538,211
4		July 2023		13,448,482
5		August 2023		13,406,820
6		September 2023		13,475,640
7		October 2023		13,670,410
8		November 2023		13,813,021
9		December 2023		13,959,195
10		January 2024		14,022,479
11		February 2024		14,130,355
12		March 2024		14,076,324
13		April 2024		14,026,226
14		13 Month Average	\$	13,790,923
15		KCC Approved Rate on 12.12.2023		5.05%
16		Staff Pro Forma Interest Expense		696,442
17		KGS Pro Forma Interest Expense		696,027
18	9302993	Staff Total Adjustment to Interest Expense	\$	415

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpapers IS 15 Interest on Customer Deposits KGS Response to Staff Data Request Nos. 179 and 180

			Test Year			
Line	Account		Per Book	Percentage		Staff's
No.	No.	Description	Amount	Removed	djustment	
1	9302120	A&G Misc Employee Moving - KGS	\$ 241,577	50%	\$	(120,788)
2	9302120	A&G Misc Employee Moving - Corporate	57,416	50%		(28,708)
3		Staff Total Adjustment to Relocation Expense			\$	(149,496)

Source: Response to Staff Data Request No. 67 Attachment B

Line No.	Account No.	Company	Date	est Year Amount	KGS Distrigas Allocation %	A	est Year Amount ocated to KGS	Percentage Removed	Staff's ljustment
1		KGS	April 2023	\$ 15,000		\$	15,000	100%	\$ (15,000)
2		KGS	December 2022	30,000			30,000	100%	(30,000)
3	9250200					\$	45,000		\$ (45,000)
4		Corporate	March 2023	\$ 50,000	30.98%	\$	15,490	100%	\$ (15,490)
5		Corporate	August 2023	40,000	30.48%		12,192	100%	(12,192)
6		Corporate	October 2022	57,713	31.51%		18,185	100%	(18,185)
7	9250200	-				\$	45,867		\$ (45,867)
8				Sta	aff Total Adjust	tmer	it to Sever	ence Expense	\$ (90,867

Source: Response to Staff Data Request No. 69

Line	Account			
No.	No.	Description	Amount	Total
1		KGS's Estimated Rate Case Expense Amortized over 3 years		
2		KGS Rate Case Expense through May 22, 2024		
3		Staff and CURB Rate Case Expense through June 2024		
4		Total Rate Case Expense		
5		3 Year Amortization Period		
6		Rate Case Amortization Expense		
7	928	Staff Total Adjustment to Rate Case Expense		\$ (168,357)

Sources: KGS Adjustment Workpaper IS 34 Rate Case Amortization Adjustment - CONFIDENTIAL KGS Response to Staff Data Request Nos. 46 CONFIDENTIAL and 187 - CONFIDENTIAL

Kansas Gas Service Staff Adjustment to Miscellaneous Corporate Expense Income Statement Adjustment No. 21 Test Year Ended September 30, 2023

T in a	FEDC						Other State					Total ann an ao
Line No.	FERC Account	Entertainment	Flowers	Logo Items	Meals	Misc	Rate Case Expense	Scholarships	Tips	Travel	Valet	Total expense removed
	0.500											A (01)
l	8530				(81.35)							\$ (81)
2	8560				(223.70)					-		(224)
3	8700		(34.76)		(1,563.98)	(271.96)			(9.34)	(24.00)		(1,904)
4	8740				(126.96)							(127)
5	8780				(432.01)	(203.75)				(51.70)		(687)
6	8800				(861.11)	(48.72)						(910)
7	8870				(46.54)	. ,						(47)
8	9010				(73.16)					(43.12)		(116)
9	9030				(102.64)	(44.88)				(19.82)		(167)
10	9050		(10.97)		(168.68)	(211.45)						(391)
11	9080	(1.95)	· · · ·	(4,187.75)	(3,588.49)	(814.82)			(5.45)	(305.69)		(8,904)
12	9090			())	(-))	(55.55)			()	()		(56)
13	9130					(127.03)						(127)
14	9210	(3,377.83)	(934.07)	(5,040.67)	(51,426.54)	(14,349.45)	(1,338.16)		(289.25)	(8,135.07)	(376.82)	(85,268)
15	9230	(-))	()	(-))	(-))	(10,919.80)	(1,426.77)		()	(-))	()	(12,347)
16	9260		(4.37)	(2,025.78)	(2,101.76)	(2,831.27)	())	(35,510.60)		(2,830.02)		(45,304)
17	9302	(118.81)	(37.29)	(1,748.63)	(1,306.56)	(11,053.05)			(15.76)	(16.23)		(14,296)
		\$ (3,499)	\$ (1,021)	\$ (13,003)	\$ (62,103)	\$ (40,932)	\$ (2,765)	\$ (35,511)	\$ (320)	\$ (11,426)	\$ (377)	\$ (170,956)

Source: KGS Response to Staff Data Request No. 283 - CONFIDENTIAL Staff Exhibits KLF-13(a) through KLF-13(j) - CONFIDENTIAL Exhibits KLF-13(a) through KLF-13(j) are CONFIDENTIAL

COUNTY OF SHAWNEE

VERIFICATION

) ss.

)

Katie Figgs, being duly sworn upon her oath deposes and states that she is a Managing Auditor for the Utilities Division of the Kansas Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas, that she has read and is familiar with the foregoing *Direct Testimony*, and attests that the statements contained therein are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Katie Figgs

Managing Auditor State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of June, 2024.

otary Public

My Appointment Expires: 4/28/25

RY PUBLIC - Stat ANN M. MUL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

24-KGSG-610-RTS

I, the undersigned, certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Testimony was served via electronic service on the 1st day of July, 2024, to the following:

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S HICKORY PO BOX 17 OTTAWA, KS 66067 jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov

DELLA SMITH CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 d.smith@curb.kansas.gov

ABIGAIL EMERY, PARALEGAL & GRANT SPECIALIST KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 abigail.emery@ks.gov JEFF AUSTIN AUSTIN LAW P.A. 7111 W. 151st ST. SUITE 315 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66223 jeff@austinlawpa.com

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 t.love@curb.kansas.gov

SHONDA RABB CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov

ALEX GOLDBERG, ATTORNEY EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP 1196 S MONROE STREET DENVER, CO 80210 alexgoldberg@eversheds-sutherland.us

BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 brian.fedotin@ks.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

24-KGSG-610-RTS

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 carly.masenthin@ks.gov KYLER C. WINEINGER, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW ARROWHEAD RD TOPEKA, KS 66604 kyler.wineinger@ks.gov

JANET BUCHANAN, DIRECTOR OF RATES & REGULATORY KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC. 7421 W 129TH STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213 janet.buchanan@onegas.com

ROBERT E. VINCENT, MANAGING ATTORNEY KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC. 7421 W. 129TH STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213 robert.vincent@onegas.com LORNA EATON, MANAGER OF RATES AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC. 7421 W 129TH STREET OVERLAND PARK, KS 66213 lorna.eaton@onegas.com

DON KRATTENMAKER, VICE PRESIDENT WOODRIVER ENERGY, LLC 633 17th STREET, STE. 1410 DENVER, CO 80202 don.krattenmaker@woodriverenergy.com

Ann Murphy

Ann Murphy